



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

The arc space of horospherical varieties and motivic integration

Victor Batyrev and Anne Moreau

Compositio Math. **149** (2013), 1327–1352.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X13007124](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007124)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY



The arc space of horospherical varieties and motivic integration

Victor Batyrev and Anne Moreau

ABSTRACT

For an arbitrary connected reductive group G , we consider the motivic integral over the arc space of an arbitrary \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein horospherical G -variety X_Σ associated with a colored fan Σ and prove a formula for the stringy E -function of X_Σ which generalizes the one for toric varieties. We remark that, in contrast to toric varieties, the stringy E -function of a Gorenstein horospherical variety X_Σ may be not a polynomial if some cones in Σ have nonempty sets of colors. Using the stringy E -function, we can formulate and prove a new smoothness criterion for locally factorial horospherical varieties. We expect that this smoothness criterion holds for arbitrary spherical varieties.

Introduction

Throughout the paper, we consider algebraic varieties and algebraic groups over the ground field \mathbb{C} .

Let G be a connected reductive group and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup. The homogeneous space G/H is said to be *horospherical* if H contains a maximal unipotent subgroup $U \subseteq G$. In this case, the normalizer $N_G(H)$ is a parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq G$ and P/H is an algebraic torus T . The horospherical homogeneous space G/H can be described as a principal torus bundle with the fiber T over the projective homogeneous space G/P . The dimension r of the torus T is called the *rank* of the horospherical homogeneous space G/H . Let M be the lattice of characters of the torus T , and let $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice. According to the Luna–Vust theory [LV83], any G -equivariant embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ of a horospherical homogeneous space G/H can be described combinatorially by a colored fan Σ in the r -dimensional vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. In the case where $H = U$, G -equivariant embeddings of G/U have been considered independently by Pauer; see [Pau81, Pau83]. Equivariant embeddings of horospherical homogeneous spaces are generalizations of the well-known toric varieties which are torus embeddings $T \hookrightarrow X$ ($G = T$, $H = \{e\}$).

Our paper is motivated by some known formulas for stringy invariants of toric varieties. Let X be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein toric variety defined by a fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and denote by $|\Sigma| \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ its support. Then there is a piecewise-linear function $\omega_X : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that its restriction to every cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is linear and ω_X takes value -1 on all primitive lattice generators of 1-dimensional faces of σ . It was shown in [Bat98] that the stringy E -function of the toric variety X can be computed

Received 13 March 2012, accepted in final form 17 December 2012, published online 19 June 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 14L30, 14M27 (primary).

Keywords: horospherical variety, arc space, motivic integration, stringy invariant.

This journal is © [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](http://www.compositio-mathematica.org/) 2013.

by the formula

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) := (uv - 1)^r \sum_{n \in |\Sigma| \cap N} (uv)^{\omega_X(n)}. \tag{1}$$

If X is smooth and projective, then the stringy E -function of X coincides with the usual E -function,

$$E(X; u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^r b_{2i}(X)(uv)^i,$$

where $b_{2i}(X)$ is the $(2i)$ th Betti number of X . Using the decomposition of X into torus orbits, we can compute $E(X; u, v)$ by the formula

$$E(X; u, v) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (uv - 1)^{r - \dim \sigma} = (uv - 1)^r \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \sigma}}{(1 - uv)^{\dim \sigma}}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n \in N} (uv)^{\omega_X(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \sigma}}{(1 - uv)^{\dim \sigma}} = (-1)^r P(R_\Sigma, uv) = (-1)^r \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r b_{2i}(X)(uv)^i}{(1 - uv)^r},$$

where $P(R_\Sigma, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim R_\Sigma^i t^i$ is the Poincaré series of the graded Stanley–Reisner ring $R_\Sigma = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_\Sigma^i$ associated with the fan Σ .

Recall the definition of the Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ . Let e_1, \dots, e_s be the primitive integral generators of all 1-dimensional cones in Σ . We consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_s]$ whose variables z_1, \dots, z_s are in bijection with the lattice vectors e_1, \dots, e_s . Then the *Stanley–Reisner ring* R_Σ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_s]$ by the ideal generated by those square-free monomials $z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_k}$ such that the lattice vectors $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ do not generate any k -dimensional cone in Σ . The cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ of the smooth projective toric variety X associated with Σ is isomorphic to the quotient of R_Σ modulo the ideal generated by a regular sequence f_1, \dots, f_r in R_Σ^1 (see, e.g., [Dan78, Theorem 10.8]).

In this paper, we prove a formula similar to (1) for any \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein horospherical variety X defined by a colored fan Σ :

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) := E(G/H; u, v) \sum_{n \in |\Sigma| \cap N} (uv)^{\omega_X(n)}, \tag{2}$$

where $\omega_X : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a certain Σ -piecewise-linear function (see Theorem 4.3). Let X be a complete and locally factorial horospherical variety defined by a colored cone Σ . Let e_1, \dots, e_s be the primitive integral generators of all 1-dimensional cones in Σ . Consider the positive integers $a_i := -\omega_X(e_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, and define the *weighted Stanley–Reisner ring* R_Σ^w corresponding to the colored fan Σ by putting $\deg z_i = a_i$ in the standard Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ (here we consider Σ as an uncolored fan). In Proposition 6.1, we prove that

$$\sum_{n \in N} (uv)^{\omega_X(n)} = (-1)^r P(R_\Sigma^w, uv) = (-1)^r \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \sigma}}{\prod_{e_i \in \sigma} (1 - (uv)^{a_i})},$$

where $P(R_\Sigma^w, t)$ is the Poincaré series associated with the weighted Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ^w . So we get

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = (-1)^r E(G/H; u, v) P(R_\Sigma^w, uv).$$

In contrast to toric varieties, the stringy E -function of a locally factorial horospherical variety X need not be a polynomial. If X is smooth, then $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(X; u, v)$ is polynomial

and, in particular, the *stringy Euler number*, $e_{\text{st}}(X) := E_{\text{st}}(X; 1, 1)$, is equal to the usual Euler number $e(X) := E(X; 1, 1)$. If X is a locally factorial horospherical variety whose closed orbits are projective, then we show that $e_{\text{st}}(X) \geq e(X)$ and that equality holds if and only if X is smooth (see Theorem 5.3). We conjecture that the equality

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) = e(X)$$

can be used as a smoothness criterion for arbitrary locally factorial spherical varieties (see Conjecture 6.7).

The key idea behind formula (2) for toric varieties is the isomorphism

$$T(\mathcal{K})/T(\mathcal{O}) \simeq N,$$

where $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, $\mathcal{K} := \mathbb{C}((t))$, and $T(\mathcal{O})$ (respectively, $T(\mathcal{K})$) denotes the set of \mathcal{O} -valued (respectively, \mathcal{K} -valued) points in T . We remark that the *stringy motivic integral* over the arc space $X(\mathcal{O})$ of a toric variety X is equal to its restriction to the arc space $T(\mathcal{K})$. The latter contains countably many orbits of the maximal compact subgroup $T(\mathcal{O}) \subset T(\mathcal{K})$, which are parametrized by the elements n of the lattice N . The stringy motivic integral over a $T(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit corresponding to an element $n \in N$ is equal to $(\mathbb{L} - 1)^r \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(n)}$, where $(\mathbb{L} - 1)^r$ is the stringy motivic volume of the torus T and \mathbb{L} is the class of the affine line in the Grothendieck ring $\mathbb{K}_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of algebraic varieties. Our approach in the proof of formula (2) is to use a more general bijection

$$G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) \simeq N,$$

which holds for any horospherical homogeneous space G/H ; see [GN10, LV83].

The paper is organized as follows.

Section 1 contains a review of known facts about the spaces of arcs of algebraic varieties and their relation to motivic integrals and stringy E -functions. In § 2, we collect basic results on horospherical embeddings. In § 3, we prove that there is a bijection between the quotient by $G(\mathcal{O})$ of the intersection $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ and the set of lattice points $|\Sigma| \cap N$ for any horospherical G/H -embedding (Theorem 3.1). Section 4 is devoted to the formula which expresses the stringy motivic volume of any \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein horospherical variety as a sum over lattice points $n \in N \cap |\Sigma|$ (Theorem 4.3). We use this formula to obtain a smoothness criterion for locally factorial horospherical embeddings in § 5 (Theorem 5.3). Section 6 contains some applications, examples and open questions, as well as a conjecture related to our results.

1. Arc spaces, motivic integration and stringy motivic volumes

Interesting invariants of a singular algebraic variety X can be obtained via non-Archimedean motivic integration over the space of arcs $\mathcal{J}_{\infty}(X)$.

Here we recall some basic definitions relating to the arc space of an algebraic variety; we refer the reader to [DL99, Mus01] or [EM09] for more details concerning this topic. Let X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . For any $m \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_m(X)$ the *m*th jet scheme of X over \mathbb{C} whose \mathbb{C} -valued points are all morphisms of schemes $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{m+1}) \rightarrow X$. One has $\mathcal{J}_0(X) = X$, and $\mathcal{J}_1(X) = TX$ is the total space of the tangent bundle over X . For $m \geq n$, the natural ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{m+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ induces truncation morphisms

$$\pi_{m,n} : \mathcal{J}_m(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_n(X).$$

The truncation morphisms form a projective system whose projective limit is an infinite-dimensional scheme $\mathcal{J}_{\infty}(X)$ over \mathbb{C} . The scheme $\mathcal{J}_{\infty}(X)$ is called the *arc space* of X , and

the \mathbb{C} -valued points of $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ are all morphisms $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow X$. For each m , there is a natural morphism

$$\pi_m : \mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_m(X)$$

induced by the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^{m+1}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{m+1})$.

The motivic integration over the arc space of a smooth variety is due to Kontsevich [Kon95]. A generalization of it for singular varieties was suggested by Denef and Loeser in [DL99]. Another generalization, motivated by stringy invariants, was proposed in [Bat98]; see also [Cra04, Vey06].

Let $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the category of complex algebraic varieties, and denote by $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the Grothendieck ring of $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$. For an element X in $\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote by $[X]$ its class in $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The symbol \mathbb{L} stands for the class of the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 , and we denote by 1 the class of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. For example,

$$[\mathbb{P}^n] = \mathbb{L}^n + \mathbb{L}^{n-1} + \dots + \mathbb{L} + 1.$$

The map $X \mapsto [X]$ naturally extends to the category of constructible algebraic sets. There is a natural function, $\dim : K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, which can be extended to the localization $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} := K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ of $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with respect to \mathbb{L} simply by setting $\dim(\mathbb{L}^{-1}) := -1$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $F^m \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} := \{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \dim \tau \leq m\}$. Then $\{F^m \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a decreasing filtration of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and we let $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the separated completion of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to this filtration.

Let X be a d -dimensional smooth variety.

DEFINITION 1.1. A subset C in $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ is called a *cylinder* if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constructible subset $B_m \subseteq \mathcal{J}_m(X)$ such that $C = \pi_m^{-1}(B_m)$. Such a set B_m is called a *m-base* of C .

If $C \subseteq \mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ is a cylinder with m -base $B_m \subseteq \mathcal{J}_m(X)$, we define its *motivic measure* $\mu_X(C)$ by

$$\mu_X(C) := [B_m] \mathbb{L}^{-md} = [\pi_m(C)] \mathbb{L}^{-md} \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

This definition does not depend on m ; indeed, because X is smooth, the map

$$\pi_{n,m} : \pi_n(C) \rightarrow \pi_m(C)$$

is a locally trivial $\mathbb{A}^{(n-m)d}$ -bundle for any $n \geq m$. The collection of cylinders forms an algebra of sets, which means that $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ is a cylinder and that if C and C' are cylinders, then so are $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \setminus C$ and $C \cap C'$. On the set of cylinders, the measure μ_X is additive on finite disjoint unions. Furthermore, for cylinders $C \subseteq C'$, one has $\dim \mu_X(C) \leq \dim \mu_X(C')$.

DEFINITION 1.2. A subset $C \subset \mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ is said to be *measurable* if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a cylinder C_n and cylinders $D_{n,i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$C \triangle C_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{n,i}$$

and $\dim \mu_X(D_{n,i}) \leq -n$ for all i . Here $C \triangle C_n = (C \setminus C_n) \cup (C_n \setminus C)$ denotes the symmetric difference of two sets.

If C is measurable, we define its *motivic measure* $\mu_X(C)$ by

$$\mu_X(C) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_X(C_n).$$

This limit converges in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and is independent of the C_n ; cf. [Bat98, Theorem 6.18].

PROPOSITION 1.3 [Bat98, Propositions 6.19 and 6.22]. (i) *The measurable sets form an algebra of sets and the motivic measure μ_X is additive on finite disjoint unions. If $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$*

is a disjoint sequence of measurable sets such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_X(C_i) = 0$, then $C := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i$ is measurable and

$$\mu_X(C) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_X(C_i).$$

(ii) Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a locally closed subvariety. Then $\mathcal{J}_\infty(Y)$ is a measurable subset of $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ and if $\dim Y < \dim X$ then $\mu_X(\mathcal{J}_\infty(Y)) = 0$.

DEFINITION 1.4. A function $F : \mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be measurable if $F^{-1}(s)$ is measurable for all $s \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ be a measurable set and $F : \mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ a measurable function such that $\mu_X(F^{-1}(+\infty)) = 0$. Then we set

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^{-F} d\mu_X := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_X(A \cap F^{-1}(s)) \mathbb{L}^{-s}$$

in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whenever the right-hand side converges in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this case, we say that \mathbb{L}^{-F} is integrable on A . To any subvariety Y of X one associates the order function

$$\text{ord}_Y : \mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

sending an arc $\nu \in \mathcal{J}_\infty(X)$ to the order of vanishing of ν along Y . An important example of an integrable function is the function $\mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_Y}$ where Y is a smooth hypersurface in X .

We consider now the case where X is a singular normal irreducible variety. Let K_X be a canonical divisor of X . Assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, that is, mK_X is Cartier for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities of X such that the exceptional locus of f is a divisor whose irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_l are smooth divisors with only normal crossings, and set

$$K_{X'/X} := K_{X'} - f^*K_X = \sum_{i=1}^l \nu_i D_i,$$

where the rational numbers ν_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$) are called the discrepancies of f . The rational numbers ν_i ($1 \leq i \leq l$) can be computed as follows. Since mK_X is Cartier, we can consider $f^*(mK_X)$ as a pullback of the Cartier divisor and write

$$mK_{X'} - f^*(mK_X) = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i D_i$$

with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i . Then $K_{X'/X}$ can be viewed as an abbreviation of the \mathbb{Q} -divisor $\sum_{i=1}^l \nu_i D_i$ where $\nu_i := n_i/m$ for all i . Assume further that X has at worst log-terminal singularities, that is, $\nu_i > -1$ for all i (cf. [KMM87]). Set $I := \{1, \dots, l\}$ and, for any subset $J \subseteq I$,

$$D_J := \begin{cases} \bigcap_{j \in J} D_j & \text{if } J \neq \emptyset, \\ Y & \text{if } J = \emptyset, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad D_J^0 := D_J \setminus \bigcup_{j \in I \setminus J} D_j.$$

DEFINITION 1.5. We define the stringy motivic volume $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ of X by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) := \sum_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}} [D_J^0] \prod_{j \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^{\nu_j + 1} - 1} \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{L}^{1/m}).$$

(In [Vey06], the element $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ is also called the stringy \mathcal{E} -invariant of X .)

The inequality $\nu_i > -1$ for any i implies that the function $\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}} := \sum_{i=1}^l \nu_i \text{ord}_{D_i}$ is integrable on $\mathcal{J}_\infty(X')$; see [Bat98, Theorem 6.28]. So we can express $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ in the form of a motivic integral as follows.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *The stringy motivic volume $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ can be expressed as the following integral:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = \int_{\mathcal{J}_\infty(X')} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}} d\mu_{X'} \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{L}^{1/m}).$$

The crucial point is that the above expressions of $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ do not depend on the chosen resolution; see [Bat98, Theorem 3.4]. This relevant fact essentially comes from the transformation rule for motivic integrals; see [DL99].

Recall that the *E-polynomial* of an arbitrary d -dimensional complex algebraic variety Z is defined by

$$E(Z; u, v) := \sum_{p,q=0}^d \sum_{i=0}^{2d} (-1)^i h^{p,q}(\mathbb{H}_c^i(Z; \mathbb{C})) u^p v^q,$$

where $h^{p,q}(\mathbb{H}_c^i(Z; \mathbb{C}))$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2d$, is the dimension of the (p, q) -type Hodge component in the i th cohomology group $\mathbb{H}_c^i(Z; \mathbb{C})$ with compact support. The polynomial E has properties similar to those of the usual Euler characteristic. In particular, the map $Z \mapsto E(Z; u, v)$ factors through the ring $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The map $Z \mapsto E(Z; u, v)$ extends to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by setting $E(\mathbb{L}^{-1}; u, v) := (uv)^{-1}$. Thus, we get a map from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}[u, v, (uv)^{-1}]$ which uniquely extends to $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This extension will again be denoted by E .

DEFINITION 1.7. The *stringy E-function* of X is given by

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) := \sum_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}} E(D_J^0; u, v) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{uv - 1}{(uv)^{\nu_j + 1} - 1}$$

(cf. [Bat98]). Note that $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X); u, v)$.

Remark 1.8. Whenever X is smooth, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = \mu_X(\mathcal{J}_\infty(X)) = [X]$ and $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(X; u, v)$.

2. Horospherical varieties

In this section, we use our notation from the introduction: G is a connected reductive group over \mathbb{C} , $H \subset G$ is a closed horospherical subgroup, G/H is the corresponding horospherical homogeneous space, U is a maximal unipotent subgroup in G such that $U \subseteq H$, $B := N_G(U)$ is the corresponding Borel subgroup of G , $P := N_G(H)$ is a parabolic subgroup, $T := P/H$ is a r -dimensional algebraic torus, M is the group of characters of T , and $N := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let S be the set of simple roots of (G, B) with respect to a maximal torus of B . There is a bijective map $I \mapsto P_I$ sending a subset I of S to the parabolic subgroup P_I of G containing B such that $P_I = BW_I B$ where $W_I \subseteq W$ is the subgroup of the Weyl group $W = W_S$ generated by the reflections s_α , $\alpha \in I$. In particular, one has $P_\emptyset = B$ and $P_S = G$. From now on, we denote by I the subset of S corresponding to $P := N_G(H)$. Let $U_0 \subset G/P$ be the open dense B -orbit. Then U_0 is isomorphic to an affine space, and the Picard group of G/P is free, generated by the classes $[\Gamma_\alpha]$ of irreducible components $\{\Gamma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\}$ in the complement $(G/P) \setminus U_0$. The space of global sections $H^0(G/P, \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_\alpha))$ is an irreducible representation of the universal cover of the semisimple group $G' := [G, G]$ corresponding to the fundamental weight ϖ_α associated

with $\alpha \in S \setminus I$. Let $\phi : G/H \rightarrow G/P$ be the canonical surjective morphism whose fibers are isomorphic to the torus T . Then the divisors $\Delta_\alpha := \phi^{-1}(T_\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in S \setminus I$, are exactly the irreducible components in the complement of the open dense B -orbit $\tilde{U}_0 \simeq U_0 \times T$ in G/H . The lattice M can be identified with the group $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{U}_0]^*/\mathbb{C}^*$ of invertible regular functions over \tilde{U}_0 modulo nonzero constant functions.

DEFINITION 2.1. A normal G -variety X is said to be *horospherical* if G has an open orbit in X that is isomorphic to the horospherical homogeneous space G/H . In that case, X is also called a G/H -embedding.

Horospherical varieties are special examples of spherical varieties. According to the Luna–Vust theory [LV83], any G/H -embedding X can be described by a colored fan Σ in the r -dimensional vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Our basic reference for spherical varieties is [Kno91]. For recent expositions on horospherical varieties, see also [Pas, ch. 1] or [Tim11, ch. 5].

Let X be a horospherical G/H -embedding. Each irreducible divisor D in X defines a valuation $v_D : \mathbb{C}(X)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ on the function field $\mathbb{C}(X)$ which vanishes on \mathbb{C}^* . The restriction of v_D to the lattice $M \simeq \mathbb{C}[\tilde{U}_0]^*/\mathbb{C}^*$ yields an element ϱ_D of the dual lattice N .

Let $\mathcal{X}(P)$ be the character group of the parabolic subgroup $P = P_I$. This group can be identified with the set of all characters $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(B)$ of the Borel subgroup B such that $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in I$, where $\check{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}(B), \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the coroot corresponding to α . Since every character of P induces a line bundle over G/P , we get a homomorphism $\mathcal{X}(P) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G/P)$. Its composition with the monomorphism of character groups $M \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P)$, induced by the epimorphism $P \rightarrow T = P/H$, gives a homomorphism $\delta : M \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G/P)$. Let $\delta^* : \text{Pic}(G/P)^* \rightarrow N$ be the dual map. Then the lattice points $\{\varrho_{\Delta_\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\} \subset N$ corresponding to the divisors $\Delta_\alpha \subset X$, $\alpha \in S \setminus I$, are exactly the δ^* -images of the dual basis to $\{[T_\alpha] \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\}$ in $\text{Pic}(G/P)^*$. For simplicity, we set $\varrho_\alpha := \varrho_{\Delta_\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in S \setminus I$. We note that ϱ_α is equal to the restriction to the sublattice $M \subseteq \mathcal{X}(B)$ of the corresponding coroot $\check{\alpha}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_X = \{D_1, \dots, D_t\}$ be the set of G -stable irreducible divisors of X . For any divisor D_i , we denote by ϱ_i the lattice point $\varrho_{D_i} \in N$. Thus, we get a map

$$\varrho : \{\Delta_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\} \cup \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow N$$

which sends any Δ_α (for $\alpha \in S \setminus I$) to ϱ_α and any $D_i \in \mathcal{D}_X$ (for $1 \leq i \leq t$) to ϱ_i . The restriction of ϱ to \mathcal{D}_X is injective, but in general the restriction of ϱ to $\{\Delta_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \setminus I\}$ is not injective.

Let Z be a G -orbit in X . Denote by X_Z the union of all G -orbits in X which contain Z in their closure. Then X_Z is open in X . Moreover, X_Z is a G/H -embedding having Z as a unique closed G -orbit. Such a G/H -embedding is said to be *simple*. It is well known that any simple embedding is quasi-projective. This fact follows from a result of Sumihiro, [Sum74, Lemma 8], which states that any normal G -variety is covered by G -invariant quasi-projective open subsets. (If X is a simple embedding of G/H with closed G -orbit Y , then any G -stable open neighborhood of Y in X is the whole of X .) The *colored cone corresponding to Z* is the pair $(\sigma_Z, \mathcal{F}_Z)$ where \mathcal{F}_Z is the set $\{\alpha \in S \setminus I \mid \overline{\Delta_\alpha} \supset Z\}$ and σ_Z is the convex cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\{\varrho_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_Z\}$ and $\{\varrho_i \mid D_i \supset Z\}$. The *colored fan Σ of X* is the collection of colored cones $(\sigma_Z, \mathcal{F}_Z)$ where Z runs through the set of G -orbits of X . We call $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup \mathcal{F}_Z$ the set of *colors of X* .

The set of colored cones in the colored fan Σ is a partially ordered set: we write $(\sigma', \mathcal{F}') \leq (\sigma, \mathcal{F})$ and call (σ', \mathcal{F}') a *face* of (σ, \mathcal{F}) if σ' is a face of σ and $\mathcal{F}' = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{F} \mid \varrho_\alpha \in \sigma'\}$. On the other hand, we have a partial order on the set of orbits ($Z \leq Z' \iff Z \subseteq \overline{Z'}$), and the map $Z \mapsto (\sigma_Z, \mathcal{F}_Z)$ is an order-reversing bijection between the set of orbits of X and the set of colored

cones [Kno91]. Denote by $Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ the G -orbit of X corresponding to (σ, \mathcal{F}) . The open orbit G/H corresponds to the cone $(0, \emptyset)$.

An arbitrary pair (σ, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a convex rational polyhedral cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S \setminus I$ is called a *strictly convex colored cone* if σ is strictly convex (i.e. $-\sigma \cap \sigma = 0$) and ϱ_{α} is a nonzero element in σ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$. A *colored fan* $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a collection of strictly convex colored cones such that all faces of any colored cone $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in \Sigma$ belong to Σ and the intersection of two colored cones is a common face of both cones; see [Kno91, § 3]. The following result was proved by Luna and Vust in a more general context; see [LV83, Proposition 8.10] (and also [Kno91, Theorem 3.3]).

THEOREM 2.2. *The correspondence $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a bijection between G -equivariant isomorphism classes of G/H -embeddings X and colored fans Σ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

We denote by X_{Σ} the G -equivariant G/H -embedding corresponding to a colored fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. For simplicity, we write X_{Σ} as $X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ whenever Σ has only one maximal colored cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) . This happens if and only if X has a unique closed G -orbit, i.e. when X is simple.

A horospherical G/H -embedding X whose fan Σ has no colors is said to be *toroidal*. There is a simple method of constructing a toroidal horospherical variety associated with the (uncolored) fan Σ . One considers the toric T -embedding Y_{Σ} with fan Σ . Using the canonical epimorphism $P \rightarrow T$, we can consider Y_{Σ} as a P -variety. Then X_{Σ} is isomorphic to the quotient space $(G \times Y_{\Sigma})/P$, where the action of P on $G \times Y_{\Sigma}$ is given by $p(g, y) := (gp^{-1}, py)$ for any $p \in P$, $g \in G$ and $y \in Y_{\Sigma}$. One has a natural surjective morphism $\phi : X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow G/P$ whose fibers are isomorphic to the toric variety Y_{Σ} and $X \simeq X_{\Sigma}$. Over the open dense B -orbit U_0 in G/P , the fibration $\phi : \phi^{-1}(U_0) \rightarrow U_0$ is trivial. Every toroidal horospherical variety is obtained as $(G_{\Sigma} \times Y)/P$ for a unique toric variety Y_{Σ} . Moreover, X_{Σ} is simple if and only if Y_{Σ} is affine.

Each horospherical variety is dominated by a toroidal variety in the following sense (see [Bri91, § 3.3]).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *For any horospherical G -variety X , there exist a toroidal G -variety \tilde{X} and a proper birational G -equivariant morphism*

$$f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X.$$

To obtain this toroidal variety \tilde{X} , we just need to remove all colors from all colored cones in the fan of X . It is worth mentioning that $\tilde{X} = (G \times Y)/P$, where Y denotes the closure of T in X .

In general, the toroidal variety \tilde{X} is not smooth, but its singularities are locally isomorphic to toric singularities. In the following, it will be useful to use a resolution of singularities $f' : X' \rightarrow X$, where f' is a proper birational G -equivariant morphism and X' is a smooth toroidal G -equivariant embedding with (uncolored) fan Σ' obtained from Σ by removing colors in all colored cones of Σ and subdividing them into subcones generated by parts of \mathbb{Z} -bases of the lattice N . Note that the fans Σ' and Σ share the same support $|\Sigma|$.

The next proposition describes the stabilizer of G -orbits $Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ in the horospherical case.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let X be a horospherical G/H -embedding where $P := N_G(H) = P_I$ is the parabolic subgroup corresponding to a subset $I \subseteq S$. Consider a colored cone $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in \Sigma$ (where $\mathcal{F} \subseteq S \setminus I$). Define the sublattice $M_{\sigma} := M \cap \sigma^{\perp}$ consisting of all elements in M that are orthogonal to $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then every element $m \in M_{\sigma}$ defines a character χ_m of the parabolic subgroup $P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}$, and the closed G -orbit $Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \subseteq X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $G/H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ where*

$$H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} := \{g \in P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}} \mid \chi_m(g) = 1 \ \forall m \in M_{\sigma}\}.$$

In particular, one has

$$\dim Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} = \text{rk } M_{\sigma} + \dim G/P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}.$$

Proof. First of all, we recall that the nonzero elements ϱ_{α} ($\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$) are the restrictions of the coroots $\check{\alpha}$ to the sublattice $M \subseteq \mathcal{X}(B)$. Since $\varrho_{\alpha} \in \sigma$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$, the restriction of the coroot $\check{\alpha}$ to M_{σ} is zero for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$. The inclusions $M_{\sigma} \subseteq M \subseteq \mathcal{X}(P_I)$ imply that the restriction of the coroot $\check{\alpha}$ to M_{σ} is zero for all $\alpha \in I$, too. Hence, we can consider the elements of M_{σ} as characters of B that extend to the parabolic subgroup $P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $X = X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ is the simple horospherical G/H -embedding corresponding to a colored cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) . Consider the proper birational G -equivariant morphism $f : X_{\sigma, \emptyset} \rightarrow X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ where $X_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is the simple toroidal variety associated with the uncolored cone (σ, \emptyset) , i.e. $X_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is exactly the variety $\widetilde{X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}}$ in the notation of Proposition 2.3.

Then the toroidal simple horospherical variety $X_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is a fibration over G/P with the affine toric fiber Y_{σ} . We remark that f induces a bijection between the set of G -orbits in $X_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ and the set of G -orbits in $X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$. It immediately follows from the theory of toric varieties that the closed T -orbit Z_{σ} in Y_{σ} is isomorphic to T/T_{σ} where the subtorus $T_{\sigma} \subseteq T$ is the kernel of characters of T in the sublattice $M_{\sigma} = M \cap \sigma^{\perp}$ of M . Moreover, $Z_{\sigma, \emptyset} := f^{-1}(Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}})$ is the closed G -orbit in $X_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ which is isomorphic to $G \times_P (T/T_{\sigma})$. This implies that the closed G -orbit $Z_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is isomorphic to $G/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ where

$$H_{\sigma, \emptyset} := \{g \in P = P_I \mid \chi_m(g) = 1 \ \forall m \in M_{\sigma}\}.$$

Let $z_0 \in Z_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ be a point with stabilizer $H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$. Then the stabilizer of $f(z_0) \in Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ is a subgroup $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \subseteq G$ containing $H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ so that we have the isomorphism $Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \cong G/H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$. We remark that all fibers of the proper birational G -equivariant morphism $f : X_{\sigma, \emptyset} \rightarrow X_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ are connected and proper. In particular, f induces a proper G -equivariant surjective morphism of the G -orbits, $Z_{\sigma, \emptyset} \rightarrow Z_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$, whose fibers are connected proper algebraic varieties isomorphic to $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$. Since the horospherical subgroup $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ contains the horospherical subgroup $H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$, the normalizer $N_G(H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}) =: P_1$ contains the normalizer $N_G(H_{\sigma, \emptyset}) = P$. Indeed, we have that $H_{\sigma, \emptyset} \supseteq [P, P]$ since $P/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is commutative. It follows that $P_1 = B[P_1, P_1] = BH_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} = PH_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \supseteq P$. Let H' be the intersection $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \cap P$. The inclusions

$$H_{\sigma, \emptyset} \subseteq H' \subseteq H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$$

enable us to decompose the proper morphism $f : G/H_{\sigma, \emptyset} \rightarrow G/H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$ into the composition of two proper morphisms with connected fibers,

$$f_1 : G/H_{\sigma, \emptyset} \rightarrow G/H' \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 : G/H' \rightarrow G/H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}.$$

The inclusions

$$[P, P] \subseteq H_{\sigma, \emptyset} \subseteq H' \subset P$$

imply that the fibers of f_1 are isomorphic to a diagonalizable subgroup $H'/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ in the torus $P/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$. But $H'/H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ is connected and proper only if it consists of one point, i.e. we get $H' := H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \cap P = H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$. Let $M_1 \subset \mathcal{X}(P_1)$ be the sublattice of all characters of P_1 that vanish on $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}}$. Since $P/[P, P]$ is a torus with the group of characters $\mathcal{X}(P)$, it follows from the properties of diagonalizable groups that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the sublattices in the group of characters $\mathcal{X}(P)$ and the closed subgroups in P containing $[P, P]$. Therefore, the equality $H_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}} \cap P = H_{\sigma, \emptyset}$ and the injectivity of the restriction map $\mathcal{X}(P_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P)$ imply that

M_1 is also the sublattice of all characters of P that vanish on $H_{\sigma,\emptyset}$; that is, we get the equality $M_1 = M_\sigma$.

It remains to show that $P_1 = P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}$. Since P_1 contains $P = P_I$, we get $P_1 = P_J$ for some subset $J \subseteq S$ containing I . Let $\alpha \in S \setminus I$. By the definition of the set of colors \mathcal{F} , the simple root α belongs to \mathcal{F} if and only if the closure of the B -invariant divisor $\Delta_\alpha := \phi^{-1}(\Gamma_\alpha) \subset G/H$ in $X_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ contains the closed orbit $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}} \subseteq X_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$. On the other hand, the horospherical homogeneous G -space $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ is a torus fibration over G/P_J , and the intersection of a closed B -invariant divisor $\overline{\Delta}_\alpha \subset X_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ with the closed G -orbit $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ is either a closed B -invariant divisor in $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ (which projects to a B -invariant divisor in G/P_J) or the whole G -orbit $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$. The latter implies that $\overline{\Delta}_\alpha$ contains $Z_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}}$ (i.e. $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$) if and only if $\alpha \in J$. So we obtain $J = I \cup \mathcal{F}$. \square

3. Arc spaces of horospherical varieties

Let $\mathcal{K} := \mathbb{C}((t))$ be the field of formal Laurent series, and let $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series. If X is a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} , denote by $X(\mathcal{K})$ and $X(\mathcal{O})$ the sets of \mathcal{K} -valued points and \mathcal{O} -valued points of X , respectively. Observe that the set $X(\mathcal{O})$ coincides with the set of \mathbb{C} -points of the scheme $J_\infty(X)$. If X is a normal variety admitting an action of an algebraic group A , then $X(\mathcal{K})$ and $X(\mathcal{O})$ both admit a canonical action of the group $A(\mathcal{O})$ induced from the A -action on X .

The following result can be viewed as a generalization, in a slightly different context, of [GN10, § 8.2] (see also [LV83] or [Doc09]).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let X be a horospherical G/H -embedding defined by a colored fan Σ . We consider the two sets $X(\mathcal{O})$ and $(G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ as subsets of $X(\mathcal{K})$. Then there is a surjective map*

$$\nu : X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow |\Sigma| \cap N$$

whose fiber over any $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$ is precisely one $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit. In particular, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between the lattice points in $|\Sigma| \cap N$ and the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$.

In the special case where X is a toric T -embedding, Theorem 3.1 is due to Ishii. In more detail, by [Ish04, Theorem 4.1] (and its proof) we have the following statement.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $Y := Y_\Sigma$ be a toric T -embedding defined by a fan Σ . For any \mathcal{K} -rational point $\lambda \in T(\mathcal{K})$, denote by λ^* the corresponding ring homomorphism $\lambda^* : \mathbb{C}[M] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and define the element n_λ of the dual lattice $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ to be the composition of $\lambda^*|_M : M \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^*$ and the standard valuation map $\text{ord} : \mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then the map*

$$\nu : T(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow N, \quad \lambda \mapsto n_\lambda$$

induces a canonical isomorphism $T(\mathcal{K})/T(\mathcal{O}) \cong N$, and one obtains a surjective map

$$\nu : Y(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow |\Sigma| \cap N, \quad \lambda \mapsto n_\lambda$$

whose fiber over any $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$ is precisely one $T(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit.

The above lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the canonical surjective morphism $\phi : G/H \rightarrow G/P$ whose fibers are isomorphic to the algebraic torus $T := P/H$. We consider $p_0 := [P]$ as a distinguished \mathbb{C} -point of G/P such that the fiber $\phi^{-1}(p_0) = T$ is the closed subvariety $P/H \subseteq G/H$.

Since G/P is a projective variety, the valuative criterion of properness implies that the natural map $(G/P)(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow (G/P)(\mathcal{K})$ from \mathcal{O} -points of G/P to \mathcal{K} -points of G/P is bijective. It follows

from the local triviality of the map $G \rightarrow G/P$ that $(G/P)(\mathcal{O}) = G(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O})$. Thus, the group $G(\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively on $G(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O}) = (G/P)(\mathcal{O}) = (G/P)(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $\lambda \in (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ be a \mathcal{K} -point of G/H . Then $\phi(\lambda) \in (G/P)(\mathcal{K}) = G(\mathcal{O})/P(\mathcal{O})$. So there exists an element $\gamma \in G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\gamma(\phi(\lambda)) = p_0 \in (G/P)(\mathbb{C}) \subset (G/P)(\mathcal{K})$. Since the morphism $\phi : G/H \rightarrow G/P$ commutes with the left G -action, the equality $\gamma(\phi(\lambda)) = p_0 = [P]$ implies that $\gamma(\lambda) \in T(\mathcal{K}) = (P/H)(\mathcal{K}) \subset (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$.

Now we set $n_\lambda := \nu(\gamma(\lambda))$ where ν is the map $T(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong T(\mathcal{K})/T(\mathcal{O})$ defined by Lemma 3.2. It is easy to see that the lattice point n_λ does not depend on the choice of the element $\gamma \in G(\mathcal{O})$. Indeed, if $\gamma' \in G(\mathcal{O})$ is another element such that $\gamma'(\phi(\lambda)) = p_0$, then the equality $\gamma'(\phi(\lambda)) = \gamma(\phi(\lambda)) = p_0$ would imply that the element $\delta := \gamma'\gamma^{-1}$ belongs to $P(\mathcal{O})$ and that its image under the homomorphism $P \rightarrow T = P/H$ is contained in $T(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, we obtain that the \mathcal{K} -points $\gamma'(\lambda), \gamma(\lambda) \in T(\mathcal{K})$ define the same element $n_\lambda \in N = T(\mathcal{K})/T(\mathcal{O})$. Finally, we get a map $\mathcal{V} : (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow N, \lambda \mapsto n_\lambda$, which is constant on $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits.

Denote by \tilde{X} the toroidal embedding of G/H corresponding to the decolorization $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ . Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the proper birational G -equivariant morphism as in Proposition 2.3. The valuative criterion of properness for f implies the equality

$$\tilde{X}(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) = X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K}).$$

Since $|\Sigma| = |\tilde{\Sigma}|$, it remains to prove the statement only for the toroidal horospherical variety \tilde{X} .

Let $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ be the closure of the torus $T = P/H \subset G/H$ in \tilde{X} . Recall that the toroidal horospherical variety \tilde{X} is a homogeneous fiber bundle $G \times_P Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ over G/P with fiber isomorphic to the toric variety $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ (see the discussion after Theorem 2.2). This allows us to consider the set $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K})$ as a subset of $\tilde{X}(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$. The restriction of \mathcal{V} to $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K})$ is exactly the map $\nu : Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow |\tilde{\Sigma}| \cap N$ from Lemma 3.2. So the image of \mathcal{V} contains $|\tilde{\Sigma}|$.

In the toric fibration $\phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow G/P$, the fiber $\phi^{-1}(p_0) \subset \tilde{X}$ is exactly the toric variety $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ and the intersection $Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \cap G/H$ is exactly the torus $T = P/H$. Since the group $G(\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively on $(G/P)(\mathcal{O}) = (G/P)(\mathcal{K})$, for any $\lambda \in \tilde{X}(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ there exists an element $\gamma \in G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\gamma(\phi(\lambda)) = p_0$. This implies that $\gamma(\lambda) \in Y_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathcal{V}(\lambda) = \mathcal{V}(\gamma(\lambda))$. Therefore the images of ν and \mathcal{V} are the same.

It remains only to show that the fibers of \mathcal{V} are precisely the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits. The latter follows from the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -action on $X(\mathcal{O})$ and from the canonical isomorphism $G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) \simeq N$ induced by \mathcal{V} ; see, e.g., [GN10, § 8.2] (or [LV83]), because the subset $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) \subset (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant. □

We assume until the end of this section that X is a smooth toroidal G/H -embedding such that every closed orbit in X is projective. This means that X corresponds to an uncolored fan Σ such that every maximal cone of Σ is generated by a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N . Then X is a fibration over G/P with fiber isomorphic to the smooth toric T -embedding $Y := Y_\Sigma$, and the surjective map $\phi : X \rightarrow G/P$ induces, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, surjective morphisms $\phi_m : \mathcal{J}_m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_m(G/P)$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\pi_m : \mathcal{J}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_m(X)$ and $\pi'_m : \mathcal{J}_\infty(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_m(Y)$ the canonical projection maps. For any $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$, denote by $\mathcal{C}_{X,n}$ the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit of $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ and by $\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}$ the $T(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit of $Y(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K})$ corresponding to n (see Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2). As a consequence of the above proof of Theorem 3.1, we get the following result.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the restriction to $\pi_m(\mathcal{C}_{X,n})$ of ϕ_m is surjective onto $\mathcal{J}_m(G/P)$ and its fiber is isomorphic to $\pi'_m(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n})$.*

We aim to calculate the motivic measure (with respect to μ_X ; cf. Definition 1.1) of the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$, the other orbits having zero measure.

Let $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$ and let σ be a r -dimensional cone of Σ such that $n \in \sigma$. Fix a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ of the semigroup $\sigma^\vee \cap M$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $q \geq \max(\{\langle n, u_j \rangle \mid j = 1, \dots, r\})$. In the notation of Corollary 3.3, the set $\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}$ is a cylinder with q -basis $\pi'_q(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}) \simeq (\mathbb{A} \setminus 0)^r \times \mathbb{A}^{qr - \sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle}$.*

Proof. By our choice of q , for any $\nu \in \pi'_q(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n})$ the truncated arc $\pi'_q(\nu)$ can be viewed as a r -tuple $(\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)})$ where

$$\nu^{(j)} = \nu_{\langle n, u_j \rangle}^{(j)} t^{\langle n, u_j \rangle} + \nu_{\langle n, u_j \rangle + 1}^{(j)} t^{\langle n, u_j \rangle + 1} + \dots + \nu_q^{(j)} t^q \quad \text{for } j \in 1, \dots, r,$$

with $\nu_{\langle n, u_j \rangle}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $(\nu_{\langle n, u_j \rangle + 1}^{(j)}, \dots, \nu_q^{(j)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{q - \langle n, u_j \rangle}$. Indeed, the orbit $\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}$ is the set of all arcs $\nu \in Y_\sigma(\mathcal{O}) \cap T(\mathcal{K})$ such that $n_\nu = n$ (see Lemma 3.2). So the space of the truncated arcs $\pi'_q(\nu)$ is isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{A} \setminus 0)^r \times \mathbb{A}^{\sum_{j=1}^r (q - \langle n, u_j \rangle)} = (\mathbb{A} \setminus 0)^r \times \mathbb{A}^{qr - \sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle}.$$

Moreover, if $\nu \in Y(\mathcal{O})$ lies in $\pi_q^{-1}(\pi'_q(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}))$, then $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{Y,n}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_{Y,n} = \pi_q'^{-1}(\pi'_q(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}))$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Y,n}$ is a cylinder whose q -basis is the constructible set $\pi'_q(\mathcal{C}_{Y,n})$. □

THEOREM 3.5. *We have $\mu_X(\mathcal{C}_{X,n}) = [G/H] \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 and Definition 1.1, the motivic measure of the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_{X,n} = \pi_q^{-1}(\pi_q(\mathcal{C}_{X,n}))$ of $X(\mathcal{O})$, for $q \gg 0$, is expressed by the formula

$$\mu_X(\mathcal{C}_{X,n}) = [\pi_q(\mathcal{C}_{X,n})] \mathbb{L}^{-qd} = [\mathcal{J}_q(G/P)] (\mathbb{L} - 1)^r \mathbb{L}^{qr - \sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle} \mathbb{L}^{-qd}.$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_q(G/P)$ is a locally trivial $\mathbb{A}^{q(d-r)}$ -bundle over G/P and $[G/P](\mathbb{L} - 1)^r = [G/H]$, we get $\mu_X(\mathcal{C}_{X,n}) = [G/H] \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle}$. □

4. The stringy motivic volume of horospherical varieties

The aim of this section is to prove a formula for $\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X)$ for any \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein horospherical embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$; see Theorem 4.3.

For this purpose, we need to explain the canonical class of a horospherical variety. Let $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein d -dimensional horospherical embedding. For $\alpha \in S$, denote by ϖ_α the corresponding fundamental weight of S . Let ρ_S (respectively, ρ_I) be the half-sum of positive roots of S (respectively, of I). Note that $\rho_S = \sum_{\alpha \in S} \varpi_\alpha$. For any $\alpha \in S \setminus I$, we define the integers a_α by the equality

$$2(\rho_S - \rho_I) = \sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} a_\alpha \varpi_\alpha.$$

We refer to [Bri93, § 4.1] or [Bri97, Theorem 4.2] for the following result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let X be a G/H -embedding. Then*

$$K_X = \sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} -a_\alpha \overline{\Delta_\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^t -D_j,$$

where D_1, \dots, D_t are the irreducible divisors in the complement of X to the dense open G -orbit, and $\overline{\Delta_\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in S \setminus I$) is the closure of Δ_α in X .

Let $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the colored fan corresponding to X . The \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein property is equivalent to the existence of a continuous function

$$\omega_X : |\Sigma| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying the following conditions (cf. [Bri93, Proposition 4.1]):

- (P1) $\omega_X(e_\tau) = -1$ for a primitive integral generator e_τ of an uncolored ray τ of Σ ;
- (P2) $\omega_X(\varrho_\alpha) = -a_\alpha$ for a colored cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) of Σ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$;
- (P3) ω_X is linear on each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Let $f' : X' \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational G -equivariant morphism where X' is a smooth toroidal G -equivariant embedding with (uncolored) fan Σ' obtained from Σ by removing colors and subdividing (see the discussion after Proposition 2.3). Denote by

$$K_{X'/X} := K_{X'} - f'^* K_X$$

the discrepancy divisor of f' .

Let τ'_1, \dots, τ'_q be the rays of Σ' which are not rays of Σ (this set may be empty), let $e_{\tau'_1}, \dots, e_{\tau'_q}$ be the respective primitive integral generators, and let D'_1, \dots, D'_q be the respective irreducible G -stable divisors of X' . Also, let τ_1, \dots, τ_t be the uncolored rays of Σ and $(\tau_{t+1}, \mathcal{F}_{t+1}), \dots, (\tau_s, \mathcal{F}_s)$ the colored ones. Denote by D_1, \dots, D_s the irreducible G -stable divisors of X' corresponding to the rays τ_1, \dots, τ_s of Σ' . Thus,

$$\{D'_1, \dots, D'_m\} \cup \{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$$

is the set of irreducible G -stable divisors of X' . Let $e_{\tau_1}, \dots, e_{\tau_s}$ be, respectively, primitive integral generators of the rays τ_1, \dots, τ_s of Σ' .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein. Then*

$$K_{X'/X} = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau'_i}))D'_i + \sum_{j=t+1}^s (-1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau_j}))D_j.$$

Moreover, $K_{X'/X}$ is a smooth simple normal crossings Cartier divisor and X has at worst log-terminal singularities.

Proof. Since X' is smooth, there is a continuous function, $\omega_{X'} : |\Sigma'| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (P1') $\omega_{X'}(e_{\tau'_i}) = \omega_{X'}(e_{\tau_j}) = -1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$ and $j = 1, \dots, s$;
- (P2') $\omega_{X'}$ is linear on each cone of Σ' .

Define a function $\psi : |\Sigma'| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $\psi(n) := \omega_{X'}(n) - \omega_X(n)$ for any $n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then ψ is a continuous map which is linear on each cone of Σ' (use properties (P3) and (P2')). By Proposition 4.1,

$$K_{X'} = \sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} -a_\alpha \overline{\Delta}_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^q -D'_i + \sum_{j=1}^s -D_j \quad \text{and} \quad K_X = \sum_{\alpha \in S \setminus I} -a_\alpha \overline{\Delta}_\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^t -D_j.$$

So, by conditions (P1), (P2) and (P1'), we get

$$K_{X'/X} = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau'_i}))D'_i + \sum_{j=t+1}^s (-1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau_j}))D_j.$$

Since X is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, X has at worst log-terminal singularities; see [Bri93, Theorem 4.1]. Finally, X' being smooth and toroidal, $K_{X'/X}$ is a smooth simple normal crossings divisor. \square

We are now in a position to state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein d -dimensional horospherical embedding with colored fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let ω_X be as above. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = [G/H] \sum_{n \in |\Sigma| \cap N} \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(n)}.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.3: the theorem will be a straightforward consequence of Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. We shall keep the above notation and denote by $\mathcal{C}_{X',n}$ the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in $X'(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ corresponding to $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$ (cf. Theorem 3.1).

LEMMA 4.4. *We have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = \sum_{n \in |\Sigma| \cap N} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{X',n}} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}} d\mu_{X'}.$$

Proof. Since the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X'(\mathcal{O})$ which are not contained in $(G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ have zero motivic measure, by Definition 1.5 we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = \int_{X'(\mathcal{O})} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}} d\mu_{X'} = \int_{X'(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}} d\mu_{X'}.$$

In addition, by Theorem 3.1, $X'(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K})$ is a countable disjoint union of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits, and each of these $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits corresponds to a point $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$:

$$X'(\mathcal{O}) \cap (G/H)(\mathcal{K}) = \bigsqcup_{n \in |\Sigma| \cap N} \mathcal{C}_{X',n}.$$

All the $\mathcal{C}_{X',n}$ are cylinders, and their union is a measurable set. The lemma is then a consequence of Proposition 1.3(i). \square

LEMMA 4.5. *For any lattice point $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{X',n}} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}} d\mu_{X'} = [G/H] \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(n)}.$$

Proof. Let (σ, \mathcal{F}) be a colored cone in Σ such that σ contains n . We remark that the statement of the lemma is local, so it suffices to prove it in the case where X is the simple horospherical variety corresponding to (σ, \mathcal{F}) . Furthermore, we can assume that σ has the maximal dimension r (i.e. the unique closed G -orbit in X is projective); otherwise, we could embed σ as a face into some r -dimensional cone $\hat{\sigma}$ such that the restriction of the linear function $\omega_{\hat{X}}$ to σ coincides with ω_X and the smooth subdivision of σ extends to a smooth subdivision of $\hat{\sigma}$. Here, \hat{X} is the simple horospherical G/H -embedding corresponding to the r -dimensional colored cone $(\hat{\sigma}, \mathcal{F})$. Thus, it is enough to consider the case where every maximal cone of Σ' is generated by a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N .

For the sake of simplicity we set, in the notation of Proposition 4.2, $c'_i := -1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau'_i})$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $c_j := -1 - \omega_X(e_{\tau_j})$ for $j \in \{t+1, \dots, s\}$. Thus,

$$K_{X'/X} = \sum_{i=1}^q c'_i D'_i + \sum_{j=t+1}^s c_j D_j.$$

Let $n \in |\Sigma| \cap N$. By the definition of motivic integrals,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{X',n}} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}(\lambda)} d\mu_{X'} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Q}} \mu_{X'}(\{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{X',n} \mid \text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}(\lambda) = \nu\}) \mathbb{L}^{-\nu}.$$

Let σ be a r -dimensional cone of Σ' that contains n and is generated by a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ of N .

Its dual basis, $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, is a basis of the semigroup $\sigma^\vee \cap M$. Possibly after renumbering the vectors e_1, \dots, e_r , we can assume that there exist $l \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that, in the notation of Proposition 4.2, $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$ is a part of $\{e_{\tau'_1}, \dots, e_{\tau'_q}\}$, $\{e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{l+k}\}$ is a part of $\{e_{\tau_1}, \dots, e_{\tau_t}\}$, and $\{e_{l+k+1}, \dots, e_r\}$ is a part of $\{e_{\tau_{t+1}}, \dots, e_{\tau_s}\}$.

It follows from the description of $\mathcal{C}_{X',n}$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.4) that, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{X',n}$,

$$\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^l c'_i \langle n, u_i \rangle + \sum_{j=l+k+1}^r c_j \langle n, u_j \rangle.$$

As a result, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{X',n}} \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_{K_{X'/X}}(\lambda)} d\mu_{X'} = \mu_{X'}(\mathcal{C}_{X',n}) \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i=1}^l c'_i \langle n, u_i \rangle - \sum_{j=l+k+1}^r c_j \langle n, u_j \rangle}.$$

In addition, by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\mu_{X'}(\mathcal{C}_{X',n}) = [G/H] \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle}.$$

So it only remains to show that $\omega_X(n) = -\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^l c'_i \langle n, u_i \rangle - \sum_{j=l+k+1}^r c_j \langle n, u_j \rangle$. By properties (P1), (P2) and (P3) of ω_X , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_X(n) &= \omega_X\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle e_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^l \langle n, u_i \rangle \omega_X(e_i) - \sum_{j=l+1}^{l+k} \langle n, u_j \rangle + \sum_{j=l+k+1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle \omega_X(e_j) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^r \langle n, u_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^l c'_i \langle n, u_i \rangle - \sum_{j=l+k+1}^r c_j \langle n, u_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the expected expression for $\omega_X(n)$ follows. □

As noted before, taken together, Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 complete the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Example 4.6. Consider the case where $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, B is the Borel subgroup of G consisting of upper triangular matrices of G , $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, and $H = U$. Then G/H is a quasi-affine homogeneous horospherical variety whose affine closure is the 5-dimensional affine quadric

$$Q = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{A}^6 \mid x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 = 0\};$$

Q is the affine cone over the Grassmannian $G(2, 4)$. Denote by $\check{\beta}_1$ and $\check{\beta}_2$ the coroots of β_1 and β_2 , respectively. The representation of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{A}^6 is the sum of two fundamental 3-dimensional irreducible representations with dominant weights ϖ_{β_1} and ϖ_{β_2} , and Q has for its maximal colored cone $(\sigma, \{\beta_1, \beta_2\})$, where σ is the cone of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\check{\beta}_1|_M$ and $\check{\beta}_2|_M$. The quadric Q admits four G -orbits: 0, two copies of $\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0$, and the dense orbit G/U . We have $[G/U] = (\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L}^3 - 1)$. Using this decomposition into G -orbits of Q , one gets $[Q] = \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L} - 1)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(Q) = [G/U] \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{L}^{-2k}\right)^2 = \frac{(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L}^3 - 1)}{(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-2})^2} = \frac{\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1)}{\mathbb{L} + 1}.$$

Let us show how this result can be obtained using resolutions of singularities of Q . We consider two different resolutions: the blowing-up of the point $0 \in Q$ and a decolorization of Q .

(i) Let $p: \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be the blowing-up of $0 \in Q$ and D the exceptional divisor. We have $K_{\hat{Q}} - p^*K_Q = 3D$ and

$$[\hat{Q} \setminus D] = [Q] - 1 = \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L} - 1) - 1.$$

On the other hand, $D \simeq G(2, 4)$ and $[D]$ can be readily computed using the Betti numbers. Then, by Definition 1.5, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(Q) = [\hat{Q} \setminus D] + [D] \left(\frac{\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^4 - 1} \right) = \frac{\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1)}{\mathbb{L} + 1}.$$

(ii) Let Q' be the smooth toroidal variety corresponding to the uncolored fan obtained from Σ and $f': Q' \rightarrow Q$ the corresponding proper birational G -morphism. Note that Q' is the homogeneous vector bundle on G/B associated with the representation of B on \mathbb{A}^2 with weights being the fundamental weights ϖ_{β_1} and ϖ_{β_2} . The exceptional locus of f' has two irreducible components, D_1 and D_2 , and $K_{Q'/Q} = D_1 + D_2$. The set $Q' \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$ is isomorphic to the open orbit G/U , and $D_1 \setminus (D_1 \cap D_2)$ is a locally trivial fibration over $\mathbb{A}^3 \setminus 0$ with fiber \mathbb{P}^1 . Moreover, $D_1 \cap D_2$ is the unique closed G -orbit, which is isomorphic to G/B here. Hence, by Definition 1.5,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(Q) = [Q' \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)] + 2 \frac{[D_1 \setminus (D_1 \cap D_2)]}{\mathbb{L} + 1} + \frac{[D_1 \cap D_2]}{(\mathbb{L} + 1)^2} = \frac{\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1)}{\mathbb{L} + 1}.$$

5. Smoothness criterion

We obtain in this section a smoothness criterion (Theorem 5.3) for locally factorial horospherical embeddings in terms of their stringy Euler numbers (see Definition 5.2). Since the smoothness condition is a local condition, we can restrict our study to the case of simple horospherical embeddings.

Recall that a normal variety is said to be *locally factorial* if any Weil divisor is a Cartier divisor. The following criterion for the local factorial condition can be readily extracted from [Bri89, Proposition 3.1] and [Bri93, Proposition 4.2].

THEOREM 5.1. *Let X be a simple horospherical G/H -embedding with maximal cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) . Then X is locally factorial if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (L1) *the restriction to $\{\Delta_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of the map ϱ is injective;*
- (L2) *σ is generated by part of a basis of N which contains all the ϱ_α for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Recall that the *usual Euler number* $e(V)$ of any complex algebraic variety V is defined by

$$e(V) := E(V; 1, 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.2. Let X be a d -dimensional normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein variety. We adopt the notation of Definition 1.5 and define the *stringy Euler number* $e_{\text{st}}(X)$ of X by

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) := \sum_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}} e(D_J^0) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1}{\nu_j + 1}.$$

The stringy E -function of X was defined in Definition 1.7. Note that $e_{\text{st}}(X)$ is none other than $E_{\text{st}}(X; 1, 1)$. We refer to [Bat98] or [Bat99] for more details about stringy Euler numbers.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let X be a simple locally factorial horospherical G/H -embedding. Assume that the maximal cone associated with X has dimension r . Then one has $e_{\text{st}}(X) \geq e(X)$, and equality holds if and only if X is smooth.*

Our assumption that the maximal cone associated with X has dimension r means that the closed orbit of X is projective. The proof of Theorem 5.3 will be achieved at the end of this section.

Example 5.4. The affine quadric Q introduced in Example 4.6 yields an example of a horospherical variety which is locally factorial but not smooth:

$$e_{\text{st}}(Q) = \frac{3}{2} > e(Q) = 1.$$

Example 5.5. Here we give an example of a singular horospherical variety X for which the stringy E -function is polynomial.

Consider the case where $G = \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, B is the set of upper triangular matrices of G and $S = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$. The representation of G on $\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ is the sum of two fundamental representations with dominant weights ϖ_{β_1} and ϖ_{β_2} . The stabilizer of $(e_1, e_1 \wedge e_2) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ in G is the horospherical subgroup $H = P_{\{\beta_3\}} \cap (\ker \varpi_{\beta_1} \cap \ker \varpi_{\beta_2})$ where (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) is the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^4 . We have $\dim G/H = 7$ and $\text{rk } G/H = 2$. Let $X \subset \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5 \simeq \mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ be the closure of the G -orbit of $(e_1, e_1 \wedge e_2)$ in $\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$. Then X is the affine cone over the Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$ and contains three more G -orbits, namely $(\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus 0)$, $(\mathbb{C}^4 \setminus 0)$ and 0 . From this we get $[X] = \mathbb{L}^7 + \mathbb{L}^5 - \mathbb{L}^2$. The maximal colored cone corresponding to X is $(\sigma, \{\beta_1, \beta_2\})$ where σ is the cone of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\check{\beta}_1|_M$ and $\check{\beta}_2|_M$. We have $a_{\beta_1} = 2$ and $a_{\beta_2} = 3$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3,


$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) = \frac{(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2 (\mathbb{L} + 1) (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1) (\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1)}{(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-2})(1 - \mathbb{L}^{-3})} = \mathbb{L}^5 (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1).$$

We have $e_{\text{st}}(X) = 2 > e(X) = 1$.


For $S' \subseteq S$, denote by $\Gamma_{S'}$ the Dynkin diagram corresponding to S' ; the vertices of $\Gamma_{S'}$ are the elements of S' . In [Pau83, § 3.5], Pauer gives a smoothness criterion for any G/H -embedding in the case where $H = U$; for the general case, see [Pas, Theorem 2.6] or [Tim11, Theorem 28.10]. Let us recall here the criterion.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let X be a simple locally factorial horospherical G/H -embedding with maximal colored cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) , and let $I \subseteq S$ be such that $N_G(H) = P_I$. Then X is smooth if and only if any connected component Γ of $\Gamma_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}$ satisfies one of the following conditions.*

(C1) Γ is a Dynkin diagram of type \mathbf{A}_ℓ , for $\ell \geq 1$, and Γ contains exactly one vertex in \mathcal{F} , which is extremal:



(C2) Γ is a Dynkin diagram of type \mathbf{C}_ℓ , for $\ell \geq 3$, and Γ contains exactly one vertex in \mathcal{F} , which is the simple extremal one:



(C3) Γ is any Dynkin diagram whose vertices are all in I .

Example 5.7. (i) The standard representation $(\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}, \varpi_1)$ of $G = \text{SL}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a smooth affine horospherical variety corresponding to the situation (C1). Specifically, the dense orbit $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1} \setminus 0$

of $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ is isomorphic to G/H where H is the kernel in the standard maximal parabolic P whose Levi part contains the α_j -root subgroups, for $j = 2, \dots, \ell$, of the restriction to P of ϖ_1 .

(ii) The standard representation $(\mathbb{C}^{2\ell}, \varpi_1)$ of $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ is a smooth affine horospherical variety corresponding to the situation (C2). We have the same description of the dense orbit as in (i): the dense orbit $\mathbb{C}^{2\ell} \setminus 0$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$ is isomorphic to G/H where H is the kernel in the standard maximal parabolic P whose Levi part contains the α_j -root subgroups, for $j = 2, \dots, \ell$, of the restriction to P of ϖ_1 .

(iii) The case where \mathcal{F} is empty, i.e. situation (C3), corresponds to locally factorial toroidal embeddings which are known to be smooth.

We state several technical lemmas which will be useful for the proof of Theorem 5.3. Our main reference for the basics on Lie algebras and root systems is [OV90]. Assume that Γ_S is connected. Let I be a subset of S and let us introduce some standard related notation.

- We denote by \mathcal{R} the root system of G , by \mathcal{R}^+ the set of positive roots of \mathcal{R} , by \mathcal{R}_I the root subsystem of \mathcal{R} generated by I , and by \mathcal{R}_I^+ the set $\mathcal{R}_I \cap \mathcal{R}^+$.
- For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}$, we denote by $\check{\gamma}$ its coroot and set $\check{S} := \{\check{\beta} : \beta \in S\}$.
- If Γ_I is connected, we denote by W_I the Weyl group associated with \mathcal{R}_I , that is, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, with $V := \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{R}_I \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, generated by the reflections

$$s_\alpha : V \rightarrow V, \quad x \mapsto x - \langle x, \check{\alpha} \rangle \alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in I.$$

- The exponents of S (or \check{S}) will be denoted by m_1, \dots, m_ℓ . We can assume that $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_\ell$. The integers $m_1 + 1, \dots, m_\ell + 1$ are the degrees of the basic W_S -invariant polynomials, and we have

$$|W_S| = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (m_i + 1).$$

In addition, $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i = |\mathcal{R}^+|$.


- For $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^+$, the *height* of γ is $\mathrm{ht}(\gamma) := \sum_{\beta \in S} \langle \check{\omega}_\beta, \gamma \rangle$ where, for $\beta \in S$, $\check{\omega}_\beta$ is the fundamental weight of \check{S} corresponding to $\check{\beta}$. We denote by θ_S the highest root of S and by $\theta_{\check{S}}$ the highest root of \check{S} . One has $m_\ell = \mathrm{ht}(\theta_S) = \mathrm{ht}(\theta_{\check{S}})$.
- We denote by $\rho_I := (\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_I^+} \gamma)/2$ the half-sum of positive roots of I . We have $\rho_S = \sum_{\beta \in S} \check{\omega}_\beta$ and $\langle \rho_I, \check{\beta} \rangle = 1$ for any $\beta \in I$.
- Set $J := S \setminus I$. The integers a_α , for $\alpha \in J$, are defined by

$$a_\alpha := 2 \langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2 - 2 \langle \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2 - \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_I^+} \langle \gamma, \check{\alpha} \rangle.$$

A dominant weight μ is said to be *minuscule* if $\langle \mu, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 1$. If μ is minuscule, then there is $\beta \in S$ such that $\mu = \check{\omega}_\beta$; see [Bou02, ch. VI, §2, Exercise 24].

LEMMA 5.8. *Let $\alpha \in J = S \setminus I$. Then $a_\alpha \in \{2, \dots, m_\ell + 1\}$. Furthermore, the equality $a_\alpha = m_\ell + 1$ holds if and only if $J = \{\alpha\}$ and $\check{\omega}_\alpha$ is minuscule, that is, if α is one of the simple*

roots as described below.



Proof. Let $\alpha \in J$. To begin with, since the coefficients of the Cartan matrix of S are nonpositive outside the diagonal, one has $a_\alpha \geq 2$. Moreover, $a_\alpha \leq 2 - 2\langle \rho_{S \setminus \{\alpha\}}, \check{\alpha} \rangle$. Hence, we may assume that $J = \{\alpha\}$, i.e. that $I = S \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Consider now the two cases depending on whether ϖ_α is minuscule or not.

Case 1. Assume that ϖ_α is not minuscule, i.e. $\langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_\ell + 1 &= \text{ht}(\theta_{\check{S}}) + 1 = \sum_{\beta \in S} \langle \varpi_\beta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle + 1 = \langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle + \sum_{\beta \in I} \langle \varpi_\beta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle + 1 \\
 &> 2 + \sum_{\beta \in I} \langle \varpi_\beta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 2 + \langle \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} - \langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \check{\alpha} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ϖ_α is not minuscule, $\langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \geq 2$. So $\langle \rho_I, -\langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \check{\alpha} \rangle \geq -2\langle \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle$ because $-\langle \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle \geq 0$. On the other hand, one has $\langle \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \geq 0$; otherwise there would be $\beta \in I$ such that $\langle \beta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle < 0$, which is impossible since $\theta_{\check{S}}$ is the highest root. In conclusion, we get $m_\ell + 1 > 2 - 2\langle \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle = a_\alpha$, as desired.

Case 2. Assume that ϖ_α is minuscule, i.e. $\langle \varpi_\alpha, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 1$. Then $a_\alpha = 2\langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2\langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \check{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \in I} \langle \varpi_\beta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \check{\beta} \rangle = 2\langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_\alpha &= 2\langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = \text{ht}(\theta_{\check{S}}) + \langle \rho_S - \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle - \langle \rho_I, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \\
 &= m_\ell + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_I \\ \check{\gamma} \neq \theta_{\check{S}}} \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle - \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{R}_I^+} \langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 2$ whenever $\check{\gamma} = \theta_{\check{S}}$. Then, our goal is to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_I \\ \check{\gamma} \neq \theta_{\check{S}}} \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{R}_I^+} \langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle.$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we have $\langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \geq 0$ since $\theta_{\check{S}}$ is the highest root. Set $\mathcal{R}' := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_I \mid \gamma \neq \theta_{\check{S}} \text{ and } \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{R}'' := \{\delta \in \mathcal{R}_I^+ \mid \langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0\}$. Then we have to show the equality

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'} \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{R}''} \langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle. \tag{3}$$

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$. Since $\langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0$, $\check{\delta} = \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma}$ is a root of \check{S} and $\theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\delta}$ is a root too. In particular, $\langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0$. Next, we show that $\delta \in \mathcal{R}_I^+$.

Since $\gamma \notin \mathcal{R}_I$, $\check{\gamma} \notin \mathcal{R}_{\check{I}}$. Moreover, since ϖ_{α} is minuscule, $\langle \varpi_{\alpha}, \check{\gamma} \rangle = \langle \varpi_{\alpha}, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 1$. So $\check{\delta} = \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma} \in \mathcal{R}_{\check{I}}^+$ and then $\delta \in \mathcal{R}_I^+$. Conversely, if $\delta \in \mathcal{R}''$, then $\check{\gamma} = \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\delta}$ is a root and so $\langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0$. Moreover, γ is clearly an element of $\mathcal{R}_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_I^+$ which is different from $\theta_{\check{S}}$, that is, $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$. Therefore, the map from \mathcal{R}' to \mathcal{R}'' sending γ to δ , where $\check{\delta} = \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma}$, gives a bijection between the sets \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R}'' . So, in order to prove the equality (3), it remains to show that for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$ we have $\langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = \langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle$, where $\check{\delta} = \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma}$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$ and set $p := \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle > 0$. Then the $\check{\gamma}$ -string through $\theta_{\check{S}}$ is $\{\theta_{\check{S}}, \dots, \theta_{\check{S}} - p\check{\gamma}\}$. Since there is no minuscule weight in type \mathbf{G}_2 , we have $p \in \{1, 2\}$. If $p = 1$, then $\theta_{\check{S}}$ and $\theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma} = \check{\delta}$ are roots but not $\theta_{\check{S}} - 2\check{\gamma} = \check{\delta} - \check{\gamma} = -(\theta_{\check{S}} - 2\check{\delta})$. So the $\check{\delta}$ -string through $\theta_{\check{S}}$ is $\{\theta_{\check{S}}, \theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\delta}\}$ and $\langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 1$. If $p = 2$, then $\theta_{\check{S}}$, $\theta_{\check{S}} - \check{\gamma} = \check{\delta}$ and $\theta_{\check{S}} - 2\check{\gamma} = \check{\delta} - \check{\gamma} = -(\theta_{\check{S}} - 2\check{\delta})$ are roots. So $\langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle \geq 2$ and then $\langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = 2$. Hence, in both cases, we have obtained that $\langle \delta, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle = p = \langle \gamma, \theta_{\check{S}} \rangle$ and the equality (3) is proven.

In conclusion, if ϖ_{α} is minuscule, we have shown that $a_{\alpha} = m_{\ell} + 1$. □

LEMMA 5.9. *Let S' be a subset of S such that $\Gamma_{S'}$ is connected, and denote by $m'_1 \leq \dots \leq m'_l$ the exponents of S' . Then we have $m'_j \leq m_j$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. In particular, $\text{ht}(\theta_{S'}) \leq m_l$.*

Proof. By a classical result, [Kos59], the partition of $|\mathcal{R}^+|$ formed by the exponents is dual to that formed by the number of positive roots of each height. This easily implies the statement. □

Let k be the cardinality of I , and let $\{m'_1, \dots, m'_k\}$ be the union of all the exponents of subsets S' such that $\Gamma_{S'}$ is a connected component of Γ_I . Order these exponents so that $m'_1 \leq \dots \leq m'_k$. Number the roots $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell}$ of J so that $a_{\alpha_{k+1}} \leq \dots \leq a_{\alpha_{\ell}}$, and for simplicity set $a_j := a_{\alpha_j}$ for any $j \in \{k+1, \dots, \ell\}$.

LEMMA 5.10. (i) *For all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ one has $m'_i \leq m_i$, and for all $j \in \{k+1, \dots, \ell\}$ one has $a_j \leq m_j + 1$. In particular,*

$$|W_I| a_{k+1} \cdots a_{\ell} \leq |W_S|.$$

(ii) *Equality holds in the above statement if and only if I and J are in one of the configurations (C1), (C2) or (C3) as described in Proposition 5.6 with $\mathcal{F} = J$.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 5.9, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have $m'_i \leq m_i$. Let us turn to the second statement. For $j \in \{k+1, \dots, \ell\}$ set $I_j := I \cup \{\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_j\}$. Take $j \in \{k+1, \dots, \ell\}$ and let S_j be the connected component of I_j containing α_j . We have $a_j = 2 - \langle \rho_I, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2 - \langle \rho_{I \cap S_j}, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 2 \langle \rho_{S_j} - \rho_{I \cap S_j}, \check{\alpha} \rangle$. So, by Lemma 5.8, $a_j \leq \text{ht}(\theta_{S_j}) + 1$. Hence, by Lemma 5.9, $a_j \leq m_j + 1$ since I_j

has cardinality j . All this shows that

$$|W_I| \prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell} a_j = \prod_{i=1}^k (m'_i + 1) \prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell} a_j \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (m_i + 1) = |W_S|.$$

(ii) By the proof of (i), if equality holds in the above statement, then $|W_I| = \prod_{i=1}^k (m_i + 1)$ and, for all $j \in \{k + 1, \dots, \ell\}$, $a_j = m_j + 1$. In particular, $a_{\ell} = m_{\ell} + 1$. Therefore, we are in one of the situations of Lemma 5.8, and we consider in turn the six cases described in that lemma.

- Type \mathbf{A}_{ℓ} with $\ell \geq 1$: the $\ell - 1$ smallest degrees of the basic invariants are $2, 3, \dots, \ell$. If α_{ℓ} is not an extremal vertex, then $|W_{S \setminus \{\alpha_{\ell}\}}| < \ell!$, as one can easily verify. So α_{ℓ} must be extremal and I and J are in the configuration (C1).
- Type \mathbf{B}_{ℓ} with $\ell \geq 2$: the $\ell - 1$ smallest degrees of the basic invariants are $2, 4, \dots, 2(\ell - 1)$, so their product is strictly greater than $|W_{S \setminus \{\beta_{\ell}\}}| = \ell!$ and the equality does not hold.
- Type \mathbf{C}_{ℓ} with $\ell \geq 3$: I and J are in the configuration (C2).
- Type \mathbf{D}_{ℓ} with $\ell \geq 4$: the degrees of the basic invariants of \mathbf{D}_{ℓ} , for $\ell \geq 4$, are $2, 4, \dots, 2\ell - 2, \ell$; so the $\ell - 1$ smallest are $2, 4, \dots, 2\ell - 4, \ell$, and their product is $2^{\ell-2}\ell$. But for any $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $|W_{S \setminus \{\beta_i\}}| \leq |W_{S \setminus \{\beta_1\}}| = 2^{\ell-2}(\ell - 1)! < 2^{\ell-2}\ell$, so the equality does not hold.
- Type \mathbf{E}_6 : the five smallest exponents of \mathbf{E}_6 are $1, 4, 5, 7, 8$, and those of $S \setminus \{\beta_1\}$ (or of $S \setminus \{\beta_6\}$) are $1, 3, 4, 5, 7$; so the equality does not hold.
- Type \mathbf{E}_7 : the six smallest exponents of \mathbf{E}_7 are $1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13$, and those of $S \setminus \{\beta_7\}$ are $1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11$; so the equality does not hold.

This proves one implication. The converse implication is an easy computation, which we leave to the reader. \square

PROPOSITION 5.11. *Assume that X is a simple locally factorial G/H -embedding with maximal colored cone (σ, \mathcal{F}) of dimension r . Let I be the subset of S such that $N_G(H) = P_I$. Then*

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) = \frac{|W_S|}{|W_I| \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} a_{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad e(X) = \frac{|W_S|}{|W_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}|}.$$

Proof. First of all, observe that the Euler number of G/B is the number of fixed points of a maximal torus, i.e. the order of the Weyl group W_S . More generally, for any $S' \subset S$, the Euler number of $G/P_{S'}$ is $|W_S|/|W_{S'}|$. Thus, we have to show that

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) = \frac{e(G/P_I)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} a_{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad e(X) = e(G/P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}}).$$

Now, we observe that the usual Euler number of a horospherical homogeneous space is nonzero if and only if it has rank zero. As a consequence, one has $e(X) = e(G/P_{I \cup \mathcal{F}})$, according to the description of G -orbits in X (see Proposition 2.4).

Next, we turn to the formula for $e_{\text{st}}(X)$. Let e_1, \dots, e_r be the primitive generators of σ . Since X is locally factorial, e_1, \dots, e_r is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\sigma \cap N$ (see Theorem 5.1). Then

$$\sum_{e_i \in \sigma \cap N} \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(e_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(e_i)}} = \frac{1}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)}}{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)-1} + \dots + 1}.$$

Then, by Theorem 4.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{st}}(X) &= [G/H] \sum_{e_i \in \sigma \cap N} \mathbb{L}^{\omega_X(e_i)} = [G/P] [T] \frac{1}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)}}{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)-1} + \dots + 1} \\ &= [G/P] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)}}{\mathbb{L}^{-\omega_X(e_i)-1} + \dots + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$e_{\text{st}}(X) = e(G/P) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(-\omega_X(e_i))} = \frac{e(G/P_I)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} a_\alpha}.$$

The last equality holds because the set of elements ϱ_α (for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$) is a subset of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ (see Theorem 5.1). □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. We can assume without loss of generality that S is connected and that $I \cup \mathcal{F} = S$. By Lemma 5.10 and Proposition 5.11, we have $e_{\text{st}}(X) \geq e(X)$. This proves one part of the theorem. Moreover, equality holds if and only if (I, \mathcal{F}) is in one of the configurations (C1), (C2) or (C3) as described in Proposition 5.6, that is to say, if and only if X is smooth by Proposition 5.6. □

Remark 5.12. As a matter of fact, we have given another proof for the first implication of Pauer’s criterion (Proposition 5.6). Indeed, whenever (I, \mathcal{F}) is not in one of the configurations (C1), (C2) or (C3) of Proposition 5.6, we have shown that $e_{\text{st}}(X) > e(X)$, and so X is not smooth.

6. Some applications and open questions

Let X be a complete locally factorial horospherical G/H -embedding with colored fan Σ . Let e_1, \dots, e_s be the primitive integral generators of all 1-dimensional cones in Σ and set $a_i := -\omega_X(e_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_s]$ whose variables z_1, \dots, z_s are in bijection with the lattice vectors e_1, \dots, e_s . Recall that the Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_s]$ by the ideal generated by all square-free monomials $z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_k}$ such that the lattice vectors $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ do not generate any k -dimensional cone in Σ . Recall also that the *weighted Stanley–Reisner ring* R_Σ^w is defined by putting $\deg z_i = a_i$ in the standard Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ .

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let X be a complete locally factorial horospherical G/H -embedding with colored fan Σ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \in N} (uv)^{\omega_X(n)} = P(R_\Sigma^w, (uv)^{-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \sigma}}{\prod_{e_i \in \sigma} (1 - (uv)^{a_i})}, \tag{4}$$

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(G/H; u, v) (-1)^r P(R_\Sigma^w, uv), \tag{5}$$

where $P(R_\Sigma^w, t)$ denotes the Poincaré series of the weighted Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ^w .

Proof. The ring R_Σ has a monomial basis over \mathbb{C} whose elements are in one-to-one correspondence with N . Specifically, any monomial $z_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots z_{i_t}^{k_t}$ in R_Σ corresponds to the lattice point $k_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + k_t e_{i_t}$, and the weighted degree of $z_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots z_{i_t}^{k_t}$ is $-k_1 \omega_X(e_{i_1}) - \dots - k_t \omega_X(e_{i_t})$. Thus, the k -homogeneous component of the weighted Stanley–Reisner ring R_Σ^w consists of all monomials $z_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots z_{i_t}^{k_t}$ corresponding to lattice points $n \in N$ such that $\omega_X(n) = -k$. This implies




FIGURE 1. The colored fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ of \bar{Q} .

the first equality in (4). For any cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we denote by σ° the relative interior of σ . Since X is locally factorial, by Theorem 5.1 one has

$$\sum_{n \in N} t^{\omega_X(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sum_{n \in \sigma^\circ} t^{\omega_X(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \prod_{e_i \in \sigma} \frac{t^{-a_i}}{1 - t^{-a_i}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \prod_{e_i \in \sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\dim \sigma}}{1 - t^{a_i}}. \tag{6}$$

This implies the second equality in (4).

Let us prove the equality (5). By Theorem 4.3 and (4), we have

$$E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) = E(G/H; u, v)P(R_{\Sigma}^w, (uv)^{-1}).$$

By the Poincaré duality (see, e.g., [Bat98, Theorem 3.7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (uv)^{\dim X} E_{\text{st}}(X; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) &= E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v), \\ (uv)^{\dim G/P} E(G/P; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) &= E(G/P; u, v). \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v) &= (uv)^{\dim X} E_{\text{st}}(X; u^{-1}, v^{-1}) \\ &= (uv)^{\dim X} E(G/H; u^{-1}, v^{-1})P(R_{\Sigma}^w, uv) \\ &= (uv)^{\dim G/P} E(G/P; u^{-1}, v^{-1})(uv)^r((uv)^{-1} - 1)^r P(R_{\Sigma}^w, uv) \\ &= E(G/P; u, v)(uv - 1)^r (-1)^r P(R_{\Sigma}^w, uv) \\ &= E(G/H; u, v)(-1)^r P(R_{\Sigma}^w, uv). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Example 6.2. (i) Consider the locally factorial completion \bar{Q} of the affine 5-dimensional quadric Q in Example 4.6; \bar{Q} is a singular projective quadric. The colored fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ of \bar{Q} is represented in Figure 1 and the positive integer $a_i = -\omega_{\bar{Q}}(e_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) is displayed near the integral point e_i . The circles stand for the colors ϱ_α , for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$.

The Stanley–Reisner ring is $R_{\bar{\Sigma}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3]/(z_1 z_2 z_3)$ and we have

$$P(R_{\bar{\Sigma}}^w, t) = \frac{1 - t^5}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)^2}.$$

Hence, by Proposition 6.1, we get

$$E_{\text{st}}(\bar{Q}; u, v) = \frac{(1 + uv + (uv)^2)(1 + uv + (uv)^2 + (uv)^3)}{(1 + uv)}.$$




FIGURE 2. The colored fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ of \bar{X} .

(ii) Consider the locally factorial completion \bar{X} of the affine 7-dimensional cone X over the Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$ from Example 5.5; \bar{X} is the projective cone over the Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$. The colored fan $\bar{\Sigma}$ of \bar{X} is represented in Figure 2.

We have

$$P(R_{\bar{\Sigma}}^w, t) = \frac{1 - t^6}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)},$$

and

$$E_{\text{st}}(\bar{X}; u, v) = (1 + (uv)^2)(1 + uv + (uv)^2 + (uv)^3 + (uv)^4 + (uv)^5).$$

It would be interesting to compute the cohomology ring $H^*(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$ of an arbitrary smooth projective horospherical variety X_{Σ} defined by a colored fan Σ . If X_{Σ} is a toroidal horospherical variety, then X_{Σ} is a toric bundle over G/P , and a general result of Sankaran and Uma, [SU03, Theorem 1.2], implies the following description of the cohomology ring of X_{Σ} .

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let X_{Σ} be a smooth projective toroidal horospherical variety defined by a (uncolored) fan Σ . Then the cohomology ring $H^*(X_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $H^*(G/P, \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R\Sigma$ by the ideal generated by the regular sequences f_1, \dots, f_r , where each f_i is given by*

$$f_i := \delta(m_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \sum_{j=1}^s \langle m_i, e_j \rangle \in (H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\Sigma}^0) \oplus (H^0(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes R_{\Sigma}^1)$$

for some integral basis $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ of the lattice M .

Together with Proposition 6.3, our formula (5) in Proposition 6.1 motivates the following question.

Question 6.4. Does there exist an analogous description of the cohomology ring of an arbitrary smooth projective horospherical variety defined by a colored fan Σ which involves the weighted Stanley–Reisner ring R_{Σ}^w ?

Another interesting question is motivated by Theorem 4.3.

Question 6.5. How can one compute $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ for an arbitrary \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein spherical G/H -embedding?

Remark 6.6. We hope that there is a formula for $E_{\text{st}}(X; u, v)$ similar to the one in the horospherical case, for example involving the summation of $(uv)^{\omega_X(n)}$ over all lattice points in the valuation cone $\mathcal{V}(G/H)$ of the spherical homogeneous space G/H .

A smoothness criterion for arbitrary spherical varieties was obtained by M. Brion in [Bri91]. Unfortunately, this criterion is difficult to apply in practice. We expect that the smoothness criterion for locally factorial horospherical varieties (Theorem 5.3) can be extended to arbitrary locally factorial spherical varieties.

CONJECTURE 6.7. Let X be a locally factorial spherical G/H -embedding whose closed orbits are projective. Then one has $e_{\text{st}}(X) \geq e(X)$, and equality holds if and only if X is smooth.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank M. Brion, B. Pasquier and D. Timashev for useful discussions and A. Szenes for helpful comments. We are also indebted to the referee for the numerous judicious comments and careful attention to our paper. Our work was partially supported by the DFG project ‘Geometrie und Kombinatorik von Toruswirkungen auf algebraischen Varietäten’ and by the ANR project 10-BLAN-0110.

REFERENCES

- Bat98 V. Batyrev, *Stringy Hodge numbers of varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities, in Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997)* (World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1998), 1–32.
- Bat99 V. Batyrev, *Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **1** (1999), 5–33.
- Bou02 N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapters 4–6* (Springer, Berlin, 2002), translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- Bri89 M. Brion, *Groupe de Picard et nombres caractéristiques des variétés sphériques*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 397–424.
- Bri91 M. Brion, *Sur la géométrie des variétés sphériques*, Comment. Math. Helv. **66** (1991), 237–262.
- Bri93 M. Brion, *Spherical varieties and Mori theory*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 369–404.
- Bri97 M. Brion, *Curves and divisors in spherical varieties*, in *Algebraic groups and Lie groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, vol. 9 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997), 21–34.
- Cra04 A. Craw, *An introduction to motivic integration* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004), 203–225.
- Dan78 V. I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **33** (1978), 85–134.
- DL99 J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Germs of arcs on singular varieties and motivic integration*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 201–232.
- Doc09 R. Docampo, *Arcs on determinantal varieties*, PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago (2009).
- EM09 L. Ein and M. Mustața, *Jet schemes and singularities*, in *Algebraic geometry: Seattle 2005*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 80, part 2 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009), 505–546.
- GN10 D. Gaitsgory and D. Nadler, *Spherical varieties and Langlands duality*, Mosc. Math. J. **10** (2010), 65–137.
- Ish04 S. Ishii, *The arc space of a toric variety*, J. Algebra **278** (2004), 666–683.

- KMM87 Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model program*, in *Algebraic geometry: Sendai 1985*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 10 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987), 283–360.
- Kno91 F. Knop, *The Luna–Vust theory of spherical embeddings*, in *Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups (Hyderabad, 1989)* (Manoj Prakashan, Chennai, 1991), 225–249.
- Kon95 M. Kontsevich, *Motivic integration*, Lecture at Orsay (1995), <http://www.mabli.org/old/jet-preprints/Kontsevich-MotIntNotes.pdf>.
- Kos59 B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 973–1032.
- LV83 D. Luna and T. Vust, *Plongements d’espace homogènes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 186–245.
- Mus01 M. Mustaþă, *Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), 397–424; with an appendix by D. Eisenbud and E. Frenkel.
- OV90 A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 1990), translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites.
- Pas B. Pasquier, *Variétés horosphériques de Fano*, PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier–Grenoble I (2006), <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00111912>.
- Pau81 F. Pauer, *Normale Einbettungen von G/U* , Math. Ann. **257** (1981), 371–396.
- Pau83 F. Pauer, *Glatte Einbettungen von G/U* , Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 421–429.
- SU03 P. Sankaran and V. Uma, *Cohomology of toric bundles*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 540–554.
- Sum74 H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28.
- Tim11 D. Timashev, *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 138. Invariant theory and algebraic transformation groups VIII (Springer, Heidelberg, 2011).
- Vey06 W. Veys, *Arc spaces, motivic integration and stringy invariants*, in *Singularity theory and its applications (Sapporo, 2003)*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 43 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006), 529–572.

Victor Batyrev victor.batyrev@uni-tuebingen.de

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Anne Moreau anne.moreau@math.univ-poitiers.fr

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Université de Poitiers, France