Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 110 (2024), 121–128 doi:10.1017/S0004972723001168

SOLVABLE GROUPS WHOSE NONNORMAL SUBGROUPS HAVE FEW ORDERS

LIJUAN HE[®], HENG LV[®] and GUIYUN CHEN®

(Received 13 October 2023; accepted 26 October 2023; first published online 27 December 2023)

Abstract

Suppose that G is a finite solvable group. Let $t = n_c(G)$ denote the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of G. We bound the derived length dl(G) in terms of $n_c(G)$. If G is a finite p-group, we show that $|G'| \le p^{2t+1}$ and $dl(G) \le \lceil \log_2(2t+3) \rceil$. If G is a finite solvable nonnilpotent group, we prove that the sum of the powers of the prime divisors of |G'| is less than t and that $dl(G) \le \lfloor 2(t+1)/3 \rfloor + 1$.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20D10.

Keywords and phrases: solvable groups, nonnormal subgroups, derived length.

1. Introduction

A finite group is said to be a Dedekind group if all its subgroups are normal. Such groups were precisely classified by Dedekind in [6]. Groups having only a few nonnormal subgroups can be considered close to Dedekind groups. There are many results about such groups that characterise the structure of finite groups with a small number of conjugacy classes of nonnormal subgroups (see [3–5, 7, 9–11]). There are also explorations based on the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups.

Let G be a finite group. For convenience, we introduce the notation,

 $n_c(G)$ = the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of G.

Obviously, $n_c(G) = 0$ if and only if G is a Dedekind group. Passman in [12] classified finite p-groups, all of whose nonnormal subgroups are cyclic, including finite p-groups with $n_c(G) = 1$. Later, Berkovich and Zhang in [2, 13] classified finite groups with $n_c(G) = 1$, and An in [1] classified finite p-groups with $n_c(G) = 2$. These results are mainly concerned with the structure of G. In particular, Passman in [12] gave several interesting properties of finite p-groups based on the orders of their nonnormal subgroups, which served as inspiration for this study.



This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11971391, 12071376), by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (SWU-XDJH202305) and the Postgraduate Research and Innovation Project of Southwest University (SWUB23034).

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

The aim of this paper is to estimate the derived length of a finite solvable group G in terms of $n_c(G)$. We examine nilpotent groups (Section 2) and solvable nonnilpotent groups (Section 3). In fact, the derived length of a nilpotent group with $n_c(G) = t$ is less than the derived length of p-groups with $n_c(G) = t$. Therefore, we consider finite p-groups instead of nilpotent groups.

In [12], Passman showed that, for a finite p-group G, if the maximal order of nonnormal subgroups of G is p^m , then $|G'| \le p^m$, and hence the nilpotent class $c(G) \le m + 1$. Also, it is trivial that $n_c(G) \le m$. We obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let G be a p-group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then $dl(G) \le \lceil \log_2(2t+3) \rceil$.

Assume that G is a finite solvable nonnilpotent group. We establish an upper bound for the derived length dl(G) in terms of $n_c(G)$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let G be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then the derived length $dl(G) \le \lfloor (2t+2)/3 \rfloor + 1$.

Let G be a finite solvable group with $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$. For convenience, we define

$$s_p(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i.$$

For the remainder of this paper, all groups are finite and we refer to [8] for standard notation concerning the theory of finite groups.

2. The *p*-groups with $n_c(G) = t$

In this section, we bound the order of G' and the derived length dl(G) for a p-group G in terms of the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups $n_c(G)$. We begin with four lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 [2, Lemma 1.4]. Let G be a p-group and let $N \leq G$. If N has no abelian normal subgroups of G of type (p, p), then N is either cyclic or a 2-group of maximal class.

LEMMA 2.2 [12, Lemma 1.4]. Let N be a minimal nonnormal subgroup of a p-group P. Then N is cyclic.

Suppose that G is a group and $N \subseteq G$. Note that $n_c(G/N)$ is the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of G containing N. The following lemma is easy but important, and it will frequently be used later in the paper.

LEMMA 2.3. Let G be a group. Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G. Then $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G)$. Moreover, if $n_c(G/N) = n_c(G)$, then the orders of all nonnormal subgroups of G are divisible by the order of N.

PROOF. Obviously, the projection of the nonnormal subgroups of G/N onto G are still nonnormal, and hence $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G)$. If there exists a nonnormal subgroup

of *G* whose order is not divisible by |N|, then $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$. This completes the proof.

Let G be a p-group. We say that $H_1 > H_2 > \cdots > H_k$ is a chain of nonnormal subgroups of G if each $H_i \not\supseteq G$ and if $|H_i: H_{i+1}| = p$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$. Passman in [12] used chn(G) to denote the maximum of the lengths of the chains of nonnormal subgroups of G, and proved that if chn(G) = t, then $s_p(G') \le 2t + \lfloor 2/p \rfloor$. It is trivial that $chn(G) \le n_G(G)$. In the next lemma, we weaken the condition.

LEMMA 2.4. Let G be a p-group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then $s_p(G') \le 2t + 1$.

PROOF. Let G be a p-group and assume that $n_c(G) = t$. If G has no elementary abelian normal subgroup of order p^2 , then, by Lemma 2.1, G is either a cyclic group or a 2-group of maximal class. It is easy to see that $s_p(G') \le n_c(G) + 1$ and the result follows.

Now, suppose that there exists an elementary abelian normal subgroup N of order p^2 . In this case, we perform induction on t. If t=0, clearly, G is Dedekind and $s_p(G') \le 1$, as required. Next, suppose that $t \ge 1$. We consider the factor group G/N. Assume that M is a nonnormal subgroup of minimal order of G. Then M is cyclic by Lemma 2.2. Let $|M| = p^m$. We claim that $n_c(G/N) \le t - 1$. If $p^m \le p^2$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $n_c(G/N) \le t - 1$. Conversely, if $p^m > p^2$, then G/N has no nonnormal subgroups of order p^{m-2} . Otherwise, there exists a noncyclic nonnormal subgroup of order p^m of G, which contradicts the minimality of M. Thus, according to Lemma 2.3, we have $n_c(G/N) \le t - 1$, as claimed. Here, by induction on t, it follows that $s_p((G/N)') \le 2(t-1) + 1$. Therefore,

$$s_p(G') \le s_p(N) + s_p((G/N)') \le 2t + 1.$$

The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let G be a nilpotent group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then $s_p(G') \le 2t + 1$.

PROOF. Let $P_i \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$ and assume that $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_k$ with $n_c(G) = t$. If k = 1, the result is trivial by Lemma 2.4. Now, let $k \geq 1$. We assume that $G = H \times P_k$. Since $n_c(G) = t$, we have $n_c(H) < t/2$ and $n_c(P_k) \leq t/2$. By induction on k, it follows that $s_p(H') < t + 1$ and $s_p(P_k') < t + 1$. Therefore, $s_p(G') \leq 2t + 1$.

We denote by c(G) the nilpotent class and use G_i and $G^{(i)}$ to denote the *i*th terms of the lower central series and the commutator series for a group G, respectively. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let G be a p-group and assume that $n_c(G) = t$. By Lemma 2.4, we see that $|G'| \le p^{2t+1}$ and thus $c(G) \le 2t + 2$. It suffices to show that $G^{(i)} \le G_{2^i}$ for $i \ge 1$ since, by induction on i,

$$G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}] \leq [G_{2^{i-1}}, G_{2^{i-1}}] \leq G_{2^i}.$$

Note that $1 = G_{2t+3} = G^{(dl(G))} \le G_{2^{dl(G)}}$. Consequently, $2^{dl(G)} \le 2t + 3$, that is, $dl(G) \le \lceil \log_2(2t+3) \rceil$. This completes the proof.

3. The solvable nonnilpotent groups with $n_c(G) = t$

In this section, we investigate the solvable nonnilpotent groups with $n_c(G) = t$ and prove the main result of this paper.

First, we state the characterisation of finite groups with $n_c(G) = 1$ and provide a basic fact about nilpotent groups.

LEMMA 3.1 [13, Theorem 2.3]. Let G be a finite group. If all nonnormal subgroups of G possess the same order, then G is a finite p-group or $G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$, where $o(a) = p_2$, $o(b) = p_1^{n_1}$, p_1 , p_2 are primes with $p_1 < p_2$ and $[a, b^{p_1}] = 1$. Moreover, if $G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$, as stated, then all nonnormal subgroups of G are of order $p_1^{n_1}$.

LEMMA 3.2 [8, Lemma 5.1.2]. Let G be a group and let $N \le Z(G)$. Then G is nilpotent if and only if G/N is nilpotent.

For solvable nonnilpotent groups, we have the following further conclusion based on Lemma 2.3.

LEMMA 3.3. Let G be a solvable nonnilpotent group. Then there exists a minimal normal subgroup N such that $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G) - s_p(N)$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G)$. First, we claim that there exists a minimal normal subgroup N of G such that $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$. Let $P_i \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$. Noting that G is nonnilpotent, we may assume that P_1 is a nonnormal Sylow subgroup of G. If, for $i \ge 2$, there exists a Sylow subgroup P_i such that P_i is nonnormal, we may assume that P_i is nonnormal. Then $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$ is always true for any minimal normal subgroup $N \ne 1$. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.3, the orders of both P_1 and P_2 are divisible by the order of P_i , so that P_i and P_i are divisible by the order of P_i is not divisible by the order of P_i . This proves the claim.

Since N is a minimal normal subgroup of G, it follows that N is an elementary abelian p-group and proper subgroups of N are nonnormal subgroups of G. There are $s_p(N) - 1$ nonnormal subgroups of G contained by N. Thus,

$$n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G) - (s_p(N) - 1).$$

Here, if $n_c(G/N) = n_c(G) - s_p(N) + 1$, then, similarly, both the orders of P_1 and P_2 are divisible by p, which is a contradiction. Hence, $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G) - s_p(N)$ and the proof is complete.

The next crucial lemma establishes an upper bound on the order of G' in terms of $n_c(G)$ for a solvable nonnilpotent group G.

LEMMA 3.4. Let G be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then $s_n(G') \le t$.

PROOF. Assume that $n_c(G) = t$. The proof will be done by induction to t. If t = 1, then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$$
,

where $o(a) = p_2$, $o(b) = p_1^{n_1}$ and p_1 , p_2 are different primes. Since $G/\langle a \rangle$ is cyclic, we have $s_p(G') = 1$.

Now, let $t \ge 2$. According to the proof of Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show that there exists a minimal normal subgroup N such that $n_c(G/N) < t$.

Case 1: G/N is nonnilpotent.

In this case, since $n_c(G/N) < t$, it follows that $s_p((G/N)') \le n_c(G/N)$ by induction on t. In addition, $|G'| = |G' \cap N||(G/N)'|$ because $(G/N)' \cong G'/(G' \cap N)$. Hence, |N||(G/N)'| is divisible by |G'|. Therefore,

$$s_p(G') \le s_p(N) + s_p((G/N)') \le s_p(N) + n_c(G/N).$$

By Lemma 3.3, $n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G) - s_p(N)$, and hence

$$s_p(G') \le s_p(N) + n_c(G/N) \le n_c(G) = t.$$

This completes the proof in Case 1.

Case 2: G/N is nilpotent. In this case, we consider the following two situations.

Case 2a: there exists a minimal normal subgroup M such that $M \neq N$.

Since G is a nonnilpotent group, it follows that G/M is also nonnilpotent. Otherwise, since $G/(M \cap N) \leq G/M \times G/N$, we see that $G/(M \cap N)$ is nilpotent. However, $G/(M \cap N) \cong G$ is nonnilpotent, which is a contradiction. Now, assume that $|M| = p^m$ and $|N| = q^n$, where p, q are different primes. We consider two cases, namely, $m \geq 2$ and m = 1. If $m \geq 2$, since $N_1 M_1 \not \triangleq G$ for all $1 < M_1 < M$ and $1 \leq N_1 \leq N$, then

$$n_c(G/M) \le n_c(G) - (m-1)(n+1) \le n_c(G) - m$$
.

Here, it follows easily by induction that $s_p((G/M)') \le n_c(G/M)$. This condition is similar to Case 1 and it follows that

$$s_p(G') \le s_p(M) + n_c(G/M) \le n_c(G).$$

Now suppose that m = 1, that is, |M| = p. If there exists a nonnormal subgroup H such that |H| is not divisible by p, then $n_c(G/M) \le n_c(G) - 1$ from Lemma 2.3, and so $s_p((G/M)') \le n_c(G/M)$ by induction. As before, the result holds. On the other hand, if, for every subgroup H of G whose order is not divisible by p, H is always normal, then we may assume that G = KP, where K is a Hall p'-subgroup of G. Obviously, all subgroups of K are normal and P is nonnormal. We consider the following two cases.

(i) If there exists a minimal normal subgroup T of G contained in K satisfying $T \neq N$, then G/T is nonnilpotent. It suffices to show that $n_c(G/T) \leq n_c(G) - 1$ by Lemma 2.3, and thus $s_p((G/T)') \leq n_c(G/T)$ by induction. As before, the result holds.

(ii) If N is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G contained in K, then K is a group of prime power order. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that K is either a cyclic group or a 2-group of maximal class. In addition, since every subgroup of K is a normal subgroup of G, it follows that K is either a cyclic group or a quaternion group Q_8 . We claim that K is cyclic. Otherwise, $K \cong Q_8$. Note that $N \le Z(G) \cap Q_8$ and G/N is nilpotent. According to Lemma 3.2, G is nilpotent, which is a contradiction. Now, let G be a cyclic group of order G with G 2. For G 2. For G 3 is nonnormal as G 4 and G 4 and G 6 is nonnormal as G 6 and G 7 is nonnormal as G 8. Also, there exists a maximal subgroup G 6 for G 4. Hence,

$$n_c(G/K)(r+1) + r \le t$$
.

By Lemma 2.4, $s_p((G/K)') \le 2(t-r)/(r+1) + 1$. Note that $n_c(G) = t \ge 2r + 1$ and $r \ge 2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} s_p(G') & \leq s_p(K) + s_p((G/K)') \leq r + \frac{2(t-r)}{r+1} + 1 \\ & \leq \frac{r(r+1) + r(t-r) + (r+1)}{r+1} \leq \frac{r(t+1) + t - r}{r+1} = t. \end{split}$$

Case 2b: N is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G.

In this case, G/H is nilpotent for $1 \neq H \leq G$. We can assume that $G/N = P_1 \rtimes P_2$ with $N \leq P_1$. Let $|N| = p_1^k$. Then there are k-1 nonnormal subgroups of G contained in N. Clearly, if NK is nonnormal in G for $K \leq G$, then $K \not \geq G$. Note that $P_2N \leq G$ but P_2 is a nonnormal subgroup of G. Moreover, we can always find $gN \in Z(G/N)$ such that $g \in G - N$ and $g^p \in N$ since G/N is nilpotent. Also, $\langle g \rangle N \leq G$ but $\langle g \rangle$ is nonnormal in G. Therefore,

$$2n_c(G/N) + (k-1) + 1 + 1 \le t$$
.

It follows that $n_c(G/N) \le (t-k-1)/2$ and, by Lemma 2.5, $s_p((G/N)') \le t-k$. Hence,

$$s_n(G') \le s_n(N) + s_n((G/N)') \le k + t - k \le t.$$

The proof is complete.

Next, we will prove Theorem 1.2. To do this, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.5. Let G be a solvable group. If $s_p(G) = n$, then $dl(G) \le \lfloor (2n+2)/3 \rfloor$.

PROOF. We prove the result by induction on n. If n = 1, the result is trivially true. Assume that $n \ge 2$. If $s_p(G/G') \ge 2$, then $s_p(G') \le n - 2$. It follows that $dl(G') \le \lfloor (2n-2)/3 \rfloor$ by the inductive hypothesis applied to G'. Hence,

$$dl(G) \le \lfloor (2n-2)/3 \rfloor + 1 \le \lfloor (2n+2)/3 \rfloor.$$

In this case, the proof is complete.

Now, let $s_p(G/G') = 1$, that is, $s_p(G') = n - 1$. We may assume that dl(G) = k + 1 where $k \ge 2$. Then $G^{(k)} > 1$. Also, suppose that N is a maximal abelian normal

subgroup of G containing $G^{(k)}$. If $s_p(N) \ge 2$, we see that $s_p(G/N) \le n-2$. Application of the inductive hypothesis to G/N yields $dl(G/N) \le |(2n-2)/3|$. Thus,

$$dl(G) \le \lfloor (2n-2)/3 \rfloor + 1 \le \lfloor (2n+2)/3 \rfloor$$
,

and the result follows.

The remaining case is where $s_p(N) = 1$, which implies that $N = G^{(k)}$. Since $G/N = N_G(N)/C_G(N) \lesssim \text{Aut}(N)$ is cyclic, it suffices to show that $N = G^{(k)} \leq Z(G')$. Hence,

$$N = G^{(k)} \le Z(G^{(k-1)}).$$

Now $G^{(k-1)}$ is nonabelian since $G^{(k)} \neq 1$. We claim that $s_p(G^{(k-1)}) \geq 3$. Otherwise, $G^{(k-1)}$ is a nonabelian group of order pq with $p \neq q$. Since $G^{(k-1)}/G^{(k)}$ is cyclic, it suffices to show that $G^{(k-1)}$ is an abelian group, which is a contradiction. Hence, $s_p(G/G^{(k-1)}) \leq n-3$. Apply the inductive hypothesis to $G/G^{(k-1)}$. Then $dl(G/G^{(k-1)}) \leq \lfloor (2n-4)/3 \rfloor$. Therefore,

$$dl(G) \le \lfloor (2n-4)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor (2n+2)/3 \rfloor.$$

The proof is complete.

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Suppose that G is a solvable nonnilpotent group with $n_c(G) = t$. From Lemma 3.4, $s_p(G') \le t$, and hence, by Lemma 3.5,

$$dl(G') \le \lfloor (2t+2)/3 \rfloor$$
.

Hence, $dl(G) \le \lfloor (2t+2)/3 \rfloor + 1$. The proof is complete.

In addition, if G be a solvable nonnilpotent group, the number of prime divisors of |G| can be bounded by $n_c(G)$. For convenience, we use $\pi(G)$ to denote the number of prime divisors of |G|.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let G be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If $n_c(G) = t$, then $\pi(G) \le t + 1$.

PROOF. Assume that $\pi(G) \ge t + 2$. Since G is a solvable group, G possesses a Sylow system S. Suppose that $S = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{t+2}, \dots\}$. Note that G is nonnilpotent and we may assume that P_1 is a nonnormal Sylow subgroup of G. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{P_1P_2, P_1P_3, P_1P_4, \dots, P_1P_{t+2}\}.$$

Obviously, for $1 \le i \le t + 2$, P_1P_i is a subgroup of G. If, for the set \mathcal{T} , there are two or more normal subgroups of G, then P_1 is a normal subgroup, which is a contradiction. Thus, at most one normal subgroup is contained in the set \mathcal{T} and it follows that $n_c(G) \ge t + 1$. This contradicts the hypothesis and the proof is complete.

References

[8]

- [1] L. J. An, 'Finite *p*-groups whose non-normal subgroups have few orders', *Front. Math. China* **13**(4) (2018), 763–777.
- [2] Y. Berkovich, *Groups of Prime Power Order*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 1 (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008).
- [3] R. Brandl, 'Groups with few non-normal subgroups', Comm. Algebra 23(6) (1995), 2091–2098.
- [4] R. Brandl, 'Non-soluble groups with few conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **54**(2) (2013), 493–501.
- [5] G. Y. Chen and S. M. Chen, 'A note on the solvability of a finite group and the number of conjugate classes of its non-normal subgroups', *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **34**(4) (2010), 625–628.
- [6] R. Dedekind, 'Üeber Gruppen, deren sämmtliche Theiler Normaltheiler sind', Math. Ann. 48 (1897), 548–561.
- [7] L. Gong, H. P. Cao and G. Y. Chen, 'Finite nilpotent groups having exactly four conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Algebra Collog.* 20(4) (2013), 579–592.
- [8] H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher, *The Theory of Finite Groups. An Introduction*, Universitext (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004); translated from the 1998 German original.
- [9] H. Mousavi, 'On finite groups with few non-normal subgroups', *Comm. Algebra* **27**(7) (1999), 3143–3151.
- [10] H. Mousavi, 'Nilpotent groups with three conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 40(5) (2014), 1291–1300.
- [11] H. Mousavi, 'Non-nilpotent groups with three conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Int. J. Group Theory* **3**(2) (2014), 1–7.
- [12] D. S. Passman, 'Nonnormal subgroups of *p*-groups', *J. Algebra* **15** (1970), 352–370.
- [13] J. Q. Zhang, 'Finite groups all of whose non-normal subgroups possess the same order', J. Algebra Appl. 11(3) (2012), Article no. 1250053, 7 pages.

LIJUAN HE, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China e-mail: lijuanhe213@163.com

HENG LV, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China e-mail: lvh529@163.com

GUIYUN CHEN, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China e-mail: gychen1963@163.com