

Rapid responses against invasive species on islands: lessons from the introduced Barbary ground squirrel *Atlantoxerus getulus* in the Canary Islands

FÉLIX M. MEDINA, MARTA LÓPEZ-DARIAS and JULIEN C. PIQUET

Abstract Despite efforts to combat invasive species, further measures are still required to prevent their arrival and translocation, especially into biodiverse island ecosystems. Although many governments worldwide have already established protocols to control alien species, the European outermost regions have yet to implement fully effective prevention or rapid response procedures. The numerous translocations of the invasive Barbary ground squirrel *Atlantoxerus getulus* within the Canary Islands illustrate this problem. From 1996 to 2016 at least 2.1 individuals per year have been moved from Fuerteventura to other islands. If movements of these medium-sized vertebrates are taking place regularly, the number of smaller species transported within the archipelago could potentially be greater. We argue that it is essential to implement stricter strategies for invasive species control in these remote biodiversity-rich islands, including early detection and rapid response, to minimize impacts on native biodiversity.

Keywords Alien species, *Atlantoxerus getulus*, Canary islands, prevention, rapid response, squirrels

Many governments have established guiding principles for the best cost-effective approach to counter problems posed by invasive species, and some countries have already developed rapid response strategies and early warning detection programmes (FICMNEW, 2003; DEFRA, 2008; Genovesi et al., 2010). For the outermost territories of Europe, European Regulation No. 1143/2014 on preventing and managing invasive alien species, establishes that the implementation of programmes is the responsibility of Member states. The regulation specifies that the requirements to control invasive species should be more restrictive in the outermost territories, and preventive measures or rapid response procedures should be adapted to the specific features of the territories. However, the regulation is only being partly enforced in many of these outermost territories. In the Canary Islands the number of invasive species is

increasing (Martín Esquivel et al., 2005) and the control of some of them entails severe financial costs (e.g. *Lampropeltis californiae*; Gesplan, 2015). The archipelago lacks effective control, rapid warning procedures for invasive species, or a legal framework to control movement of invasive species between islands.

The invasive Barbary ground squirrel *Atlantoxerus getulus*, which is native to Morocco and Algeria, illustrates the situation. A pair of squirrels were taken to Fuerteventura in 1965 as pets, and by the 1980s the species had colonized most of the island (López-Darias, 2007). In Spain the species is now legally considered an invasive species. Its possession, commerce and transportation are banned, although it is still deliberately moved between islands. Here we review available data (from the scientific and grey literature, and personal communications with reliable sources) on known translocations of the Barbary ground squirrel in the Canary Islands, illustrating the lack of mechanisms preventing movement of invasive species within a European archipelago.

From 1996 to 2016 there were at least 23 confirmed translocations (involving at least 30 individuals; Table 1, Fig. 1) of Barbary ground squirrels between islands. An additional seven sightings, two in 2017, were not confirmed by knowledgeable individuals. There was no clear temporal pattern of translocations (Fig. 2), although they occurred in three periods: 1996–1998, 2006–2009 and 2014–2016. Most of the translocations (83.3%) were to Gran Canaria, probably because of its close commercial and touristic links with Fuerteventura. Gran Canaria is a transit nexus for most of the archipelago's commercial routes, and well connected (especially by sea) with Fuerteventura and Lanzarote. In addition, Gran Canaria is the most populated island and Fuerteventura is the main touristic island of the eastern Canaries, which increases the probability of squirrel translocations from Fuerteventura. Only a small number of translocations have been detected to Lanzarote, even though it is the closest island to Fuerteventura (15 km distant). Lanzarote's human population is six times less than that of Gran Canaria and, even though it is well connected to Fuerteventura by sea, the ports are mainly resorts, with few resident islanders, and thus the likelihood of translocations is lower.

Considering the strict controls in place at airports, it is most likely that squirrels are moved by sea. As the keeping of squirrels is illegal, it is unlikely that escapes will be reported by owners to the relevant authorities. On only one

FÉLIX M. MEDINA (Corresponding author) Servicio de Medio Ambiente, Cabildo de La Palma, Avenida Los Indios 20, 38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain. E-mail felix.medina@cablapalma.es

MARTA LÓPEZ-DARIAS and JULIEN C. PIQUET Island Ecology and Evolution Research Group, Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

Received 6 October 2017. Revision requested 9 January 2018.

Accepted 19 January 2018. First published online 29 May 2018.

TABLE 1 All known confirmed and unconfirmed translocations of the Barbary ground squirrel *Atlantoxerus getulus* from Fuerteventura to elsewhere in the Canary Islands (see ID numbers in Fig. 1) during 1996–2017, with date of detection or capture if known.

ID	Year of introduction	Date of detection/ capture	Location	Island	No. of individuals	Other information	Source
Confirmed translocations							
1	1996		La Isleta	Gran Canaria	1	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
2	1997		San Fernando de Maspalomas	Gran Canaria	1	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
3	1997		Lomo Blanco, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria	Gran Canaria	1	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
4	1997		Telde	Gran Canaria	2	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
5	1997		Cuatro Puertas, Telde	Gran Canaria	1	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
6	1997		Las Palmas de Gran Canaria	Gran Canaria	1	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
7	1997		Monte Lentiscal, Taira	Gran Canaria	2	Euthanized	Calabuig (1999)
8	1998		Barranco de Guayadeque	Gran Canaria	5	Shot or trapped	Calabuig (1999)
9	1998	9 Aug. 1998	La Lechuza, Vega de San Mateo	Gran Canaria	1	Castrated & kept in captivity in CRFS Taira	Calabuig (1999)
10	1998	30 Aug. 1998	Cortijo de Pajonales	Gran Canaria	1	Shot	Calabuig (1999)
11	2006	8 Nov. 2006	El Cable, Arrecife	Lanzarote	1	Trapped	Authors' data
12	2006	13 Nov. 2006	El Cable, Arrecife	Lanzarote	1	Trapped	Authors' data
13	2007	1 Feb. 2007	Unspecified	Gran Canaria	1	Trapped	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.
14	2007	30 Aug. 2007	Unspecified	Gran Canaria	1	Trapped	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.
15	2007	5 Nov. 2007	Unspecified	Gran Canaria	2	Trapped	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.
16	2009	17 Apr. 2009	Batería de San Juan, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria	Gran Canaria	1	Trapped	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.
17	2014		Muelle de Santa Cruz de Tenerife	Tenerife	1	Confiscated because illegally transported	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.
18	2014		La Lomada Grande, Garafía	La Palma	1	Euthanized	Authors' data
19	2015	2 Aug. 2015	Punta Gaviota, Vecindario	Gran Canaria	1	Photographed but never trapped or later seen	Social network announcement
20	2015	5 Oct. 2015	El Burrero, Ingenio	Gran Canaria	1	Photographed but never trapped or later seen	Social network announcement
21	2016	1 Sep. 2016	Punta de Gando, Telde	Gran Canaria	1	Trapped & euthanized	Authors' data
22	2016	15 Nov. 2016	Juncalillo del Sur, San Bartolomé de Tirajana	Gran Canaria	1	Found as remains	A. Padrón, unpubl. data
23	2016		Santa Cruz de Tenerife	Tenerife	1	Trapped	Fundación Neotrópico, pers. comm.

Table 1 (Cont.)

ID	Year of introduction	Date of detection/capture	Location	Island	No. of individuals	Other information	Source
Unconfirmed translocations							
	2015–2016		Amagro	Gran Canaria	1		G. González, pers. comm.
	2015–2016		Los Giles	Gran Canaria	1		S. Martín, pers. comm.
	2015–2016		Cuevas Blancas	Gran Canaria	1		H. López, pers. comm.
	2015–2016		El Confital	Gran Canaria	1		N. Migraine, pers. comm.
	2016			La Graciosa	1		S. de la Cruz, unpubl. data
	2017		San Mateo	Gran Canaria	1		R. Gallo, pers. comm.
	2017		Punta Prieta	Tenerife	1		J. L. Rodríguez-Luengo & B. Fariña, pers. comm.




FIG. 1 The Canary Islands, showing the locations of all confirmed translocations of Barbary ground squirrels *Atlantoxerus getulus* during 1996–2016. Numbers correspond to the ID in Table 1. White numbers represent the total number of squirrels translocated to each island.

occasion (ID 17, Table 1) was a squirrel confiscated at a port, which demonstrates the laxity of control measures. As all the islands in the archipelago are climatically suitable for the Barbary ground squirrel (López-Darias et al., 2008), the invasion of other islands is only a matter of time unless effective procedures are applied.

When a squirrel is reported on islands other than Fuerteventura the relevant government body has to take actions that require both financial and human resources not specifically intended for the purpose. As there is no coordinated response protocol, each public administration tackles each detected translocation independently. In 2014 La Palma insular government captured an introduced squirrel shortly after its initial sighting, requiring 25 h of trapping and c. EUR 2,500 (ID 18, Table 1). On Gran Canaria, after failed attempts by the island government to capture two squirrels introduced in 2016, external professionals were subcontracted by the regional authorities (at a cost of EUR 8,000) almost 1 year after the original sighting. Following 100 hours of observation, 180 questionnaires collected from




FIG. 2 Number of known, confirmed translocations of Barbary ground squirrels within the Canary Islands per year from 1996 to 2016. Gaps between years indicate that no translocations were detected.

the local inhabitants, 900 ha searched and 3 h of trapping, they captured one squirrel (ID 21, Table 1).

The case of the Barbary ground squirrel is only one example of the permeability of the sea barriers within this archipelago. If larger animals can be translocated between islands (e.g. the mouflon *Ovis orientalis*; Acevedo-Rodríguez & Medina, 2010), it is probably relatively easy to translocate smaller animal species and plants. The costs of removing invasive species in the whole archipelago are unknown (controlling alien invertebrates on La Palma has been estimated to cost > EUR 5 million per year; García-Becerra & Medina, 2013). Removing naturalized invasive species is more expensive than preventing their introduction (Pimentel et al., 2000). EU Regulation No. 1143/2014 states that no later than January 2016 all nations should have fully operational structures for preventing and controlling the entry of invasive species. However, the implementation of those rules is delayed in the Canary Islands.

European outermost regions require an early warning and rapid response system, as implemented in New Zealand, Australia and Hawaii (e.g. Simberloff et al., 2013), to prevent further introductions and translocations of species already established in other regions. The creation of such a system, involving governments, decision makers, experts, NGOs, and other appropriate stakeholders (Simpson et al., 2009), is a conservation priority for the Canary Islands and other biodiverse island territories. In July 2017 a pilot trial was approved by the Canary Government for an invasive species detection and intervention network (Gobierno de Canarias, 2017). The information summarized here has been shared with the public administration of the various islands and with other stakeholders, to encourage implementation of appropriate control mechanisms, and our recommendations have been passed via internal reports and personal communications to the Canarian authorities, both at insular and regional level.

Within the Canary Islands there have not yet been any public education campaigns to communicate the problems of invasive species. In the case of the Barbary ground squirrel the only action taken to prevent its transportation to other islands was to place information plaques at airports and ports in the 1970s, but these only remained in place for a few years. In addition to detection and intervention, a public education programme is required, to inform society about the risks related to invasive species.

Acknowledgements

Segundo Lorenzo and Ángel M. Mallorquín helped us in the field in La Palma. Aurelio Martín and Juan Luis Rodríguez-Luengo revised a first draft and provided useful data. Fundación Neotrópico, Guillermo Delgado, Salvador de la Cruz, Susana Saavedra, Jeremías Cabrera, Guillermo González, Beatriz Fariña, Nancia Migraine, Sonia Martín, Heriberto López and Ramón Gallo provided information about

translocated squirrels. MLD is funded by the Program Agustín de Betancourt, under the identification Tenerife 2030 (P. INNOVA 2016-2021). JCP is funded by a Canary Islands Government PhD fellowship.

Author contributions

All authors contributed equally to this research and article.

References

- ACEVEDO-RODRÍGUEZ, A. & MEDINA, F.M. (2010) Sobre la presencia del muflón (*Ovis orientalis* Gmelin, 1774) en la isla de La Palma (Archipiélago Canario). *Galemys*, 22, 58–62.
- CALABUIG, P. (1999) *Informe sobre las actuaciones realizadas para controlar la incipiente población de ardilla moruna (Atlantoxerus getulus) en la isla de Gran Canaria*. Unpublished report. Área de Medio Ambiente, Cabildo de Gran Canaria, Canary Islands, Spain.
- DEFRA (2008) *The invasive non-native species framework strategy for Great Britain*. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London, UK.
- FICMNEW (2003) *A National Early Detection and Rapid Response System for Invasive Plants in the United States*. Federal Interagency Committee for the Management of Noxious and Exotic Weeds, Washington, DC, USA.
- GARCÍA-BECERRA, R. & MEDINA, F.M. (2013) Impedir la entrada siempre es más económico que controlar o erradicar. *Agropalca*, 21, 38.
- GENOVESI, P., SCALERA, R., BRUNEL, S., ROY, D. & SOLARZ, W. (2010) *Towards an Early Warning and Information System for Invasive Alien Species (IAS) Threatening Biodiversity in Europe*. European Environment Agency Technical report No. 5/2010, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- GESPLAN (2015) Control of the invasive species *Lamprolaima californica* on the island of Gran Canaria. http://www.lifelamprolaima.com/images/pdf/D5_EN_Informe_Layman_4_2.pdf [accessed 12 January 2017].
- GOBIERNO DE CANARIAS (2017) *Orden de 17 de julio de 2017, por la que se encomienda a la empresa pública Gestión y Planeamiento Territorial y Medioambiental, S.A. (GESPLAN) el servicio denominado 'Red de Detección e Intervención de Especies Exóticas Invasoras en Canarias. Experiencia piloto'*. <http://www.gobcan.es/boc/2017/147/009.html> [accessed 13 January 2017].
- LÓPEZ-DARIAS, M. (2007) *Ecología de una invasión: el caso de la ardilla moruna (Atlantoxerus getulus) en la isla de Fuerteventura*. PhD thesis. Estación Biológica de Doñana and Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Spain.
- LÓPEZ-DARIAS, M., LOBO, J.M. & GOUAT, P. (2008) Predicting potential distributions of invasive species: the exotic Barbary ground squirrel in the Canarian archipelago and the west Mediterranean region. *Biological Invasions*, 10, 1027–1040.
- MARTÍN ESQUIVEL, J.L., MARRERO GÓMEZ, M.C., ZURITA, N., ARECHAVALETA, M. & IZQUIERDO ZAMORA, I. (2005) *Biodiversidad en gráficas. Especies silvestres de las Islas Canarias*. Consejería de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación Territorial, Gobierno de Canarias, Spain.
- PIMENTEL, D., LACH, L., ZUNIGA, R. & MORRISON, D. (2000) Environmental and economic costs associated with non-indigenous species in the United States. *BioScience*, 50, 53–65.

- SIMBERLOFF, D., MARTIN, J.-L., GENOVESI, P., MARIS, V., WARDLE, D.A., ARONSON, J. et al. (2013) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28, 58–66.
- SIMPSON, A., JARNEVICH, C., MADSEN, J., WESTBROOKS, R., FOURNIER, C., MEHRHOFF, L. et al. (2009) Invasive species information networks: collaboration at multiple scales for prevention, early detection, and rapid response to invasive alien species. *Biodiversity*, 10, 5–13.

Biographical sketches

FÉLIX M. MEDINA studies the trophic feeding ecology of feral cats and their impacts on native species on islands, and he is interested in the effects of introduced species on the conservation of island ecosystems. MARTA LÓPEZ-DARIAS'S research is mainly focused on the ecology, impacts and management of invasive vertebrate species on islands, particularly on the Canary Islands. JULIEN C. PIQUET'S research is mainly focused on invasive species and their impact on insular biodiversity and ecological and evolutionary processes.