

ON INTERPOLATION POLYNOMIALS OF THE HERMITE-FEJÉR TYPE II

S.J. GOODENOUGH AND T.M. MILLS

Given a real-valued function f on $[-1, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the following partition of $[-1, 1]$:

$$-1 < x_n < x_{n-1} < \dots < x_k := \cos((2k-1)\pi/2n) < \dots < x_1 < 1,$$

there exists a unique polynomial $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$ of degree not exceeding $4n - 1$ such that

$$R_{4n-1}(f; x_k) = f(x_k) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

and, for $j = 1, 2$ and 3 ,

$$R_{4n-1}^{(j)}(f; x_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

The polynomials $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are called Hermite-Fejér type interpolation polynomials based on the zeros of $T_n(x) := \cos(n \cos^{-1}x)$.

In this article, the authors first outline the development of results pertaining to the (rate of) convergence of Hermite-Fejér type interpolation polynomials. They then extend this development by deriving a new pointwise error estimate. This estimate represents a marked improvement on all previous error estimates in that it reflects the interpolation conditions.

Received 3 November 1980. The authors wish to express their appreciation to Miss R. Myers and Miss L. Henderson for their expert assistance in the preparation of this paper.

Introduction

Let f be a real-valued function defined on $[-1, 1]$ and, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, denote by

$$(1) \quad x_k = \cos \{(2k-1)\pi/2n\}$$

the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind

$$(2) \quad T_n(x) = \cos n\theta \quad \text{where} \quad -1 \leq x = \cos \theta \leq 1 .$$

Then there exists a unique polynomial $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$ of degree at most $4n - 1$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$R_{4n-1}(f; x_k) = f(x_k) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

and, for $j = 1, 2$ and 3 ,

$$R_{4n-1}^{(j)}(f; x_k) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n .$$

Henceforth, we shall refer to the polynomials $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$ as *Hermite-Fejér type interpolation polynomials*. These are so named due to their close connection with the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials used by Fejér [1] in 1916 in presenting a new proof of the celebrated Weierstrass approximation theorem.

Indeed, the Hermite-Fejér type interpolation polynomials were first introduced by Krylov and Steuermann [5] (in 1922), who stated the following

THEOREM 1 [Krylov and Steuermann]. *If $f \in C[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{4n-1}(f) - f\|_{\infty} = 0 .$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the uniform norm on $C[-1, 1]$.

Unfortunately, the proof given by Krylov and Steuermann was incorrect. A correct proof of this result was later furnished by Laden [6]. In 1959, Stancu [10] estimated the rate of convergence of the Hermite-Fejér type polynomials $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ in terms of the modulus of continuity of f , denoted by $w(f; \delta)$, where

$$w(f; \delta) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : |x - y| \leq \delta, x, y \in [-1, 1]\} .$$

THEOREM 2 [Stancu]. *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that, if*

$f \in C[-1, 1]$, then

$$\|R_{4n-1}(f)-f\|_{\infty} \leq C_1 w(f; 1/\sqrt{n}) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

Stancu's result was subsequently improved by Florica [2], who proved the following

THEOREM 3 [Florica]. *There is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that, if $f \in C[-1, 1]$, then*

$$\|R_{4n-1}(f)-f\|_{\infty} \leq C_2 w(f; (\log n)/n) \text{ for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots .$$

Now suppose Ω is an increasing, subadditive, continuous function defined on $[0, \infty[$ with $\Omega(0) = 0$. Indeed, it may be helpful to think of Ω as a generalised modulus of continuity. Furthermore, for each fixed but arbitrary positive constant M , put

$$C_M(\Omega) := \{f \in C[-1, 1] : w(f; \delta) \leq M\Omega(\delta) \text{ for all } \delta \geq 0\} .$$

One of the authors, Mills [7], focussed attention on $C_1(\Omega)$ to obtain an estimate (for the error incurred in replacing f by $R_{4n-1}(f)$) which is best possible in a certain sense.

THEOREM 4 [Mills]. *There exist positive constants C_3 and C_4 such that*

$$C_3/n \sum_{k=2}^n \Omega(1/k) \leq \sup_{f \in C_1(\Omega)} \|R_{4n-1}(f)-f\|_{\infty} \leq C_4/n \sum_{k=1}^n \Omega(1/k) \text{ for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots .$$

In 1978, Prasad [8] established the following more general pointwise estimate.

THEOREM 5 [Prasad]. *There is a positive constant C_5 such that, if $f \in C_M(\Omega)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $x \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$|R_{4n-1}(f; x)-f(x)| \leq C_5 M/n \sum_{k=1}^n \Omega(\{\sqrt{1-x^2}/k\}+(1/k^2)) .$$

It should be mentioned that this result was observed independently, but not proved, by Goodenough and Mills [3]. The main purpose of this

paper is to establish

THEOREM 6. *There exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that, if $f \in C[-1, 1]$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $x \in [-1, 1]$,*

$$(5) \quad |R_{4n-1}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \left[c_1 T_n(x)^4 / n \right] \sum_{k=1}^n w(f; (\sqrt{1-x^2}/k) + (1/k^2)) + c_2 w(f; (|T_n(x)|/n)) .$$

Note that the above theorem represents an improvement on Theorem 5 in that it reflects the interpolation conditions. More precisely, if $x = x_k = \cos((2k-1)\pi/2n)$, then both sides of inequality (5) vanish simultaneously.

Technical preliminaries

In this section, we shall unveil certain technical results which are needed for the proof of Theorem 6. Firstly, the formula for the Hermite-Fejér type interpolation polynomial $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$ is given by:

$$(6) \quad R_{4n-1}(f; x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) S_k(x)$$

where

$$(7) \quad S_k(x) = F_k(x) + G_k(x) + H_k(x) ,$$

$$(8) \quad F_k(x) = (1/n^4) \left(1 - x_k^2 \right) (1 - x^2) \left(T_n(x) / (x - x_k) \right)^4 ,$$

$$(9) \quad G_k(x) = ((4n^2 - 1) / 6n^4) (x - x_k)^2 (1 - xx_k) \left(T_n(x) / (x - x_k) \right)^4 ,$$

$$(10) \quad H_k(x) = (1/2n^4) \left[T_n(x)^2 / (x - x_k) \right]^2$$

and

$$x_k = \cos((2k-1)\pi/2n) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n .$$

We have already reported that if $x = x_k$ for some $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, then both sides of the inequality (5) vanish simultaneously. Accordingly, we may suppose that $x \neq x_k$ for

$k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. In this case, we define x_j to be the node of interpolation which is closest to x : if there are two such nodes, then choose either one (but not both) to be x_j . Clearly $j = j(n)$, and provided $x \neq 1$, $j \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The following lemma is due to Kis ([4], p. 30).

LEMMA 1 [Kis]. For $-1 \leq x = \cos \theta \leq 1$,

$$|f(x_k) - f(x)| \leq \begin{cases} 2\omega(f; (\sin \theta)/n) + 2\omega(f; 1/n^2) & \text{if } k = j, \\ 5\omega(f; (i \sin \theta)/n) + 13\omega(f; i^2/n^2) & \text{if } i = |k-j| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The next lemma will be needed to establish the term $C_2\omega(f; |T_n(x)|/n)$ which appears as part of the upper estimate for $|R_{4n-1}(f; x) - f(x)|$ in Theorem 6.

LEMMA 2. If $x = \cos \theta$, $x_k = \cos \theta_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and x_j is the node closest to x , then $|\theta - \theta_j| \leq (\pi/2n)|\cos n\theta|$.

Proof. Suppose for example that $\theta_j < \theta \leq (\theta_j + \theta_{j+1})/2$. Then the establishment of the required inequality follows from the easy observation that the absolute value of the gradient of the line joining $(\theta_j, 0)$ and $((\theta_j + \theta_{j+1})/2, \cos n(\theta_j + \theta_{j+1})/2)$ does not exceed the absolute value of the gradient of the line joining $(\theta_j, 0)$ and $(\theta, \cos n\theta)$. Other cases may be treated in a similar vein.

It is debatable whether the following lemma deserves its title. Nonetheless, the elementary inequalities contained therein will smooth the way for proving the all-important Lemma 4.

LEMMA 3. If $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \pi]$, then

- (i) $0 \leq \sin \alpha \leq 2 \sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)$, and
- (ii) $\sin \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) \geq \sin \frac{1}{2}|\alpha - \beta|$.

LEMMA 4. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n$, $F_k(x)$, $G_k(x)$ and

$H_k(x)$ are all expressible in the form $O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^2$, where $i = |k-j|$.

Proof. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_k(x) &= (1/n^4) \left(1-x_k^2\right) (1-x^2) \left[T_n(x)/(x-x_k)\right]^4 \\
 &= \left[T_n(x)^4/n^4\right] \cdot \left[\sin^2\theta_k / \left(4 \sin^2((\theta+\theta_k)/2)\right)\right] \cdot \left[\sin^2\theta / \left(4 \sin^2((\theta+\theta_k)/2)\right)\right] \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \left[1/\left[\sin^4((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] \\
 &\leq \left[T_n(x)^4/n^4\right] \cdot \left[1/\left[\sin^4((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] \text{ by Lemma 3.}
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$(11) \quad \left[1/\left[\sin^4((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] = O(1)/(\theta-\theta_k)^4 = O(1)n^4/i^4, \text{ where } i = |k-j|$$

so it follows that

$$F_k(x) = O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^4.$$

In particular,

$$F_k(x) = O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^2.$$

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_k(x) &= \left((4n^2-1)/6n^4\right) \cdot (x-x_k)^2 (1-xx_k) \left(T_n(x)/(x-x_k)\right)^4 \\
 &< \left[2T_n(x)^4/3n^2\right] \cdot \left[\left(1-x_k^2+x_k^2-xx_k\right)/(x-x_k)^2\right] \\
 &= \left[2T_n(x)^4/3n^2\right] \cdot \left[\sin^2\theta_k / \left(4 \sin^2((\theta+\theta_k)/2)\right)\right] \cdot \left[1/\left[\sin^2((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad + \left[T_n(x)^4/3n^2\right] \cdot \left[(\cos \theta_k) / (\sin((\theta+\theta_k)/2) \sin(|\theta-\theta_k|/2))\right] \\
 &\leq \left[2T_n(x)^4/3n^2\right] \cdot \left[1/\left[\sin^2((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad + \left[T_n(x)^4/3n^2\right] \cdot \left[1/\left[\sin^2((\theta-\theta_k)/2)\right]\right] \text{ by Lemma 3} \\
 &\leq \left[2T_n(x)^4 c_1/3i^2\right] + \left[T_n(x)^4 c_1/3i^2\right] \text{ for some absolute constant } c_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$G_k(x) = O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^2.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(x) &= (1/2n^4) \left[T_n(x)^2 / (x-x_k) \right]^2 \\ &= \left(T_n(x)^4 / 2n^4 \right) \cdot \left[1 / \left(4 \sin^2((\theta+\theta_k)/2) \sin^2((\theta-\theta_k)/2) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \left(T_n(x)^4 / 8n^4 \right) \cdot \left[1 / \left(\sin^4((\theta-\theta_k)/2) \right) \right] \text{ by Lemma 3.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$H_k(x) = O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^4 \text{ by (11).}$$

In particular,

$$H_k(x) = O(1)T_n(x)^4/i^2$$

and the lemma is proved.

We now have the necessary machinery for the

Proof of Theorem 6

From the definition of $R_{4n-1}(f; x)$, we have

$$R_{4n-1}(1; x) = \sum_{k=1}^n S_k(x) \equiv 1 .$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad & |R_{4n-1}(f; x) - f(x)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n |f(x_k) - f(x)| S_k(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(x_k) - f(x)| S_k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |f(x_k) - f(x)| S_k(x) + |f(x_j) - f(x)| S_j(x) + \sum_{k=j+1}^n |f(x_k) - f(x)| S_k(x) \end{aligned}$$

where, as before, x_j is the node closest to x ,

$$= W_1 + W_2 + W_3, \text{ say.}$$

We now estimate W_1, W_2 and W_3 in turn.

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad W_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |f(x_k) - f(x)| S_k(x) \\
 &= O(1) T_n(x)^4 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (1/i^2) [5\omega(f; (i \sin \theta)/n) + 13\omega(f; i^2/n^2)] \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{by Lemmas 1 and 4, where } i = j - k \\
 &= O(1) \left(T_n(x)^4/n \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \omega(f; (\sqrt{1-x^2}/k) + (1/k^2)) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{by the methods of Saxena [9].}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may show that

$$(14) \quad W_3 = O(1) \left(T_n(x)^4/n \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \omega(f; (\sqrt{1-x^2}/k) + (1/k^2)) .$$

It remains only to estimate W_2 . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad W_2 &= |f(x_j) - f(x)| S_j(x) \\
 &\leq |f(x_j) - f(x)| \\
 &\leq \omega(f; |x_j - x|) \\
 &\leq \omega(f; |\theta_j - \theta|) \\
 &\leq 2\omega(f; |T_n(x)|/n) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.}
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that (12), (13), (14) and (15) prove Theorem 6.

References

- [1] Leopold Fejér, "Ueber Interpolation", *Nachr. Ges. Wissench. Göttingen* (1916), 66-91.
- [2] Olariu Florica, "Asupra ordinului de aproximație prin polinoame de interpolare de tip Hermite-Fejér cu noduri cvadruple" [On the order of approximation by interpolating polynomials of Hermite-Fejér type with quadruple nodes], *An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Ști. Mat.-Fiz.* 3 (1965), 227-234.
- [3] S.J. Goodenough and T.M. Mills, "The asymptotic behaviour of certain interpolation polynomials", *J. Approx. Theory* (to appear).

- [4] O. Киш [O. Kis], "Замечания о порядке сходимости лагранжева интерполирования" [Remark on the order of convergence of Lagrange interpolation], *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* 11 (1968), 27-40.
- [5] N.M. Krylov and E. Steuermann, "Sur quelques formulae d'interpolation convergentes pour toute fonction continue", *Bull. Acad. de l'Oucraine* 1 (1923), 13-16.
- [6] H.N. Laden, "An application of the classical orthogonal polynomials to the theory of interpolation", *Duke Math. J.* 8 (1941), 591-610.
- [7] T.M. Mills, "On interpolation polynomials of the Hermite-Fejér type", *Colloq. Math.* 35 (1976), 159-163.
- [8] J. Prasad, "On the rate of convergence of interpolation polynomials of Hermite-Fejér type", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 19 (1978), 29-37.
- [9] R.B. Saxena, "A note on the rate of convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials", *Canad. Math. Bull.* 17 (1974), 299-301.
- [10] D.D. Stancu, "Asupra unei demonstratii a teoremei lui Weierstrass" [On a proof of the theorem of Weierstrass], *Bul. Inst. Politehn. Iași (N.S.)* 5 (9) (1959), 47-50.

Department of Mathematics,
Bendigo College of Advanced Education,
Bendigo,
Victoria 3550,
Australia.