



Economic hardship and child intake of foods high in saturated fats and added sugars: the mediating role of parenting stress among high-risk families

Brittany R Schuler^{1,*}, Sajeevika S Daundasekara², Daphne C Hernandez³, Levent Dumenci⁴, Michael Clark⁵, Jennifer O Fisher⁶ and Alison L Miller⁷

¹School of Social Work, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA: ²Department of Health and Human Performance, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA: ³Department of Research, Cizik School of Nursing, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA: ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA: ⁵Consulting for Statistics, Computing, and Analytics Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA: ⁶Social and Behavioral Sciences, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19140, USA: ⁷Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Submitted 26 November 2019: Final revision received 17 March 2020: Accepted 14 April 2020: First published online 27 July 2020

Abstract

Objective: Economic hardship (EH) may link to poorer child diet, however whether this association is due to resource limitations or effects on family functioning is unknown. This study examines whether parenting stress mediates the association between EH and child consumption of foods high in saturated fats and added sugars (SFAS).

Design: Data were collected from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing study. EH was assessed using eight items collected when children were between 1–9 years old. Mothers reported parenting stress and frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods when children were 9 years old. Latent growth curve modelling (LGCM) and structural equation modelling tested direct associations between the starting level/rate of change in EH and high SFAS food consumption, and parenting stress as a mediator of the association.

Setting: Twenty US cities.

Participants: Mothers/children (*n* 3846) followed birth through age 9 years, over-sampled 'high-risk', unmarried mothers.

Results: LGCM indicated a curvilinear trend in EH from ages 1–9, with steeper increases from ages 3–9 years. EH did not directly predict the frequency of high SFAS foods. Average EH at 3 and 5 years and change in EH from ages 1–9 predicted higher parenting stress, which in turn predicted more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods.

Conclusions: Findings suggest it may be important to consider parenting stress in early prevention efforts given potential lasting effects of early life EH on child consumption of high SFAS foods. Future research should explore how supports and resources may buffer effects of EH-related stress on parents and children.

Keywords
Economic hardship
Parenting stress
Diet quality
Childhood obesity risk
Low income

Research has consistently documented associations between economic hardship (EH) in early childhood and subsequent adverse child outcomes^(1,2), including poorer child diet quality^(3–11) and risk of childhood obesity⁽¹²⁾. The experience of EH may be an isolated stressful event or a source of chronic stress if families experience prolonged exposure to multiple EH^(13,14). Fluctuations in EH over the course of early child

development may have differential effects on child outcomes such that those experiencing unexpected or extreme hardships (e.g., loss of home) may experience poorer outcomes than those with no EH, mild or temporary EH, or those that experience an underlying lower level of EH over time. The first known study to examine longitudinal trends between poverty and obesity across childhood (aged 2–12 years)

*Corresponding author. Email Brittany.schuler@temple.edu

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society



demonstrated robust associations between early life poverty (before age 2 years) and adolescent-onset obesity⁽¹²⁾. However, the research is limited and inconsistent, and little is known about whether this association is due to having limited access to needed resources or to effects on family processes. Existing research commonly uses federal poverty guidelines as a measure of economic strain^(7,15,16). However, income-based measures are inadequate and outdated⁽¹⁷⁾ and do not account for the complexity of EH that families are likely to experience⁽¹⁷⁾ both above and below the federal poverty line⁽¹⁸⁾. Because we know that experiences with different forms of EH are likely to co-occur or fluctuate, households that experience more risk factors are more likely to experience more adverse outcomes^(19,20), including obesity risk⁽²¹⁾. Accounting for the aggregation of risk factors over time may be a better predictor than focusing on the sole contribution of a single risk factor^(20,22). Exposure to EH can contribute to feelings of family stress, and specifically parenting stress. Longitudinal assessments of links between prolonged EH, parenting stress and child diet outcomes are limited. Given the disproportionate risk of adverse health consequences across the life span among low-income and racial and ethnic minorities^(23,24), it is essential for prevention efforts to identify ways in which extended exposure to EH across childhood may increase risk of poorer child diet quality among high-risk groups, which may eventually lead to excess weight and chronic health complications. In the present study, we fill these gaps in the literature by examining longitudinal changes in EH from early to mid-childhood to determine whether changes in EH over time associate with child diet quality and whether parenting stress mediates this association.

Economic hardship and child diet

Foods high in saturated fats and added sugars (SFAS), such as sweet and salty snacks and sugar-sweetened beverages are typically comprised of empty energy content and few nutrients that contribute to dietary imbalances^(25,26) and are commonly consumed by children⁽²⁷⁾. Energy consumed from SFAS has increased in frequency in the past few decades and encompasses approximately 27% of total daily energy intake among children^(28,29). Preschool-aged children who consume snacks larger in size and higher in energy density tend to have poorer overall diet quality and higher daily intake of SFAS⁽³⁰⁾. Children and adolescents with overweight or obesity are more likely to consume more of their total daily energy from snacks⁽³¹⁾, consume more SFAS from snacks and consume more energy during each snacking occasion compared with those that are normal weight⁽³²⁾. These studies indicate that increased intake of foods often consumed by children and typically high in SFAS may increase daily energy intake and risk of overweight and obesity in childhood, even for those consuming two or more snacks per day⁽³³⁾. Mid-childhood is a crucial stage for understanding contributors to SFAS consumption. When

children transition into childhood and adolescence, they become more autonomous in their eating behaviours and are more likely to increase consumption of SFAS and foods eaten away from home^(34,35). Because children become more independent during adolescence, it is important to understand contributors to eating habits in the preadolescent stage as dietary patterns established in childhood are typically carried into adulthood^(36–38) and can have long-term effects on health.

Markers of EH, such as housing insecurity and food insecurity, may link to poorer quality diet in childhood⁽³⁹⁾. However, studies have not uniformly found significant associations between EH and children's consumption of high SFAS foods. A systematic review examining correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption among children found that a majority of studies did not find significant associations between family level socioeconomic status, indicators of EH and fruit/vegetable intake⁽⁴⁰⁾. On the other hand, food insecurity has been linked to higher BMI and lower overall diet quality in early⁽³⁾ and middle childhood⁽⁴⁾ and to increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages in early childhood⁽⁵⁾. Higher poverty levels have been negatively associated with child consumption of fruit but not vegetables⁽⁶⁾. Lower socioeconomic status measured as a composite of education, income and employment has been associated with higher intake of sugar-sweetened beverages among Canadian youth⁽⁷⁾. Lower primary caregiver education has been associated with larger serving sizes provided by mothers to children⁽⁸⁾ and higher intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and energy-dense, nutrient-poor snacks among Australian⁽⁹⁾ and American youth⁽¹⁰⁾. The inconsistent findings regarding EH and child diet may be due also to limitations in measuring hardship as a single indicator (i.e., poverty level, food insecurity) at a single point in time. Low-income families often face a multitude of economic stressors that may affect dietary outcomes. Given these discrepant findings, it is important to better understand how exposure to multiple elements of EH may increase child intake of high SFAS foods. Risk of adverse health consequences increases with aggregated stress exposure^(19,20,41). Those living in resource-constrained environments often experience multiple economic stressors simultaneously. Targeting only individual risk factors such as food insecurity or housing insecurity may miss the cumulative effect of other co-occurring economic stressors that may continue to impact parenting stress and child consumption of high SFAS foods. Assessing the aggregate of EH experienced over time allows us to have a better understanding of the cumulative effect on parents, and more holistically address parenting needs.

Multiple forms of EH including unmet material needs, job instability, housing instability and unequal access to services such as medical care are all forms of economic strain^(42–45) that can vary from family to family and increase family stress. However, such hardships are not captured by education, income levels or poverty thresholds. Families



living above the federal poverty line often experience limitations in access to these essential material items^(46,47). Furthermore, most studies on child diet measure EH at one point in time⁽⁴⁸⁾, rather than accounting for fluctuations in the effects of multiple EH over time. One study found an association between greater family stress, inclusive of EH, when children were 3 years old and lower fruit and vegetable consumption at age 5 years, but associations with sugary snacks were not significant⁽¹¹⁾, and EH was measured at a single point in time. Such research suggests that there may be a longitudinal effect of early economic stress on subsequent child dietary patterns^(49,50). Focusing solely on family income at only one point in time may limit our understanding of how EH may affect SFAS consumption. This research yielding mixed results on the associations between markers of single-item indicators of EH (e.g., poverty, food insecurity and parent education) and child dietary patterns suggests the need for a more comprehensive understanding of the role of EH^(46,47). The present study first hypothesises that EH across early to middle childhood (aged 1–9 years) – operationalised as experiencing economic deprivation in relation to food security, housing stability, access to medical care and ability to pay bills and utilities – will associate with more frequent intake of high SFAS foods.

Parenting stress and child diet

As noted earlier, the link between EH and child intake of high SFAS foods may also not be direct but may instead become established through parenting behaviours or practices, such as parenting stress. Parenting stress refers to challenges and feelings of burden related to the demands of parenthood^(51,52). Experiences with multiple forms of EH (e.g., unmet material needs, housing instability) can increase parenting stress^(53,54), negatively affect parent emotional and behavioural health⁽⁵⁴⁾ and increase tendencies for harsh parenting⁽⁵⁵⁾, particularly when parents have limited personal and social resources⁽¹⁴⁾. In early and mid-childhood, a range of parenting practices can impact diet and child weight development, including food selection, feeding practices and the modelling of eating behaviours^(55,56). Stress from EH can lead to maladaptive coping behaviours and reduce a parent's ability to meet the emotional and physical needs of children⁽⁴⁴⁾ during critical stages of development in early and mid-childhood. This may extend to food parenting. Parents experiencing high levels of stress may lack the emotional energy and sense of mastery⁽⁴⁴⁾ to plan and prepare healthy meals. Parenting stress may also influence a parent's approach to feeding children. For example, the inability to afford adequate food for one's family is a stressful experience, may affect parent emotional states and compromise parenting practices and decision-making around feeding practices⁽⁵⁷⁾. Further, the effects of parenting stress on children may lead to impairments in the development of self-regulation and executive

functioning^(58,59) that have been linked to eating behaviours. However little is known about how the timing and duration of EH during early and mid-childhood can subsequently affect parenting stress, and how these stressors may shape parenting practices that affect child diet. Therefore, prevention efforts should begin in the preadolescent stage. It is necessary to expand the current literature on parenting stress to account for how EH may increase risk for parenting stress and whether these effects persist over time to affect diet when children are 9 years old, before they reach adolescence.

Associations between parenting stress and child consumption of fruits and vegetables have been equivocal^(11,60,61). Some studies have found a positive association between parenting stress and consumption of sugary foods and beverages^(21,62) while others have not^(2,11,60,61). Qualitative work indicates that feelings of stress among parents affect the eating environment in low-income households. Feeling stressed and overwhelmed led parents to feel more difficulty in setting and keeping limits on their child's intake of sweets⁽⁶³⁾ and to increase purchasing and consumption of high-energy foods like ice cream and fast food even if a meal was already prepared at home⁽⁶⁴⁾. Parenting stress has been associated with higher child consumption of fast food⁽⁶⁰⁾, higher BMI^(1,60,65), greater decline in overall dietary quality over 1 year⁽⁶⁶⁾, more parental pressure to eat, having fewer homemade foods⁽⁶⁷⁾, higher levels of child emotional overeating⁽⁶⁸⁾ and poorer overall child health⁽⁶⁹⁾. Although EH may directly affect child diet quality⁽²⁷⁾, there are likely other processes by which EH can affect child diet, such as parenting stress. No studies were identified that examine EH as an antecedent in the association between higher parenting stress and poorer child diet quality. It is important to understand how levels of EH across childhood may have sustained effects on later parenting stress and subsequent child consumption of SFAS. As such, this study hypothesises that the links between EH and child consumption of high SFAS foods may not be direct, rather, that increases in EH across early to middle childhood will be associated with higher levels of parenting stress, which will in turn associate with more frequent consumption of SFAS foods when children are 9 years old.

In the present study, we address important gaps in the literature by examining changes in individual family experiences with EH over the course of early to mid-child development, whether changes in EH associate with child consumption of high SFAS foods, and whether this association is mediated by parent stress. Several individual level characteristics may affect child consumption of high SFAS foods in addition to EH and parenting stress. As such, we account for factors known to associate with child consumption of high SFAS foods, including maternal age, race and ethnicity, education level, maternal BMI, and child sex and BMI z-score^(31,70). Understanding the potential links between the magnitude and duration of exposure to EH on subsequent child consumption of foods high in SFAS



through parenting stress may help improve child outcomes by shedding light on whether cumulative EH and parenting stress should be targets of intervention.

Methods

Procedure and sample

Data were drawn from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing (FFCW) study, a longitudinal study of approximately 4898 new mothers in twenty randomly selected cities with a population density of 200 000 or more⁽⁷¹⁾. The FFCW is representative of unmarried births; about three-quarters of the sample were born to unmarried parents⁽⁷¹⁾. There is a higher proportion of poor and racial/ethnic minority families in unmarried households, and the FFCW sample is referred to as 'high risk' because of the multiple risk factors associated with non-marital childbearing⁽⁷¹⁾. Mothers were recruited from hospitals from 1998 to 2000, where baseline data were collected at the child's birth, and families participated in follow-up studies when children were 1 (T1), 3 (T3), 5 (T5) and 9 (T9) years old using a combination of phone interviews and in-home assessments. Participants were excluded at the start of the study if parents were planning for adoption, the child or father was deceased prior to the interview, parents did not speak English or Spanish or were too ill to complete the interview. Additional details on the study design and sampling procedures of the original FFCW study can be found elsewhere⁽⁷¹⁾. For the present study, 1052 cases were excluded, resulting in a sample of 3846 families based on the following criteria: teen mother under 18 at baseline (due to likelihood of different living circumstances and correlations of age with income and parenting stress; n 140), child developmental disability that may impair growth (n 812) and non-singleton birth (n 95). Additional five cases were excluded for being extreme outliers on measures of EH based on box plot results.

Measures

Economic hardship trajectory

EH was assessed at waves T1–T9. Items were derived from the Survey on Income and Program Participation section on basic needs⁽⁷²⁾. Mothers responded to eight dichotomous items indicating the presence or absence of the following EH in the past 12 months: (1) received free food/meals, (2) unable to pay full amount of rent/mortgage, (3) evicted for not paying rent/mortgage, (4) could not pay full gas/oil/electric bill, (5) borrowed money from family/friends to pay bills, (6) moved in with people because of financial problems, (7) stayed in a place not meant for regular housing and (8) could not afford needed medical care for anyone in house. Based on prior research^(73–75), an index was developed by summing scores across all eight items

for each time point (T1, T3, T5 and T9), with a possible range of 0–8; higher scores represent more EH.

Parenting stress

Parenting stress was assessed at T9 using a series of four questions derived from the Parenting Stress Inventory⁽⁵²⁾. Mothers were asked to respond to the following items on a 4-point Likert scale from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree): (1) being a parent is harder than I thought it would be, (2) I feel trapped by my responsibilities as a parent, (3) I find that taking care of my child(ren) is much more work than pleasure and (4) I often feel tired, worn out or exhausted from raising a family. Items were reverse scored and averaged to account for missing responses; higher scores represent more parenting stress (T9 α = 0.70).

Child consumption of foods high in saturated fats and added sugars

Children's consumption of high SFAS foods was assessed using a FFQ format⁽⁷⁶⁾ and includes food items that are the most common sources of added sugar and saturated fat (e.g., desserts, candy, sugar-sweetened beverages and savoury snacks/chips)^(27,77–80) that have been used in prior studies with similar samples^(5,21,81,82). Based on study designed measures at T9, mothers were asked the number of servings of each of the following items that their child consumes on a typical day on a scale of 0 (never) to 5 (five or more): candy or sweets, snack foods or chips and soda. In accordance with prior research, the measure of the frequency of high SFAS foods was constructed using a standardised index⁽⁸¹⁾, in which each dietary item was included in the analysis as a latent construct of child consumption of high SFAS foods, with higher values representing more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods.

Control variables

Several mother and child characteristics were included as control variables. Maternal characteristics include mother's age at the time of the child's birth (baseline), maternal education at T1 (1 = <high school, 2 = high school equivalent, 3 = some college and 4 = college degree) and maternal BMI at T9 based on self-report of height and weight. Maternal race/ethnicity (baseline interview report) was included to compare those that are Hispanic and non-Hispanic Black to those that are non-Hispanic White or of an 'other' (Asian/Pacific Islander, American Indian/Eskimo/Aleut and other not specified) race/ethnicity. Child sex was collected via baseline interview report. Child height and weight were measured by trained researchers at T9, and BMI z-scores were calculated based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts for age and sex⁽⁸³⁾.

Data analysis

Missing data analysis

Missing data were present in fewer than 5 % of cases for all variables except for EH (7 % missing) and child diet items



(26% missing). Bivariate analyses were conducted to determine the extent to which missing data on child diet variables were missing at random. Most missing data occurred because parents did not participate in the 9-year wave of data collection. Missing data on diet items did not vary by child sex ($P=0.09$) or maternal BMI ($P=0.28$). Missing data on child diet was significantly more likely among mothers that were slightly older on average ($M=26.07$, $SD=6.00$) compared with parents who were not missing data ($M=25.32$, $SD=5.86$; $t=-3.62$, $P<0.001$), among those with less than a high school education (34.4%), compared with college graduates (11.7%; $\chi^2=14.04$, $P=0.003$), and among those who were African American (40.9%) or Hispanic (33.8%) compared with those who were White (19.9%) or of an 'other' race/ethnicity (5.4%; $\chi^2=33.44$, $P<0.001$). Missing data analysis suggests that data are missing at random but not missing completely at random. Mplus uses maximum likelihood estimation as default to handle data that are missing at random by using all available data⁽⁸⁴⁾.

Main analysis

To test whether change in EH from T1 to T9 was associated with the frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods (hypothesis 1), MPLUS V.8 was used to conduct a latent growth curve modelling analysis, a framework of structural equation modelling⁽⁸⁵⁾ to assess the longitudinal trajectory of change in EH from T1 through T9 (T1, T3, T5 and T9) using maximum likelihood estimation. Latent growth curve modelling intercept values reflect the mean starting level of EH, and slope values represent the change in each child's exposure to EH from ages 1 through 9. Given the study aim to assess the association of change in EH over the early life course, slope values were centred at T1, T3 and T5 in separate models to assess hardship averages and trends at different stages of child development. To determine the best fitting model, we assessed whether trajectories of EH scores followed a linear or nonlinear/curvilinear pattern by testing linear and quadratic slopes. Next, structural equation modelling was used to test hypothesis 1 (direct association between EH and SFAS food consumption) and hypothesis 2 (parenting stress as a mediator of the EH-child high SFAS food consumption association). Observed factors for parent stress and a latent factor for frequency of child SFAS food consumption were included in models with EH growth curves to investigate trends over time for EH with random intercepts and random slopes for subjects.

Indirect effects can be present in the absence of total or direct effects⁽⁸⁶⁾. Given the temporally distal nature of EH, particularly the average starting level (i.e., intercept) at T1–T5, and child SFAS food consumption outcomes at T9, a direct association between EH and SFAS consumption was not a requirement to proceed with mediation models⁽⁸⁷⁾. To estimate the significance of mediation effects, bootstrapping with 1000 samples and bias-corrected estimates were applied^(87,88). Model fit was assessed based on χ^2

goodness-of-fit indices, a comparative fit index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) >0.95 , and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) <0.08 ⁽⁸⁹⁾. Models were adjusted for the following potential covariates: maternal age, maternal BMI, education, and race/ethnicity, child sex and child BMI z-score; only maternal education and race/ethnicity were retained as significant predictors of SFAS intake at T9.

Results

Sample characteristics and descriptive statistics

Primary caregivers in the final sample included mostly mothers (98%) and will henceforth be referred to as 'mothers'. At the time of their child's birth, mothers were 26 years old, on average. Most were non-Hispanic Black (46.2%), followed by Hispanic (28.5%) and non-Hispanic White (21.2%). Approximately 30% of mothers had less than a high school education, 29% reported having a high school degree or equivalent, 29% had some college and 12% reported having a college degree. Average EH scores were low and ranged from 0.87 to 1.07 from T1 to T9. At T9, mothers reported their child to have an average of 1.11 servings of sweets, 1.40 servings of snacks and 0.52 servings of soda each day. Parenting stress scores were 2.00, on average, at T9. See Table 1 for more details on sample characteristics and descriptive statistics.

Latent growth curve modelling and structural equation modelling results

Economic hardship change from T1 to T9

Estimated and sample means of EH across each wave of data collection show a slight decline in average levels

Table 1. Sample characteristics and descriptive statistics ($n=3846$)

Variable (min–max)	M or n	SD	%
Mom age at T1	25.55	0.50	
Race/ethnicity			
Non-Hispanic White	822		21.20
Non-Hispanic Black	1792		46.20
Hispanic	1105		28.50
Other	163		4.20
T1 education			
<High school	1014		29.70
High school equivalent	1001		29.30
Some college	989		28.90
College degree	413		12.10
T9 maternal BMI	31.36	8.49	
Child sex – male	1959		50.30
Economic hardship (0–8)			
T1	0.87	1.24	
T3	0.84	1.24	
T5	0.91	1.27	
T9	1.07	1.34	
T9 parenting stress (1–4)	2.00	0.67	
T9 child SFAS (servings/d)			
Sweets (0–5)	1.11	1.12	
Snacks/chips (0–5)	1.40	1.04	
Soda (0–5)	0.52	0.92	




Fig. 1 Observed and estimated curves for T1–T9 economic hardship (EH) quadratic slope

of hardship from T1 to T3, an upward trend in hardship from T3 to T5 and a steep increase from T5 to T9 (Fig. 1). The quadratic slope function was used for analysis based on estimated and sample means that show a curvilinear trend in EH from T1 to T9. The quadratic function was a good fit to the data ($\chi^2 = 2.62$, $df = 1$, $RMSEA = 0.02$ ($<0.001-0.05$), $CFI = 1.00$, $TLI = 1.00$, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC): 39 331.71), similar to the linear model ($\chi^2 = 1.42$, $df = 2$, $RMSEA$ (90 % CI) <0.001 (<0.001 , 0.03), $CFI = 1.00$, $TLI = 1.001$, AIC: 30 902.82). The mean intercept ($\beta = 0.98$, $SE = 0.03$, $P < 0.001$) and quadratic slope values ($\beta = 0.15$, $SE = 0.05$, $P < 0.001$) were significant (Fig. 2).

Hypothesis 1: Economic hardship and saturated fats and added sugars

There were no direct associations between EH and frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods. The average level of EH at T3 (intercept direct effect: $\beta = -0.01$, $SE = 0.03$, $P = 0.63$) and T5 (intercept direct effect: $\beta = -0.02$, $SE = 0.03$, $P = 0.62$) was not associated with the frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods at T9. The change in the trajectory of EH from T1 to T9 was also not significantly associated with the frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods at T9 when EH was centred at T3 (quadratic slope direct effect: $\beta = 0.04$, $SE = 0.06$, $P = 0.52$) or T5 (quadratic slope direct effect: $\beta = -0.06$, $SE = 0.07$, $P = 0.42$). Both models were a good fit to the data (T3: $\chi^2 = 94.50$ ($df = 16$); $CFI = 0.99$, $TLI = 0.96$, $RMSEA = 0.04$ (90 % CI 0.03, 0.04); T5: $\chi^2 = 52.73$ ($df = 23$); $CFI = 0.99$, $TLI = 0.99$, $RMSEA = 0.02$ (90 % CI 0.01, 0.03)). Models testing EH centred at T1 were not a fit to the data.

Hypothesis 2: Parenting stress mediation

Average economic hardship at T3 and T5. Higher levels of parenting stress significantly mediated the association




Fig. 2 Latent growth curve modelling for T1–T9 economic hardship (EH) quadratic growth curve, centred at T3. *** $P < 0.001$

between higher average starting levels of EH (T3: intercept indirect effect: $\beta = 0.03$, $SE = 0.01$, $P < 0.001$; 95 % CI 0.02, 0.04; T5: intercept indirect effect: $\beta = 0.03$, $SE = 0.01$, $P < 0.001$; 95 % CI 0.02, 0.05) and more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods at T9, net of controls. In other words, the average level of EH at both T3 (Fig. 3a) and T5 (Fig. 3b) was positively associated with higher levels of parenting stress at T9 (T3: $\beta = 0.20$, $SE = 0.03$, $P < 0.001$; T5: $\beta = 0.21$, $SE = 0.04$, $P < 0.001$) which was, in turn, associated with more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods at T9 (T3: $\beta = 0.13$, $SE = 0.03$, $P < 0.001$; T5: $\beta = 0.13$, $SE = 0.03$, $P < 0.001$). The structural equation modelling mediation models were a good fit to the




Fig. 3 Structural equation modeling (SEM) standardised estimates for T1–T9 economic hardship (EH) with parenting stress mediation. * $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.001$

data (T3: $\chi^2 = 99.77$ ($df = 19$); CFI = 0.98, TLI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.03 (90% CI 0.03, 0.04); T5: $\chi^2 = 99.77$ ($df = 19$); CFI = 0.98, TLI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.03 (90% CI 0.03, 0.04)).

Change in economic hardship from T1 to T9. When centred at T3, higher levels of parenting stress significantly mediated the association between change in hardship from T1 to T9 and more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods at T9 (slope indirect effect: $\beta = 0.02$, $SE = 0.01$,

$P = 0.03$; 95% CI 0.01, 0.05), net of controls (Fig. 3a). The slope of EH from T1 to T9 was positively associated with higher levels of parenting stress at T9 ($\beta = 0.20$, $SE = 0.07$, $P = 0.007$) which was, in turn, associated with more frequent SFAS food consumption at T9 ($\beta = 0.13$, $SE = 0.03$, $P < 0.001$). When centred at T5, change in EH from T1 to T9 was not significantly associated with parenting stress or frequency of child consumption of high SFAS



foods (quadratic slope: $\beta = 0.09$, $SE = 0.07$, $P = 0.21$). See online supplementary material, Supplemental Table 1 for standardised parameter estimates of control covariates in each model.

Discussion

Study findings indicate that among a high-risk sample, there is significant variation in the average level of EH experienced among families. EH levels changed significantly from T1 to T9, such that there were significant increases in EH, on average, between the ages of 3 and 9 years. Contrary to our hypotheses, neither the average level of EH during early childhood (aged 3 and 5 years) nor the change in EH from ages 1 to 9 directly predicted more frequent consumption of high SFAS foods. However, parenting stress at T9 significantly mediated the association between average starting levels of EH as well as increasing levels of EH and more frequent child consumption of high SFAS foods at age 9. Collectively, these findings suggest that early and sustained exposure to EH may increase parenting stress, which in turn may increase risk of more frequent child consumption of high SFAS foods.

Prior studies have found direct associations between indicators of EH and child consumption of SFAS or diet quality^(3,4,6,7,11,12). Current study findings indicate that EH is not directly associated with consumption of high SFAS foods⁽⁴⁰⁾ but may operate through parenting stress. One explanation for differences in present study findings compared with prior research may be due to the focus on consumption of high SFAS foods rather than a specific focus on access to healthy foods. EH may be more likely to directly link to the consumption of fewer healthful foods, such as fruits and vegetables, given that healthful foods are commonly more costly, and the absence of economic resources is more likely to affect a family's ability to purchase such items. This may in part explain why some studies found associations between indicators of EH and overall diet quality (e.g., total amount of energy content and nutrients consumed)^(3,4), and other studies are equivocal in their findings when broken down by the type of food eaten (e.g., total amount of energy content and nutrients consumed)^(7,9,10,40). Foods high in SFAS are often lower cost, easily accessible and are less likely to be affected by the amount of economic resources a family has.

EH experienced in early childhood operated through parenting stress to associate with the frequency of child consumption of high SFAS foods, indicating that consumption of SFAS may be affected by the degree of stress experienced by the parent. The association between parenting stress and more frequent child consumption of high SFAS foods is consistent with prior research that has found associations between similar concepts, such as higher parenting stress and higher fast food consumption⁽⁶⁰⁾, poorer parent feeding practices that link to lower quality diet⁽⁶⁷⁾, higher

levels of child emotional overeating⁽⁶⁸⁾, better general family functioning and lower preschooler sugar consumption⁽⁶²⁾. Further, studies have consistently linked parenting stress^(1,60,65) or more stressful social circumstances (e.g., intimate partner violence, maternal depression and maternal substance use)⁽²¹⁾ with higher risk of childhood obesity, even after adjusting for parental weight⁽⁶⁰⁾. However, study findings differ from previous research that has found no consistent link between higher levels of family stress and child consumption of snack foods⁽¹¹⁾ or added sugars^(61,90). These prior studies measured EH as a single-item indicator at one point in time (i.e., family income, child recipient of free or reduced priced lunch)^(11,90), whereas this study uses a comprehensive measure that includes multiple elements of EH and importantly examines the longitudinal effects of such EH. Given trends in increasing levels of overconsumption of foods high in SFAS^(27–29,91), results of the current study extend the results of prior research by providing important information about the role of early life EH in predicting parenting stress and more frequent child consumption of SFAS.

Strengths and limitations

Results of this study add to existing research in two important ways. First, a comprehensive measure of EH was used to assess cumulative risk over the early life course, a critical period of changes in food parenting and development of children's dietary behaviours. This allowed for an examination of how multiple elements of EH and changes in a family's experience with EH over time might associate with parenting stress and child consumption of high SFAS foods. The measure of EH used in this study provides an extensive view of a family's experience with EH over the first 9 years of a child's life. Second, this study adds to the literature by assessing how a potential pathway of parenting stress may play an important role in establishing an indirect association between EH and child consumption of SFAS foods, providing a potential target for interventions aiming to improve diet quality and reduce overconsumption in childhood.

There are also several limitations in this study. While the EH measure in this study is comprehensive, single-item indicators of EH that are more closely related to food and eating, such as food insecurity, may be more correlated with SFAS consumption. For example, with the EH measure, the proxy item to index degree of food insecurity in the family, *received free food/meals* was positively and significantly correlated with the US Household Food Security Survey Module raw scale scores⁽⁹²⁾ at T3 ($r = 0.24$, $P < 0.001$) and T5 ($r = 0.28$, $P < 0.001$). However, this proxy item was not significantly associated with SFAS consumption at any time point (T1 $t = -0.004$, $P = 0.99$, T3 $t = 0.70$, $P = 0.48$, T5 $t = -0.14$, $P = 0.09$, T9 $t = 0.67$, $P = 0.49$). Further, given that the FFCW represents 'high-risk' families (i.e., oversampled families with unmarried parents and racial and ethnic minorities), variability in



EH may be more limited than in nationally representative samples, limiting the generalisability of findings and presenting limitations in the potential to detect differences in more widely varying levels of EH. This study assessed self-reported parent stress and SFAS food consumption cross-sectionally at a single point in time, not allowing for an assessment of temporal associations. Future research should examine how stress may fluctuate over time with changes in EH and include objective measures of stress in addition to parental perceptions to assess longitudinal effects of parenting stress on child SFAS consumption. Child consumption of high SFAS food was measured by parent report. Foods assessed in this study are key sources of SFAS intakes among young children but may not reflect the whole of SFAS consumption. Further, our SFAS measure focuses on frequency and does not capture energy contribution to diet. Given such, results may be subject to response bias in that parents may be more likely to under-report the frequency of consumption of less healthful foods. Future research should include established, validated measures of diet quality and include consumption of both healthful and unhealthful foods.

Twenty-six percent of the original sample was missing SFAS food consumption outcome data. Although the entire FFCW is a 'high-risk' sample, the analytic sample had higher levels of education and fewer participants identifying as Hispanic and non-Hispanic Black compared with non-Hispanic White. Because lower levels of education and racial and ethnic minority status have been associated with higher SFAS consumption⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾, effects of EH on parenting stress and SFAS consumption may be stronger than present study results indicate. The total variance explained was small, indicating that there are other factors that may influence child consumption of high SFAS foods. Factors that may influence high SFAS food consumption are complex and can involve multiple interacting mechanisms. Indicators of EH that are more directly related to diet (e.g., neighbourhood level food access, food insecurity) and other factors that may affect parenting stress, such as parent mental health, were not tested in this study and may play an important role in understanding child SFAS consumption outcomes. Future research should account for the complexity of factors at individual, household and community levels that may influence overconsumption, including child temperament and behaviour, parent mental health, parenting styles, parent-child relationships and access to quality and affordable foods in the environment. Finally, although a strength of the study was its longitudinal design, we are still not able to infer causality from these data.

Conclusions

Findings provide new evidence that parenting stress may explain associations between influences of early EH on children's later intake of unhealthy foods. The present

study expands our understanding of the reach of family stress, and potential mechanisms by which EH and parenting stress can contribute to suboptimal health behaviours beginning in childhood. Results suggest it may be important to consider parenting stress in early intervention and prevention efforts given the potential lasting effects of early life EH on subsequent child consumption of SFAS foods. Given that parenting stress may be an important mechanism through which the impact of EH may affect child diet quality, addressing EH in early childhood and subsequent parental distress may be important elements for improving our understanding of how to reduce overconsumption of SFAS in subsequent years of child development. It is understandable that families experiencing EH and attendant stress may be inclined to turn to affordable foods high in SFAS as a rewarding treat for children⁽⁹³⁾. Adverse challenges resulting from EH can be unavoidable. This study represents a first step in expanding beyond income-only indicators of hardship. In addition to the supports families may need to address specific forms of hardship (e.g., housing supports, food supports and medical care), results of this study, similar to other studies⁽⁹⁴⁾, suggest that screening for various multiple hardships may provide opportunities to determine when parents also need supports to cope with feelings of stress associated with the experience of parenting in the context of EH. Coping and resilience are major factors in shaping how stress under such circumstances is handled⁽⁹⁵⁾. Given that a majority of children are resilient to the obesogenic environment, and are not overweight or obese^(95,96), future research should examine the dynamics that protect children from unhealthy eating behaviours and obesity risk, particularly among lower-income families. This includes examining methods that can buffer the degree of stress felt from EH-related stress. This could include assessing therapeutic services to help parents cope with stress, providing resources for stress management and facilitating connections to opportunities for positive social support. Assessing how resources and referrals for financial supports and assisting families in accessing and applying for such supports (e.g., food assistance programmes, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) may help buffer the effects of EH-related stress and are important directions for future research. Of note, our measure of stress in this study focused on parenting-related stress; it may also be important for future work to be able to articulate between different types of stress parents are experiencing in order to tailor recommendations. Future research should consider the main sources of stress imposed by EH so that methods may be better designed to be responsive to such hardships and determine if specific forms of EH, compared with cumulative EH, are more prominent sources of stress for parents and families. Understanding how EH may be a driver for parenting stress and understanding how parenting stress may then link to child diet provides important direction for interventions to target stress-coping mechanisms,

as well as parenting strategies and skills to improve child food consumption⁽⁹⁷⁾.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the support, resources and advisement provided by the Vivian A. and James L. Curtis School of Social Work Research and Training Center of the University of Michigan School of Social Work and the advisement and reviews of Dr Jay Fagan. **Financial support:** This study was supported by The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (Grant #74726). The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views of the Foundation. Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) of the National Institutes of Health under award numbers R01HD36916, R01HD39135 and R01HD40421, as well as a consortium of private foundations. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. **Conflict of interest:** None. **Authorship:** B.R.S. and A.L.M. conceptualised the study, B.R.S., L.D. and M.C. analysed the data; B.R.S., S.S.D., D.C.H., J.O.F. and A.L.M. wrote the paper, Schuler and Miller had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. **Ethics of human subject participation:** This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all families provided informed consent before participating in the study. The Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study is a publicly available data set and institutional review board approval was not needed.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980020001366>

References

- Shankardass K, McConnell R, Jerrett M *et al.* (2014) Parental stress increases body mass index trajectory in pre-adolescents. *Pediatric Obesity* **9**(6), 435–442.
- Shonkoff JP, Boyce WT & McEwen BS (2009) Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities: building a new framework for health promotion and disease prevention. *JAMA* **301**, 2252–2259.
- Jansen EC, Kasper N, Lumeng JC *et al.* (2017) Changes in household food insecurity are related to changes in BMI and diet quality among Michigan head start preschoolers in a sex-specific manner. *Soc Sci Med* **181**, 168–176.
- Fram MS, Ritchie LD, Rosen N *et al.* (2015) Child experience of food insecurity is associated with child diet and physical activity. *J Nutr* **145**, 499–504.
- King C (2017) Soft drinks consumption and child behaviour problems: the role of food insecurity and sleep patterns. *Public Health Nutr* **20**, 266–273.
- Lorson BA, Melgar-Quinonez HR & Taylor CA (2009) Correlates of fruit and vegetable intakes in US children. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 474–478.
- Pabayo R, Spence JC, Cutumisu N *et al.* (2012) Sociodemographic, behavioural and environmental correlates of sweetened beverage consumption among pre-school children. *Public Health Nutr* **15**, 1338–1346.
- Rigal N, Champel C, Hébel P *et al.* (2019) Food portion at ages 8–11 and obesogeny: the amount of food given to children varies with the mother's education and the child's appetite arousal. *Soc Sci Med* **228**, 111–116.
- Grimes CA, Riddell LJ, Campbell KJ *et al.* (2013) Dietary salt intake, sugar-sweetened beverage consumption, and obesity risk. *Pediatrics* **131**, 14–21.
- Larson N, Story M, Eisenberg ME *et al.* (2016) Secular trends in meal and snack patterns among adolescents from 1999 to 2010. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **116**, 240–250. e2.
- Webb HJ, Zimmer-Gembeck MJ, Scuffham PA *et al.* (2018) Family stress predicts poorer dietary quality in children: examining the role of the parent-child relationship. *Infant Child Dev* **27**, e2088.
- Lee H, Andrew M, Gebremariam A *et al.* (2014) Longitudinal associations between poverty and obesity from birth through adolescence. *Am J Public Health* **104**, e70–e76.
- Alaimo K (2005) Food insecurity in the United States: an overview. *Top Clin Nutr* **20**, 281–298.
- Conger RD, Wallace LE, Sun Y *et al.* (2002) Economic pressure in African American families: a replication and extension of the family stress model. *Dev Psychol* **38**, 179.
- Min J, Xue H & Wang Y (2018) Association between household poverty dynamics and childhood overweight risk and health behaviours in the United States: a 8-year nationally representative longitudinal study of 16800 children. *Pediatr Obes* **13**, 590–597.
- Morris TT, Northstone K & Howe LD (2016) Examining the association between early life social adversity and BMI changes in childhood: a life course trajectory analysis. *Pediatr Obes* **11**, 306–312.
- Chaudry A & Wimer C (2016) Poverty is not just an indicator: the relationship between income, poverty, and child well-being. *Acad Pediatr* **16**, S23–S29.
- Neckerman KM, Garfinkel I, Teitler JO *et al.* (2016) Beyond income poverty: measuring disadvantage in terms of material hardship and health. *Acad Pediatr* **16**, S52–S59.
- Hernandez DC (2015) The impact of cumulative family risks on various levels of food insecurity. *Soc Sci Res* **50**, 292–302.
- Dong M, Anda RF, Felitti VJ *et al.* (2005) Childhood residential mobility and multiple health risks during adolescence and adulthood: the hidden role of adverse childhood experiences. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* **159**, 1104–1110.
- Suglia SF, Duarte CS, Chambers EC *et al.* (2013) Social and behavioral risk factors for obesity in early childhood. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* **34**, 549.
- Sameroff AJ, Seifer R, Baldwin A *et al.* (1993) Stability of intelligence from preschool to adolescence: the influence of social and family risk factors. *Child Dev* **64**, 80–97.
- Skinner AC, Perrin EM & Skelton JA (2016) Prevalence of obesity and severe obesity in US children, 1999–2014. *Obesity* **24**, 1116–1123.
- Schuler BR (2019) Social work and toddler overweight risk: identifying modifiable child and parent factors across the socioeconomic gradient. *Social Work and Health Care* **58**, 952–969.
- Maillot M & Drewnowski A (2010) Energy allowances for solid fats and added sugars in nutritionally adequate US diets estimated at 17%–33% by a linear programming model. *J Nutr* **141**, 333–340.



26. Cappelli C, Pike JR, Riggs NR *et al.* (2019) Executive function and probabilities of engaging in long-term sedentary and high calorie/low nutrition eating behaviors in early adolescence. *Soc Sci Med* **237**, 112483.
27. Reedy J & Krebs-Smith SM (2010) Dietary sources of energy, solid fats, and added sugars among children and adolescents in the United States. *J Am Diet Assoc* **110**, 1477–1484.
28. Piernas C & Popkin BM (2010) Trends in snacking among US children. *Health Aff* **29**, 398–404.
29. Blaine RE, Fisher JO, Taveras EM *et al.* (2015) Reasons low-income parents offer snacks to children: how feeding rationale influences snack frequency and adherence to dietary recommendations. *Nutrients* **7**, 5982–5999.
30. Kachurak A, Bailey RL, Davey A *et al.* (2019) Daily snacking occasions, snack size, and snack energy density as predictors of diet quality among US children aged 2 to 5 years. *Nutrients* **11**, 1440.
31. Kachurak A, Davey A, Bailey RL *et al.* (2018) Daily snacking occasions and weight status among US children aged 1 to 5 years. *Obesity* **26**, 1034–1042.
32. Tripicchio GL, Kachurak A, Davey A *et al.* (2019) Associations between snacking and weight status among adolescents 12–19 years in the United States. *Nutrients* **11**, 1486.
33. Fisher JO, Davey A, Kachurak A *et al.* (2017) Association of snacking frequency with patterning of daily energy intake and weight among US toddlers and preschoolers. *FASEB J* **31**, 29.4–29.4.
34. Lytle LA, Seifert S, Greenstein J *et al.* (2000) How do children's eating patterns and food choices change over time? Results from a cohort study. *Am J Health Promot* **14**, 222–228.
35. Nielsen SJ, Siega-Riz AM & Popkin BM (2002) Trends in food locations and sources among adolescents and young adults. *Prev Med* **35**, 107–113.
36. Berkey CS, Rockett HR, Field AE *et al.* (2000) Activity, dietary intake, and weight changes in a longitudinal study of preadolescent and adolescent boys and girls. *Pediatrics* **105**, e56–e65.
37. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK *et al.* (2012) Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *JAMA* **307**, 483–490.
38. Miller BA, Kolonel LN, Bernstein L *et al.* (1996) *Racial/Ethnic Patterns of Cancer in the United States 1988–1992*, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD: NIH Pub. No. 96-4104.
39. Liu R, Shelton RC, Eldred-Skemp N *et al.* (2019) Early exposure to cumulative social risk and trajectories of body mass index in childhood. *Child Obes* **15**, 48–55.
40. Pearson N, Biddle SJ & Gorely T (2009) Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 267–283.
41. Suglia SF, Duarte CS, Chambers EC *et al.* (2012) Cumulative social risk and obesity in early childhood. *Pediatrics* **129**, e1173–e1179.
42. Peters MF & Massey G (1983) Mundane extreme environmental stress in family stress theories: the case of Black families in White America. *Marriage Fam Rev* **6**, 193–218.
43. Carroll G (1998) Mundane extreme environmental stress and African American families: a case for recognizing different realities. *J Comp Fam Stud* **29**, 271–284.
44. Murry VM, Butler-Barnes ST, Mayo-Gamble TL *et al.* (2018) Excavating new constructs for family stress theories in the context of everyday life experiences of Black American families. *J Fam Theory Rev* **10**, 384–405.
45. Neppel TK, Jeon S, Schofield TJ *et al.* (2015) The impact of economic pressure on parent positivity, parenting, and adolescent positivity into emerging adulthood. *Fam Relat* **64**, 80–92.
46. Boushey H, Brocht C, Gundersen B *et al.* (2001) *Hardships in America: The Real Story of Working Families*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.
47. Gershoff ET, Aber JL, Raver CC *et al.* (2007) Income is not enough: incorporating material hardship into models of income associations with parenting and child development. *Child Dev* **78**, 70–95.
48. Mazarello Paes V, Hesketh K, O'Malley C *et al.* (2015) Determinants of sugar-sweetened beverage consumption in young children: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* **16**, 903–913.
49. Duncan GJ, Yeung WJ, Brooks-Gunn J *et al.* (1998) How much does childhood poverty affect the life chances of children? *Am Sociol Rev* **63**, 406–423.
50. Wells NM, Evans GW, Beavis A *et al.* (2010) Early childhood poverty, cumulative risk exposure, and body mass index trajectories through young adulthood. *Am J Public Health* **100**, 2507–2512.
51. Deater-Deckard K (2008) *Parenting Stress*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
52. Abidin R (1995) *Manual for the Parenting Stress Index*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
53. Neppel TK, Senia JM & Donnellan MB (2016) Effects of economic hardship: testing the family stress model over time. *J Fam Psychol* **30**, 12.
54. Knowles M, Rabinowich J & De Cuba SE *et al.* (2016) “Do you wanna breathe or eat?” Parent perspectives on child health consequences of food insecurity, trade-offs, and Toxic stress. *Matern Child Health J* **20**, 25–32.
55. Lampard AM, Franckle RL & Davison KK (2014) Maternal depression and childhood obesity: a systematic review. *Prev Med* **59**, 60–67.
56. Birch L, Savage JS & Ventura A (2007) Influences on the development of children's eating behaviours: from infancy to adolescence. *Can J Diet Pract Res* **68**, s1.
57. Bronte-Tinkew J, Zaslow M, Capps R *et al.* (2007) Food insecurity works through depression, parenting, and infant feeding to influence overweight and health in toddlers. *J Nutr* **137**, 2160–2165.
58. Deater-Deckard KD & Panneton R (2017) *Parental Stress and Early Child Development*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
59. Zajicek-Farber ML, Mayer LM & Daughtery LG (2012) Connections among parental mental health, stress, child routines, and early emotional behavioral regulation of preschool children in low-income families. *J Soc Social Work Res* **3**, 31–50.
60. Baskind MJ, Taveras EM, Gerber MW *et al.* (2019) Parent-perceived stress and its association with children's weight and obesity-related behaviors. *Prev Chronic Dis* **16**, 180368.
61. O'Connor SG, Maher JP, Belcher BR *et al.* (2017) Associations of maternal stress with children's weight-related behaviours: a systematic literature review. *Obes Rev* **18**, 514–525.
62. Nanjappa S, Hector M & Marcenes W (2015) Mother's perception of general family functioning and sugar consumption of 3- and 4-year-old children: the east London family study. *Caries Res* **49**, 515–522.
63. Norman A, Berlin A, Sundblom E *et al.* (2015) Stuck in a vicious circle of stress. Parental concerns and barriers to changing children's dietary and physical activity habits. *Appetite* **87**, 137–142.
64. Parks EP, Kumanyika S, Moore RH *et al.* (2012) Influence of stress in parents on child obesity and related behaviors. *Pediatrics* **130**, e1096–e1104.
65. Tate EB, Wood W, Liao Y *et al.* (2015) Do stressed mothers have heavier children? A meta-analysis on the relationship between maternal stress and child body mass index. *Obes Rev* **16**, 351–361.



66. O'Connor SG, Huh J, Schembre SM *et al.* (2019) The association of maternal perceived stress with changes in their children's healthy eating index (Hei-2010) scores over time. *Ann Behav Med* **53**, 877–885.
67. Berge JM, Tate A, Trofholz A *et al.* (2017) Momentary parental stress and food-related parenting practices. *Pediatrics* **140**, e20172295.
68. Mandelbaum J, Moore S, Silveira PP *et al.* (2018) Does social capital moderate the association between children's emotional overeating and parental stress? A cross-sectional study of the stress-buffering hypothesis in a sample of mother-child dyads. *Soc Sci Med*. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.12.023. Advance Online Publication.
69. Larkin SJ & Otis M (2018) The relationship of child temperament, maternal parenting stress, maternal child interaction and child health rating. *Child Adolesc Soc Work J* **36**, 1–10.
70. Anderson SE, Lemeshow S & Whitaker RC (2014) Maternal-infant relationship quality and risk of obesity at age 5.5 years in a national US cohort. *BMC Pediatrics* **14**, 54.
71. Reichman NE, Teitler JO, Garfinkel I *et al.* (2001) Fragile families: sample and design. *Child Youth Serv Rev* **23**, 303–326.
72. Survey on Income and Program Participation (1998) *1996 Panel Wave 8 Adult Well-Being Topical Module Questionnaire*. Washington, DC: United States Census Bureau.
73. Shelleby EC (2018) Economic stress in fragile families: pathways to parent and child maladjustment. *J Child Fam Stud* **27**, 3877–3886.
74. Desmond M & Kimbro RT (2015) Eviction's fallout: housing, hardship, and health. *Soc Forces* **94**, 295–324.
75. Zilanawala A & Pilkauskas NV (2012) Material hardship and child socioemotional behaviors: differences by types of hardship, timing, and duration. *Child Youth Serv Rev* **34**, 814–825.
76. Olukotun O & Seal N (2015) A systematic review of dietary assessment tools for children age 11 years and younger. *Infant Child Adolesc Nutr* **7**, 139–147.
77. Huth PJ, Fulgoni VL, Keast DR *et al.* (2013) Major food sources of calories, added sugars, and saturated fat and their contribution to essential nutrient intakes in the US diet: data from the national health and nutrition examination survey (2003–2006). *Nutr J* **12**, 116.
78. Afeiche M, Koyraty B, Wang D *et al.* (2018) Intakes and sources of total and added sugars among 4 to 13-year-old children in China, Mexico and the United States. *Pediatr Obes* **13**, 204–212.
79. Leme AC, Baranowski T, Thompson D *et al.* (2019) Top food sources of percentage of energy, nutrients to limit and total gram amount consumed among US adolescents: national health and nutrition examination survey 2011–2014. *Public Health Nutr* **22**, 661–671.
80. Johnson BJ, Bell LK, Zarnowiecki D *et al.* (2017) Contribution of discretionary foods and drinks to Australian children's intake of energy, saturated fat, added sugars and salt. *Children* **4**, 104.
81. Jackson DB & Vaughn MG (2019) Obesogenic food consumption among young children: the role of maltreatment. *Public Health Nutr* **22**, 1840–1849.
82. Suglia SF, Solnick S & Hemenway D (2013) Soft drinks consumption is associated with behavior problems in 5-year-olds. *J Pediatr* **163**, 1323–1328.
83. Kuczumski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo S *et al.* (2002) 2000 CDC growth charts for the United States; methods and development. *Vital Health Stat* **11** **246**, 1–190.
84. Little RJ & Rubin DB (2019) *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
85. Byrne BM (2013) *Structural Equation Modeling with Mplus: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*. New York, NY: Routledge.
86. Rucker DD, Preacher KJ, Tormala ZL *et al.* (2011) Mediation analysis in social psychology: current practices and new recommendations. *Soc Personal Psychol Compass* **5**, 359–371.
87. Shrout PE & Bolger N (2002) Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: new procedures and recommendations. *Psychol Methods* **7**, 422.
88. Preacher KJ & Hayes AF (2008) Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav Res Methods* **40**, 879–891.
89. Hu LT & Bentler PM (1999) Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Struct Equ Modeling: A Multidiscip J* **6**, 1–55.
90. Shonkoff ET, Dunton GF, Chou C-P *et al.* (2017) Direct and indirect effects of parent stress on child obesity risk and added sugar intake in a sample of Southern California adolescents. *Public Health Nutr* **20**, 3285–3294.
91. US Department of Health and Human Services (2017) *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2015–2020*. Washington, DC: Skyhorse Publishing Inc.
92. Bickel G, Nord M, Price C *et al.* (2000) *Guide to Measuring Household Food Security*. Alexandria, VA: Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrition Service.
93. Fielding-Singh P (2017) A taste of inequality: food's symbolic value across the socioeconomic spectrum. *Sociol Sci* **4**, 424–448.
94. Hatem C, Lee CY, Zhao X *et al.* (2020) Food insecurity and housing instability during early childhood as predictors of adolescent mental health. *J Family Psychol*. doi: 10.1037/fam0000651. Advance Online Publication.
95. Sigman-Grant M, Hayes J, VanBrackle A *et al.* (2015) Family resiliency: a neglected perspective in addressing obesity in young children. *Child Obes* **11**, 664–673.
96. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK *et al.* (2014) Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *JAMA* **311**, 806–814.
97. Fisher JO, Serrano EL, Foster GD *et al.* (2019) Efficacy of a food parenting intervention for mothers with low income to reduce preschooler's solid fat and added sugar intakes: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phy Act* **16**, 6.