

ON THE NUMBER OF DIVISORS OF $n^2 - 1$

ADRIAN W. DUDEK

(Received 11 June 2015; accepted 13 June 2015; first published online 2 October 2015)

Abstract

We prove an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - 1)$, where $d(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n . During the course of our proof, we also furnish an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{d \leq N} g(d)$, where $g(d)$ denotes the number of solutions x in \mathbb{Z}_d to the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11N37; secondary 11A07.

Keywords and phrases: divisor sum, asymptotic estimate, arithmetic functions, Diophantine quintuples.

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $d(n)$ denote the number of divisors of n . Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - 1) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} N \log^2 N \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

In consideration of the more general sum $\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 + a)$, it was noted by Hooley [5] that, in the case where $a = -k^2$, we may factorise $n^2 + a$ as $(n - k)(n + k)$, and then the sum bears a close resemblance to

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n) d(n + 2k),$$

which was first studied by Ingham [6]. As mentioned by Hooley, it is certainly possible in this case to compare these sums to show that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - k^2) \sim C(k) N \log^2 N$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $C(k)$. Elsholtz *et al.* [4, Lemma 3.5] showed that $C(1) \leq 2$. Trudgian [8] reduced this to $C(1) \leq 12/\pi^2$, before Cipu [1] showed that $C(1) \leq 9/\pi^2$. Theorem 1.1 of this note gives the result that $C(1) = 6/\pi^2$.

The author is grateful for the financial support provided by an Australian Postgraduate Award and an ANU Supplementary Scholarship.

© 2015 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2015 \$16.00

However, rather than work from Ingham's asymptotic formula, we give a proof that requires information on the number of solutions to the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Thus, before we prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following result which is of interest in its own right.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $g(d)$ denote the number of solutions to the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ such that $1 \leq x \leq d$. Then*

$$\sum_{d < N} g(d) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} N \log N \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

After proving our two theorems, we give some insight into how one might generalise this work.

It should also be noted that the sum in Theorem 1.1 plays a role in the theory of Diophantine m -tuples. We call a set of m distinct integers $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ a Diophantine m -tuple if $a_i a_j + 1$ is a perfect square for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. For example, the set $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ is a Diophantine quadruple. It has been shown by Dujella [3] that there are no Diophantine m -tuples for $m \geq 6$, and it has been conjectured that there are no Diophantine quintuples, though this has yet to be proven. The best result in this direction is that of Trudgian [8], who has recently shown that there are at most 2.3×10^{29} Diophantine quintuples. In this context, the sum appearing in Theorem 1.1 is useful, for it is equal to twice the number of Diophantine 2-tuples $\{a, b\}$ such that $ab + 1 \leq N^2$.

2. Proof of the main theorems

We start by manipulating the divisor sum in the usual way. We have that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - 1) = \sum_{n \leq N} \left(2 \sum_{\substack{d|(n^2-1) \\ d < n}} 1 \right) = 2 \sum_{d < N} \sum_{\substack{d < n \leq N \\ n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}}} 1,$$

where the inner sum is now over the integers n in the interval $(d, N]$ such that n^2 is congruent to 1 modulo d . We let $g(d)$ denote the number of solutions to the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_d$. To estimate the inner sum, we first require the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let d be a positive integer. Writing $d = 2^a q$, where q is odd and $a \geq 0$, it follows that $g(d) = 2^{\omega(q) + s(a)}$, where $\omega(q)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of q and*

$$s(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } a \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. This follows from Cipu [1, Lemma 4.1]. □

Denote by $Q(x, d)$ the number of positive integers $n \leq x$ such that $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Lemma 2.1 allows us to estimate $Q(x, d)$, because in an interval of length d there will be $g(d)$ such numbers that satisfy the congruence. Therefore,

$$Q(x, d) = g(d) \frac{x}{d} + O(g(d)). \tag{2.1}$$

With this notation, we can write our original sum as

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - 1) = 2 \sum_{d < N} (Q(N, d) - Q(d, d)).$$

It follows now from (2.1) and the fact that $Q(d, d) = g(d)$ that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - 1) = 2N \sum_{d < N} \frac{g(d)}{d} + O\left(\sum_{d < N} g(d)\right). \tag{2.2}$$

The order of the error term can be bounded in the straightforward way by

$$\sum_{d < N} g(d) \ll \sum_{d < N} 2^{\omega(d)} \ll N \log N,$$

and so it remains to show that

$$\sum_{d < N} \frac{g(d)}{d} \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} \log^2 N$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. To estimate this sum, we will use the following result, which can be found in Cojocaru and Murty [2, Theorem 2.4.1].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let*

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

be a Dirichlet series with nonnegative coefficients converging for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. Suppose that $F(s)$ extends analytically at all points on $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ apart from $s = 1$, and that at $s = 1$ we can write

$$F(s) = \frac{H(s)}{(s - 1)^{1-\alpha}}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $H(s)$ holomorphic and nonzero in the region $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a_n \sim \frac{cx}{(\log x)^\alpha}$$

with

$$c := \frac{H(1)}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)},$$

where Γ is the Gamma function.

This result allows one to step from some ‘well-behaved’ Dirichlet series to an asymptotic formula for the partial sum of its coefficients. We will use this to prove Theorem 1.2, by exploiting the multiplicity of the function $g(d)$ to construct an appropriate Dirichlet series.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. We will consider the Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}.$$

Note that, as $g(n)$ is multiplicative,

$$F(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{g(p)}{p^s} + \frac{g(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right).$$

More specifically, from Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$F(s) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{2}{4^s} + 4 \left(\frac{1}{8^s} + \frac{1}{16^s} + \dots \right) \right) \cdot \prod_{p \text{ odd}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s} + \frac{2}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right).$$

We now use the fact that

$$\frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \prod_p \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{(1 - p^{-s})^2} = \prod_p \frac{1 + p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s} + \frac{2}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function (see [7] for more details). Thus

$$F(s) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{2}{4^s} + \frac{4}{8^s - 4^s} \right) \left(\frac{1 - 2^{-s}}{1 + 2^{-s}} \right) \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

By the properties of the Riemann zeta-function, $F(s)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2 with $\alpha = -1$, so

$$\sum_{d < N} g(d) \sim cN \log N,$$

where

$$c := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (s - 1)^2 F(s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Now, it follows by partial summation that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d < N} \frac{g(d)}{d} &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_1^N \frac{\log t}{t} dt + o\left(\int_1^N \frac{\log t}{t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} \log^2 N + o(\log^2 N). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimate in (2.2) finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3. Further notes

It would be interesting to see if one could extend this work so as to determine asymptotic estimates for the sums

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - r^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d < N} g_r(d),$$

where $g_r(d)$ denotes the number of solutions of the equation $x^2 \equiv r^2 \pmod{d}$ such that $1 \leq x \leq d$. If r is fixed, then note that if p is an odd prime and $k \geq 1$, the equation $x^2 \equiv r^2 \pmod{p^k}$ yields

$$p^k | (x - r)(x + r).$$

For a sufficiently large prime p , there will be exactly two solutions to the above, namely $x = r$ and $x = p^k - r$. Therefore, we have $g_r(p^k) = 2$ for all sufficiently large primes p , and thus one will inevitably require the factor $\zeta^2(s)/\zeta(2s)$ in the construction of an appropriate Dirichlet series. Thus, one can expect to obtain asymptotics of the form

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d(n^2 - r^2) \sim \frac{A(r)}{\pi^2} N \log^2 N \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d < N} g_r(d) \sim \frac{B(r)}{\pi^2} N \log N,$$

where $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ are rational numbers dependent on r .

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Dr Timothy Trudgian for introducing him to this problem, and for many conversations of a helpful nature.

References

- [1] M. Cipu, 'Further remarks on Diophantine quintuples', *Acta Arith.* **168** (2015), 201–219.
- [2] A. C. Cojocaru and M. R. Murty, *An Introduction to Sieve Methods and Their Applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [3] A. Dujella, 'There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples', *J. reine angew. Math.* **566** (2004), 183–214.
- [4] C. Elsholtz, A. Filipin and Y. Fujita, 'On Diophantine quintuples and $D(-1)$ -quadruples', *Monatsh. Math.* **175**(2) (2014), 227–239.
- [5] C. Hooley, 'On the number of divisors of quadratic polynomials', *Acta Math.* **110**(1) (1963), 97–114.
- [6] A. E. Ingham, 'Some asymptotic formulae in the theory of numbers', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **1**(3) (1927), 202–208.
- [7] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1986).
- [8] T. S. Trudgian, 'Bounds on the number of Diophantine quintuples', *J. Number Theory* **157** (2015), 233–249.

ADRIAN W. DUDEK, Mathematical Sciences Institute,
The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia
e-mail: adrian.dudek@anu.edu.au