# Short report



# Retrograde amnesia following electroconvulsive therapy for depression: propensity score analysis

Ana Jelovac, Sabine Landau, Gabriele Gusciute, Martha Noone, Keeva Kavanagh, Mary Carton, Cathal McCaffrey, Kelly McDonagh, Eimear Doody and Declan M. McLoughlin

# Summary

Retrograde amnesia for autobiographical memories is a commonly self-reported cognitive side-effect of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), but it is unclear to what extent objective performance differs between ECT-exposed and ECT-unexposed patients with depression. We investigated the association between exposure to brief-pulse (1.0 ms) bitemporal or high-dose right unilateral ECT and retrograde amnesia at short- and long-term follow-up, compared with inpatient controls with moderate-to-severe depression without lifetime exposure to ECT and receiving psychotropic pharmacotherapy and other aspects of routine inpatient care. In propensity score analyses, statistically significant reductions in autobiographical memory recall consistency were found in bitemporal and high-dose right unilateral ECT within days of an ECT course and 3 months following final ECT session. The reduction in autobiographical

Autobiographical retrograde amnesia is a commonly self-reported adverse cognitive effect of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). Modifications in technique, including unilateral electrode placement and ultrabrief-pulse stimulus, attenuate retrograde amnesia.<sup>1</sup> While the impact of ECT technique on autobiographical memory has been extensively documented, the extent to which autobiographical memory recall may be affected in ECT-exposed compared with ECT-unexposed patients with depression has received little attention. This is surprising, given the well-characterised autobiographical memory abnormalities in depression.<sup>2</sup> Demonstrations of retrograde amnesia in ECT-exposed patients compared with non-depressed healthy controls are confounded by effects of mood disorder per se on autobiographical memory. To disentangle the effect of ECT on memory from that of mood disorder, the more appropriate control group is patients with depression of similar severity not receiving ECT. Early work examining retrograde amnesia as percentage loss of recall consistency of selected autobiographical memory items at post-ECT follow-ups, relative to content recalled at pre-ECT baseline, suggested that patients receiving bitemporal ECT exhibited worse recall consistency at the end of treatment than non-ECT-treated depressed controls.3,4 Two small contemporary studies compared ECT-exposed versus ECT-unexposed patients with depression. Patients receiving bifrontal ECT had reduced recall consistency at the end of treatment and 4-week follow-up compared with controls receiving isoflurane anaesthesia.<sup>5</sup> One randomised trial compared autobiographical recall consistency following right unilateral ECT with a pharmacologically treated control group with bipolar depression; percentage consistency scores were 72.9 v. 80.8% at the end of treatment<sup>6</sup> and 64.3 v. 72.3% at 6 months following ECT,7 respectively. Given the paucity of data quantifying retrograde amnesia in ECT patients compared with ECTunexposed controls with depression, we aimed to examine the association between two commonly used forms of ECT and loss of autobiographical memory consistency.

memory consistency was substantially more pronounced in bitemporal ECT. Retrograde amnesia for items recalled before ECT occurs with commonly utilised ECT techniques, and may be a persisting adverse cognitive effect of ECT.

## Keywords

Electroconvulsive therapy; autobiographical memory; retrograde amnesia; depressive disorders; bipolar type I or II disorders.

#### Copyright and usage

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Royal College of Psychiatrists. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/lice nses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

# Method

Methods are detailed in the supplementary material (available at https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.25). ECT participants were recruited to the EFFECT-Dep Trial.<sup>8</sup> Adult (age  $\geq$ 18 years) inpatients were eligible if meeting Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV<sup>9</sup> criteria for major depressive episode (unipolar or bipolar), and scoring  $\geq$ 21 on the baseline 24-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D). Exclusion criteria were (a) medical conditions rendering unfit for general anaesthesia; (b) ECT in previous 6 months; (c) history of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder or dementia; (d) substance use disorder in previous 6 months; and (e) involuntary status or inability to consent.

Control participants took part in MEM-Dep<sup>10</sup> and AMBER-Dep<sup>11</sup> prospective cohort studies, and were adult in-patients with major depressive episode meeting DSM-IV or ICD-10<sup>12</sup> criteria, scoring  $\geq$ 21 on HAM-D. Exclusion criteria were (a) history of ECT; (b) neurological or unstable medical condition; (c) active Axis I comorbidity; (d) substance use disorder in previous 6 months; and (e) involuntary status or inability to consent.

All groups received psychotropic medications and other aspects of inpatient care. This study received St Patrick's Mental Health Services research ethics committee approval (Protocol no. 08/22). Informed consent requirements were waived for these secondary analyses of deidentified data.

Autobiographical memory was assessed using the Autobiographical Memory Interview–Short Form (AMI-SF)<sup>13</sup> at pre-ECT baseline, end of treatment (within days of final ECT) and 3 months following final ECT. Controls were retested at analogous time intervals: 1–2 months following baseline assessment (coinciding with test–retest interval in ECT groups where patients received twice-weekly ECT) and 3 months following the second visit.

A propensity score stratification approach was used to control for measured confounding. Propensity scores were estimated for each comparison (right unilateral ECT versus control, bitemporal ECT versus control) at each time point (end of treatment and 3-month follow-up) using logistic regression models with seven putative confounders (age, gender, education, polarity, psychosis, baseline HAM-D and baseline AMI-SF score). Baseline covariate balance was considered adequate where (absolute) pooled withinstrata standardised mean differences were <0.1. We estimated the average treatment effect on the treated (ATT) - here, the difference in average population recall percentages under ECT and control treatments for patients who later received ECT. Odds ratios arising from binomial models were converted to percentage differences using g-computation. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to evaluate the robustness of primary analyses to choice of analysis method and non-ignorable missingness.

# **Results**

Baseline characteristics of 210 included patients are provided in supplementary Table 1; supplementary Table 2 shows that baseline covariates were successfully balanced following propensity score stratification. In primary analyses (Table 1 and supplementary Fig. 1), AMI-SF percentage recall was significantly reduced in both ECT groups compared with ECT-unexposed depressed controls at the end of treatment and 3-month follow-up. Sensitivity analyses with alternative methods (Table 1) yielded similar ATT estimates, with reductions estimated at 7-10% for right unilateral ECT at sixfold seizure threshold and 18-21% for bitemporal ECT at 1.5-fold seizure threshold. There was a 24-25% loss of autobiographical recall consistency at both follow-ups in depressed controls (supplementary Table 3). Se sitivity analyses of nonignorable missingness showed li impact on ATT estimates (supplementary Table 4).

# Discu

We measured autobiographical consistency, an accepted measure of retrograde amnesia ECT field, in brief-pulse bitemporal ECT, high-dose ri ilateral ECT and ECTunexposed controls. Compared a control group of inpatients with moderate-to-severe sion, both ECT techniques were associated with significantly ed loss of autobiographical memory consistency immediat lowing the course. The difference in autobiographical m consistency between ECT groups and controls remained un ted in size and statistically significant at 3-month follow-up, ng that retrograde amnesia for AMI-SF items is a persistin effect of ECT. Differences between unilateral ECT and cont ups at the end of treatment and at 3 months were similar to om a randomised trial that found 7.9% difference at end of ent and 8.0% at 6-month follow-up in a substantially differ ent population (all bipolar and younger).<sup>6,7</sup> An additional finding was that patients without exposure to ECT e ced substantial loss of autobiographical memory consi over time. Consequently, when interpreting results of brain ation studies, the majority of which do not include a clinical group, it is imperative not ion in AMI-SF score as to misinterpret a within-group evidence of retrograde amnesia

Brief-pulse bitemporal ECT falling out of favour at leading academic centres in Nor erica, is in widespread use worldwide.14 The reluctance to st high-dose right unilateral ECT is difficult to justify given ent antidepressant efficacy nesia.<sup>8,15</sup> Bitemporal ECT and significantly reduced retrogr

5).	Se	n
imit	ed	i
icci	on	
1221		
mer	nor	3
in	the	Ś
. 1.	unc	•
ignt	u	n
łw	ith	
e det	ores	ss
inc	roo	
inc.	ica	5
tely	to	1
nem	ory	r
natte	mu	a
in	-1	:
, 1111	piyi	п
g si	de-	e
rol	gro	U
thos	e fi	•
C 4		
tre	atn	
ent	pat	ti
nota	able	è
vne	riei	n
intor		
ister	icy	
n sti	mu	ıl
cor	itro	bl
re	duc	۰t
10		~
per	se.	
`, w	hile	e
rth .	Am	ie
wite	h t	^
wite	. 1	ľ
equ	ival	le
rade	e ar	n
ambi	rida	e
	. 9	

	· · ·	5	Compa	rison	
		End of t	creatment	3-month	follow-up
		Right unilateral ECT versus control	Bitemporal ECT versus control	Right unilateral ECT versus control	Bitemporal ECT versus control
Primary <i>¿</i> ATT fro	nalysis m propensity score stratified binomial model <sup>a</sup>	-7.57% (-14.98 to -0.41%), <i>n</i> = 118	-18.68% (-23.90 to -13.21%), <i>n</i> = 121	-9.43% (-18.24 to -1.45%), <i>n</i> = 72	-21.04% ( $-27.51$ to $-9.75%$ ), $n = 72$
Sensitivity ATT fro	r analyses (alternative estimation approaches) m IPTW binomial model <sup>a</sup>	-8.77% (-15.34 to -2.25%), <i>n</i> = 118	-17.90% (-23.03 to -12.15%), <i>n</i> = 121	-9:31% (-15.93 to -2.63%), <i>n</i> = 72	-20.78% (-28.86 to -12.86%), <i>n</i> = 72
ATT fro	m naïve regression model with covariate adjustment	-8.48% (-14.18 to -2.78%), <i>n</i> = 133 <sup>b</sup>	-18.33% (-24.05 to -12.61%), <i>n</i> = 133 <sup>b</sup>	$-7.71\%$ ( $-14.63$ to $-0.79\%$ ), $n = 93^{\text{b}}$	-18.93% (-26.84 to -11.02%), <i>n</i> = 85 <sup>b</sup>
ATT, avera a. Data pre b. Sample :	ge treatment effect on the treated; ECT, electroconvulsive therap sented as ATT (95% confidence interval); confidence intervals for izes are larger for naive regression models compared with prope	Y. IPTW, inverse probability of treatment weight ATT were generated by bootstrapping (2000 re- ensity score models, because the former do nor and the state of the state and the state of the state state state and the state sta	ing. plications, percentile method). t use a region of common support.		

may result in more rapid reduction in depressive symptoms,<sup>16</sup> which should be taken into consideration in scenarios where rapid response is required. However, for most ECT referrals for depression, this marginal benefit does not outweigh the markedly pronounced risk of retrograde amnesia. Ultrabrief-pulse right unilateral ECT, not examined in the present study, results in even less retrograde amnesia than brief-pulse right unilateral ECT, but this relative sparing of autobiographical memory may come at the expense of reduced efficacy.<sup>17</sup> Risks and benefits of bitemporal versus alternative forms of ECT should be presented to patients during the informed consent process.

Limitations of this study include loss to 3-month follow-up and single-centre design. Future work is needed to address the limited evidence on impact of antidepressant medications on autobiographical memory. Our findings apply to the respective regions of common support used to achieve covariate balance. These regions excluded some ECT patients who had a negligible probability of being included in the control group. Our inferences regarding ECT are, therefore, applicable to less severely ill subpopulations. This is expected, because brief-pulse ECT occupies a special place in the treatment of the most severely ill psychiatric patients with no proven alternative treatment of comparable efficacy.

Ana Jelovac (10), PhD, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Sabine Landau, PhD, Department of Biostatistics and Health Informatics, King's College London, London, UK; Gabriele Gusciute, BA, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Keeva Kavanagh, MPsychSc, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Keeva Kavanagh, MPsychSc, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Keeva Kavanagh, MPsychSc, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Kelly McDangh, MSc, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Kelly McDangh, MSc, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Cathal McCaffrey, MRCPsych, Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, St Patrick's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; College Dublin, St Patrick

Correspondence: Declan M. McLoughlin. Email: d.mcloughlin@tcd.ie

First received 23 Aug 2024, final revision 8 Jan 2025, accepted 4 Feb 2025

## Supplementary material

The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.25

#### Data availability

An application to St Patrick's Mental Health Services Research Ethics Committee with a study proposal is required for data sharing.

# Author contributions

A.J., S.L. and D.M.M. designed the study and drafted the manuscript. S.L. analysed the data. A.J., S.L., G.G., M.N., K.K., M.C., C.M., K.M., E.D. and D.M.M. interpreted the analyses and revised the manuscript for key intellectual content.

# Funding

This work was supported by Health Research Board grant nos. TRA/2007/5, HPF/2010/17 and TRA/2007/5/R. The Health Research Board had no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data; review or approval of the manuscript; preparation of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. S.L. is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration South London (NIHR ARC South London) at King's College Hospital National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust, and the NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# **Declaration of interest**

D.M.M. has received speaker's honoraria from Mecta, Otsuka and Janssen, and an honorarium from Janssen for participating in an esketamine advisory board meeting. Other authors report no conflicts of interest.

# References

- Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Nobler MS, Fitzsimons L, Lisanby SH, Payne N, et al. Effects of pulse width and electrode placement on the efficacy and cognitive effects of electroconvulsive therapy. *Brain Stimul* 2008; 1: 71–83.
- 2 Barry TJ, Hallford DJ, Takano K. Autobiographical memory impairments as a transdiagnostic feature of mental illness: a meta-analytic review of investigations into autobiographical memory specificity and overgenerality among people with psychiatric diagnoses. *Psychol Bull* 2021; 147: 1054–74.
- 3 Calev A, Ben-Tzvi E, Shapira B, Drexler H, Carasso R, Lerer B. Distinct memory impairments following electroconvulsive therapy and imipramine. *Psychol Med* 1989; 19: 111–19.
- 4 Weiner RD, Rogers HJ, Davidson JR, Squire LR. Effects of stimulus parameters on cognitive side effects. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1986; 462: 315–25.
- 5 Weeks HR, Tadler SC, Smith KW, Iacob E, Saccoman M, White AT, et al. Antidepressant and neurocognitive effects of isoflurane anesthesia versus electroconvulsive therapy in refractory depression. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e69809.
- 6 Kessler U, Schoeyen HK, Andreassen OA, Eide GE, Malt UF, Oedegaard KJ, et al. The effect of electroconvulsive therapy on neurocognitive function in treatment-resistant bipolar disorder depression. J Clin Psychiatry 2014; 75: e1306–13.
- 7 Bjoerke-Bertheussen J, Schoeyen H, Andreassen OA, Malt UF, Oedegaard KJ, Morken G, et al. Right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy does not cause more cognitive impairment than pharmacologic treatment in treatmentresistant bipolar depression: a 6-month randomized controlled trial follow-up study. *Bipolar Disord* 2018; 20: 531–8.
- 8 Semkovska M, Landau S, Dunne R, Kolshus E, Kavanagh A, Jelovac A, et al. Bitemporal versus high-dose unilateral twice-weekly electroconvulsive therapy for depression (EFFECT-Dep): a pragmatic, randomized, noninferiority trial. Am J Psychiatry 2016; 173: 408–17.
- 9 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th edn). APA, 1994.
- 10 Semkovska M, Noone M, Carton M, McLoughlin DM. Measuring consistency of autobiographical memory recall in depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2012; **197**: 41–8.
- 11 Whooley E, Gusciute G, Kavanagh K, McDonagh K, McCaffrey C, Doody E, et al. Reliable change indices and minimum detectable change for the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in electroconvulsive therapy for depression. J ECT 2025; 41: 43–8.
- 12 World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision. WHO, 1992.
- 13 McElhiney MC, Moody BJ, Sackeim HA. The Autobiographical Memory Interview Short From: Manual for Administration and Scoring. Department of Biological Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute and Department of Psychiatry, College of Physicians & Surgeons, Columbia University, 2001.
- 14 Rohde P, Noorani R, Feuer E, Lisanby SH, Regenold WT. Electroconvulsive therapy across nations: a 2022 survey of practice. J ECT 2024; 40: 96–104.
- 15 Kolshus E, Jelovac A, McLoughlin DM. Bitemporal v. high-dose right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy for depression: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Psychol Med* 2017; 47: 518–30.
- 16 Kellner CH, Knapp R, Husain MM, Rasmussen K, Sampson S, Cullum M, et al. Bifrontal, bitemporal and right unilateral electrode placement in ECT: randomised trial. Br J Psychiatry 2010; 196: 226–34.
- 17 Tor PC, Bautovich A, Wang MJ, Martin D, Harvey SB, Loo C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of brief versus ultrabrief right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy for depression. J Clin Psychiatry 2015; 76: e1092–8.

