


Tolerance of grain sorghum to PRE- and POST-applied photosystem II-inhibiting herbicides

Jason K. Norsworthy¹ , Jacob Richburg², Tom Barber³, Trenton L. Roberts⁴ and Edward Gbur⁵

Research Article

Cite this article: Norsworthy JK, Richburg J, Barber T, Roberts TL, Gbur E (2020) Tolerance of grain sorghum to PRE- and POST-applied photosystem II-inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Technol.* **34**: 699–703. doi: [10.1017/wet.2020.42](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2020.42)

Received: 17 January 2020

Revised: 25 March 2020

Accepted: 6 April 2020

First published online: 13 April 2020

Associate Editor:

Daniel Stephenson, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center

Nomenclature:

Ametryn; atrazine; diuron; fluometuron; linuron; mesotrione; metribuzin; prometryn; propazine; simazine; corn, *Zea mays* L.; grain sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. ssp. *bicolor*

Keywords:

Atrazine alternatives

Author for correspondence:

Jason K. Norsworthy, Distinguished Professor, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72704. Email: jnorswor@uark.edu

¹Distinguished Professor, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ²Graduate Assistant, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ³Professor, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA; ⁴Associate Professor, Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR USA and ⁵Professor, Department of Statistics, and Laboratory Director, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR USA

Abstract

Atrazine offers growers a reliable option to control a broad spectrum of weeds in grain sorghum production systems when applied PRE or POST. However, because of the extensive use of atrazine in grain sorghum and corn, it has been found in groundwater in the United States. Given this issue, field experiments were conducted in 2017 and 2018 in Fayetteville and Marianna, Arkansas, to explore the tolerance of grain sorghum to applications of assorted photosystem II (PSII)-inhibiting herbicides in combination with S-metolachlor (PRE and POST) or mesotrione (POST only) as atrazine replacements. All experiments were designed as a factorial, randomized complete block; the two factors were (1) PSII herbicide and (2) the herbicide added to create the mixture. The PSII herbicides were prometryn, ametryn, simazine, fluometuron, metribuzin, linuron, diuron, atrazine, and propazine. The second factor consisted of either no additional herbicide, S-metolachlor, or mesotrione; however, mesotrione was excluded in the PRE experiments. Crop injury estimates, height, and yield data were collected or calculated in both studies. In the PRE study, injury was less than 10% for all treatments except those containing simazine, which caused 11% injury 28 d after application (DAA). Averaged over PSII herbicide, S-metolachlor-containing treatments caused 7% injury at 14 and 28 DAA. Grain sorghum in atrazine-containing treatments yielded 97% of the nontreated. Grain sorghum receiving other herbicide treatments had significant yield loss due to crop injury, compared with atrazine-containing treatments. In the POST study, ametryn- and prometryn-containing treatments were more injurious than all other treatments 14 DAA. Grain sorghum yield in all POST treatments was comparable to atrazine, except prometryn plus mesotrione, which was 65% of the nontreated. More herbicides should be evaluated to find a comparable fit to atrazine when applied PRE in grain sorghum. However, when applied POST, diuron, fluometuron, linuron, metribuzin, propazine, and simazine have some potential to replace atrazine in terms of crop tolerance and should be further tested as part of a weed control program across a greater range of environments.

Introduction

Grain sorghum was harvested on more than 2 million hectares in the United States in 2019 (NASS 2019a). The challenges of individual management strategies, along with low commodity prices, cause grain sorghum production to widely fluctuate year to year (Espinoza and Kelley 2004). Producers who grow grain sorghum face challenges controlling disease and insects (Moore et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2004), but perhaps the most troublesome pests in grain sorghum are weeds. When not controlled in a timely manner, weeds compete with grain sorghum, reducing yields and contributing to increased weed seed in the soil seedbank (Espinoza and Kelley 2004). Burnside and Wicks (1969) found sorghum yield may be reduced by 4%, 12%, and 18% when weeding is delayed by 3, 4, and 5 weeks, respectively. Because grain sorghum is a relatively low-input crop, economical and cost-effective approaches to controlling weeds are vital.

Results from a survey conducted by Webster (2012) indicated that the top five most troublesome weeds in Arkansas, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Missouri, and Texas grain sorghum were barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv.], Palmer amaranth [*Amaranthus palmeri* (S.) Watson], morningglories (*Ipomoea* spp.), broadleaf signalgrass [*Urochloa platyphylla* (Munro ex C. Wright) R.D. Webster], and johnsongrass [*Sorghum halepense* (L.) Pers.]. Johnsongrass is especially problematic and difficult to control given genetic similarities between it and grain sorghum and the ineffectiveness of atrazine on this weed (Kegode et al. 1994). Atrazine does not control johnsongrass, and other herbicides are needed to

© Weed Science Society of America, 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



control this problematic weed. Although all weeds have potential to result in grain sorghum yield loss, Feltner et al. (1969) reported that broadleaf weeds left uncontrolled hinder yield more than weedy grasses do.

Producers of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), corn, soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.], and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) may be able to cope with weed pressure using new herbicide-resistant crop technologies, but grain sorghum producers are restricted to a narrow selection of labeled herbicides. Herbicides such as 2,4-D, dicamba, mesotrione, prosulfuron, and bromoxynil can be used for effective POST control of many broadleaf weeds, including the ones earlier noted to be most problematic, although timing of application according to weed size is vital for good control (Barber et al. 2020). However, atrazine is currently the foundational broad-spectrum herbicide used for weed control in grain sorghum, as evidenced by it being applied to more than 60% of the U.S. grain sorghum hectares annually (NASS 2019b). Atrazine controls cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium* L.), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.), morningglories, and Palmer amaranth, as well as an assortment of grassy species when applied PRE or POST (Anonymous 2018; Culpepper and York 1999; Geier et al. 2009; Krausz and Kapusta 1998; Sprague et al. 1999; Webster et al. 1998).

Use of atrazine continues to draw scrutiny because of its persistence in the environment and sometimes contamination of waterbodies surrounding agricultural areas (Barbash et al. 2006). One way to reduce the impact of atrazine is to limit the amount of the herbicide applied to agricultural soils, but herbicide options as effective as atrazine are unlikely to be available. Furthermore, there are fewer herbicide options available in grain sorghum than in other agronomic crops. It is well established that weed control is often improved by mixing 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibitors with PSII-inhibiting herbicides (Kohrt and Sprague 2017). In addition, S-metolachlor provides effective control of many annual grasses and small-seeded broadleaf weeds in grain sorghum (Bararpour et al. 2019) and would likely bring improved weed control to grain sorghum production when used in mixture with a PSII-inhibiting herbicide. For this reason, research was initiated to test grain sorghum tolerance to PSII-inhibiting herbicides alone and in combination with S-metolachlor (PRE or POST) and mesotrione (POST only).

Materials and Methods

Grain Sorghum Trial Common Methodology

Field experiments tested grain sorghum tolerance to PRE and POST applications of PSII-inhibiting herbicides in 2017 and 2018. All grain sorghum experiments were planted in May or early June each year to variety DK553-67 (Dekalb, St Louis, MO), which was fluxofenim-treated (Concep[®]; Syngenta, Greensboro, NC) and planted at 197,000 seeds ha⁻¹ into conventionally tilled, raised beds at a 2-cm depth (Table 1). Plot size was 3.7 m wide by 6.1 m long and all rows were spaced 91 cm and 97 cm apart in Fayetteville and Marianna experiment locations, respectively. Grain sorghum trials were maintained weed-free with labeled applications of quinclorac (Facet L; BASF Corp., Research Triangle Park, NC) and by hand-weeding as needed. All trials were furrow irrigated as needed to prevent drought stress. Grain sorghum trials were otherwise managed according to the *Arkansas Grain Sorghum Production Handbook* to prevent nutrient and pest stresses to the crop (Espinoza and Kelley 2004).

Table 1. Planting, herbicide application, and harvest dates for PRE and POST grain sorghum trials in Fayetteville and Marianna, AR, in 2017 and 2018.

Trial	Location	Year	Dates of significance		
			Planting	Herbicide application	Harvest
PRE	Marianna	2017	May 17	May 17	September 18
		2018	May 25	May 25	September 19
	Fayetteville	2017	May 17	May 19	September 25
POST	Marianna	2018	May 1	May 2	September 28
		2017	May 15	June 8	September 18
	Fayetteville	2018	May 18	June 7	September 19
		2017	June 8	June 28	October 10
		2018	May 1	June 1	September 28

Table 2. Herbicide names, rates, and manufacturers for PRE and POST grain sorghum trials in 2017 and 2018.

Herbicide			
Common name	Trade name	Rate	Manufacturer
		g ai ha ⁻¹	
Ametryn	Evik	2,200	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
Atrazine	Aatrex 4L	1,100	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
Diuron	Direx	450	ADAMA
Fluometuron	Cotoran	1,100	ADAMA
Linuron	Linex	840	Tessenderlo Kerley, Inc.
Mesotrione ^a	Callisto	105	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
Metribuzin	Tricor 4F	280	United Phosphorous Limited
Prometryn	Caparol	2,200	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
Propazine	Milo-Pro	540	Albaugh, LLC
Simazine	Princep 4L	2,200	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
S-metolachlor	Dual II Magnum	1,400	Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC

^aMesotrione applied only in POST trial.

PRE and POST Experimental Sites

PRE and POST field experiments were conducted both years on a Captina silt loam (fine-silty, siliceous, active, mesic Typic Fragiudults) at the Arkansas Agricultural Research and Extension Center (36.09°N, -94.17°W in Fayetteville, AR). The soil at Fayetteville consisted of 34% sand, 53% silt, and 13% clay, with an organic matter content of 1.5% and a pH of 6.8. The PRE study was also conducted on a Memphis silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Oxyaquic Fragiudalfs) at the Lon Mann Cotton Research Station (LMCRS) (34.73°N, -90.74°W) near Marianna, AR. The soil at this site consisted of 4% sand, 81% silt, and 15% clay, with an organic matter content of 1.3% and a pH of 6.6. In addition to the Fayetteville location, the POST study was conducted for 2 yr on a Calloway silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Aquic Fraglossudalfs) at the LMCRS (34.73°N, -90.74°W). The soil at this site consisted of 12% sand, 70% silt, and 18% clay, with an organic matter content of 1.25% and a pH of 6.4.

PRE and POST Study Experimental Setup and Data Collection

All experiments were designed as a factorial, randomized complete block; the two factors were (1) PSII herbicide and (2) the herbicide added to create the mixture. The PSII herbicides were prometryn, ametryn, simazine, fluometuron, metribuzin, linuron, diuron, atrazine, and propazine (Table 2). PSII-inhibiting herbicides were applied at the same rate they would be applied in a labeled crop (Anonymous 2020; Barber et al. 2020). Of these herbicides, propazine is the only one other than atrazine labeled for use in grain

sorghum, and its use is restricted to a PRE-only application (Anonymous 2020). The second factor consisted of either no herbicide or S-metolachlor for the PRE study and either no herbicide, mesotrione, or S-metolachlor for the POST study. A nontreated was included for both studies. All treatments were applied at 140 L ha⁻¹ using a CO₂-pressurized backpack sprayer fitted with AIXR 110015XR nozzles (TeeJet Technologies, Wheaton, IL) immediately after grain sorghum planting. The experiment consisted of 19 experimental treatments, including the nontreated, with each treatment replicated four times. Visible crop injury was estimated at 14 and 28 d after application (DAA) on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represented no crop injury and 100 represented complete crop necrosis. Canopy height of three random plants plot⁻¹ was measured and recorded 28 DAA. Relative height was calculated by dividing the average of each plot by the overall average of the nontreated plots. Heights were not recorded in Marianna during 2017 because of an oversight and timing issue. Yield of the center two rows in each plot was collected with a small-plot combine and recorded (in kg ha⁻¹) after adjusting to 14% moisture, and relative yield was determined by dividing the average of each plot by the overall average of the nontreated plots.

Statistical Analyses

Analyses for the two trials were conducted in the same manner. To account for different environments and growing conditions between locations and years, all environments and replications nested within environments were considered random effects to permit inferences to be made over a range of conditions (Blouin et al. 2011; Carmer et al. 1989). Visual estimates of crop injury for the nontreated plots in all site-years were zero and, therefore, were excluded from analysis. Relative height and relative yield for nontreated plots in all site-years were equal to 1 and, therefore, were excluded from analysis. Data were subjected to ANOVA using the GLIMMIX procedure in SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), assuming a beta distribution for all assessments to see if the main PSII-inhibiting herbicide, the additive herbicide, or the interaction had an effect (Gbur et al. 2012). Mean separations were analyzed for injury, relative crop height, and relative yield using Fisher protected LSD ($\alpha = 0.05$) where appropriate.

Results and Discussion

PRE Study

Rainfall

All studies received at least 2 cm of rainfall within 5 d of application (data not shown). Hence, it was assumed all herbicides were properly activated.

Injury

Grain sorghum injury 14 DAA was influenced by both main effects of PSII herbicide and herbicide added (Table 3), with less than 10% injury from all PSII herbicides, averaged over herbicide added (Table 4). When averaged over herbicide added, all injury was comparable to atrazine-containing treatments. When averaged over PSII herbicide, grain sorghum injury from S-metolachlor-containing treatments was more than treatments with PSII herbicide alone (Table 4).

Again at 28 DAA, injury was influenced by both main effects (Table 3). Averaged over herbicide added, none of the PSII-inhibiting herbicides differed from atrazine in causing injury to grain

Table 3. Significance of P for interactions and main effects of PSII herbicide and herbicide added on grain sorghum injury, relative height, and relative yield by application timing in grain sorghum trials conducted at Fayetteville and Marianna, AR, in 2017 and 2018.^{abc}

Experiment	Factor ^d	Injury		Relative height	Relative yield
		14 DAA	28 DAA	28 DAA	
-----P-----					
PRE	PSII herbicide	0.009 ^e	<0.001 ^e	0.501	0.003 ^e
	S-metolachlor added	0.002 ^e	0.007 ^e	0.010 ^e	0.178
	PSII herbicide × herbicide added	0.311	0.578	0.723	0.156
POST	PSII herbicide	<0.001 ^e	<0.001 ^e	<0.001 ^e	0.074
	Herbicide added	<0.001 ^e	0.002 ^e	0.089	0.991
	PSII herbicide × herbicide added	<0.001 ^e	0.201	0.001 ^e	0.012 ^e

^aData averaged across site-years within a timing.

^bRefer to Table 2 for herbicides and rates.

^cThe Marianna 2017 site-year was excluded from the relative height analysis.

^dAbbreviations: DAA, days after application; PSII, photosystem II.

^eSignificant at $P < 0.05$.

Table 4. Grain sorghum injury, relative height, and relative yield as influenced by PSII herbicide and herbicide added in PRE trials, averaged over site-years at Fayetteville and Marianna, AR, in 2017 and 2018.

Factor	Herbicide	Injury ^a		Relative height ^b	
		14 DAA ^d	28 DAA	28 DAA	Relative yield ^c
PSII herbicide ^e					
		-----%-----		---% of nontreated plots---	
	Ametryn	7 ab	5 b		86 bc
	Atrazine	6 abc	6 ab		97 a
	Diuron	6 abc	5 b		88 bc
	Fluometuron	4 c	5 b		87 bc
	Linuron	5 bc	5 b		87 bc
	Metribuzin	4 c	4 b		87 bc
	Prometryn	8 a	9 a		83 c
	Propazine	9 a	9 a		91 b
	Simazine	7 ab	11 a		90 b
Herbicide added ^f					
	None	3 b	4 b	90 a	
	S-metolachlor	7 a	7 a	85 b	

^aMeans within a factor followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher protected LSD ($P = 0.05$).

^bHeight of plants in nontreated plots averaged across site-year was 26 cm. The Marianna 2017 site-year was excluded from the analysis.

^cYield of nontreated plots averaged across site-years was 5,180 kg ha⁻¹.

^dAbbreviations: DAA, days after application, PSII, photosystem II.

^eInjury averaged over herbicide added.

^fInjury averaged over PSII herbicide.

sorghum (Table 4). When averaged over PSII herbicide at 28 DAA, S-metolachlor-containing treatments caused statistically greater injury than PSII herbicides alone, but the injury was unlikely of biological significance. Injury observed at 14 and 28 DAA was less than 12% for all treatments.

Relative height

Crop height was influenced by the herbicide added (Table 3). Generally, S-metolachlor-containing treatments, averaged over PSII herbicide, caused a 15% height reduction relative to plants from nontreated plots, which was greater than with PSII herbicide alone (Table 4). Similarly, Geier et al. (2009) found that S-metolachlor at 2.8 kg ha⁻¹, when applied PRE in combination with atrazine at

1.12 kg ha⁻¹, may cause occasional stunting in grain sorghum. Although height was reduced only by a few centimeters, this reduction corroborates the injury that was observed 28 DAA in the present study (Table 4).

Relative yield

Relative yield was influenced only by the main effect of PSII herbicide (Table 3). Although there was minimal injury and height reduction, grain sorghum treated with atrazine had significantly less yield reduction than did plots treated with other PSII treatments (Table 4). The reduction in grain sorghum yield from propazine, a currently labeled PRE option (Anonymous 2020), was surprising, and the cause for this loss is not known. Given the yield loss associated with each PSII herbicide other than atrazine, it appears there was a yield-loss component that went unmeasured. Although not directly measured in this study other than through injury evaluations, one potential reason for the yield loss observed could be attributed to a reduction in crop density caused by other nonatrazine-containing treatments. Another reason may be a hindrance in physiological development. Saeed et al. (1986) demonstrated that the period from emergence to bloom was vital for number of heads plant⁻¹ and seeds head⁻¹. If the sorghum plants are using more energy for metabolism of herbicides than is needed for atrazine during this time and not toward development, the effects could be observed in the yield. More research is needed to determine the yield loss mechanism(s) caused by these PSII herbicides and any differential effects on physiological development among them.

POST Study

Injury

Injury was influenced by an interaction between PSII herbicide and herbicide added 14 DAA (Table 3). Ametryn- and prometryn-containing treatments caused greater than 28% injury to grain sorghum plants, which was greater than other treatments (Table 5). Injury of the other treatments was less than 20%. Except for ametryn-, diuron-, and linuron-containing treatments, the addition of mesotrione to each PSII herbicide increased injury to grain sorghum (Table 5). The increased injury could be due to the synergy that occurs between some PSII herbicides and mesotrione (Abendroth et al. 2006). Except for diuron- and propazine-containing treatments, the addition of S-metolachlor did not increase injury from a PSII herbicide. Unlike mesotrione, S-metolachlor rarely induces foliar symptomology but is taken up only through the roots and shoots of plants (Fuerst 1987).

Injury was influenced by the main effects of PSII herbicide and herbicide added 28 DAA (Table 3). Averaged over the herbicide added, ametryn- and prometryn-containing treatments caused 14% and 16% injury, respectively, which was more than other PSII herbicides (Table 6). All other PSII herbicides caused comparable injury to atrazine-containing treatments, excluding linuron-containing treatments, which caused 6% injury. Averaged over PSII herbicides, mesotrione-containing treatments caused greater injury 28 DAA than treatments with no herbicide added or treatments with S-metolachlor (Table 6).

Relative height

Relative height was influenced by an interaction between PSII herbicide and herbicide added 28 DAA (Table 3). Ametryn- and

Table 5. Grain sorghum injury, relative height, and relative yield as influenced by interactions between PSII herbicide and herbicide added in POST trials, averaged over site-years at Fayetteville and Marianna, AR, in 2017 and 2018.

PSII herbicide ^a	Herbicide added	Injury ^b		Relative height ^c		Relative yield ^d
		14 DAA	28 DAA	14 DAA	28 DAA	
		%		----- % of nontreated -----		
Ametryn	None	35 b	89 def	86 bcd	86 bcd	86 bcd
	Mesotrione	33 b	87 f	87 bcd	87 bcd	87 bcd
	S-metolachlor	29 b	87 f	88 bcd	88 bcd	88 bcd
Atrazine	None	2 kj	99 a	90 abcd	90 abcd	90 abcd
	Mesotrione	9 fghi	96 abc	88 bcd	88 bcd	88 bcd
	S-metolachlor	3 kj	96 abc	92 abc	92 abc	92 abc
Diuron	None	9 efgh	96 abc	88 bcd	88 bcd	88 bcd
	Mesotrione	15 cde	95 abcd	93 ab	93 ab	93 ab
	S-metolachlor	18 c	91 cdef	86 bcd	86 bcd	86 bcd
Fluometuron	None	4 ijk	96 abc	86 bcd	86 bcd	86 bcd
	Mesotrione	17 cd	94 bcdef	94 ab	94 ab	94 ab
	S-metolachlor	6 hij	92 bcdef	88 bcd	88 bcd	88 bcd
Linuron	None	13 cdefg	87 f	88 bcd	88 bcd	88 bcd
	Mesotrione	13 cdefg	93 bcdef	96 a	96 a	96 a
	S-metolachlor	14 cdef	95 abcde	92 abc	92 abc	92 abc
Metribuzin	None	8 ghi	93 bcdef	91 abcd	91 abcd	91 abcd
	Mesotrione	19 c	94 bcdef	90 abcd	90 abcd	90 abcd
	S-metolachlor	12 cdefg	97 ab	90 abcd	90 abcd	90 abcd
Prometryn	None	32 b	94 bcdf	94 ab	94 ab	94 ab
	Mesotrione	49 a	72 g	65 e	65 e	65 e
	S-metolachlor	33 b	88 ef	86 bcd	86 bcd	86 bcd
Propazine	None	1 k	96 abc	87 bcd	87 bcd	87 bcd
	Mesotrione	18 c	94 bcdef	81 de	81 de	81 de
	S-metolachlor	10 defgh	96 abc	89 bcd	89 bcd	89 bcd
Simazine	None	1 k	93 bcdef	86 bcd	86 bcd	86 bcd
	Mesotrione	13 cdef	95 abc	82 cd	82 cd	82 cd
	S-metolachlor	3 kj	96 abc	87 bcd	87 bcd	87 bcd

^aAbbreviations: DAA, days after application; PSII, photosystem II.

^bMeans within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher protected LSD ($P = 0.05$).

^cHeight in the nontreated plots averaged across site-years was 72 cm. The Marianna 2017 site-year was excluded from the analysis.

^dYield in the nontreated plots averaged across site-years was 5,448 kg ha⁻¹.

Table 6. Grain sorghum injury as influenced by PSII herbicide and herbicide added in POST trials, averaged over site-years at Fayetteville and Marianna, AR, in 2017 and 2018.^a

Factor ^a	Herbicide	Injury
		28 DAA ^b
PSII herbicide ^c		%
	Ametryn	14 a
	Atrazine	3 cd
	Diuron	5 bc
	Fluometuron	5 bc
	Linuron	6 b
	Metribuzin	5 bc
	Prometryn	16 a
	Propazine	3 cd
	Simazine	2 d
Herbicide added ^d	None	4 b
	Mesotrione	8 a
	S-metolachlor	5 b

^aAbbreviations: DAA, days after application; PSII, photosystem II.

^bMeans within a factor followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Fisher protected LSD ($P = 0.05$).

^cInjury averaged over herbicide added.

^dInjury averaged over PSII herbicide.

prometryn-containing treatments, excluding prometryn alone, reduced grain sorghum height compared with atrazine-containing treatments. The only other treatment that was not comparable to any atrazine-containing treatment was linuron alone, with a 13%

height reduction relative to the nontreated (Table 5). Generally, treatments that caused injury greater than 25% 14 DAA reduced height by 10% or more. Although height was reduced by certain herbicide combinations when compared with atrazine combinations, most treatments did not cause a biologically meaningful difference in height.

Relative yield

Yield was influenced by an interaction between PSII herbicide and herbicide added (Table 3). Grain sorghum yield for all treatments was comparable to atrazine-containing treatments, except prometryn plus mesotrione, which also had the highest level of grain sorghum injury 14 DAA and the greatest height reduction (Table 5). Overall, yield from 14 of 15 treatments was comparable to atrazine-containing treatments.

Recommended Additional Testing

Recommending which herbicides should undergo additional testing and evaluation relative to atrazine should be based on all response variables. However, yield is likely considered the most important crop response for producers. For PRE-applied PSII herbicides, alternatives to atrazine may be difficult to find, based on grain sorghum yield reductions caused by all herbicides evaluated. One possibility is to reduce the rate of these herbicides or test additional ones other than the few evaluated in this experiment. Similarly, for the POST study, herbicide treatments that resulted in grain sorghum yields significantly less than the atrazine-containing treatments should not undergo additional testing as viable replacements at the rates evaluated. Considering crop injury as a factor that heavily weighs on consideration of acceptable tolerance, a level of 15% injury was chosen to refine the list of herbicides that should be evaluated in future trials. Using this criteria, any ametryn- or prometryn-containing treatments should not be tested further at these rates. On the basis of both visible injury and grain sorghum yield, it is recommended that additional research on weed control and crop tolerance be conducted for POST applications of diuron, fluometuron, linuron, metribuzin, prometryn, propazine, and simazine. Several of these herbicides are labeled in other agronomic crops such as cotton, soybean, or corn (Barber et al. 2020), but understanding the weed control value at the rates and combinations tested in this experiment would be beneficial.

Acknowledgments. This research was funded by the Arkansas Corn and Grain Sorghum Board. No conflicts of interest are reported.

References

- Abendroth JA, Martin AR, Roeth FW (2006) Plant response to combinations of mesotrione and photosystem II inhibitors. *Weed Technol* 20:267–274
- Anonymous (2018) Aatrex® herbicide product label. Greensboro, NC: Syngenta Crop Protection
- Anonymous (2020) Milo-pro® herbicide product label. Ankeny, IA: Albaugh, LLC
- Barber LT, Butts TR, Selden G, Norsworthy JK, Burgos N, Bertucci M (2020) *Recommended Chemicals for Weed and Brush Control*. University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service. 200 p. <https://www.uaex.edu/publications/pdf/mp44/mp44.pdf>. Accessed: March 24, 2020
- Barbash JE, Thelin GP, Kolpin DW, Gilliom RJ (2006) Major herbicides in groundwater: results from the national water-quality assessment. *J Environ Qual* 30:831–845
- Bararpour T, Hale RR, Kaur G, Singh B, Tseng TP, Wilkerson TH, Willett CD (2019) Weed management programs in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Agric* 9:182
- Burnside OC, Wicks GA (1969) Influence of weed competition on sorghum growth. *Weed Sci* 17:332–334
- Blouin DC, Webster EP, Bond JA (2011) On the analysis of combined experiments. *Weed Technol* 25:165–169
- Carmar SG, Nyquist WE, Walker WM (1989) Least significant differences in combined analyses of experiments with two- or three-factor treatment designs. *Agron J* 81:655–672
- Culpepper AS, York AC (1999) Weed management in glufosinate-resistant corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technol* 13:324–333
- Espinoza L, Kelley J, eds. (2004) *Grain Sorghum Production Handbook*. Handbook MP 297. Little Rock, AR: University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service
- Feltner KC, Hurst HR, Anderson LE (1969) Tall waterhemp competition in grain sorghum. *Weed Sci* 17:214–216
- Fuerst EP (1987) Understanding the mode of action of the chloroacetamide and thiocarbamate herbicides. *Weed Technol* 1:270–277
- Gbur EE, Stroup WW, McCarter KS, Durham S, Young LJ, Christman M, West M, Kramer M (2012) *Analysis of Generalized Linear Mixed Models in the Agricultural and Natural Resources Sciences*. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America, Crop Science Society of America. 298 p
- Geier PW, Stahlman PW, Regehr DL, Olson BL (2009) Preemergence herbicide efficacy and phytotoxicity in grain sorghum. *Weed Technol* 23:197–201
- Kegode GO, Bishnoi UR, Mays DA (1994) The influence of johnsongrass infestation and nitrogen rates on grain sorghum. *J Agr Crop Sci* 172:242–246
- Kohrt JR, Sprague CL (2017) Response of a multiple-resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) population to four HPPD-inhibiting herbicides applied alone and with atrazine. *Weed Sci* 65:534–545
- Krausz RF, Kapusta G (1998) Preemergence weed control in imidazolinone-resistant corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technol* 12:151–156
- Moore JW, Ditmore M, TeBeest DO (2009) The effects of cropping history on grain sorghum yields and anthracnose severity in Arkansas. *Crop Prot* 28:737–743
- [NASS] National Agricultural Statistics Service (2019a) *Crop Production 2019 Summary*. <https://downloads.usda.library.cornell.edu/usda-esmis/files/k3569432s/sj139j59z/1257b842j/cropan20.pdf>. Accessed: January 12, 2020
- [NASS] National Agricultural Statistics Service (2019b) Quick stats. <https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/results/0A13043E-12ED-36DC-B00B-C9D7FA35C48B>. Accessed: January 12, 2020
- Saeed M, Francis CA, Clegg MD (1986) Yield component analysis in grain sorghum. *Crop Sci* 26:346–351
- Singh BU, Padmaja PG, Seetharama N (2004) Biology and management of the sugarcane aphid, *Melanaphis sacchari* (Zehntner) (Homoptera: Aphididae), in sorghum: a review. *Crop Prot* 23:739–755
- Sprague CL, Kells JJ, Penner D (1999) Weed control and corn tolerance from soil-applied RPA 201772. *Weed Technol* 13:713–725
- Webster, TM (2012) Weed survey-grass crops subsection. *Proc South Weed Sci Soc* 65:267–288
- Webster TM, Cardina J, Loux MM (1998) The influence of weed management in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) stubble on weed control in corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technol* 12:522–526