

TRANSFERRING RESULTS FROM RINGS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS TO RINGS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ANDREW ADLER AND R. DOUGLAS WILLIAMS

Introduction. Let $C(X)$ be the ring of all real-valued continuous functions on a completely regular topological space X , and let $A(Y)$ be the ring of all functions analytic on a connected non-compact Riemann surface Y . The ideal theories of these two function rings have been extensively studied since the fundamental papers of E. Hewitt on $C(X)$ [12] and of M. Henriksen on the ring of entire functions [10; 11]. Despite the obvious differences between these two rings, it has turned out that there are striking similarities between their ideal theories. For instance, non-maximal prime ideals of $A(Y)$ [2; 11] behave very much like prime ideals of $C(X)$ [13; 14], and primary ideals of $A(Y)$ which are not powers of maximal ideals [19] resemble primary ideals of $C(X)$ [15]. In this paper we show that there are very good reasons for these similarities. It turns out that much of the ideal theory of $A(Y)$ is a special case of the ideal theory of rings of continuous functions. We develop machinery that enables one almost automatically to derive results about the ideal theory of $A(Y)$ from corresponding known results of ideal theory for rings of continuous functions.

In section 1 we present some facts about $A(Y)$ that are needed later. In section 2 we construct a special topological space X and analyze the structure of some of the important ideals of the ring $C^*(X)$ of bounded real-valued continuous functions on X . Section 3 contains the transfer machinery promised above. We define a map from the set of ideals of $A(Y)$ into the set of ideals of $C^*(X)$ and derive its basic properties in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6. Theorem 3.5 in particular shows that the differentially closed ideals of $A(Y)$ behave very much like the ‘regular’ ideals of $C^*(X)$. (An ideal is called regular if it is generated by a set of regular elements of the ring, i.e. elements that are not zero divisors.)

Finally in section 4 we show how the results of section 3 may be applied to transfer results of ideal theory from $C^*(X)$ to $A(Y)$. Many examples are provided in order to give a clear idea of what kinds of results can be transferred and how the transfer process works.

Corollary 3.7, together with some applications to local ideal theory was announced in [1]. The proof sketched there relies on valuation theory and the isomorphism of certain groups obtained from ultrapowers of the integers and

Received June 29, 1973.

the reals. In this paper we give a more direct proof which does not use valuation theory and we obtain in addition a great deal of information relating the global ideal theories of $A(Y)$ and $C^*(X)$.

1. The Ring $A(Y)$. The basic terminology and notation of this paper will be that of [8] with one exception: the whole ring will be considered an ideal. If F is an analytic function, $V_x(F)$ will denote the order of the zero of F at the point x .

Let $A(Y)$ be the ring of all functions analytic on a connected, non-compact Riemann surface Y . In this section we present facts about the ideal theory of $A(Y)$ that are needed later. For results mentioned but not proved in this section and for further information about $A(Y)$ see the papers of N. L. Alling [2; 3] and M. Henriksen [10; 11].

Suppose that M is a maximal ideal of $A(Y)$. Let F be a nonzero element of M , and set $D = Z(F)$. D is a nonempty, closed discrete subset of Y , either finite or countably infinite. Let $\mu = \{Z \cap D : Z \in Z[M]\}$. μ is an ultrafilter on the set D , and

$$(1.1) \quad M = \{F \in A(Y) : Z(F) \cap D \in \mu\}.$$

If μ is a fixed (principal) ultrafilter on D , then M is called a *fixed maximal ideal*. If μ is a free ultrafilter on D , then M is called a *free maximal ideal*.

Now let I be a proper ideal of $A(Y)$. If M is a maximal ideal that contains I , we set

$$I_M^c = \{F \in A(Y) : GF \in I \text{ for some } G \in A(Y) - M\}.$$

I_M^c is an ideal of $A(Y)$, and $I \subset I_M^c \subset M$. We will need two facts about the ideals I_M^c :

(1) A nonzero ideal I is contained in a unique maximal ideal M if, and only if, $I = I_M^c$.

(2) If I is any ideal and $v(I)$ is the set of maximal ideals containing I , then $I = \bigcap_{M \in v(I)} I_M^c$.

If M is a maximal ideal of $A(Y)$, then the ideal $P_M^* = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^n$ is the largest non-maximal prime ideal contained in M . If M is fixed, $P_M^* = (0)$. If M is free, however, $(0) \neq P_M^*$. In fact (using the notation above)

$$P_M^* = \{F \in A(Y) : \{x \in D : V_x(F) \geq n\} \in \mu \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

1.2. Definition. Let M be a maximal ideal of $A(Y)$. We say that an ideal I of $A(Y)$ is P_M^* -restricted provided

$$I = \{F \in A(Y) : HF \in I \text{ for some } H \in A(Y) - P_M^*\}.$$

The notion of a P_M^* -restricted ideal is interesting only when M is free; for M fixed the only P_M^* -restricted ideals are (0) and $A(Y)$. If I is a proper P_M^* -restricted ideal, then clearly $I = I_M^c$; so if $I \neq (0)$, M is the only maximal ideal that contains I . The P_M^* -restricted ideals include all non-maximal prime

ideals that are contained in M and all the primary ideals that are contained in M except for the powers M^n of M .

The result we record next, originally due to O. Helmer [9] for $Y =$ the complex plane, has been a valuable tool in all studies of the ideal theory of $A(Y)$.

1.3. PROPOSITION. *Let $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\} \subset A(Y)$. If H is any element of $A(Y)$ that satisfies $V_x(H) = \min \{V_x(F_1), \dots, V_x(F_n)\}$ for all $x \in Y$, then $(H) = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$.*

We will call an ideal I of $A(Y)$ *differentially closed* if $F' \in I$ whenever $F \in I$. We now give an elementary but useful description of the differentially closed ideals of $A(Y)$.

1.4. PROPOSITION. *The following are equivalent for any ideal I of $A(Y)$.*

- (1) *I is differentially closed.*
- (2) *$G \in I$ if, and only if, for some $F \in I$ and some integer k , $V_x(G) \geq V_x(F) - k$ for all $x \in Y$.*
- (3) *For all $M \in v(I)$, I_M^e is P_M^* -restricted.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $F \in I$ and $V_x(G) \geq V_x(F) - k$ for all $x \in Y$. Let $F^{(k)}$ denote the k th derivative of F , and take $H \in A(Y)$ such that $V_x(H) = \min \{V_x(F), V_x(F^{(k)})\}$ for all $x \in Y$. (The existence of such an H follows from the generalized Weierstrass product theorem [6], which we use without comment throughout the remainder of the paper.) Note that $V_x(H) = V_x(F) - k$ for all $x \in Z(H)$. Since I is differentially closed, $(F, F^{(k)}) \subset I$, and so by 1.3 $H \in I$. Since $V_x(G) \geq V_x(H)$ for all x , $G/H \in A(Y)$, and so $G \in I$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $M \in v(I)$, and suppose that $FG \in I_M^e$, where $F \in A(Y) - P_M^*$. We want to show that $G \in I_M^e$. Now since $FG \in I_M^e$, $HFG \in I$ for some $H \in A(Y) - M$. Let D and μ be as in (1.1). Since $F \notin P_M^*$, there exists $k \in N$ such that $D_1 = \{x \in D : V_x(F) \leq k\} \in \mu$. And since $H \notin M$, $D_2 = \{x \in D : V_x(H) = 0\} \in \mu$, so $D_1 \cap D_2 \in \mu$. Take $K \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(K) = Z(HF) - D_1 \cap D_2$ and $V_x(K) = V_x(HF)$ for all $x \in Z(K)$. Then $V_x(KG) \geq V_x(HFG) - k$ for all x , so $KG \in I$ by (2). Since $K \notin M$, $G \in I_M^e$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Since (1) holds for $I = A(Y)$, assume that $I \neq A(Y)$. Since $I = \bigcap_{M \in v(I)} I_M^e$, it is sufficient to show that any P_M^* -restricted ideal J is differentially closed. Let $F \in J$. Take $G \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(G) = Z(F) - Z(F')$ and $V_x(G) = 1$ for all $x \in Z(G)$. Then $GF'/F \in A(Y)$, so $GF' \in J$. Obviously $G \notin P_M^*$, so $F' \in J$.

1.5. COROLLARY. *An ideal of $A(Y)$ is differentially closed if, and only if, it is an intersection of $P_{M_\alpha}^*$ -restricted ideals for some collection $\{M_\alpha\}$ of maximal ideals.*

The Corollary tells us that the class of differentially closed ideals, which we denote by \mathcal{D} , is quite large. \mathcal{D} contains all non-maximal prime ideals, all primary ideals that are not powers of maximal ideals, and all intersections of such ideals.

For an ideal I of $A(Y)$ let \bar{I} be the differential closure of I (the smallest differentially closed ideal that contains I). Using 1.3 and 1.4 it is easy to see that $G \in \bar{I}$ if, and only if, for some $F \in I$ and some integer k , $V_x(G) \geq V_x(F) - k$ for all $x \in Y$.

2. The ring $C^*(X)$. In this section we construct a topological space X and investigate certain ideals of the ring $C^*(X)$ of all bounded, continuous, real-valued functions on X . We use small letters f, g, \dots to denote elements of $C^*(X)$ to distinguish these functions from the elements F, G, \dots of $A(Y)$.

Let X be Y together with an additional point ω . The topology on X is defined as follows: Y has the discrete topology, and the neighborhoods of ω are complements of subsets of Y that are closed and discrete in the Riemann surface topology of Y . Note that the open-and-closed sets are precisely the closed discrete subsets of Y and their complements in X . (Whenever we use the phrase "closed discrete subset of Y " we mean a set that is closed and discrete in the Riemann surface topology of Y .) It is easy to verify that X is completely regular.

Now suppose that $f \in C^*(X)$. If $f(\omega) \neq 0$, then $f^{-1}(R - \{0\})$ is a neighborhood of ω , so $Z(f)$ is a closed discrete subset of Y . This observation enables us to describe the free z -ultrafilters (the ultrafilters of zero sets of elements of $C^*(X)$). If μ' is a free z -ultrafilter, then there exists $D \in \mu'$ such that $\omega \notin D$. D is therefore an infinite closed discrete subset of Y . Furthermore, the collection $\mu = \{Z \cap D : Z \in \mu'\}$ is a free ultrafilter on D , and $\mu' = \{Z \in Z(X) : Z \cap D \in \mu\}$. Hence the free z -ultrafilters are just the free ultrafilters on countably infinite closed discrete subsets of Y (with the obvious identification.)

Let βX be the Stone-Ćech compactification of X . The points of $\beta X - X$ are, of course, in 1-1 correspondence with the free maximal ideals of $C^*(X)$. Let $p \in \beta X - X$, and denote the corresponding free maximal ideal by M^p . Suppose that μ' is the unique z -ultrafilter that converges to p , and let μ and D be as above. Then

$$M^p = \{f \in C^*(X) : \{x \in D : |f(x)| \leq 1/n\} \in \mu \text{ for all } n \in N\}.$$

Denote by O^p the ideal

$$O^p = \{f \in C^*(X) : Z(f^\beta) \text{ is a } \beta X\text{-neighborhood of } p\},$$

where f^β is the continuous extension of f to βX . It is easy to see that

$$(2.1) \quad O^p = \{f \in C^*(X) : Z(f) \cap D \in \mu\}.$$

It follows that O^p is a prime ideal, and is therefore the unique minimal prime ideal of $C^*(X)$ contained in M^p [8, Theorem 7.15]. Hence the free minimal prime ideals of $C^*(X)$ are also in 1-1 correspondence with the points of $\beta X - X$. For a given free ultrafilter μ on some infinite closed discrete set D we will say that the free maximal ideal M of $A(Y)$ given by (1.1) is the maximal ideal of $A(Y)$ that *corresponds to* the minimal prime ideal O^p of $C^*(X)$ given by (2.1).

Recall that an ideal I of $C^*(X)$ is *absolutely convex* if whenever $|f| \leq |h|$ and $h \in I$, then $f \in I$.

2.2 PROPOSITION. *Every ideal I of $C^*(X)$ that contains a function that does not vanish at ω is absolutely convex.*

Proof. Suppose $g \in I$ and $g(\omega) \neq 0$. First we show that I is convex. Suppose that $0 \leq f \leq i$ for some $i \in I$. Let $j = i + g^2$. Then $j \in I$, and $0 \leq f \leq j$. $Z(j) \subset Z(g^2)$, so $Z(j)$ is an open-and-closed subset of X . Define h by $h(x) = f(x)/j(x)$ for $x \in X - Z(j)$; $h(x) = 1$ for $x \in Z(j)$. Then $h \in C^*(X)$, and $f = hj$, so $f \in I$.

Now by [8, Theorem 5.3] it is sufficient to show that if $f \in I$, then $|f| \in I$. Since $g(\omega) \neq 0$, there exists a closed discrete set D such that g is bounded away from 0 on $X - D$. Define functions $k, s, t \in C^*(X)$ as follows. $k(x) = 1/g(x)$ for $x \in X - D$, $k(x) = 1$ for $x \in D$. $s(x) = |f(x)|$ for $x \in X - D$, $s(x) = 0$ for $x \in D$. $t(x) = 0$ for $x \in X - D$; and for $x \in D$ we set $t(x) = 1$ if $f(x) \geq 0$, $t(x) = -1$ if $f(x) < 0$. Then $|f| = skg + tf \in I$.

Recall that an element of a ring is called *regular* if it is not a zero divisor. In the ring $C^*(X)$ the regular elements are precisely the functions which are nowhere zero.

2.3. Definition. An ideal I of $C^*(X)$ is *regular* if there exists a set R of regular elements of $C^*(X)$ such that I is the ideal generated by R .

Note that (0) is a regular ideal of $C^*(X)$ according to this definition (with $R = \emptyset$). In the next section we will need a few facts about regular ideals.

2.4. PROPOSITION. *The following are equivalent for any nonzero ideal I of $C^*(X)$:*

- (1) *Every element of I is a multiple of a regular element of I .*
- (2) *I is regular.*
- (3) *I contains a regular element.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial. For (3) \Rightarrow (1), suppose that I contains a regular element h . Let $f \in I$. By 2.2. I is absolutely convex, so $|f| + |h| \in I$. Now $(|f| + |h|)$ is also absolutely convex by 2.2. Therefore since $|f| \leq |f| + |h|$, f is a multiple of the regular element $|f| + |h|$ of I .

2.5. PROPOSITION. *Any ideal of $C^*(X)$ that strictly contains a free minimal prime ideal is regular.*

Proof. Suppose that $O^p \subsetneq J$, where O^p is given by (2.1), and let $f \in J - O^p$. Since $f \notin O^p$, $S = \{x \in D : f(x) \neq 0\} \in \mu$. Define $g \in C^*(X)$ by $g(x) = 0$ for $x \in S$, $g(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - S$. Then $g \in O^p$, so $g + f^2 \in J$. Since $g + f^2$ is regular, J is regular by 2.4.

3. The transfer machinery. In this section we connect the rings $A(Y)$ and $C^*(X)$, first by defining a map from $A(Y)$ to $C^*(X)$, and then by using

that map to define a map from the set of ideals of $A(Y)$ into the set of ideals of $C^*(X)$.

3.1. *Definition.* For $F \in A(Y) - \{0\}$ let $F^\# \in C^*(X)$ be defined by $F^\#(x) = \exp(-V_x(F))$ for $x \in Y$, $F^\#(\omega) = 1$. We set $0^\# = 0$.

3.2. *PROPOSITION.* *If $g \in C^*(X)$ is regular, then there exists a unit u of $C^*(X)$ and a function $F \in A(Y)$ such that $g = uF^\#$.*

Proof. Since $g(\omega) \neq 0$, there exists a closed discrete set D such that g is bounded away from 0 on $X - D$. Define $h_1 \in C^*(X)$ by $h_1(x) = 1/g(x)$ for $x \in X - D$, $h_1(x) = 1$ for $x \in D$. Define $h_2 \in C^*(X)$ by $h_2(x) = 1$ if $h_1g(x) > 0$, $h_2(x) = -1$ if $h_1g(x) < 0$. Let K be an upper bound for h_2h_1g on D . Define $h_3 \in C^*(X)$ by $h_3(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - D$, $h_3(x) = 1/eK$ for $x \in D$. $h_3h_2h_1g$ is equal to 1 outside D and is positive and $\leq 1/e$ on D . Now for every $x \in D$ let $n(x)$ be the largest positive integer such that $\exp(-n(x)) \geq h_3h_2h_1g(x)$. Define $h_4 \in C^*(X)$ by $h_4(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - D$, $h_4(x) = \exp(-n(x))/h_3h_2h_1g(x)$ for $x \in D$.

Let $u = 1/h_4h_3h_2h_1$. Then u is a unit of $C^*(X)$. $g(x)/u(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - D$, and $g(x)/u(x) = \exp(-n(x))$ for $x \in D$. Take $F \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(F) = D$ and $V_x(F) = n(x)$ for all $x \in D$. Clearly $g = uF^\#$.

It follows from this Proposition that a nonzero ideal of $C^*(X)$ is regular if, and only if, it contains an element $F^\#$ for some nonzero F . Now we define the map that will be our main tool throughout the remainder of the paper.

3.3 *Definition.* For an ideal I of $A(Y)$, we set

$$\tau(I) = \{gF^\# : g \in C^*(X), F \in I\}.$$

3.4. *PROPOSITION.* $\tau(I)$ is the ideal of $C^*(X)$ generated by the set $\{F^\# : F \in I\}$.

Proof. We need only to show that $\tau(I)$ is an ideal. It is sufficient to verify that for any $F, G \in I$ and $r, s \in C^*(X)$ there exists $H \in I$ and $t \in C^*(X)$ such that $rF^\# + sG^\# = tH^\#$. Take $H \in A(Y)$ such that $V_x(H) = \min(V_x(F), V_x(G))$ for all $x \in Y$. By 1.3, $H \in I$. Set $t = (rF^\# + sG^\#)/H^\#$. Since $|t| \leq |r|F^\#/H^\# + |s|G^\#/H^\# \leq |r| + |s|$, $t \in C^*(X)$.

Denote the set of ideals of $A(Y)$ by $\mathcal{I}(A(Y))$ and the set of regular ideals of $C^*(X)$ by \mathcal{R} .

3.5. *THEOREM.* *The map $\tau : \mathcal{I}(A(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\tau(IJ) = \tau(I)\tau(J)$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$.
- (2) τ takes principal ideals to principal ideals.
- (3) τ preserves sums.
- (4) $\tau(I) = \tau(\bar{I})$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$.
- (5) *The restriction $\tau|_{\mathcal{D}}$ of τ to \mathcal{D} satisfies:*
 - (a) $\tau|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is 1-1 and onto.
 - (b) $\tau|_{\mathcal{D}}$ preserves sums and nonzero intersections.

Proof. (1) For $\tau(I)\tau(J) \subset \tau(IJ)$ it is sufficient to show that if $F \in I$ and $G \in J$, then $F^\#G^\# \in \tau(IJ)$. Since $F^\#G^\# = (FG)^\#$, this is obvious. For the reverse inclusion we need to show that if $F \in IJ$, then $F^\# \in \tau(I)\tau(J)$. Since $F \in IJ$, $F = G_1H_1 + \dots + G_nH_n$ for some $G_i \in I, H_i \in J$. Therefore

$$F^\# = (G_1H_1 + \dots + G_nH_n)^\# \leq G_1^\#H_1^\# + \dots + G_n^\#H_n^\# \in \tau(I)\tau(J).$$

Since $\tau(I)\tau(J)$ is convex, $F^\# \in \tau(I)\tau(J)$.

(2) $\tau((F)) = (F^\#)$.

(3) Let $\{I_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$, and set $I = \sum I_\alpha$. Clearly $\sum \tau(I_\alpha) \subset \tau(I)$. We complete the proof by showing that if J is any ideal of $C^*(X)$ such that $\tau(I_\alpha) \subset J$ for all α , then $\tau(I) \subset J$. This is obvious if $I_\alpha = (0)$ for all α , so assume that at least one of the I_α is nonzero. Then J is absolutely convex. Let $G \in I$. Then $G = H_1 + \dots + H_k$ for some $H_i \in I_{\alpha_i}$, so we have $0 \leq G^\# \leq H_1^\# + \dots + H_k^\# \in J$. Hence $G^\# \in J$ by convexity.

(4) Since $I \subset \bar{I}$, $\tau(I) \subset \tau(\bar{I})$. Let $F \in \bar{I}$. Then there is a $G \in I$ and an integer k such that $V_x(F) \geq V_x(G) - k$ for all $x \in Y$. Therefore $F^\# \leq e^k G^\#$, so $F^\# \in \tau(I)$ by convexity.

(5) (a) To verify that $\tau|\mathcal{D}$ is 1-1 we show that for $I \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$ and $J \in \mathcal{D}$, if $\tau(I) \subset \tau(J)$, then $I \subset J$. Let $F \in I$. Then $F^\# \in \tau(J)$, so $F^\# = gH^\#$ for some $g \in C^*(X)$ and some $H \in J$. Hence $V_x(F) = V_x(H) - \log g(x) \geq V_x(H) - k$ for all $x \in Y$ for some positive constant k . Since $J \in \mathcal{D}$, $F \in J$.

Next we show that $\tau|\mathcal{D}$ is onto. Let I be a nonzero regular ideal. We claim that for any $f \in I$ there exists $F \in A(Y)$ such that $f \in (F^\#)$ and $(F^\#) \subset I$. For, by 2.4 every $f \in I$ is a multiple of some regular $g \in I$, and by 3.2, $g = uF^\#$ for some $F \in A(Y)$ and some unit u of $C^*(X)$. Now for each $f \in I$ pick such an F , and let J be the ideal of $A(Y)$ generated by these F 's. It is easy to verify that $\tau(J) = I$. Finally, $\bar{J} \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\tau(\bar{J}) = I$.

(5) (b) We have shown that τ preserves sums, and since the sum of any collection of differentially closed ideals is differentially closed, $\tau|\mathcal{D}$ preserves sums.

Suppose now that $\cap I_\alpha \neq (0)$ for some collection $\{I_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{D}$. We want to show that $\tau(\cap I_\alpha) = \cap \tau(I_\alpha)$. Obviously $\tau(\cap I_\alpha) \subset \cap \tau(I_\alpha)$. Now since $\cap \tau(I_\alpha)$ is a nonzero regular ideal, for the reverse inclusion it is sufficient to deal with regular functions. Let $f \in \cap \tau(I_\alpha)$ be regular. $f = uF^\#$ for some $F \in A(Y)$ and some unit u of $C^*(X)$. For each α , $uF^\# \in \tau(I_\alpha)$, so $F^\# \in \tau(I_\alpha)$. It follows that $F \in I_\alpha$ since $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence $F \in \cap I_\alpha$, and so $f \in \tau(\cap I_\alpha)$.

It should be noted that the map τ , unlike its restriction to \mathcal{D} , does not preserve nonzero intersections. For if it did, it would follow from Theorem 3.5 that $\overline{\cap I_\alpha} = \cap \bar{I}_\alpha$ for any collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals of $A(Y)$ such that $\cap I_\alpha \neq (0)$. This is not the case, as we now show. Let $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite closed discrete subset of Y . Take $G \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(G) = D$ and $V_{x_n}(G) = n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. For each positive integer k take $F_k \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(F_k) = D$ and $V_x(F_k) = k$ for all $x \in D$. Set $I_k = (F_k, G)$. Then for every $k, \bar{I}_k =$

$A(Y)$, so $\cap \bar{I}_k = A(Y)$. But $\cap I_k = (G)$, so $\overline{\cap I_k} = \overline{(G)}$. It is true that τ preserves finite intersections since $\overline{I \cap J} = \bar{I} \cap \bar{J}$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$.

Now we give some information about the “local” behavior of τ .

3.6. THEOREM. *Let M be a free maximal ideal of $A(Y)$, and let O^p be the corresponding minimal prime ideal of $C^*(X)$.*

(1) *τ is a bijection of the nonzero P_M^* -restricted ideals of $A(Y)$ onto the ideals of $C^*(X)$ that strictly contain O^p .*

(2) *For every $f \in C^*(X)$ there exists $F \in A(Y)$ such that $\tau((F)) + O^p = (f) + O^p$.*

(3) *Let I be a nonzero P_M^* -restricted ideal. I is prime (respectively primary) if, and only if, $\tau(I)$ is prime (respectively primary).*

Proof. (1) By 1.5, P_M^* -restricted ideals are differentially closed. It follows from 3.5 that the map is 1-1. Now let I be a nonzero P_M^* -restricted ideal. We show first that $O^p \subset \tau(I)$. This is obvious if $I = A(Y)$, so assume $I \neq A(Y)$. Let $f \in O^p$. Let $G \in I - \{0\}$, and take $H \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(H) = Z(G) \cap Z(f) \cap D$ and $V_x(H) = V_x(G)$ for all $x \in Z(H)$. Since $Z(H) \subset Z(f)$, $f = fH^\#$, so we only need to show that $H \in I$. Take $K \in A(Y)$ such that $Z(K) = Z(G) - Z(H)$ and $V_x(K) = V_x(G)$ for all $x \in Z(K)$. Then $KH/G \in A(Y)$, so $KH \in I$. Since $Z(H) \in \mu$, $K \notin M$. Therefore since $I = I_M^c$, $H \in I$.

Now let J be an ideal of $C^*(X)$ which strictly contains O^p . We need to find a P_M^* -restricted ideal I such that $\tau(I) = J$. By 2.5, J is regular, so by 3.5 there exists $I \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\tau(I) = J$. If $J = C^*(X)$, then $I = A(Y)$, which is P_M^* -restricted, and we are done. So assume $J \neq C^*(X)$. Then since $O^p \subset \tau(I)$, $\tau(I) \subset M^p$ and M^p is the only maximal ideal of $C^*(X)$ that contains $\tau(I)$ [8, Theorem 7.13]. Using the definition of τ , one can see that $I \subset M$ and M is the only maximal ideal of $A(Y)$ that contains I . Hence $I = I_M^c$, so by 1.4 I is P_M^* -restricted.

(2) Let O^p be given by (2.1), and let $f \in C^*(X)$. If $f \in O^p$, we can take $F = 0$; so assume $f \notin O^p$. Then $S = \{x \in D : f(x) \neq 0\} \in \mu$. Define $g \in C^*(X)$ by $g(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in S$, $g(x) = 1$ for $x \in X - S$. Since g is regular, there exists $F \in A(Y)$ and a unit u of $C^*(X)$ such that $g = uF^\#$. Then

$$\tau((F)) + O^p = (g) + O^p = (f) + O^p.$$

(3) Suppose that I is prime and that $fg \in \tau(I)$. Then $(fg) + O^p \subset \tau(I)$. Now $(fg) + O^p = ((f) + O^p)((g) + O^p)$ since $O^p = (O^p)^2$ [8, 2B.2], so $((f) + O^p)((g) + O^p) \subset \tau(I)$. Let $F, G \in A(Y)$ such that $\tau((F)) + O^p = (f) + O^p$, and $\tau((G) + O^p) = (g) + O^p$. Then $(\tau((F)) + O^p)(\tau((G)) + O^p) \subset \tau(I)$, and it follows that $\tau((FG)) \subset \tau(I)$. Since $I \in \mathcal{D}$, $FG \in I$. Therefore $F \in I$ or $G \in I$, say $F \in I$. Then we have $(f) + O^p = \tau((F)) + O^p \subset \tau(I)$, so $f \in \tau(I)$.

Conversely, suppose that $\tau(I)$ is prime and that $FG \in I$. Then $F^\#G^\# \in \tau(I)$, so either $F^\# \in \tau(I)$ or $G^\# \in \tau(I)$, say $F^\# \in \tau(I)$. Then $F \in I$ since $I \in \mathcal{D}$.

The proof that I is primary if, and only if, $\tau(I)$ is primary is similar.

Let M and O^p be as in 3.6, and let $\mathcal{I}(C^*(X)/O^p)$ denote the set of ideals of $C^*(X)/O^p$. Consider $\mathcal{I}(C^*(X)/O^p)$ and the set of P_M^* -restricted ideals as totally ordered semigroups (under multiplication of ideals and inclusion). For $I \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$, set $\phi(I) = (\tau(I) + O^p)/O^p$.

The next result, which follows easily from 3.5 and 3.6, shows that the ideals of $C^*(X)/O^p$ behave very much like the P_M^* -restricted ideals.

3.7. COROLLARY. *The map $\phi : \mathcal{I}(A(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(C^*(X)/O^p)$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\phi(IJ) = \phi(I)\phi(J)$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$.
- (2) *The restriction of ϕ to the P_M^* -restricted ideals of $A(Y)$ is a surjective order preserving isomorphism.*
- (3) ϕ maps the set of principal ideals of $A(Y)$ onto the set of principal ideals of $C^*(X)/O^p$.
- (4) *If $I \in \mathcal{I}(A(Y))$ and J is a P_M^* -restricted ideal of $A(Y)$, then $I \subset J$ if and only if $\phi(I) \subset \phi(J)$.*

4. Applications. In this section we use the machinery developed in section 3 and known results of ideal theory of $C^*(X)$ to obtain results about the ideals of $A(Y)$. Examples 4.1, 4.2, 4.6, and 4.9 were proved directly in [19], and 4.4 is essentially contained in [11]. The remaining results appear to be new. We include a wide variety of examples to illustrate the power of the transfer machinery.

The first four examples deal with the local ideal theory of $A(Y)$. In [1] a result very much like Corollary 3.7 was used to derive a metamathematical Transfer Principle, and this device was used to transform results about the ideal theory of $C^*(X)/O^p$ into results about the P_M^* -restricted ideals of $A(Y)$. In this paper, however, we will use the map τ directly even in our local examples. In addition to the properties of τ which are listed in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, we make free use of additional properties of τ which follow easily from these theorems. Among these latter properties are the following:

- (1) Let $I \in \mathcal{D} - \{(0)\}$. I is prime (respectively primary) if and only if $\tau(I)$ is prime (respectively primary).
- (2) Let $I \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\tau(I^{1/2}) = [\tau(I)]^{1/2}$.
- (3) Let $I, J \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\tau(I : J) = \tau(I) : \tau(J)$.

4.1. Example. Let I be P_M^* -restricted. I is prime if and only if $I = I^2$.

Proof. We may take $I \neq (0)$. By [18, Corollary 2.2] an ideal J of $C^*(X)$ that contains a prime ideal is prime if and only if $J = J^2$. If I is prime, so is $\tau(I)$. But then $\tau(I) = [\tau(I)]^2 = \tau(I^2)$, so $I = I^2$.

If $I = I^2$, then $\tau(I) = [\tau(I)]^2$. Hence $\tau(I)$ is prime, and so I is prime.

4.2. *Example.* Every non-prime primary ideal of $A(Y)$ is either an upper or a lower primary ideal.

Proof. The result is obvious for those primary ideals which are powers of maximal ideals. Any other primary ideal is P_M^* -restricted for some M . The chain of P_M^* -restricted primary ideals is order isomorphic to the chain of primary ideals of $C^*(X)$ that contain O^p . The result follows since every non-prime primary ideal of $C^*(X)$ is either an upper or a lower primary ideal [15, Theorem 1].

4.3. *Example.* No proper nonzero P_M^* -restricted ideal is countably generated. (In particular, no nonzero nonmaximal prime ideal of $A(Y)$ is countably generated.)

Proof. Note that for any $I \in \mathcal{J}(A(Y))$, if I is countably generated, then $\tau(I)$ is countably generated. By [7, Corollary 5.4] and [16, Theorem 2] no ideal J of $C^*(X)$ such that $O^p \subset J \subset M^p$ can be countably generated.

4.4. *Example.* The set of all upper prime ideals of $A(Y)$ properly between two given ones, $P \subsetneq Q$, is an η_1 -set if Q is nonmaximal.

Proof. The set of all upper prime ideals of $C^*(X)$ properly between $\tau(P)$ and $\tau(Q)$ is an η_1 -set by [8, Theorem 14.19].

The remaining results are of a more global character. Since the restriction of τ to \mathcal{D} is 1-1 and preserves nonzero intersections, it is easiest to derive results about the differentially closed ideals. The transfer process is somewhat less automatic than for local results. For the P_M^* -restricted ideals behave like all the ideals of $C^*(X)$ that contain O^p , while the differentially closed ideals correspond to the less well studied regular ideals of $C^*(X)$.

4.5. *Example.* Let $I, J \in \mathcal{D}$.

- (1) If I and J are semiprime, then $I + J$ is semiprime.
- (2) If $I \neq (0)$ is prime and J is semiprime, then $I + J$ is prime.

Proof. Recall that an ideal of a ring is semiprime if, and only if, it is an intersection of prime ideals. First we show that for an ideal $K \in \mathcal{D}$, K is semiprime if, and only if, $\tau(K)$ is semiprime. Since (0) is semiprime in both rings, we may assume $K \neq (0)$. If K is semiprime, then $K = \bigcap P_\alpha$, where the P_α are nonzero prime ideals. Hence $\tau(K) = \bigcap \tau(P_\alpha)$, so $\tau(K)$ is semiprime. If, conversely, $\tau(K)$ is semiprime, then $\tau(K) = \bigcap Q_\alpha$, where the Q_α are prime ideals of $C^*(X)$. Since $\tau(K)$ is regular, each Q_α is regular, so $Q_\alpha = \tau(P_\alpha)$, where P_α is a nonzero prime ideal of $A(Y)$. We have $\tau(K) = \tau(\bigcap P_\alpha)$, so $K = \bigcap P_\alpha$.

(1) If I and J are semiprime, then $\tau(I + J) = \tau(I) + \tau(J)$ is a semiprime ideal of $C^*(X)$ since the sum of two semiprime ideals of $C^*(X)$ is semiprime [17, Lemma 5.1]. Therefore $I + J$ is semiprime.

(2) If $I \neq (0)$ is prime and J is semiprime, then $\tau(I + J) = \tau(I) + \tau(J)$ is a prime ideal of $C^*(X)$ since the sum of a prime and a semiprime ideal of $C^*(X)$ is prime [17, Theorem 5.3]. Therefore $I + J$ is prime.

4.6. *Example.* Let $I \in \mathcal{D}$.

- (1) $I = I^2$ if and only if I is an intersection of prime ideals.
- (2) If $I = I.I^{1/2}$ or $I = I : I^{1/2}$, then I is an intersection of primary ideals.

These results are true for the absolutely convex ideals of $C^*(X)$ by [18, Theorems 2.1 and 2.8]. They are therefore true for the differentially closed ideals of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ by arguments similar to those given in 4.5.

4.7. *Example.* Let $I \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\{I_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{D}$.

- (1) $I.I^{1/2}.(I.I^{1/2})^{1/2} = I.I^{1/2}$.
- (2) $(\cap I_\alpha)^2 = \cap I_\alpha^2$.

Proof. (1) Note that if $J \in \mathcal{D}$, then $J^{1/2} \in \mathcal{D}$ since it is an intersection of nonmaximal prime ideals. Therefore each side of the equation we are considering is differentially closed. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tau[I.I^{1/2}.(I.I^{1/2})^{1/2}] &= \tau(I).\tau(I)^{1/2}.(\tau(I).\tau(I)^{1/2})^{1/2} \\ &= \tau(I).\tau(I)^{1/2} \text{ by [18, Theorem 2.4]} \\ &= \tau(I.I^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since $\tau|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is 1-1.

(2) The result is true for the absolutely convex ideals of $C^*(X)$ [18, Corollary 2.14]. It therefore holds for the differentially closed ideals of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ by an argument similar to (1).

4.8. *Example.* If $\cap P_{M_\alpha}^* \neq (0)$, then $\cap P_{M_\alpha}^*$ is not countably generated.

Proof. Set $J = \cap P_{M_\alpha}^*$. Then

$$\tau(J) = \cap \tau(P_{M_\alpha}^*) = \cap_{p \in \mathcal{A}} M^p,$$

where \mathcal{A} is some subset of $\beta X - X$, so $\tau(J)$ is a z -ideal of $C^*(X)$. In [4, Corollary, p. 575] the countably generated z -ideals of $C^*(X)$ are described. From the description it is easy to see that a countably generated z -ideal of $C^*(X)$ cannot contain a regular element.

4.9. *Example.* Let $I \in \mathcal{D}$. If I is an intersection of primary ideals, then $I^2 = I.(I : I^{1/2})$. Conversely, if the intersection of all the minimal primary ideals of I is irredundant, then the condition $I^2 = I.(I : I^{1/2})$ implies that I is an intersection of primary ideals.

This result is true for the absolutely convex ideals of $C^*(X)$ by [18, Theorems 2.15 and 2.17]. After one observes that the intersection of all the minimal primary ideals of I is irredundant if and only if the same is true for $\tau(I)$, the transfer argument is similar to arguments used above.

4.10. *Example.* If $\bigcap P_{M_\alpha}^* \neq (0)$, then the Krull dimension of $\bigcap P_{M_\alpha}^*$ is infinite.

Proof. Set $L = \bigcap P_{M_\alpha}^*$. We want to show that for every positive integer n there exists an ascending chain of prime ideals of L of length n . $\tau(L) = \bigcap_{p \in A} M^p$ for some $A \subset \beta X - X$. Call this ideal I^A , and set $F^A = \bigcap_{p \in A} O^p$. Clearly $F^A \subsetneq I^A$, so by [5, Corollary 3.6] there exists a chain $\{J_i\}$ of prime ideals of I^A such that

$$F^A \subsetneq J_1 \subsetneq J_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq J_n \subsetneq I^A.$$

Now each J_i is $Q_i \cap I^A$, for some prime ideal Q_i of $C^*(X)$ by [5, Lemma 3.3]. No Q_i is a fixed ideal since F^A is contained in no fixed ideal. Furthermore it can be shown that no more than one of the Q_i can be a free minimal prime ideal. Therefore (by tossing the bad one out if necessary) we can assume that each Q_i strictly contains a free minimal prime ideal. Hence, by 2.5, each Q_i is $\tau(P_i)$ for some prime ideal P_i of $A(Y)$. We have

$$\tau(P_1) \cap \tau(L) \subsetneq \tau(P_2) \cap \tau(L) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \tau(P_n) \cap \tau(L),$$

so

$$P_1 \cap L \subsetneq P_2 \cap L \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_n \cap L;$$

and each $P_i \cap L$ is a prime ideal of L .

REFERENCES

1. A. Adler and R. D. Williams, *Restricted ideals in rings of analytic functions* (to appear in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.).
2. N. L. Alling, *The valuation theory of meromorphic function fields over open Riemann surfaces*, Acta Math. 110 (1962), 79–96.
3. ——— *The valuation theory of meromorphic function fields*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 11 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968), 8–29.
4. G. De Marco, *On the countably generated z -ideals of $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 574–576.
5. W. E. Dietrich, Jr., *On the ideal structure of $C(X)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (1970), 61–77.
6. H. Florack, *Reguläre und meromorphe Funktionen auf nicht geschlossenen Riemannschen Flächen*, Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster 1 (1948).
7. L. Gillman, *Countably generated ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 660–666.
8. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Math. (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960).
9. O. Helmer, *Divisibility properties of integral functions*, Duke Math. J. 6 (1940), 345–356.
10. M. Henriksen, *On the ideal structure of the ring of entire functions*, Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 179–184.
11. ——— *On the prime ideals of the ring of entire functions*, Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 711–720.
12. E. Hewitt, *Rings of real valued continuous functions, I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 54–99.
13. C. W. Kohls, *Prime ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 505–536.
14. ——— *Prime ideals in rings of continuous functions, II*, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 447–458.

15. ——— *Primary ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly *71* (1964), 980–984.
16. ——— *A note on countably generated ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *12* (1961), 744–749.
17. D. Rudd, *On two sum theorems for ideals of $C(X)$* , Michigan Math. J. *17* (1970), 139–141.
18. R. D. Williams, *Intersections of primary ideals in rings of continuous functions*, Can. J. Math. *24* (1972), 502–519.
19. ——— *Primary ideals in rings of analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *178* (1973), 37–49.

*University of British Columbia,
Vancouver, British Columbia*