

Discrepancies between observational and theoretical parameters for three O-type binaries

Laura R. Penny¹, Douglas R. Gies², and W.G. Bagnuolo Jr²

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA

²CHARA and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA

Abstract. Here we present fundamental parameters (masses, spectral classifications, rotational velocities) obtained from a combination of spectroscopic and photometric orbital solutions for the O-type binary systems HD 152248, HD 149404, and HD 135240. We also present estimated masses derived from theoretical single star evolutionary tracks for these same stars. In all cases the evolutionary masses are larger than those derived from observations.

1. Introduction

Observational masses from a combination of photometric and spectroscopic orbits of close, massive binaries can be used as constraints for theoretically determined masses. The three O-type binary systems presented here all have observed masses below those predicted by evolutionary models for single stars. All three systems (HD 152248, HD 135240, and HD 149404) are well known, double-lined spectroscopic binaries with some photometric variability.

2. Spectroscopic orbits

We obtained the data from *IUE*, high dispersion, short wavelength camera. Cross-correlation analysis was performed using a narrow-lined template star (HD 152248 and HD 34078 (O9.5 V); HD 135240 and HD 149438 (B0 V); and HD 149404 and HD 46202(O9 V)). This analysis results in radial velocities, projected rotational velocities (Penny 1996), and the ultraviolet flux ratio (Penny, Gies & Bagnuolo 1997). Orbital elements are obtained from the SBCM-code of Morbey & Brosterhus (1974). We present the orbital parameters in Table 1. In the case of HD 135240, we adopted the orbital parameters of Stickland *et al.* (1993). We also adopted the period found by Stickland & Koch (1996) for HD 149404.

3. Photometric orbits

Light-curve models are calculated with the GENSYN-code of Mochnacki *et al.* (1986). In order to calculate the model fits, we require estimates of the orbital elements (spectroscopic orbit), the effective temperatures of the stars (spectral types from the method described in Penny, Gies & Bagnuolo 1996 and the effective temperature – spectral type calibration of Howarth & Prinja 1989), and the

Table 1. Parameters for HD 152248, HD 135240 and HD 149404.

parameter	HD 152248	HD 135240	HD 149404
inclination (°)	72(3)	77(2)	30(5)
period (days)	5.816083(18)	3.902456(fixed)	9.81452(fixed)
T(HJD 2400000+)	44122.7(1)	48884.285(fixed)	44449.38(7)
e	0.13(2)	0.061(fixed)	0.0(fixed)
ω (°)	57.3(7.5)	274.4(fixed)	0.0(fixed)
K_1 (km s ⁻¹)	215.5(2.8)	148.4(fixed)	86.6(2.1)
K_2 (km s ⁻¹)	202.2(3.9)	257.4(fixed)	74.0(3.0)
v_0 (km s ⁻¹)	-24.7(2.5)	12.5(2.0)	-56.8(2.0)
a (10 ⁶ km)	33.8(1.4)	22.3(9)	43.4(7.2)
UV spectral class			
(primary)	O7 I	O7 V	O8.5 I
(secondary)	O7 I	O9 V	O6.5 III
T_1 (kK)	35(1.5)	37.5(2.0)	32(1.0)
T_2 (kK)	37(1.5)	35(2.0)	39(3.0)
log L_1/L_\odot	5.47(8)	5.16(10)	5.73(9)
log L_2/L_\odot	5.53(8)	4.37(26)	5.74(15)
$v_{1\text{rot}}$ (km s ⁻¹)	172	159	172
$v_{2\text{rot}}$ (km s ⁻¹)	182	82	109
observational masses			
M_1/M_\odot	24.2(2.0)	18.5(7)	16(8)
M_2/M_\odot	25.8(2.0)	10.7(4)	18(9)
evolutionary masses			
M_1/M_\odot	35.1(3.3)	31.5(4.5)	43.7(5.3)
M_2/M_\odot	38.0(4.5)	16.9(4.0)	49.3(12.0)

flux ratio between the stars (cross-correlation analysis). Before spectral classification can begin, we must perform a tomographic separation of the composite spectra to obtain the individual component spectra of the stars (see Bagnuolo *et al.* 1994). Each model light-curve is then a function of the orbital inclination and the primary polar radius. The results of the best light-curve model fits are presented in Table 1, along with the results of combining the photometric and spectroscopic orbits, and theoretical masses calculated from the single stellar evolutionary tracks of Schaller *et al.* (1992). None of the stars is currently filling its Roche-volume.

References

- Bagnuolo, W.G., Gies, D.R., Hahula, M.E., Wiemker, R., Wiggs, M.S. 1994, ApJ 423, 446
 Mochnacki, S.W., Fernie, J.D., Lyons, R., Schmidt, F.H., Gray, R.O. 1986, AJ 91, 1221
 Morbey, C., Brosterhus, E.B. 1974, PASP 86, 455
 Penny, L.R. 1996, ApJ 463, 737
 Penny, L.R., Gies, D.R., Bagnuolo, W.G. 1996, ApJ 460, 906
 Penny, L.R., Gies, D.R., Bagnuolo, W.G. 1997, ApJ 483, 439
 Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., Meynet, G., Maeder, A. 1992, A&AS 96, 269
 Stickland, D.J., Koch, R.H., Pachoulakis, I., Pfeiffer, R.J. 1993, Observatory 113, 139
 Stickland, D.J., Koch, R.H. 1996, Observatory 116, 145