

## The intake, digestion and protein degradation of grazed herbage by early-weaned lambs

BY G. J. CRUICKSHANK\*, D. P. POPPI† AND A. R. SYKES

*Animal and Veterinary Sciences Group, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New Zealand*

(Received 21 September 1990 – Accepted 19 September 1991)

Sixty-four intact lambs and twenty-four lambs fitted with a duodenal cannula were weaned at 6 weeks of age and grazed pure species swards of either lucerne (*Medicago sativa*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) or prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus*) for 6 weeks. Intake and duodenal digesta flow were estimated when lambs were 8 and 12 weeks of age. Lambs grazing the two legume species grew at a similar rate, as did lambs grazing the two grass species. Legumes promoted a 38% higher growth rate than grasses. The higher growth rate of lambs grazing legumes was associated with a 36% higher digestible organic matter intake (DOMI; 29.5 and 21.7 g/kg body-weight per d for legume and grass respectively) and a 33% higher duodenal non-ammonia-nitrogen (NAN) flow (1.22 and 0.92 g/kg body-weight per d respectively). There was no species difference in the site of organic matter digestion; on average 0.56 of DOMI was apparently digested in the rumen and 0.77 of DOMI was truly digested in the rumen. There was no difference in duodenal NAN flow, relative to DOMI (average, 43 g/kg) or to organic matter apparently digested in the rumen (80 g/kg). Similarly, there was no difference in microbial N flow relative to duodenal NAN (0.50 g/g) and organic matter apparently (41 g/kg) or truly (29 g/kg) digested in the rumen. It was concluded that the higher growth rates achieved by lambs grazing legumes were due to higher intakes which increased the total quantity of nutrients supplied despite more protein being lost in the rumen of lambs consuming legumes.

**Early weaning: Grazing intake: Nutrient supply: Lambs**

Early weaning of lambs has the potential to increase the efficiency of pasture utilization by making the highly digestible nutrients available to the lamb directly rather than via the ewe (Jagusch *et al.* 1970; Rattray *et al.* 1976). However, early weaning on pasture has not been widely adopted in practice despite experimental evidence that early-weaned lambs can grow at similar rates to suckled lambs (Jagusch *et al.* 1970, 1971).

The high growth potential and, therefore, high nutrient requirement of young lambs make them sensitive to diet quality and nutrient supply. The growth rate of grazing lambs is dependent on herbage intake, the nutrients absorbed and the efficiency of utilization of absorbed nutrients. However, the majority of studies on the digestion of fresh herbage have used housed animals (MacRae & Ulyatt, 1974; Ulyatt & MacRae, 1974; Ulyatt & Egan, 1979; Beever *et al.* 1985) due to the problems associated with grazing studies. Recently, grazing studies involving sheep (Corbett *et al.* 1982; Corbett & Pickering, 1983) and cattle (Beever *et al.* 1986*a,b*; Ulyatt *et al.* 1988) have been conducted, but young, rapidly-growing lambs do not appear to have been examined.

The present experiment studied the intake, nutrient supply and growth rate of early-weaned lambs grazing four pasture species offered at high dry matter (DM) allowances. A preliminary report has appeared (Cruickshank *et al.* 1985).

\* Present address: AgResearch, PO Box 85, Hastings, New Zealand.

† Present address: Dept Agriculture, University of Queensland 4072, Australia. For reprints.

## METHODS

*Animals*

Ninety-four South Suffolk × Coopworth ram lambs were used. They were selected in two groups based on their date of birth and weaned as two different groups at a mean age of 6 weeks. The birth dates of the two groups were approximately 14 d apart. Twenty-four lambs were fitted with a 'T'-shaped cannula in the duodenum (CAN lambs) and six lambs with an oesophageal fistula (OF lambs), 4–7 d before weaning. Lambs were removed from their dam for 4–6 h before surgery under standard general anaesthetic and aseptic procedures, following which they were promptly returned and continued to suckle vigorously. This removed the potential trauma associated with simultaneous surgery and weaning, and also permitted, subsequently, simultaneous weaning of CAN and intact (INT) lambs.

Sixteen INT lambs and six CAN lambs grazed each of the four pastures. The six OF lambs were rotated around the pastures as required. Lambs were approximately 15 kg body-weight (W) at the start of the experiment.

*Pasture*

Pure species swards of lucerne (*Medicago sativa* var. Rere), white clover (*Trifolium repens* var. Huia), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* var. Ruanui) and prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus* var. Matua) were strip grazed in 2 d shifts for the 6 weeks of the experiments. Herbage mass was estimated by cutting a series of quadrats to ground level. When lambs were 8 and 12 weeks old the herbage mass (kg DM/ha) was respectively: lucerne 2790, 3230, white clover 2460, 2020, ryegrass 1900, 1740, prairie grass 1660, 1965. Actual DM allowances (kg DM/lamb per d) were, at 8 and 12 weeks of age respectively: lucerne 5.4, 5.1, white clover 4.1, 4.7, ryegrass 6.8, 6.1, prairie grass 6.2, 6.0.

*Timing*

The experiment was carried out between 29 September and 25 November (spring). The difficulties involved in simultaneously sampling lambs on all four pasture species were overcome by selecting animals into two groups based on their date of birth. Weaning dates were such that the study of lambs grazing lucerne and prairie grass commenced 14 d before the study with white clover and ryegrass, but lambs of both groups were about 6 weeks of age at the start of the experiment. This was facilitated by colour-coding lambs at birth so they could be easily and accurately aged without handling. This also aided removal of ewes and lambs when surgery was to be performed. The surgery, weaning and sampling dates are given in Table 1.

*Digesta flow*

Duodenal flow of nitrogen, non-ammonia N (NAN), organic matter (OM) and microbial N was estimated in CAN lambs at 8 and 12 weeks of age by the double-marker technique of Faichney (1975, 1980). Portable infusion pumps ('Siropump'; Everest Electronics, South Australia) were used to infuse continuously 10  $\mu\text{Ci}$   $^{103}\text{Ru}$  phenanthroline ( $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$ ) and 50  $\mu\text{Ci}$   $^{51}\text{Cr}$  EDTA in 150 ml water into the rumen daily for 8 d, via a temporary catheter.  $\text{Na}_2^{35}\text{SO}_4$  (150  $\mu\text{Ci}$ ) was added daily to the infusate during the last 3 d. Samples of duodenal digesta were obtained twice daily over the last 4 d of infusion. Sampling times were staggered to represent 3 h intervals over a theoretical 24 h day. Approximately 100 g digesta were obtained at each sampling, from which 30 g whole digesta and 30 g filtrate (strained through nylon cloth) were retained. Digesta were bulked for the first and last 2 d periods and frozen at  $-20^\circ$  until analysis. Microbial N was estimated as a proportion of

Table 1. *Dates of surgery, weaning and measurements, at 8 and 12 weeks of age, for lambs grazing prairie grass (Bromus catharticus) or lucerne (Medicago sativa), and ryegrass (Lolium perenne) or white clover (Trifolium repens)*

	Prairie grass and lucerne	Ryegrass and white clover
Surgery	21 and 23 September	6 and 7 October
Weaning	29 September	13 October
Measurements		
Lambs 8 weeks old		
Digesta flow	11–15 October	25–29 October
Intake	11–15 October	25–29 October
Marker retention time	15–17 October	28–30 October
Rumen fill	14 October	29 October
Lambs 12 weeks old		
Digesta flow	8–12 November	22–26 November
Intake	8–11 November	21–24 November
Marker retention time	11–13 November	24–26 November
Rumen fill	10 November	25 November

NAN in reconstituted 'true' digesta (last 2 d only) by reference to the ratio of  $^{35}\text{S}:\text{N}$  in isolated bacteria and duodenal digesta, corrected for  $\text{NH}_3$  content (Mathers & Miller, 1980).

#### *Intake*

Intake was estimated when lambs were 8 and 12 weeks old. Faecal output was estimated by reference to chromic oxide dilution in INT lambs and  $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$  dilution in CAN lambs.  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  was dosed twice daily (1 g Cr in a gelatin capsule) at approximately 08.00 and 16.00 hours and faecal sampling from the rectum occurred at the same times. Three INT lambs from each group were fitted with faecal collection bags to provide a representative sample for concentration of  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  to which twice-daily grab-sample concentration was corrected (Langlands *et al.* 1963). Dosing occurred over a 10 d period with faeces being sampled over the last 5 d. CAN lambs were fitted with faecal collection bags so that bulked representative samples were easily obtained. In vivo digestibility was estimated by reference to indigestible acid-detergent fibre (Penning & Johnson, 1983) and also by cellulase (*EC* 3.2.1.4) in vitro organic matter digestibility of oesophageal boli (McLeod & Minson, 1978).

#### *Rumen $\text{NH}_3$ concentration*

At 8 and 12 weeks of age, eight INT lambs from each pasture species were slaughtered to obtain data on the weight of digesta in various parts of the digestive tract for another experiment. Lambs were slaughtered at discrete intervals corresponding to the beginning and end of the morning and afternoon grazing periods, with two lambs being slaughtered on each occasion. Samples of mixed rumen fluid were removed for analysis of  $\text{NH}_3$  concentration.

#### *Fractional outflow rate (FOR) of markers from the rumen*

The FOR from the rumen of the digesta markers  $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$  and  $^{51}\text{Cr EDTA}$  was estimated in CAN lambs on two occasions, following the cessation of continuous infusion, from the rate of decline of marker concentration in duodenal digesta and faeces. A single pulse of marker infusate (equivalent to 40% of the daily infusion rate) was introduced into the

rumen of four INT lambs on each pasture species and the FOR from the rumen was estimated from the rate of decline of marker concentration in faeces.

#### *Live-weight gain (LWG)*

Lambs were weighed once weekly and before slaughter. LWG was estimated from the regression live-weight *v.* time.

#### *Analytical techniques*

Radioactivity of  $^{51}\text{Cr}$  and  $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$  was measured simultaneously in a dual-channel auto-gamma scintillation spectrometer (Packard, USA).

Total N content of all samples was determined in 0.5 g DM using a Kjeldahl digestion system (Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden) with a potassium sulphate-copper sulphate (19:1, w/w) catalyst and an automated filtration unit (Multi-Dosimat E415, Metrohm, Herison, Switzerland).  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentration in rumen fluid and digesta was determined using sodium tetraborate to increase the pH, distilling into boric acid and titrating with hydrochloric acid.

Ash-free neutral-detergent fibre (NDF) was analysed as described by Van Soest & Wine (1967). Indigestible acid-detergent fibre (IADF) on extrusa and faeces was analysed as described by Penning & Johnson (1983), using a 7 d incubation with cellulase ('Onozuka' FA, Yakult, Japan).

#### *Statistical analysis*

Statistical analysis of effects of age of animal (i.e. measurement period) within pasture species and effects of pasture species was by analysis of variance and Student's *t* test. Further comparisons were made using regression analysis of duodenal NAN flow of N intake, digestible organic matter intake (DOMI) and apparent organic matter digestion in the rumen. Values are expressed as means with their standard errors.

## RESULTS

### *LWG*

LWG of INT lambs was 230, 227, 308 and 321 g/d for prairie grass, ryegrass, lucerne and white clover respectively (Table 2). LWG of prairie grass and ryegrass animals were significantly less than those of the lucerne and white clover animals ( $P < 0.05$ ). LWG was significantly lower for CAN lambs (33%;  $P < 0.05$ ) on all pasture species. However, LWG/kg DOMI was similar for the two groups of lambs within each pasture species.

### *Intake and digestibility*

There appeared to be significant diurnal variation in faecal  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  concentration in INT lambs, as reflected by the differences between spot and bulked samples. Bulked samples had higher Cr concentration than spot samples for all pasture species (13, 17, 27 and 44% higher for prairie grass, ryegrass, white clover and lucerne respectively) which reflects the difference between an average concentration based on complete collection of faeces and one based on two sampling points only. The spot-sample concentration was adjusted for each animal by the appropriate proportion determined for each pasture type.

Intake (g/kg W) was similar at 8 and 12 weeks of age for each pasture type and, therefore, results were grouped within pasture species. The OM intake and OM digestibility (from indigestible ADF) by CAN and INT lambs are given in Table 2. There was no difference in OM digestibility between CAN and INT lambs. However, OM intake (g/kg W) was consistently lower for CAN lambs, (16, 18, 26 and 27% for prairie grass, ryegrass, lucerne and white clover respectively;  $P < 0.05$ ). For both CAN and INT lambs the OM

Table 2. Live-weight gain (LWG; g/d), organic matter intake (OMI; g/kg live-weight), OM digestibility (OMD) and LWG per kg digestible OMI (DOMI; g/kg) of cannulated (CAN) and intact (INT) lambs grazing prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus*), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*) and lucerne (*Medicago sativa*)\*

(Mean values with their standard errors for lambs at 8 and 12 weeks of age)

	LWG		OMI		OMD		LWG/DOMI	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Prairie grass								
CAN	156	18.4	25.1	1.61	0.81	0.012	396	47.3
INT	230	8.1	30.0	1.44	0.80	0.014	425	16.3
Ryegrass								
CAN	153	20.0	28.8	1.82	0.81	0.006	335	26.9
INT	227	8.8	35.3	1.82	0.80	0.006	351	13.5
White clover								
CAN	202	21.4	33.4	1.11	0.84	0.004	316	20.2
INT	321	18.3	46.0	2.99	0.83	0.005	341	30.7
Lucerne								
CAN	211	10.5	36.5	1.74	0.85	0.007	320	12.6
INT	308	24.8	49.2	1.86	0.84	0.005	297	13.3

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

intake was higher on legumes (29 and 46% for CAN and INT respectively;  $P < 0.05$ ), and no difference was observed between prairie grass and ryegrass, or between lucerne and white clover.

#### Composition of oesophageal extrusa

The composition of oesophageal extrusa is given in Table 3 together with the OM and NDF digestibility determined by indigestible ADF in extrusa and faeces. The composition and digestibility of lucerne and white clover was similar during measurement periods at 8 and 12 weeks of age (average NDF, 191 g/kg DM; average OM digestibility, 0.84), apart from lucerne NDF digestibility (0.80 and 0.72 during measurement periods at 8 and 12 weeks of age respectively). In contrast, grasses tended to increase in NDF content with time and decrease in N content between measurement periods (Table 3). Digestibility of OM and NDF was similar during measurement periods for ryegrass, but was higher at the early measurement period of prairie grass (Table 3).

#### Sites of nutrient digestion

The proportion of DOMI apparently digested in the rumen was similar for all pasture species (0.52–0.58;  $P > 0.1$ ; Table 4). A higher proportion of digestible NDF was digested in the rumen of lambs grazing grasses than for lambs grazing legumes (mean 0.88 for grasses and 0.76 for legumes; Table 5).

N intake was significantly different for all pasture species (prairie grass < ryegrass < white clover < lucerne;  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 6), caused by differences in intake and herbage N content. However, duodenal NAN flow was similar for prairie grass and ryegrass, and for lucerne and white clover, due to variable N losses across the rumen. Duodenal NAN flow was higher in lambs grazing legumes (mean 1.22 g NAN/kg W per d) than in lambs grazing grasses (mean 0.92 g NAN/kg W per d). Relative to DOMI, duodenal NAN flow was not significantly higher for legumes than for grasses (average, 45 and 41 g NAN/kg DOMI

Table 3. *The organic matter (OM), neutral-detergent fibre (NDF) and nitrogen content of oesophageal extrusa (g/kg DM) and the digestibility of organic matter (OMD) and NDF (NDFD) as determined by the indigestible acid-detergent fibre method by lambs aged 8 and 12 weeks of age grazing prairie grass (Bromus catharticus), ryegrass (Lolium perenne), white clover (Trifolium repens) and lucerne (Medicago sativa)\**

(Mean values with their standard errors for cannulated and intact lambs)

	OM		NDF		N		OMD		NDFD	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Prairie grass										
8 weeks old	858	7.5	361	25.5	33	2.3	0.84	0.003	0.86	0.005
12 weeks old	848	7.3	496	31.0	28	1.6	0.80	0.010	0.80	0.006
Ryegrass										
8 weeks old	842	9.6	312	31.9	45	1.0	0.80	0.007	0.81	0.008
12 weeks old	866	2.1	375	19.4	36	1.1	0.80	0.006	0.81	0.006
White clover										
8 weeks old	871	3.9	187	5.0	49	0.7	0.84	0.003	0.73	0.011
12 weeks old	865	2.4	200	4.1	44	0.5	0.82	0.005	0.72	0.011
Lucerne										
8 weeks old	854	7.3	198	4.6	49	2.2	0.86	0.003	0.80	0.003
12 weeks old	864	2.8	180	5.9	52	2.1	0.83	0.005	0.72	0.006

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

respectively). Relative to the quantity of OM apparently digested in the rumen, there was no difference between pasture species (average, 80 g NAN/kg OM apparently digested in the rumen).

There was no difference between plant species in the flow of microbial N relative to duodenal NAN, OM apparently or truly digested in the rumen or DOMI (Table 6). However, the degradability of protein was significantly greater in the legumes than in the grasses (Table 6).

#### *Rumen NH<sub>3</sub> concentration*

The concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> is shown in Table 7. There was, in general, an increase in concentration throughout the day, which resulted in the concentration in the afternoon being, on average, 60% higher than in the morning. Legumes tended to promote higher concentrations than grasses, although ryegrass during the 8-weeks-of-age measurement period displayed a similar average concentration to that of white clover.

#### *Marker outflow rate*

The FOR of digesta markers, estimated from duodenal digesta and faeces, is shown in Table 8. The concentration of <sup>51</sup>Cr EDTA in duodenal digesta declined rapidly with the result that insufficient samples were obtained from several lambs, particularly on white clover. Estimated from duodenal digesta, lambs grazing legumes tended to display higher FOR of <sup>103</sup>Ru-P than lambs grazing grasses (0.120, 0.109, 0.068 and 0.092/h for lucerne, white clover, ryegrass and prairie grass respectively), although the difference between prairie grass and white clover was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference between plant species in the FOR of <sup>51</sup>Cr EDTA, although lucerne displayed a much higher value (0.177) than prairie grass and ryegrass (0.142 and 0.123 respectively). Estimated from faeces, the trends remained similar, although the level of significance altered. There was no

Table 4. Organic matter (OM) intake (OMI), digestible OMI (DOMI), duodenal OM flow, faecal OM output (g/kg live-weight) and site of digestible OM (DOM) digestion in lambs grazing prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus*), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*) and lucerne (*Medicago sativa*)\*

	(Mean values with their standard errors)											
	Prairie grass		Ryegrass		White clover		Lucerne					
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
OMI	25.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.61	28.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.82	33.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.11	36.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.74	0.72	0.023	0.77	0.021
Duodenal flow of OM	14.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.91	15.2 <sup>ab</sup>	1.03	17.4 <sup>ab</sup>	1.74	18.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.92				
Faecal OM flow	4.8	0.39	5.3	0.37	5.5	0.56	5.3	0.28				
DOMI	20.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.41	23.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.3	27.9 <sup>b</sup>	1.1	31.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.6				
Proportion of DOM apparently digested in rumen	0.52	0.025	0.58	0.030	0.58	0.024	0.57	0.033				
Proportion of DOM truly digested in the rumen	0.72	0.023	0.77	0.034	0.80	0.035	0.77	0.021				

<sup>a,b</sup> Mean values with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

Table 5. *The intake, duodenal flow, faecal output (g/kg live-weight) and site of neutral-detergent fibre (NDF) digestion in lambs grazing prairie grass (Bromus catharticus), ryegrass (Lolium perenne), white clover (Trifolium repens) and lucerne (Medicago sativa)\**  
(Mean values with their standard errors)

	Prairie grass		Ryegrass		White clover		Lucerne	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
NDF intake	12.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.86	11.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.88	7.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.85	7.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.40
Duodenal flow of NDF	3.5	0.55	3.5	0.55	3.5	0.53	3.4	0.23
Faecal output of NDF	2.2	0.24	2.2	0.18	2.1	0.27	1.9	0.27
Proportion of digestible NDF apparently digested in rumen	0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.029	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.016	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.053	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.032

<sup>a, b</sup> Mean values with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350-352.



Table 6. Nitrogen intake (NI; g/kg live-weight), duodenal non-ammonia N (NAN) flow, duodenal N:NI and NAN:NI and NAN:NI, duodenal microbial N flow and estimated forage protein degradability of lambs grazing prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus*), ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*) and lucerne (*Medicago sativa*)\*

(Mean values with their standard errors)

	Prairie grass		Ryegrass		White clover		Lucerne	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
NI (g/kg W)	0.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.066	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.050	1.74 <sup>c</sup>	0.149	2.14 <sup>d</sup>	0.109
Duodenal NAN flow g/kg W	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.065	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.061	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.094	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.1076
g/kg DOMI	42.8	1.71	39.2	2.18	45.0	2.30	44.9	2.06
g/kg OM apparently digested in rumen	85.3	6.82	73.7	7.34	80.8	10.47	80.9	11.79
Duodenal N:NI	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.039	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.052	0.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.051	0.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.056
Duodenal NAN:NI	0.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.038	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.050	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	0.047	0.61 <sup>b</sup>	0.053
Microbial N flow g/kg OM apparently digested in rumen	43.6	5.36	37.6	4.31	37.3	5.37	46.7	5.39
g/kg OM truly digested in rumen	29.6	2.57	28.2	3.52	26.3	3.16	30.4	3.49
g/kg DOMI	21.1	2.19	21.0	1.44	21.5	2.25	23.6	2.72
Forage protein degradability†:	0.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.043	0.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.031	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.033	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.028

<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Mean values with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

W, body-weight; DOMI, digestible organic matter intake; OM, organic matter.

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

† Calculated by subtracting microbial N and 2 g endogenous N/d from duodenal NAN flow.

Table 7. *The concentration of ammonia in rumen fluid (mg/l) of lambs aged 8 and 12 weeks of age at the start (PRE) and end (POST) of the morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) grazing periods in lambs grazing prairie grass (Bromus catharticus), ryegrass (Lolium perenne), white clover (Trifolium repens) and lucerne (Medicago sativa)\**

(Mean values with their standard errors)

	PRE-AM		POST-AM		PRE-PM		POST-PM		Average	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
8 weeks old										
Prairie grass	156	11.5	162	46.0	183	47.0	274	4.5	194	22.0
Ryegrass	180	44.5	486	30.1	702	113.5	640	98.0	502	99.6
White clover	354	133.5	474	0.5	546	36.5	536	41.0	478	39.7
Lucerne	822	10.0	687	87.0	828	110.0	816	42.0	788	35.3
12 weeks old										
Prairie grass	47	26.5	67	28.5	401	23.5	400	58.0	229	66.5
Ryegrass	210	110.0	236	48.0	400	36.5	492	27.5	335	50.4
White clover	295	36.5	289	87.5	546	57.0	744	141.5	469	79.4
Lucerne	546	119.0	621	73.5	688	65.0	846	103.0	675	54.5

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

Table 8. *The fractional outflow rate of the digesta markers  $^{103}\text{Ru}$  phenanthroline ( $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$ ) and  $^{51}\text{Cr-EDTA}$  from the rumen of cannulated (CAN) and intact (INT) lambs grazing prairie grass (Bromus catharticus), ryegrass (Lolium perenne), white clover (Trifolium repens) and lucerne (Medicago sativa) estimated from the rate of decline of marker concentration in duodenal digesta and faeces\**

(Mean values with their standard errors for the average no. of samples analysed per animal on each plant species; four INT lambs and six CAN lambs were involved on two occasions)

	$^{103}\text{Ru-P}$			$^{51}\text{Cr-EDTA}$		
	Mean	SE	<i>n</i>	Mean	SE	<i>n</i>
Prairie grass						
CAN Duodenal	0.092	0.0059	12	0.142	0.0100	10
CAN Faeces	0.081	0.0038	12	0.106	0.0067	12
INT Faeces	0.076	0.0035	8	0.108	0.0100	8
Ryegrass						
CAN Duodenal	0.068	0.0047	10	0.123	0.0262	7
CAN Faeces	0.066	0.0033	10	0.098	0.0039	10
INT Faeces	0.078	0.0043	8	0.105	0.0049	8
White clover						
CAN Duodenal	0.109	0.0077	9	Insufficient samples		
CAN Faeces	0.096	0.0045	10	0.132	0.0086	10
INT Faeces	0.105	0.0057	8	0.130	0.0216	8
Lucerne						
CAN Duodenal	0.120	0.0058	10	0.177	0.0194	5
CAN Faeces	0.092	0.0057	10	0.129	0.0122	10
INT Faeces	0.106	0.0056	8	0.135	0.0096	8

\* For details of procedures, see pp. 350–352.

difference between CAN and INT lambs in the FOR of  $^{103}\text{Ru-P}$  and  $^{51}\text{Cr EDTA}$  estimated from marker concentration in the faeces. FOR of markers from the rumen estimated from marker concentration in duodenal digesta was higher than that estimated from marker concentration in the faeces, especially for  $^{51}\text{Cr EDTA}$ .

## DISCUSSION

### *LWG*

The LWG of intact lambs was high, and was similar to the high growth rates observed by McLean *et al.* (1965) and Jagusch *et al.* (1971) for early-weaned lambs grazing pure species swards of white clover, lucerne and perennial ryegrass. Growth rates were similar for lambs grazing the two legumes (approximately 315 g/d) and for lambs grazing the two grasses (approximately 230 g/d). The similarity between prairie grass and ryegrass was surprising considering the higher NDF and lower N content of prairie grass. However, the digestibility of prairie grass was higher than that of ryegrass at 8 weeks of age. The decline in quality of prairie grass over the experiment was unfortunate and was probably related to its growing season, which is considerably earlier than the other pasture species. Had prairie grass been studied earlier in its growing season the performance of lambs may have been better.

In a study such as this it is difficult to avoid confounding effects such as time and pasture species. This was apparent with prairie grass which was difficult to keep in a vegetative state through grazing management. However, the objective of the study was to examine nutrient supply in spring herbage for a particular age of lamb. Thus, the results have application for the period studied but do not address seasonal differences.

The lower intake and growth rate of CAN lambs cannot be readily explained. The lambs quickly became accustomed to the regular handling and often ruminated whilst being sampled. Sampling that occurred during a grazing period rarely took longer than 0.5 h for the group as digesta flowed quickly from the cannula in these times. Because of the staggered timetable major grazing periods were not sampled on the same day and a reduction in grazing time was unlikely to be the cause of the lower growth rate.

The greater growth rate of lambs grazing legumes was associated with greater intake and duodenal NAN flow. The NAN flow:DOMI ratio was 10% higher in lambs grazing legumes but it was unlikely that this increase could have caused the 38% increase in growth rate. The higher DOMI (36%; g/kg W) and duodenal NAN flow (33%; g/kg W) were the major differences.

### *Site of nutrient digestion*

*OM.* The proportion of DOMI apparently digested in the rumen was similar on all pasture species (0.52–0.58). These values tended to fall in the lower limit of reported data for animals consuming fresh herbage. However, the DOMI (g/kg W) observed in the present experiment was high and the low values for the proportion of digestible OM apparently digested in the rumen may have been caused by the high intakes. There have been conflicting reports of the effect of plant species on the site of OM digestion. Ulyatt & MacRae (1974) observed the proportion of rumen digestion of digestible OM to be 0.55 for short-rotation ryegrass and 0.64 and 0.65 for perennial ryegrass and white clover respectively. Similarly, Beever *et al.* (1985) observed higher values for the proportion of rumen digestion of digestible OM in cattle offered perennial ryegrass indoors (0.68–0.74) than in cattle offered white clover indoors (0.51–0.56), whilst Ulyatt *et al.* (1988) found values of 0.73 and 0.70 for ryegrass and clover respectively. However, Thomson & Beever (1980), analysing a range of data, could find no evidence for species differences and estimated a mean value of 0.6. Beever *et al.* (1986*b*) observed similar values of 0.69 and 0.71

in cattle grazing perennial ryegrass and white clover respectively. Correcting for duodenal OM of microbial origin they calculated that 0.97 of the OM apparently digested in the digestive tract was truly digested in the rumen. This emphasized the extensive degradation of dietary OM which occurred in the rumen. In the present experiment the proportion of DOMI truly digested in the rumen (assuming microbial N is 10% of microbial OM; Beever *et al.* 1986a) ranged from 0.72–0.80 (Table 4). These lower values probably reflect the shorter retention time of digesta in the rumen of these young lambs (Cruickshank *et al.* 1990).

**NDF.** The higher concentration of NDF in grasses led to lambs consuming 58% more NDF (g/kg W) from grasses than from legumes ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, duodenal flow and faecal output of NDF were similar for all pasture species because of the higher digestibility of NDF in grasses (0.82 and 0.74, for grasses and legumes respectively) and the higher proportion of digestible NDF digested in the rumen of lambs grazing grasses compared with lambs grazing legumes (0.88 and 0.76 respectively).

The partitioning of NDF digestion between the rumen and hind-gut has been poorly studied in sheep consuming fresh herbage. However, most digestion occurs in the rumen for both cellulose and hemicellulose in fresh and conserved forage (Ulyatt & MacRae, 1974). In general, the proportion of digestible NDF digested in the rumen lies in the range 0.85–0.95 (Hogan, 1973; Weston & Margan, 1979; Kennedy, 1985; Alam *et al.* 1987). The values observed in the present experiment appear low, particularly for legumes, and this is probably due to high FOR of digesta from the rumen, as reflected by the high outflow rate of markers from the rumen (Table 8). Lower values of 0.59–0.73 (average, 0.67) have been observed in lambs consuming a ground and pelleted roughage–concentrate diet which also had a high FOR (Margan *et al.* 1982).

**N.** The N concentration was, on average, 37% higher in legumes than in grasses. Combined with the higher OM intake, lambs grazing legumes had a considerably higher daily N intake than lambs grazing grasses (1.9 and 1.1 g N/kg W respectively). However, N transactions in the rumen considerably altered the quantity of N flowing past the duodenum, with no change for lambs grazing prairie grass but losses of 17, 28 and 33% for lambs grazing ryegrass, white clover and lucerne respectively (Table 6). Duodenal NAN flow was lower than N intake for all plant species (0.97, 0.78, 0.69 and 0.61 g NAN/g N intake for prairie grass, ryegrass, white clover and lucerne respectively). Increased N loss was associated with increased rumen  $\text{NH}_3$  concentration (mean 211, 418, 629 and 576 mg  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N/l}$  for prairie grass, ryegrass, white clover and lucerne respectively).

$\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  represented 5–7% of total N flow past the duodenum and was similar for all plant species. NAN flow was similar for both grass species and for both legume species (Table 6). However, the increased flow observed in lambs grazing legumes was associated with increased DOMI (36%) and a 41% increase in OM apparently digested in the rumen (g OM apparently digested in the rumen/kg W) with the result that duodenal NAN flow was only 10% (per kg DOMI) and 2% (per kg OM apparently digested in the rumen) higher in lambs grazing legumes.

Initial comparison of these results with information in the literature is confounded by the generally higher intakes observed in the present experiment and the high N content of the diets. However, it is important to recognize that digestion is a dynamic process and comparison of individual values may be misleading. Therefore, the results obtained in the present experiment have been compared with published information in the form of general relationships, using relevant values from published studies on the digestion of fresh herbage conducted with sheep (indoor studies, MacRae & Ulyatt, 1974; Ulyatt & MacRae, 1974; Ulyatt & Egan, 1979; grazing studies, Ulyatt, 1971; Corbett *et al.* 1976, 1982) and cattle (indoors, Beever *et al.* 1985, 1986a; grazing, Beever *et al.* 1986b; Ulyatt *et al.* 1988).

Table 9. *The flow of non-ammonia nitrogen (NAN) into the small intestine, in relation to digestible organic matter (OM) intake (DOMI) and the quantity of OM apparently digested in the rumen, in animals consuming fresh grass or legume herbage*

Reference		g NAN/kg DOMI	g NAN/kg OM apparently digested in rumen
MacRae & Ulyatt (1974)	Grass	42.5	72.8
	Legume	39.2	59.8
Corbett <i>et al.</i> (1976)	Grass	53.5	89.0
	Legume	47.2	71.5
Ulyatt & Egan (1979)	Grass	43.9	77.6
	Legume	46.4	83.7
Corbett <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Grass	47.1	76.1
	Legume	45.9	77.6
Beever <i>et al.</i> (1985)	Grass	36.2	52.6
	Legume	37.0	52.4
Beever <i>et al.</i> (1986 <i>a</i> )	Grass	31.6	44.8
	Legume	45.0	85.5
Present results	Grass	41.0	79.6
	Legume	45.0	80.8

Increasing rumen  $\text{NH}_3$  concentration was associated with increasing N losses across the rumen, as reflected by the duodenal N: N intake and NAN flow: N intake ratios (Table 6). N losses across the rumen have been related to N intake (MacRae & Ulyatt, 1974; Ulyatt & Egan, 1979) or to N concentration in the diet (Beever *et al.* 1986*b*). The relationship between duodenal NAN flow, as a proportion of N intake, and N content of the diet (g N/kg OM), derived from the present experiment was duodenal NAN:N intake =  $1.392 - 0.0132 \text{ N (g N/kg OM)}$  ( $n$  8,  $r^2$  0.74). This was similar to the equation derived from cattle by Beever *et al.* (1986*b*) and Ulyatt *et al.* (1988) of  $y = 1.430 - 0.0169x$ , where  $y$  and  $x$  are the variables in the previously given equation.

Equality between N intake and duodenal NAN flow was predicted to occur when dietary N concentration was 25.5 and 29.8 g N/kg OM from Ulyatt *et al.* (1988) and the present experiment respectively. These relationships reflect the ability of rumen microbes to capture dietary N and suggest that N transactions in the rumen are similar in early-weaned lambs and cattle. This also explains why the large differences in N intake observed in the present experiment were largely removed by transactions in the rumen and further emphasizes the importance of the rumen in modifying the nutrients available for absorption.

In the present experiment, duodenal NAN flow was closely related to DOMI (mean, 43 g NAN/kg DOMI) and to the quantity of OM apparently digested in the rumen (mean, 80 g NAN/kg OM apparently digested in the rumen). These results are comparable with a range of values in the literature, relating to animals consuming fresh herbage (Table 9), although low values were observed by Beever *et al.* (1985, 1986*a*). Apart from the study of Beever *et al.* (1986*a*) there was no difference in NAN flow (g/kg DOMI) between grasses and legumes.

Individual treatment values from the literature and the results from the present experiment, relating NAN flow to the small intestine, N intake, DOMI and OM apparently digested in the rumen (g/kg W) were analysed by regression analysis to determine which factor(s) were most closely related to NAN flow to the small intestine. The resulting regression equations are shown in Table 10. The correlation between NAN flow to the small intestine and OM apparently digested in the rumen was very low ( $r^2$  0.350) and was

Table 10. *Regressions relating non-ammonia nitrogen (NAN) flow to the small intestine ( $NAN_{si}$ , g/kg live-weight) to N intake (NI, g/kg live-weight), DOMI (g/kg live-weight) and OM apparently digested in the rumen, g/kg live-weight) for animals consuming fresh herbage including those used in the present study (n 85)*

Regressions	$r^2$
$NAN_{si} = 0.480^{***}$ (SE 0.0032) NI + $0.275^{***}$ (SE 0.0030)	0.764
$NAN_{si} = 0.0348^{***}$ (SE 0.0003) DOMI + $0.105^*$ (SE 0.0050)	0.679
$NAN_{si} = 0.0343^{***}$ (SE 0.00056) OM apparently digested in rumen + $0.318^{***}$ (SE 0.0064)	0.350
$NAN_{si} = 0.346^{***}$ (SE 0.0058) NI + $0.0121^{**}$ (SE 0.00045) DOMI + $0.188^{**}$ (SE 0.0043)	0.786
$NAN_{si} = 0.501^{***}$ (SE 0.0045) NI - $0.00316^{NS}$ (SE 0.000476) OM apparently digested in rumen + $0.291^{***}$ (SE 0.0039)	0.766

NS, not significant.

\*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ , \*\*  $P < 0.01$ , \*  $P < 0.05$ .

markedly higher for DOMI ( $r^2$  0.679), a cruder estimate of energy available for microbial synthesis. N intake provided the highest correlation with NAN flow to the small intestine ( $r^2$  0.764) and this was only marginally improved by including DOMI ( $r^2$  0.786) or OM apparently digested in the rumen ( $r^2$  0.766) in the regression. Ulyatt & Egan (1979) observed that duodenal N flow was best predicted by N intake, although large variation was observed between individual experiments. Similarly, Beever *et al.* (1986*a*) observed highly significant relationships between N intake and duodenal NAN flow within treatments, but the relationships altered markedly between treatments. Therefore, derived relationships between N intake and NAN flow to the small intestine may yield erroneous predictions if applied to individual sets of experimental data. These findings also highlight the large variation which exists between NAN flow to the small intestine and OM apparently digested in the rumen which might be expected given that microbial N is a variable part of duodenal NAN and that OM apparently digested in the rumen is a poor indicator of energy available in the rumen (Beever *et al.* 1986*a,b*).

Microbial N yields relative to OM apparently digested in the rumen (g/kg; Table 6) were similar to values collated by Beever & Siddons (1986) for cattle and sheep consuming fresh forage. When these microbial N yields were related to OM truly digested in the rumen (g/kg; Table 6) no difference was found between the forages, with values ranging from 28 to 31 g microbial N/kg OM truly digested in the rumen. These are within the range collated by Beever & Siddons (1986), and agree closely with the sheep data but are less than the cattle data. It is not known why cattle values should be higher than those of sheep. Expression on the basis of OM truly digested in the rumen is more meaningful as an available energy term and the consistent narrow range in microbial synthesis across these four forage types provides a basis for future prediction. Overall protein degradability was high for these fresh herbages and higher for the legumes (0.72) compared with the grasses (0.64; Table 6). This was reflected in rumen  $NH_3$  concentration. On average, approximately 0.5 of the duodenal NAN flow was microbial in origin with no differences between the forages, and this was markedly less than the average value of 0.72 collated by Beever & Siddons (1986).

### Conclusions

Lambs grazing legumes grew significantly faster than lambs grazing grasses, although there was a marked similarity between the two legume species and between the two grass species. The higher growth rates observed in lambs grazing legumes were associated with higher

levels of intake and increased flow of NAN to the small intestine, but it was not possible to differentiate between the relative importance of energy and protein. Digestion in the rumen significantly altered the quantity of N flowing to the small intestine, particularly for legumes, where significant losses of dietary N across the rumen were observed. Related to DOMI, the duodenal flow of NAN was slightly higher in lambs grazing legumes, but the major difference appeared to be in the total quantity of nutrients supplied.

The authors acknowledge the surgery performed by Dr A. Familton and the technical assistance of N. Jay, P. Wilson, G. Elmsley, J. Lassen, Carolyn Smith and Kay Mackie.

## REFERENCES

- Alam, M. R., Lawson, G. D., Poppi, D. P. & Sykes, A. R. (1987). Comparison of the site and extent of digestion of nutrients of a forage in kids and lambs. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **109**, 583–589.
- Beever, D. E., Dhanoa, M. S., Losada, H. R., Evans, R. T., Cammell, S. B. & France, J. (1986*a*). The effect of forage species and stage of harvest on the processes of digestion occurring in the rumen of cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **56**, 439–454.
- Beever, D. E., Losada, H. R., Cammell, S. B., Evans, R. T. & Haines, M. J. (1986*b*). Effect of forage species and season on nutrient digestion and supply in grazing cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **56**, 209–225.
- Beever, D. E. & Siddons, R. C. (1986). Digestion and metabolism in the grazing ruminant. In *Control of Digestion and Metabolism in Ruminants*, pp. 479–497 [L. P. Milligan, W. L. Grovum and A. Dobson, editors]. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Beever, D. E., Thomson, D. J., Ulyatt, M. J., Cammell, S. B. & Spooner, M. C. (1985). The digestion of fresh perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L. cv. Melle) and white clover (*Trifolium repens* L. cv. Blanca) by growing cattle fed indoors. *British Journal of Nutrition* **54**, 763–775.
- Corbett, J. L., Furnival, E. P., Inskip, M. W. & Pickering, F. S. (1982). Protein digestion in grazing sheep. *Forage Protein in Ruminant Animal Production. British Society of Animal Production Occasional Publication* no. 6, pp. 141–143. Thames Ditton: British Society of Animal Production.
- Corbett, J. L., Lynch, J. J., Nicol, G. R. & Beeston, J. W. U. (1976). A versatile peristaltic pump designed for grazing lambs. *Laboratory Practice* **25**, 458–462.
- Corbett, J. L. & Pickering, F. S. (1983). Estimation of daily flows of digesta in grazing sheep. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **34**, 193–210.
- Cruickshank, G. J., Poppi, D. P. & Sykes, A. R. (1985). Intake and duodenal protein flow in early weaned lambs grazing white clover, lucerne, ryegrass and prairie grass. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* **45**, 113–116.
- Cruickshank, G. J., Poppi, D. P., Sykes, A. R. & Familton, A. S. (1990). Effect of age, abomasal cannulation and rumen catheterization on intake and site of digestion by early weaned lambs. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **114**, 49–54.
- Faichney, G. J. (1975). The use of markers to partition digestion within the gastro-intestinal tract of ruminants. In *Digestion and Metabolism in the Ruminant*, pp. 277–291 [I. W. McDonald and A. C. I. Warner, editors]. Armidale: University of New England Publishing Unit.
- Faichney, G. J. (1980). The use of markers to measure digesta flow from the stomach of sheep fed once daily. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **94**, 313–318.
- Hogan, J. P. (1973). Intestinal digestion of subterranean clover by sheep. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **24**, 587–598.
- Jagusch, K. T., Clark, V. R. & Jay, N. P. (1970). Lamb production from animals weaned at 3 to 5 weeks of age on to lucerne. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* **13**, 808–814.
- Jagusch, K. T., Mitchell, R. M., McConnell, G. R., Fennessy, P. F., Woodlock, M. R. & Jay, N. P. (1971). Nutrition of the growing lamb: studies at Lincoln College. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* **31**, 121–128.
- Kennedy, P. M. (1985). Influences of cold exposure on digestion of organic matter, rates of passage of digesta in the gastrointestinal tract, and feeding and rumination behaviour in sheep given four forage diets in the chopped, or ground and pelleted form. *British Journal of Nutrition* **53**, 159–173.
- Langlands, J. P., Corbett, J. L., McDonald, I. & Reid, G. W. (1963). Estimation of the faeces output of grazing animals from the concentration of chromium sesquioxide in a sample of faeces. 1. Comparison of estimates from samples taken at fixed times of day with faeces outputs measured directly. *British Journal of Nutrition* **17**, 211–218.
- McLean, J. W., Thomson, G. G., Jagusch, K. T. & Lawson, B. M. (1965). Lamb growth and development in relation to pasture species. *Proceedings of the Ruakura Farmers Conference Week* pp. 34–40. Ruakura: MAF.
- McLeod, M. N. & Minson, D. J. (1978). The accuracy of the pepsin cellulase technique for estimating dry matter digestibility *in vivo* of grasses and legumes. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **3**, 277–287.

- MacRae, J. C. & Ulyatt, M. J. (1974). Quantitative digestion of fresh herbage by sheep II. The site of digestion of some nitrogenous constituents. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **82**, 309–319.
- Margan, D. E., Faichnev, G. J., Graham, N. McC. & Donnelly, J. B. (1982). Digestion of a ground and pelleted diet in the stomach and intestines of young sheep from two breeds. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **33**, 617–627.
- Mathers, J. C. & Miller, E. L. (1980). A simple procedure using  $^{35}\text{S}$  incorporation for the measurement of microbial and undegraded food protein in ruminant digesta. *British Journal of Nutrition* **43**, 503–514.
- Penning, P. D. & Johnson, R. H. (1983). The use of internal markers to estimate herbage digestibility and intake 2. N Indigestible acid detergent fibre. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **100**, 133–138.
- Rattray, P. V., Morrison, M. C. L. & Farquhar, P. A. (1976). Performance of early-weaned lambs on lucerne and pasture. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* **36**, 179–183.
- Thomson, D. J. & Beever, D. E. (1980). The effect of conservation and processing on the digestion of forages by ruminants. In *Digestive Physiology and Metabolism in Ruminants*, pp. 291–308 [Y. Ruckebusch and P. Thivend, editors]. Lancaster: MTP Press Ltd.
- Ulyatt, M. J. (1971). Studies on the causes of the differences in pasture quality between perennial ryegrass, short-rotation ryegrass and white clover. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* **14**, 352–367.
- Ulyatt, M. J. & Egan, A. R. (1979). Quantitative digestion of fresh herbage by sheep. V. The digestion of four herbages and prediction of sites of digestion. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **92**, 605–616.
- Ulyatt, M. J. & MacRae, J. C. (1974). Quantitative digestion of fresh herbage by sheep. 1. The site of digestion of organic matter, energy, readily fermentable carbohydrate, structural carbohydrate and lipid. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **82**, 295–307.
- Ulyatt, M. J., Thomson, D. J., Beever, D. E., Evans, R. T. & Haines, M. J. (1988). The digestion of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* cv. Melle) and white clover (*Trifolium repens* cv. Blanca) by grazing cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **60**, 137–149.
- Van Soest, P. J. & Wine, R. H. (1967). Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds. IV. Determination of plant cell wall constituents. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* **50**, 50–55.
- Weston, R. H. & Margan, D. E. (1979). Herbage digestion in the stomach and intestine of weaner lambs at different stages of their maturing. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **30**, 543–549.