Page numbers: **bold** = table, *italics* = figure.

```
AA, 193, 194, 197, 201, 204
                                                   beyond nation-state, 280-84
  Accession Agreement of EU to ECHR ('AA'
                                                   chapter structure, 274-75
                                                   co-existence of judicial and non-judicial
         agreed at Reykjavik, March 2023),
          177, 191, 201
                                                           remedies, 309, 310
  Article 01(1) (in footnote), 186
                                                   definition, 272
  Article 03(1), 194
                                                   division of competences, 309
  Article 03(2), 195, 197
                                                   elements, 272
  Article 03(3), 195
                                                   enforcement by EU authorities, 274, 275-84
                                                   enforcement stages, 274, 275, 276-80, 285,
  Article 03(3) in footnote, 180
  Article 03(5), 195
  Article 03(7), 197, 198
                                                      investigation, 278-79, 282, 287, 291, 292
  Article 03(8), 196
                                                      monitoring, 276-78, 287
                                                      sanctioning, 279-80, 291, 292
  Article 04a, 202
  Article 36(4), in footnote, 198
                                                   evolution of concept, 274, 280-84
  EU accesstion to ECHR, 179
                                                   functional role, 272
  protocols not ratified by all EU Member
                                                   identification of gaps, 306-10
         States (in footnote), 186
                                                   implementation, 274, 275, 284-305
AA (2013 version), 195, 196, 201
                                                      DG COMP, 289-97
Aarhus Compliance Committee, 190, 217
                                                      ESMA, 285-89
Aarhus Convention (2005), 191, 217
                                                      OLAF, 297-305
abilities doctrine, 66, 67, 74
                                                    judicial versus non-judical remedies,
ability to give evidence, 68, 70, 86
                                                           284-305
  interrelationship with effective judicial
                                                   legislative design of enforcement, 309
         protection, 70, 71-77
                                                   legislative practice, 275
abuse of power, 15, 277
                                                   lessons, 309-10
access to court, 212, 213, 283
                                                   'must be more than access to court', 283
  DG COMP, 293-94
                                                   procedural limb, 272
  ESMA, 286-88
                                                   recommendations, 275, 310
  OLAF, 301-2
                                                   socio-legal literature, 274, 275
  'severely limited' (EU), 212
                                                   testing of remedies systems, 271-310
access to justice, 9, 151, 153, 212, 346
                                                 accessibility, 100, 145, 248, 256, 331, 338,
  applicable fundamental rights, 274, 276-80
  beyond judge, 280-84
                                                 Accession Agreement. See AA
```

accountability, 127, 129, 130, 252, 253, 265,	damages liability as remedy for fundamental
429	rights violations, 38–43
diffusion, 171	EU factual conduct, 324–27
'effective orchestration through public	against Frontex (pending), 218
messaging', 153	fundamental rights remedy, 36-63
political, 284	harm and compensation (types), 41
public appearance versus substantive, 131	joint liability between EU and Member
accountability forums, 146, 151, 153	States, 55–60
actio popularis, 214, 215, 225, 226	establishing joint liability (attribution and
action for annulment, 8, 17–21, 93, 94, 152,	causation), 56–59
168, 192, 198, 200, 216, 301, 307,	implementing joint liability (court
	competence and parallel
425, 428, 429. <i>See also</i> Article 263 TFEU	proceedings), 59–60
admissibility, 60, 70, 86, 319, 322, 341	lack of clear admissibility/substance stages,
in footnote, 340	70
applicants (non-privileged), 18	liability law (main functions), 38–43
applicants (privileged), 18	compensating harm caused by
applicants (semi-privileged), 18	fundamental rights, 38-40
'centrepiece of remedies system', 422	condemning undesired behaviour, 41–42
Court of Justice (jurisprudence), 28–35	vindicating rights, 42–43
crucial instrument to review lawfulness of	literature (in footnote), 37
EU action, 20	'might be admissible, but will always fail or
directives not yet transposed into national	merits' (soft law cases), 382
law (systematic inadmissibility), 85	'not very effective for fundamental rights
EU factual conduct, 319–22	remedy' (two factors), 61
fundamental rights, 13-35	quantitative glance (CJEU damages case law
General Court (jurisprudence), 21–28	in proceedings), 43–47
looking beyond, 426	soft law, 381–82
pleas, 17, 20	'unlawfulness' as condition for EU
possible outcomes, 13	fundamental rights liability, 47-55
procedural fundamental rights dominate	CJEU's approach to 'sufficiently serious
case law, 35	breach' test in fundamental rights
procedural rules (constraint), 20	cases, 53–55
procedure over substance (thus far), 35	no conferral of rights by charter
rules, 17	principles, 48–49
standing, 18–21	
standing (heavy burden of proof), 77–82	three arguments against applying 'sufficiently serious breach' test to
standing (pleas to lighten burden of proof),	fundamental rights, 49–53
82–87	action for damages (requirements on
standing for bringing (broad view), 100	evidence)
strengths and weaknesses, 35	ability to seek judicial redress, 87–93
unavailability (soft law), 381	effective participation (restriction), 87-93
action for damages, 8, 36–63, 216, 301, 363,	action for failure to act, 189, 192, 216, 319,
424, 426. See also TFEU Article 268	362. See also TFEU: Article 265
juncto Article 340	EU factual conduct, 322–23
admissibility, 60	against Frontex (pending), 218
in footnote, 340	actori incumbit probatio: initial burden to give
charter rights (successful damages cases), 45	evidence falls on claimant, 69
conclusion (better utilisation of for	adjudication, 125, 136, 140, 146, 150
fundamental rights protection in	right to within reasonable time, 54
EU), 60–63	usage (in footnote), 125

administrative action, 50, 130, 313, 317, 326,	double-hatting EDPS, 417–21
329, 347, 348, 357, 364	interplay with data protection rules, 412-17
in footnote, 318	AI Act Proposal (Articles)
administrative review: soft law, 384-88, 390	Annex III, 411
administrative tribunals (Australia/UK): in	Article 01, 410
footnote, 126	Article 02, 412
admissibility of evidence, 65, 69, 86	Article 05(a), 411
in footnote, 65	Article 05(b), 411
Advocates General, 70	Article 05(c), 411
AG Bobek, 85, 86, 374, 381, 382	Article 10(3), in footnote, 412
AG Bot, 376	Article 10(5), in footnote, 412
AG Campos Sánchez-Bordona: in footnote,	
	Article 53, 418 Article 53(1b), 419
389 AC Cruz Villalón 48	
AG Cruz Villalón, 48	Article 53(1f), 420
in footnote, 186	Article 53(a), 419
AG Hogan, 77	Article 57(a), 418
AG Jacobs, 99, 189	Article 59(8), 417
in footnote, 4	Article 63(6), 418
UPA Opinion (2002), 82	Article 68(a), 415, 418
AG Mengozzi, 42	recital 41, 416
AG Rantos, 32, 59	AI and fundamental rights, 391–421
AG Roemer	conclusion, 421
'ontological grounds' of Article 173, 78	double-hatting EDPS, 417–21
Plaumann case (1963), 78, 79	protection gaps, 421
AG Saugmandsgaard Øe	remedial possibilities, 406-17
Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses	access, 407–10
case (2017), 375	AI Act Proposal, 410–17
AG Sharpston, 32	AI Act Proposal (application to border
AG Wathelet, 376	surveillance), 411–12
Association de médiation sociale (AMS) case	AI Act Proposal (interplay with data
(2013), 48	protection, 412–17
Opinions (full list), xxvii–xxviii	reviewability, 409, 410
aerial surveillance, 391, 402, 405, 406, 421	risks (EU border surveillance), 392–406
Frontex, AI-powered, 393–99	AI Liability Directive (proposed, 2022), 63
'Agency'. See Frontex	AI Office, 418
AI, 9, 250, 253, 423, 428	AI systems, 393, 410, 411, 412, 415, 419
EU border surveillance, 392–406	definition, 392
Frontex, 393–99	in footnote, 416
AI-powered aerial surveillance, 397–99	AI tools, 392, 393, 394, 397, 398, 404
risks to fundamental rights	AIRE Centre, 220
diverse nature, 399–406	Albania, 143
risk of discrimination, 403–5	algorithmic risk assessments, 397, 404, 408
risks to 'other substantive rights', 405-6	algorithms, 250, 393, 394, 396, 398, 402, 403,
risks to privacy and data protection,	406, 408. See also ETIAS
400-3	alliance and conflict systems in courtroom
'human-centric approach', 410, 420	(Andersen), 212
manual-review requirement, 404, 408, 409,	Alonso de León, Sergio, 354
414	alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 8, 228,
AI Act Proposal, 391, 409, 410–17, 421	234
application to border surveillance (scope),	EU directive (2013), 256
411-12	in footnote, 234, 256

alternative dispute resolution (ADR) (cont.)	authority and measures, 139-40, 146
passim, 245–57	decisions 'legally binding', 134
amicus curiae (friend of court), 219, 220	one exception (in footnote), 134
Amsterdam, 225	definition (broad), 133
Andersen, Ellen, 212	expertise and funding, 146, 147–48, 149
Anderson, Terence: in footnote, 77	increasingly 'judicialized', 129, 130
annulment. See action for annulment	'individual interest orientation', 137
antitrust proceedings, 290, 291, 295, 296	judicative function, 134
appeals procedures, 329	offer accountability 'in quintessentially
ARAs. See automated risk assessments	adjudicative fashion', 129
arbitral tribunals, 230, 231, 235, 237, 238, 240,	'often conceptualised as quasi-judicial', 139
243	portrait, 133–34
area of freedom, security and justice (AFSJ),	'share many characteristics with judicial
392, 394	institutions', 139
soft law, 377–79	Bogucki, Artur: in footnote, 416
A 11 A 15 a 20 a 20 a	
Arnull, Anthony, 381, 383	Bosphorus doctrine. See ECtHR (cases)
Article I tribunals (USA): in footnote, 129	Bourdieu, Pierre (in footnote), 126
artificial intelligence. See AI	Bovend'Eerdt, Koen, xi, 9, 271–310, 423, 425,
Asylum Procedures Directive (2013)	426
Article 14, 377	Briggs LJ, 254, 255
Article 15, 377	Brito Bastos, Felipe, 355
Article 15(3)(c), 377	Broberg, M.: in footnote, 108
asylum seekers, 111, 220, 374. See also EUAA	Brussels Convention, 235
in footnote, 136, 216	Brussels I bis Regulation (2012), 235
attributability problem, 358–59	Bundesverfassungsgericht, 159, 174, 175
attribution	CJEU ruling rejected (ECB PSPP case,
and causation (sequential questions), 56	2020), 173, 175
clear rules required, 63	non-publication of complaints not accepted
definition (action for damages), 56	for decision (in footnote), 175
threshold, 59	Bundesverfassungsgericht (cases)
austerity, 163, 170, 375, 376, 377	Atlanta case (2000), 167
EU-induced, 167–70	full list, xxix–xxx
Austria, 80, 160	Maastricht case (2000), 172
automated risk assessments, 393-97, 401, 402,	Solange I case (1974), 163, 164, 165, 166,
404, 410	167
definition, 393	Wünsche Handelsgesellschaft (Solange II)
Azoulai, L.: in footnote, 106	case (1986), 166
Leodiai, E., in foothote, 100	Bündnis 90/Grünen, 172
Rolaium wir 111 150	Bündnis Bürgerwille, 173
Belgium, xxix, 111, 173	
Bentham, Jeremy, 96	burden of proof, 65, 93, See also onus probandi
Treatise on Judicial Evidence (1825), 95	in footnote, 65
binding legal effects, 20, 50, 320, 321, 348, 381	
in footnote, 322	Campact, 173
Bitcoin blockchain, 251	Canada: British Columbia, 248
Blomgren Amsler, Lisa, 252, 253	Canada-EU Trade Agreement (CETA), 174
in footnote, 253	capabilities (Nussbaum), 66
Boards of Appeal. See BoAs	Cappelletti, M., 2
BoAs, 123, 124, 125, 129, 140, 150, 151, 152,	case law, 107, 139, 155, 183, See also (for
341, 388, 389, 426	example) CJEU (cases)
access to justice perspective, 150	CJEU versus ECtHR, 184, 185, 186, 187,
administrative review bodies, 334	188, 190, 193
	-~, -7~, -7)

causal link, 58, 59, 91, 92, 325, 326, 381, 382	Article 35(3), 380
in footnote, 88, 325	Article 36, 49
causation, 59, 88	Article 37, 49
clear rules required, 63	Article 38, 49, 380
definition (action for damages), 56	Article 41, 27, 31, 44, 46, 52, 134, 291, 306,
threshold, 58	343, 407
ceremony (neo-institutional organisation	right to sound administration $(qv)$ , 330
theory), 131	Article 41(1), 330, 342
CFR, 1, 3, 19, 109, 110, 171, 428	Article 41(2), 330
actions for damages, 43, 44	Article 41(3), 43, 331
'can guide interpretation of Treaties', 426	Article 43
determination of whether provision contans	in footnote, 330
'right' or 'principle', 49	right to lodge complaints with
entry into force (2009), 158	Ombudsman (qv), 331
EU soft law, 374	Article 47, 2, 5, 32, 36, 42, 44, 46, 47, 50,
incorporation into EU law, 2, 423	62, 64, 76, 77, 85, 86, 94, 97, 129,
'rights' versus 'principles', 48	134, 231, 240, 306, 308, 318–19,
only violations of former risk damages, 48	320, 325, 343, 385, 418, 424, 426.
'should extend to soft law', 380	See also effective judicial protection
social and economic rights, 168	Article 47(2), 74
CFR (Articles)	Article 48, 44, 306
Article 01, 316, 399	Article 49, 306
Article 02, 316, 405	Article 51, 17, 375
Article 03, 316	Article 51(1), 103
in footnote, 188	Article 51(2), 188
Article 04, 316	Article 52, 32
in footnote, 188	Article 52(1), 47, 368, 382, 400, 415
Article 06, 315, 405	Article 52(3), 33, 52
Article 07, 45, 114, 214, 215, 278, 298, 306,	Article 52(4), 52
315, 316, 349, 400	Article 52(5), 48
in footnote, 45, 188	CFSP, 46, 47, 178, 181, 424
Article 08, 45, 114, 214, 215, 315, 400, 414	EU accession to ECHR, 199–204
in footnote, 45	benefits, 196–204
Article 11, 380	current gap in effective protection, 199-201
Article 15, 374	key to abbreviation (Common Foreign and
Article 16, 45, 85, 316, 374	Security Policy), 24
Article 17, 45, 85, 316, 374	Chamon, Merijn, xi, 9, 366–90, 422, 423, 425,
Article 18, 144, 316, 400	427
Article 19, 316	'Charter'. See CFR
Article 20, 114, 378	Charter of Fundamental Rights, See CFR
Article 21, 45, 114, 352, 378, 400, 404	Civil Courts Structure Review (UK), 254
right to non-discrimination $(qv)$ , 403	civil law, 248
Article 22, 114	in footnote, 38
Article 23, 114	civil liberties, 156, 174, 190
Article 24, 351, 380	challenges to EU intrusion on personal
Article 25, 49	liberties, 170–71
Article 26, 49	civil service (of EU), 45, 192
Article 31, 44	Civil Service Tribunal, xxvi
Article 34(1), 49	in footnote, 44
Article 34(3), 49	civil society, 155, 156, 160, 161, 162, 169, 170,
Article 35, 49	174, 175, 414

CJEU, 1, 9, 14, 192 acte clair and acte éclairé, 158 action for annulment, 36 action for damages (appeals on points of law only), 43 annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 114 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 57 axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review), 365 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review), 365 combitions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13, 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 186 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 putpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of EU Cates (171), 187 Centraal Israelitisch Consistorie van België caso (2020), 73 Fedération bancaire française (FBF) case (2021), 288, 382, 384, 390		
action for annulment, 36 action for annulment, 36 action for damages (appeals on points of law only), 43 annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 114 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review)), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 55 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights complaints, treatment of fundamental rights complaints, 114–15 'two-stepe effective judicial protection', 94 two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184 understanding of hierarchy of European legal norms, 158 very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 view of Article 47 CFR, 424 will be subject to jurisdiction of ECHR (upon EU accession to ECHR), 183 CJEU (cases)  Abdularhim case (2018), 346 case (2019), 359 Association Greenpeace France ruling (1999), 362 Asturcom case (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace (2016), 185 Associat	CJEU, 1, 9, 14, 192	
action for damages (appeals on points of law only), 43 annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 114 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights complaints, 114–15 'two-speed effective judicial protection', 94 two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184 understanding of hierarchy of European legal norms, 158 'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 view of Article 47 CFR, 424 will be subject to jurisdiction of ECHRR (upon EU accession to ECHR), 183 CJEU (cases) Abdultrahim case (2013), 40 Achibita case (2017), 187 Achmac case (2017), 187 Achmac case (2013), 40 Achibita case (2013), 35 Association Greenpeace France ruling (1999), 362 Asturcom case (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace France ruling (1999), 362 Astureom case (2009), 139 Atlanta case (1995), 166 Bank Refah Kargaran case (2020), 200 Baustalligewebe case (1998), 88, 93 Berlusconi ruling (2018), 357, 360–63, 365 Bevándorlási és Allgmpolgársági Hivatal case (2014), 183, 214, 214, 214, 214, 214, 215 Codomity case case device defective judicial protection', 94 two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184 understanding of hierarchy f European legal norms, 158 'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 view of Article 47 CFR, 424 will be subject to jurisdiction of ECHRR (upon EU accession to ECHR), 183 CJEU (cases)  Aldulrahim case (2013),	acte clair and acte éclairé, 158	refusal to lighten burden of proof, 93
action for damages (appeals on points of law only), 43 annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 114 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights complaints, 114–15 'two-speed effective judicial protection', 94 two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184 understanding of hierarchy of European legal norms, 158 'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 view of Article 47 CFR, 424 will be subject to jurisdiction of ECHRR (upon EU accession to ECHR), 183 CJEU (cases) Abdultrahim case (2013), 40 Achibita case (2017), 187 Achmac case (2017), 187 Achmac case (2013), 40 Achibita case (2013), 35 Association Greenpeace France ruling (1999), 362 Asturcom case (2016), 185 Association Greenpeace France ruling (1999), 362 Astureom case (2009), 139 Atlanta case (1995), 166 Bank Refah Kargaran case (2020), 200 Baustalligewebe case (1998), 88, 93 Berlusconi ruling (2018), 357, 360–63, 365 Bevándorlási és Allgmpolgársági Hivatal case (2014), 183, 214, 214, 214, 214, 214, 215 Codomity case case device defective judicial protection', 94 two-step test (criminal cooperation), 184 understanding of hierarchy f European legal norms, 158 'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 view of Article 47 CFR, 424 will be subject to jurisdiction of ECHRR (upon EU accession to ECHR), 183 CJEU (cases)  Aldulrahim case (2013),	action for annulment, 36	third-party interventions ('not easily allowed
annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 1144 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 55 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion' not properly clarified'), 371 sole authlority claimed to review validity of	action for damages (appeals on points of law	
annuls EU regulations (rather than acts), 1144 approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 55 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion' not properly clarified'), 371 sole authlority claimed to review validity of		
approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 [legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
approach to action for damages, 47 association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
association between evidence and effective judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'instendal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole autthority claimed to review validity of	·	
judicial protection, 67 attribution tests, 57 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole autthority claimed to review validity of		
'very reticent to annul EU acts', 118 'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
'axiological assumptions', 95, 96 'broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures (failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
broad interpretation of own jurisdiction', 199 competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion ron properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
(upon EU accession to ECHR), 183  Competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontes's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
competence limited to pleas of law, 20, 21 'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (not not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
'complements jurisprudence of General Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
Court', 35 composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
composite procedures (case law), 346 composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365 conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
composite procedures ('failure to clarify essential aspects of judicial review'), 365  conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
essential aspects of judicial review'), 365  conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent', 115, 118 direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent',		
conditions for liability, 43 deference to national courts 'almost absent',		
deference to national courts 'almost absent',		
direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
direct access 'limited', 219 economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55-60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
economic sanctions case law, 87 EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178 fundamental rights reasoning, 424 'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57 'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
EU accession to ECHR (Opinion 2/94 and Opinion 2/13), 178  fundamental rights reasoning, 424  'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57  'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84  'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  'inconsistent terminology', 57  internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60  legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27  'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180  preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
fundamental rights reasoning, 424  'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57  'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84  'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  'inconsistent terminology', 57  internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60  legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27  'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
fundamental rights reasoning, 424  'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57  'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84  'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  Begium v Commission (2018), 381, 382, 384  Berlusconi ruling (2018), 359, 360–63, 365  Bevándorlási és Állgmpolgársági Hivatal  case (2018), 374  Bollman case (1992), 359–63, 365  Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93  Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16  Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België  case (2020), 111  Codorníu case (1994), 82  Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215  Eco Swiss case, 239  Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201  ERTA case (1991), in footnote, 312  Factortame case (1990), 73  Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
'has not developed coherent approach to attribution', 57  'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
attribution', 57  'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84  'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  384  Bergaderm case (2000), 88, 89, 93  Berlusconi ruling (2018), 359, 360–63, 365  Bevándorlási és Állgmpolgársági Hivatal  case (2018), 374  Bollman case (1992), 359–63, 365  Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93  Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16  Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België  case (2020), 111  Codorníu case (1994), 82  Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215  Eco Swiss case, 239  Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201  ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312  Factortame case (1990), 73  Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
'has seldom qualified an act as both regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		Belgium v Commission (2018), 381, 382,
regulatory and self-executing', 84 'incoherent approach to attribution', 58 'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
'incoherent approach to attribution', 58  'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Bevándorlási és Állgmpolgársági Hivatal case (2018), 374 Bollman case (1992), 359–63, 365 Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93 Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16 Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
'inconsistent terminology', 57 internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of		
internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390 joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60 legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Bollman case (1973), in footnote, 105 Borelli case (1992), 359–63, 365 Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93 Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16 Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
joint liability between EU and Member States, 55–60  legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Borelli case (1992), 359–63, 365 Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93 Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16 Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1991), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
States, 55–60  legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Brasserie du Pêcheur case (1996), 88, 93 Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16 Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case	internal market, 70, 262, 371, 373, 390	
legality of Frontex's activities (2021–2023), 4 looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16  Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111  Codorníu case (1994), 82  Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215  Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201  ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
looking beyond, 426–27 'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180 preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
'may not annul or declare primary EU law invalid', 180  preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  case (2020), 111 Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		Case Opinion 1/17 (2019), xxi, 16
invalid', 180  preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Codorníu case (1994), 82 Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België
preliminary reference procedure, 16, 114 procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214, 215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
procedures of decisional nature, 357 purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  215 Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
purpose, 371 reliance in decisions on ECB's  Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Eco Swiss case, 239 Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix,  200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		Digital Rights Ireland case (2014), 183, 214,
reliance in decisions on ECB's  Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix, 200, 201 ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312 Factortame case (1990), 73 Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case	procedures of decisional nature, 357	215
Administrative Board of Review, 139 restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371 sole authority claimed to review validity of  Administrative Board of Review, 139  200, 201  ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312  Factortame case (1990), 73  Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case	purpose, 371	
restriction of fundamental rights (notion 'not properly clarified'), 371  sole authority claimed to review validity of Factortame case (1990), 73  Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		Elitaliana v Eulex Kosovo case (2015), xix,
properly clarified'), 371 Factortame case (1990), 73 sole authority claimed to review validity of Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case	Administrative Board of Review, 139	200, 201
sole authority claimed to review validity of Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		ERTA case (1971), in footnote, 312
sole authority claimed to review validity of Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case		
EU acts, 156 (2021), 288, 382, 384, 390		Fédération bancaire française (FBF) case
	EU acts, 156	(2021), 288, 382, 384, 390

FIAMM case (2008), 93	Otero Ramos case (2017), 74, 81
Foto-Frost case (1987), 158, 171, 282, 307,	Plaumann case (1963), 78, 79, 82, 83, 87,
358, 359	93, 94, 133, 155, 164, 168, 170, 189,
French Republic v People's Mojahedin	211, 216, 217, 218, 226, 383, 387
Organization of Iran (2011), 30–32	Poland v European Parliament and Council
full list, xiii–xxii	of EU (2022), 34–35
Funke ruling (2023), 364	Pringle case (2012), 375
Gascogne Sack Deutschland case (2013), 54	Randstad [variously spelled] Italia case
Grimaldi ruling (1989), 358	(2021), 77
Groupe Gascogne v Commission (2013), 54	Rewe case (1976), 73, 95
Hauer case (1979), 165	in footnote, 73
Homoki v Commission (2021), 300	Rosneft case (2017), 200
Hungary v European Parliament and	San Giorgio case (1983), 74, 81
Council of EU (2022), 34–35	Schecke case (2010), 103
IBM v Commission (1981), 301	Schindler Holding Ltd v European
Internationale Handelsgesellschaft case	Commission (2013), 33–34
(1970), 164	Schrems I case (2015), 183, 215
Inuit case (2013), 318, 327	Sharpston case (2021), 32
in footnote, 83	Steffensen case (2003), 75
Jeanningros ruling (2020), 361	Stichting Greenpeace Council case (1998),
Jégo-Quéré case (2004), 81, 99, 383, 384	217
Johnston case (1986), 73, 95	Sturgeon case (2009), 102
in footnote, 73	Sweden v Commission (2007), 355
Kadi judgment (2008), 30, 87	T.Port case (2003), 91
established two principles, 24	Tillack case, xvi, 316, 324, 326, 330, 332,
Kampffmeyer case (1967), 59, 60, 89	339
Kempter case (2008), in footnote, 105	in footnote, 323
Kendrion case (2013), 54	TUM case (1991), 407
Kočner v EUROPOL (currently under	TWD rule (1994), 100
appeal), 58	Unibet case (2007), 384
Komstroy case (2021), 236, 237	UPA case (2002), 82, 88, 383, 384
KS and KD case (pending), 200, 203	Van Gend en Loos case (1963), 72, 78, 95,
La Quadrature du Net case (2020), 188	273
Laval case (2007), 187	Vendrame v Commission (pending), 300
Ledra Advertising joined case (2016), 376,	Viking case (2007), 187
381	Wünsche Handelsgesellschaft case (1982),
Les Verts case (1986), 4, 17, 95, 327, 365	166
Liga van Moskeeën case (2018), 114	CJEU (procedural fundamental rights reviews)
Ligue des droits humains judgment (2022),	circumscribed, yet not inconsequential,
404	30-35
Lisrestal case (1996), 353	lawfulness of limitations to fundamental
Mallis joined case (2016), 376	rights (fourfold requirement), 32
Mediocurso case (2000), 354	legally-structured tests, 32
Mellifera case (2020), 217	CJEU (Rules of Procedure), 104, 106, 107
Mostaza Claro case (2006), 238, 239	Article 023(2), in footnote, 106
Mulder (milk quotas) case (1992), 103	Article 060, in footnote, 115
N.S. and M.E joined case (2011), 220	Article 094 (in footnote), 104
Nölle case (1991), 407	Article 096, 106, 220
Nord Stream 2 appeal, 84–87	Article 097, 219
Opinion 2/13 (2014), xviii, 178, 195, 196,	Article 097, in footnote, 106
197, 201, 236, 237	Article 130(2), 85
71	

CJEU case law	EU accession to ECHR, 177-205
action for damages proceedings (quantitative	completeness principle, 95
glance), 43–47	composite procedures
effective judicial protection 'central	access to justice, 365
fundamental right', 22	access to justice (difficulties), 357
principles of evidence (ability to give	access to justice (factual action), 357, 358-59
evidence), 68	access to justice (problem of attributability),
principles of evidence (distribution of	357, 358–59
evidentiary duties), 68	'administrative action criterion', 348
principles of evidence (two families), 68	admissibility, 359
procedural entitlements recognised by, 68	available remedies (to violations of
CJEU Statute	fundamental rights), 347
Article 23, 106	case law, 346, 349, 353-56, 359-64, 365
Article 25, 152	categorisation, 346
Article 42, 152	chapter structure, 346-47
Article 58a(3), in footnote, 125	chapter thesis, 347
recent reforms, 134	conclusions, 364–65
CJEU's jurisprudence	of decisional nature, 350
action for annulment (qv), 28-35	definition, 9, 345
action for annulment involving fundamental	discretion, 360, 361
rights (numerical evidence), 29–30	factual conduct, 348-50, 364
appeals against General Court decisions, 29	finding competent court, 356-64
'virtually impossible to win an appeal', 29	separation of jurisdiction, 356-57
ClientEarth, 217	fundamental procedural rights, 352-56
Coman-Kund, Florin, xi, 9, 311-44, 423, 426,	fundamental rights violations, 345-65
427	fundamental rights which might be violated,
commercial arbitration, 237, 243	346, 348–50
Commission. See European Commission	further research, 364, 365
Commission Delegated Regulation (2012), 285	'horizontal' versus 'vertical', 346
Common Agricultural Policy, 103, 112, 350	identification of appropriate judicial forum,
Common Foreign and Security Policy. See	348
CFSP	judicial protection (gaps), 347, 357, 365
common law, 220	lack official definition and clear
in footnote, 38, 129, 371	conceptualisation, 345
communications, 101, 250, 278, 292, 298, 349	literature review available, 345
in footnote, 188, 314	structural shortcomings, 365
Community Plant Variety Office, 386	'substantive' fundamental rights, 350–52
BoA attached to, 134	sufficient remedies (availability), 345-65
companies, 37, 109, 111, 156, 158, 161, 163,	term coined by Herwig C. Hofmann (in
167, 170, 174, 277, 296	footnote), 345
competition law, 183, 300, 307, 314, 316, 349,	conferral, 172, 234, 243, 423
350	confidentiality, 96, 140, 230, 292
Commission's guidelines, 369	in footnote, 140, 252, 299
in footnote, 66, 186	configuration of elites (Andersen), 212
parallel behaviour 'strong evidence' of	constitutional courts, 159, 160, 161, 162, 175
concerted practice, 70	constitutional identity, 159, 175
competition policies, 26, 294	constitutional review, 161, 162
complaints mechanism, 50, 143, 259, 262,	Constitutional Treaty, 423
265, 338, 342	constitutions, 168
complete system of remedies, 4, 8, 16, 227,	rights (first-generation versus second-
244, 327, 424, 430	generation), 159

consumer law, 245, 256	de jure, 280, 284, 287, 289, 307, 309
consumer protection, 238, 242, 256, 380	Demková, Simona, xi, 9, 391–421, 423, 427,
Consumidor.gov.br, 251	428
contradictory debate, 68, 69, 87	Denmark: constitutional identity, 175
Convention. See ECHR	DG COMP, 275, 284, 289–97, 300
corporate procedures: admissibility, 359	access to court, 293-94
Council of Europe. See also ECtHR	access to justice, 294-97
guidelines on ODR mechanisms (2021),	acts in parallel with national authorities, 275
256, 264, 266	Hearing Officers, 295–96, 297, 308
Reykjavik Summit (May 2023), 177	independence, 296–97
Steering Committee for Human Rights, 202	investigative and sanctioning powers, 291
Council of European Union, 18, 24, 26	legal framework (safeguards and defence
Court of First Instance, 183, 217, 354	rights), 291–93
in footnote, 183	manual of procedures, 292
later 'General Court' (qv), 189	political accountability, 294-95
Court of Justice of EU. See CJEU	powers mostly 'of coercive nature', 291
COVID-19 pandemic, 173, 249	Regulation (2003), 290, 291
credit rating agencies (CRAs), 285, 286, 287	Article 11(6), 290
Credit Rating Agency Regulation (CRAR,	Regulation (2004), 291
2011), 286, 287, 288	remedies (judicial), 293–94
Annex III, 287	remedies (non-judicial), 294–97
Article 23(b), 287	Digital Rights Ireland, 214, 215
Article 23(e), 287	Digital Services Act (DSA), 246, 254, 258, 259,
Article 36(a), 287	262
Article 36(e), 287	adoption (2022), 379
cultural and legal frames (Andersen), 212	Article 02(h), in footnote, 258
culture, 252, 264, 284	Article 21, 265
Curia database, 109	Article 34, 380
Curtin, Deirdre: in footnote, 127, 153	out-of-court dispute settlement bodies
cybersecurity, 253, 256	(prospective), 266
Cybersettle, 248, 250	digital sphere: soft law interferences with
Cyprus: pension system, 377	fundamental rights (practice), 379–80
	direct actions, 8, 61, 64, 95, 97, 100, 104, 117,
Data Governance Act (DGA, 2022), 379	211, 318, 328
data protection, 23, 183, 214, 220, 262, 315,	evidentiary requirements (limitation to
396. See also CFR Article 8	private parties' seeking of judicial
AI risks, 400–3	redress, 70
data protection authorities (DPAs), 415	evidentiary requirements (obstruction of
Ireland, 223	access to legal remedy), 70
data protection rules. See also right to data	possibility for third-party interventions, 221
protection	strategic litigation, 216-19
'far from homogeneous', 413	direct concern, 78, 83, 84, 98, 155, 168, 189,
interplay with AI Act Proposal, 412–17	410, 424
Data Retention Directive, 214	applied talis qualis in failure to act
data sharing, 350, 365	proceedings, 79
De Coninck, Joyce, xi, 8, 36-63, 424, 425,	possibility to establish in name of 'effective
426, 429	judicial protection', 85
de facto, 280, 284	probandum, 86
De Fazio, Gianluca: legal opportunity	direct effect doctrine, 78, 95
structures (three dimensions), 212	Directorate General for Competition. See DG
de Gregorio, Giovanni: in footnote, 258	COMP

discretion, 51, 53, 92, 369, 370, 409 absence of, 79	Article 13, 190, 256. See also right to remedy in footnote, 272
can relate to policy choices and assessment	Article 35, 192
of fact, 361	Article 36, 194
definition, 361	Article 36(4), 197
form a decision might take, 361	Article 46, 40, 184
whether to exercise a power, 361	ECN+ Directive (2019), 292, 295, 297
dispute resolution mechanisms, 227, 229, 230,	e-commerce, 245, 247, 250, 252
234, 236, 240, 241, 243, 244, 245	economic operators, 19, 37, 90, 289
addition of fourth party (technology), 249	economic rights, 156
eBay, 247	challenges to EU trade regimes, 163–67
in footnote, 253	ECtHR, 8, 178, 226, 371, 372, 428
traditional (three-party), 249	admissibility requirements, 191–93
distributed ledger technologies (DLTs), 251	case law, 52, 278
domestic law, 189, 243, 370	case law (quantitative analysis), 40
in footnote, 194	diagnostic test (five main stages) (Letsas),
double jeopardy. See ne bis in idem	371
drones, 391, 398, 402, 405	exhaustion rule, 192
Dublin system, 220	'Greek hot spots for asylum seekers', 2023
due process, 17, 252, 256, 310	(in footnote), 136
Dutch Council for Refugees (DCR), 224	judgments ('binding nature'), 185
duty of care, 407, 408	third-party interventions, 211
duty to give reasons, 355, 356	ECtHR (cases)
Article 41 CFR, 353	A, B and C v Ireland (Grand Chamber,
Dworkin's Herculean judge, 149	2010), 372
2 working Treredican Judge, 149	Al-Jedda v United Kingdom (2011): in
eBay, 246, 247	footnote, 203
eBay: Resolution Centre, 245	Behrami and Saramati case (2007), 203
EBCG Agency. See Frontex	in footnote, 203
EBCG Regulation (2019), xxxviii, 336, 337	Bivolaru and Moldovan case (2021),
Article 097(3), in footnote, 337	182
Article 098, 338	Bosphorus case (2005), 181, 182, 187, 190,
Article 098(1), in footnote, 338	204
Article 111, 335	Cha'are Shalom Ve Tsedek v France (2000),
Article 111(2) (in footnote), 335	37 <sup>2</sup>
Article 111(3) (in footnote), 336	Connolly case (2008), 181
in footnote, 135	Dangeville v France (2002), 189
recital 24 (in footnote), 135	Dhahbi case (2014), 188
recital 42 (in footnote), 135	full list, xxviii–xxix
ECHR, 17, 33, 50, 158, 236, 370, 428	Menarini Diagnostics Srl v Italy (2011), 34
EU accession, 177–205, 424	Posti and Rahko v Finland (2002), 190
'just satisfaction' for violations, 40	Sanofi Pasteur v France (2020), 188
ECHR (Articles)	Spasov case, 189
Article 03, 182	ECtHR (intensity of review: four aspects),
in footnote, 188	187–91, 204
Article 06, 33, 188, 190, 256, 296, 308. See	doctrine of positive obligations, 187, 204
also right to fair trial	locus standi requirements, 189
Article o6(1), 188, 189, 190	margin of appreciation, 187
Article 08, 349	'rather procedural <i>Dhahbi</i> case law', 188
in footnote, 188	ECtHR: Practical Guide on Admissibility
Article 09, 372	Criteria (2022), in footnote, 372

EDPS, 342, 343, 392, 412, 413, 415, 421, 426 clear obligation when dealing with	'outcome-oriented notion', 71, 72 preliminary observations, 71
complaints, 339	scope, 71
conformity assessments, 418	uniformity, 71
double-hatting, 417–21	effectiveness test (rules on evidence), 74
key to abbreviation (European Data	effectiveness-rights correlation, 72
Protection Supervisor), 338	effet utile, 72
offers 'quite effective legal protection', 340	electronic communications, 188, 245
participate in organisation of regulatory	Electronic Frontier Foundation, 214
sandboxes, 419	Eliantonio, Mariolina, xi, 9, 345-65, 368, 370,
role (protecting fundamental rights), 420	423, 425, 427
role in AI Office, 418	English High Court, 171, 203
supervisory role, 420	entrepreneurs, 163, 167
Edwards, Lilian: in footnote, 412	Entry/Exit System (EES), 394, 395, 396, 412
effective judicial protection, 8, 16, 22, 23, 25,	environmental law, 216, 217, 219
64, 67, 93, 97, 205, 231, 240, 319,	e-Privacy Directive (2002): in footnote, 413
366, 383, 425, 429. See also Article	equal opportunities: in footnote, 66, 226
47 CFR	equal treatment, 23, 28
access to remedy 'the procedural guarantee',	in footnote, 66, 226
73	equivalence, 75, 238, 239, 384
by third country, 25	in footnote, 281
choice of procedures, 95	error in law, 31, 238
competition policies, 26	ESM, 167, 173
EU and national institutions (various fields	MoUs, 375, 377, 381
of law), 26	ESM Treaty (2012), 375
holistic reading (some scholarly	ESMA, 274, 307, 308, 309
endorsement), 75	access to court, 286–88
holistic reading (some support in case law),	access to justice, 285–89
75	availability of judicial review, 287
holistic reading of 'procedure', 76, 94	founding regulation (2010, amended 2019),
interrelationship with effective ability to give	288
evidence, 70, 71–77	Article 03, 289
lato sensu understanding (Wildemeersch),	Article 05, 289
75	Article 10-16, 287
limit, 32	Article 42, 46, 49, 52, and 59, 289
restricted by evidence requirements, 77-87	Article 60(a), 289
standard of 'effectiveness', 73, 75	Article 62, 289
standard of 'effectiveness' (uniformity	independence, 289
between EU and Member states),	'independent agency lacking fully-fledged
76, 77, 94	input legitimacy', 287
'two-speed', 94	'intentional' or 'negligent' violations by
effective participation, 68, 70, 94	private actors, 288
definition, 67	on-site inspections, 307
definition (in footnote), 67	positioned above national authorities, 275
effective participation (restriction in action for	procedural safeguards ('clarity' question),
damages), 87–93	287
effectiveness	remedies (judicial), 286–88
Article 47 CFR, 76, 87, 94	remedies (non-judicial), 288–89
'clear definition lacking', 71	ESMA: Board of Appeal, 287, 308
national systems of procedures and	ESMA: Independent Investigation Officer
remedies, 76	(IIO), 287
, / -	( // /

ESMs: Recommendations (2013) on scope of CRAR, 286 ETIAS, xxxvii, 394–97, 399, 401–4, 405, 408, 412, 421 risk algorithm, 397, 499, 410, 413, 414 ETIAS Central System, 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 409 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410 Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 377 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 123		
external remedies filling two protection gaps, 179, 180–83 risk algorithm, 397, 499, 410, 413, 414 ETIAS Central System, 395 ETIAS Central System, 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 499 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410 Article 14, 493 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'and charles are achievency between EU and ECHR, 179, 185–86 substantive effects of accession on practices, 179, 186–91 CFSP, 197–294 conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 corespondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 EEUHR adminisability requirements, 191–97 would silence 'charges of double standards', 180 EU administrative authorities accountability, 351 infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU agencies, 127, 385 list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency fo	ESMA: Recommendations (2013) on scope of	
### Tisk algorithm, 397, 499, 410, 413, 414  ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414 identical to 'Frontex' (qr), 395  ETIAS National Units, 397, 499  ETIAS Regulation (2018), 499, 410  Article 14, 493  Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395  EU  asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'vast executive exp	CRAR, 286	benefits of accession, 179-91
### Tisk algorithm, 397, 499, 410, 413, 414  ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414 identical to 'Frontex' (qr), 395  ETIAS National Units, 397, 499  ETIAS Regulation (2018), 499, 410  Article 14, 493  Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395  EU  asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'vast executive exp	ETIAS, xxxvii, 394–97, 399, 401–4, 405, 408,	external remedies filling two protection
risk algorithm, 397, 409, 410, 413, 414 ETIAS Central System, 395 ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414 identical to 'Frontex' (qr), 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 409 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410 Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum aequis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as refress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outhated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 367 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'as fermed to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'as fermed to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', 'as fermed receives accession on practices, 179, 186–91 CEPR, 199–20 CESP, 199–20 CESP, 199–20 CEHR admissibility erquirements, 191–93 priori involvement procedure, 197–79, 204 ECHR admissibility erquirements,		gaps, 179, 180–83
ETHAS Central System, 395 ETHAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414 identical to 'Frontex' (qp'), 395 ETHAS National Units, 397, 409 ETHAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410 Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress rechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as a lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  ECHR. 199, 185–86 substantive effects of accession on practices; 179, 186–91  CFSP, 199–204 conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 cor-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 excettive effects of accession on practices, 179, 186–91  CFSP, 199–204 conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 cor-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 excettive effects of accession, 191–98 cor-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 excettive actors' facts of individual sinco concurrent responsibility, 194–97 would silence 'charges of double standards', 186 EU administrative authorities accountability, 351 infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU Agency f		greater coherency between EU and
ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414 identical to Trontex' (qv), 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 499 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 499, 410 Article 14, 493 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum aequis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 281–293 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 31, 311–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–222 action for annulment, 319–222 action for annulment, 319–222 action for annulment, 319–222 action for annulment, 319–222	ETIAS Central System, 395	
identical to 'Frontex' (qr), 395 ETIAS National Units, 397, 409 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 499, 410 Article 14, 403 Article 23(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13, 131–215 conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 co-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–97, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 prior involvement procedure, 197–98, 204 ECHR admissibility requirements, 194–97, 204 ECHR admissibili	ETIAS Central Unit, 395, 410, 414	
ETIAS National Units, 397, 409 ETIAS Regulation (2018), 499, 410 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 932–466 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–67 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 31 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  Vast executive expansion since 1990s',	identical to 'Frontex' (qv), 395	
ETIAS Regulation (2018), 409, 410 Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395 EU  asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  conclusion, 204–5 procedural practicalities after accession, 191–98 cor-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 ECHHR admissibility requirements, 191–93 behorder surveillance (risks to fundamental rights, 347 EU administrative authorities acountability, 351 infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Asylum. See, 201A EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU actual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects', (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 242–27 in footnote, 322 act without 'binding legal effects', (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' cond		
Article 14, 403 Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395  EU  asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392-496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211-12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261-66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155-76 general system of evidence, 64-71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422-23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378-79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
Article 33(1), 396 in footnote, 395  EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
co-respondent mechanism, 194–97, 204 EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
EU asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392-496 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211-12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261-66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155-76 general system of evidence, 64-71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422-23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378-79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
asylum acquis, 377 border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability' lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',	* * * *	
border surveillance (risks to fundamental rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',	_	
rights), 392–406 burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
burgeoning transnational executive (cloak of legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
legitimacy), 130 closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU administrative authorities acountability, 551 infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU agencies, 127, 385 list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu- LISA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 347 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–16 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annullment, 319–22		
closed legal opportunity structures, 211–12 common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153  'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171  'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  acountability, 351 infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU agencies, 127, 385 list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu-LISA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 347		
common asylum policy, 262 executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  infringement of EU fundamental rights, 347 EU agencies, 127, 385 list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu- LISA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
executive actors' 'lack of electoral accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU agenc; 61, 27, 385 list of, with own BoA (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu-LISA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
accountability', 153 'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 133 soft law, 369 EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu-LiSA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects', 320–21 in footnote, 322 act without 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 act of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',		
'expanding competence', 227, 242 foreign missions, 171 'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  soft law, 369 EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA  EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
foreign missions, 171  'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU Agency for Asylum. See EUAA  EU agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu- LISA  EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
'functionally-tailored non-state actor', 53 fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu- LISA  EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
fundamental rights violations (ODR as redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  LISA EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310 EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
redress mechanism), 261–66 fundamental rights violations (role of national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU authorities, 6–7 definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		EU Agency for Large-Scale IT Systems. See eu-
definition (broad versus narrow), 6 national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  definition (broad versus narrow), 6 EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
national courts in redressing), 155–76 general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134 EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22	redress mechanism), 261-66	EU authorities, 6–7
general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU citizen, 99, 137, 331 EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	fundamental rights violations (role of	definition (broad versus narrow), 6
general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	national courts in redressing),	EU Aviation Safety Agency, 134
general system of evidence, 64–71 as lawmaker, 6, 7 as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU consumer ODR platform, 256–58 design shortcoming, 264 EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725) EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	155–76	EU citizen, 99, 137, 331
as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags     behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring     protection of rights in same fashion),     307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means     or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725)  EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308,  309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	general system of evidence, 64-71	
as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422–23 level of democratic accountability 'lags     behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring     protection of rights in same fashion),     307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means     or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU DPR. See GDPR (2018/1725)  EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308,  309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	as lawmaker, 6, 7	design shortcoming, 264
level of democratic accountability 'lags behind' (in footnote), 128  multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307  positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53  power, 3, 5  range of action 'ever growing', 99  response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79  rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48  specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13  'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU enforcement authorities (EEAs), 200, 308, 309, 310  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22	as lawmaker (outdated vision), 422-23	
behind' (in footnote), 128 multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22		
multiple legal orders (challenge of ensuring protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  EU factual conduct, 9, 311–44, 359, 364 act with 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
protection of rights in same fashion), 307 positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53 power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  act with 'binding legal effects', 320–21 in footnote, 322 act without 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22 acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action of annulment, 319–22		
jositive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53  power, 3, 5  range of action 'ever growing', 99  response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79  rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48  specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13  'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  in footnote, 322  act without 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22  acts of 'physical' conduct, 315  and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16  closing legal protection gap, 344  composite procedures, 358–59  conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15  conclusion, 344  judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340  action for damages, 324–27  action of annulment, 319–22		
positive obligations (sometimes lacks means or competence), 53  power, 3, 5  range of action 'ever growing', 99  response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79  rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48  specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13  'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  act without 'binding legal effects' (way to review legality), 321–22  acts of 'physical' conduct, 315  and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16  closing legal protection gap, 344  composite procedures, 358–59  conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15  conclusion, 344  judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340  action for damages, 324–27  action of annulment, 319–22		
or competence), 53  power, 3, 5  range of action 'ever growing', 99  response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79  rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48  specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13  'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  review legality), 321–22  acts of 'physical' conduct, 315  and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16  closing legal protection gap, 344  composite procedures, 358–59  conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15  conclusion, 344  judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340  action for damages, 324–27  action of annulment, 319–22		
power, 3, 5 range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  acts of 'physical' conduct, 315 and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
range of action 'ever growing', 99 response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', and fundamental rights, 311, 312–16 closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
response to Russian invasion of Ukraine (soft law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  closing legal protection gap, 344 composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
law), 378–79 rule intended to confer rights on individuals   (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  composite procedures, 358–59 conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
rule intended to confer rights on individuals (mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  conceptual reflections, 311, 312–15 conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
(mode of determination), 48 specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 'vast executive expansion since 1990s',  conclusion, 344 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 action of annulment, 319–22		
specific interest in upholding fundamental rights, 13 judicial remedies, 311, 318–29, 340 action for damages, 324–27 state executive expansion since 1990s', action of annulment, 319–22		
rights, 13 action for damages, 324–27 'vast executive expansion since 1990s', action of annulment, 319–22		
'vast executive expansion since 1990s', action of annulment, 319-22		
125 failule to act, 322–23		
	^-,	

gaps and shortcomings, 327-29	looking beyond, 427–28
plea of illegality, 327	principle of autonomy, 236
preliminary reference procedure, 323-24	uniform application (argument to limit
right to effective judicial remedy, 318-19	international arbitration), 238-39
legal protection against fundamental rights	uniformity, 107, 118, 120
violations, 317–18	uniformity of interpretation, 237
non-judicial remedies, 311, 329–40, 341	EU law enforcement
BoAs, 334–35	direct, 281
Frontex fundamental rights complaint	indirect, 280
mechanism, 335–38	'necessitates other forms of control'
legal review of EU executive agencies	(functional perspective), 283
acts, 333–34	EU law enforcement authorities, 9
Ombudsman, 331–33	legal frameworks, 284–305
right to good administration, 329–31	testing of remedies systems, 271–310
overall assessment, 311, 340–42	EU law enforcement authorities (examples)
'prescribed and confined by law', 317	DG COMP (qv), 289–97
reflections and recommendations, 311,	ESMA (qv), 285–89
342-44	OLAF (qv), 297–305
risk for fundamental rights, 315–16	EU legal order, 7, 14, 16, 17, 18, 24, 35, 36,
underpinning implicit legal act, 322	107, 185, 238, 239, 301, 317, 346,
underpinning implicit physical act, 321	356, 406
way forward (effective administrative	arbitration (role), 233, 243
remedies plus judicial review), 344	backbone, 34
EU Human Rights Review Panel, 200	court-centricity (literature survey, in
EU institutions, 116, 151	footnote), 124
failures, 322	effectiveness, 239
'failures' (examples), in footnote, 322	maturity, 35
invocation of fundamental rights protection, 18	preliminary reference procedure, 99
non-judicial, 222–24	principle of freedom of proof, 85
procedural obligations, 14	'shaped like few others by single judicial
EU Integrated Border Management (EIBM),	institution', 124
405	soft law, 367
EU integration	uniformity, 120
centrality of CJEU, 227	EU legislator, 118, 246, 256, 280, 302, 310,
challenges (political rights), 172-74	365, 379, 385, 386, 390, 410, 418,
EU Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)	428, 430
BoA attached to, 134	'can do no wrong', 96, 422
in footnote, 133	EU Review Bodies. See Review Bodies
EU Intellectual Property Office Regulation	EU sanctions, 19, 24, 30, 54, 199, 282, 349
(2017), 385	absence of duty to notify before adoption, 31
EU judicial architecture, 427	factual basis for imposition, 25
composite procedures, 345-65	illegality (whether breach of fundamental
international arbitration (constitutional	rights), 54
limits), 235–40	judicial review (approach), 24
separation of jurisdiction, 364	Kadi judgment (principles), 24
separation of jurisdiction 'cornerstone',	maintaining individual on list, 31
356–57	procedure followed to adopt these measures,
EU law, 99, 103, 111	24
challenge to legality of before CJEU, 16	statement of reasons (appropriateness), 25
declarations of invalidity, 183	third-country compliance with fundamental
full list, xxxiii–xxxix	rights, 25
/	O "/ )

EU Travel Information and Authorisation System. See ETIAS	European Code of Good Administrative Behaviour: in footnote, 331
EUAA, 135, 144, 148, 262, 265, 266, 350, 351	European Commission, 18, 26, 194, 292, 314,
Article 13 of establishing Regulation (2021	349, 351, 362, 369, 378, 382, 385,
version), 377	396
focus, 143 EROs (authority and measures), 140, 142	annual reports, 295 confidentiality of documents (General
FROs (authority and measures), 140, 142,	Court), 96
guidance (2019), 377	ESM MoUs, 375–77
soft law interferences with fundamental	Guidelines to DG COMP on method of
rights (practice), 377–78	setting fines, 292
EUAA: Management Board, 377	'independence questioned', 297
eu-LISA, 351, 392, 395, 413	rules of political accountability to European
EURODAC, 339, 394, 395	Parliament, 294
Euro-expertise, 213, 225, 429	European Commission: Framework
European Anti-Fraud Office. See OLAF	Agreement with EP, 294
European arrest warrant, 185	European Competition Network (ECN), 290,
in footnote, 109	307
European Artificial Intelligence Office. See AI	'lacks legal personality', 293
Office	passim, 293–97
European Asylum Policy Regulation (2021), 262	rules for case allocation (in footnote), 290 European Consumer Centre, 257
European Asylum Support Office, 135	European Convention for Protection of
European Banking Authority (EBA): in	Human Rights and Fundamental
footnote, 313, 316	Freedoms. See ECHR
European Board for Digital Services (EBDS),	European Council, 233, 262
379	European Court of Auditors (ECA), 18,
European Border and Coast Guard Agency.	221–22, 223, 295, 302
See Frontex	European Court of Human Rights. See
European Border and Coast Guard	ECtHR
Regulation. See EBCG Regulation	European Criminal Records Information
(2019) European Border Surveillance System	System (ECRIS-TCN), 394  European Data Imposition Board (EDIR), 270
(EUROSUR), 339, 398, 399	European Data Innovation Board (EDIB), 379 European Data Protection Board (EDPB),
fusion services, 398	223, 379, 412, 419
European Central Bank, 18, 125, 173, 314,	European Data Protection Supervisor. See
375	EDPS
Administrative Board of Review, 139	European Economic Community, 1
arrangements (procedural and	European exceptionalism, 178
institutional), 140	European Food Safety Authority, 386
opinions 'not legally binding', 139	in footnote, 355
whether may be referred to as a 'BoA' (in	European Investment Bank, 224
footnote), 139	European Ombudsman. See Ombudsman
European Central Bank: Joint Inspection	European Parliament, 18, 27, 145, 262, 289,
Teams, 358 European Centre for Disease Prevention and	294, 295, 388, 415 AI legislation (2023 amendments), in
Control (ECDC) Regulation (2022	footnote, 391
revision), 385	Committee on Petitions, 223
European Chemicals Agency (ECHA)	European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO),
BoA attached to, 134	315
in footnote, 134, 334	in footnote, 299, 315, 317, 339

European Research Council Executive	evidentiary duties: distribution (definition), 69
Agency (ERCEA), 333	evidentiary entitlements: main purpose
European Research Executive Agency (REA),	(fairness), 68
333	ex ante authorisation, 292, 308
European Securities and Markets Authority.	ex ante consultation, 278, 287
See ESMA	ex hypothesi argument, 80
European Social Charter, 158	ex post, 58, 123, 178, 302, 303, 306, 309
European Stability Mechanism. See ESM	Excessive Deficit Procedure, 168
European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs): in	executive agencies
footnote, 313	legal review (EU factual conduct), 333-34
European Supervisory Authorities: Joint Board	Regulation (2003), xxxiv, 333
of Appeal, 147	in footnote, 329
European Supervisory Authority Regulations	
(2010, amended 2019), 386–89	Facebook, 215, 254, 260
Europol, 63, 395, 396, 409, 420	facti probandi
in footnote, 317	admissibility, 78, 81
Europolis, 173	'relevant facts', 65
Eurozone crisis, 172, 173, 375	facti probans (facts that prove probandum), 80
Eurozone Member States, 375	factual action
evidence	composite procedures, 348–50
associative view, 67	factual conduct, 50, 348, 423
'can hinder judicial protection provided by	examples, 313
Treaty provisions', 70	two different senses, 313
concept and system in EU law, 65	failure to act proceedings
definition (in footnote), 64	admissibility, 70
EU law or general system 'cannot exist', 66	standing (heavy burden of proof), 77–82
general system (EU), 64–71	standing (pleas to lighten burden of proof),
harm suffered (abnormality), 90	82-87
national rules, 66	'fake validity' question (Krajewski), 102
probative value, 69	Fenger, N.: in footnote, 108
procedural perspective, 65	Fink, Melanie, xi, 1–9, 36–63, 142, 422–30
processual perspective, 66	in footnote, 101
secondary law instruments, 66	Foodstuff Directive (1989), 75
sectoral systems, 66	Foodwatch, 173
evidence as enabler or filter (action brought by	foreign policy, 17, 30
private parties), 64–97	'largely exempt from judicial review', 171
action for damages, 87–93	formal legally-binding acts. See legal acts
conclusion, 93–97	(binding)
effective ability to give evidence, 71-77	forum shopping, 225, 288
effective judicial protection, 71-77	fourth branch: in footnote, 128
evidence requirements restricting effective	France, 82, 130, 160
access to remedy, 77–87	Frankfurt administrative court, 164, 165, 166
general system of evidence (EU), 64-70	Atlanta case, 166
evidence requirements (restriction on effective	free movement, 235, 372, 373, 374
access to remedy), 77-87	free proof system, 69
burden of proof (pleas to lighten), 82–87	in footnote, 69
direct concern criterion, 78	freedom from harm, 157, 163
individual concern, 78	freedom of assembly, 159, 170
legality review, 77–87	freedom of expression, 13, 159, 170, 181, 259
standing (heavy burden of proof), 77–82	Article 11 CFR, 380
evidence rules, 8, 229	freedom of religion, 114, 187

freedom of speech, 150, 258, 261 Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs (FPÖ): in footnote, 81 Freiwillige Selbstkontrolle Multimedia-Diensteanbieter (FSM), 260 Frontex, 4, 7, 56, 125, 133, 135, 140, 216, 265, 266, 315, 316, 332, 339, 349, 351,	no authority to remedy complaints, 141 'not yet subject to intense academic scrutiny', 124 'only review admissibility of complaints', 141 recommendations, 124 'relatively novel institutions', 140 responsibilities, 136
393, 402, 405, 406, 413, 420, 421,	roles, 140
429	situational embeddedness, 140
ability to file complaints against, 138	short portrait, 135–36
AI systems, 391 AI-powered aerial surveillance, 393–99	'various tools', 138 'fundamental principle of EU law', 42, 231. See
automated risk assessments, 393–97	also 'general principle of EU law'
complaint mechanism (EU factual	fundamental rights, 1, 7–8
conduct), 335–38	AI, 391–421
complaints mechanism, 342, 427	compliance (procedural), 13
revision (2022), in footnote, 335, 338	compliance (substantive), 13
complaints mechanism (alleged	conceptualised as individual entitlements,
shortcomings), 336–38	19
forefront of border surveillance, 393–99	concretisation process, 52
FROs (authority and measures), 140–43	courts 'the guardians', 138
FROs (complaint mechanism), in footnote,	essential values of society, 15 EU factual conduct and, 312–16
FROs (expertise and funding), 148, 149	joint responsibility (EU and Member
fundamental rights violations, 4	States), 425
fundamental rights-sensitivity (criticism and	protection through action for annulment
praise), 142	(constraint), 20
'lack of individualised accountability	regulate relationship between EU/Member
mechanisms', 145	States versus individuals, 48
maritime operations in Mediterranean, 171	reluctance to engage in reasoning, 423-24
Ombudsman's 'special report' to European	risks (EU border surveillance), 392–406
Parliament (from 2013), 152	risks (EU factual conduct), 315–16
Ombudsman's 'strategic inquiries', 145	Fundamental Rights Agency, 138, 140, 152, 398
structural problems (identification), 143	fundamental rights complaints, 8
'team members' (in footnote), 144 Frontex Executive Director (ED), 336–38	framing, 118 further research required, 118
Frontex Management Board: in footnote, 336	preliminary reference procedure $(qv)$ ,
Frontex Regulation (2019), 263	98–120
front-LEX, 216, 218	'secondary part' of claims, 117
FROs, 123, 131, 150, 264, 265, 266, 426	fundamental rights liability
access to justice perspective, 150	'clarity' requirement, 52, 53, 61
authority and measures, 140-44, 146	'unlawfulness' as condition, 47–55
EUAA, 140, 142, 143–44	Fundamental Rights Monitors, 141, 143
expertise and funding, 147, 148–49	Fundamental Rights Officers. See FROs
Frontex, 140–43, 148, 149, 336–37, 339	fundamental rights protection
'handle serious incident reporting' (internal complaint mechanism), 141	action for damages, 36–63 better utilisation of action for damages,
'hardly silver bullet against structural flaws',	60–63
142	EU-specific regime, 1
lack of enforcement powers, 265	Review Bodies, 123–54
main task, 137	three levels, 9

fundamental rights violations	'recent expansion', 382
committed by EU itself, 6	'remarkable contribution', 'significant role',
composite procedures, 345–65	28
EU factual conduct, 311–44	standing rules, 24
EU liability to damages (three conditions),	'sufficiently serious breach' test, 50
47	General Court (cases)
international aribitation (as supplementary	ADDE v Parliament (2019), 27
tool), 227–44	Aisha Muammer case (2021), 24
legal protection against EU factual conduct,	Belgium v Commission (2015), 381
317–18	Bowland (2009), 363
ODR mechanisms, 258–61	Branco I ruling (1995), 356
online dispute resolution (ODR), 245–66	Dole Fresh Fruit International case (2003),
role of national courts in redressing), 155–76	91
'simple' versus 'reprehensible', 55	Edinger case (2001), 80
structural root causes, 427	FIAMM case (2005), 92, 93
	France-Aviation case (1995), 354
Galanter, Marc, 225	full list, xxii–xxvi
Garthy, B., 2	Hautala case (1999), xxiii, 200, 201
Gas Directive (2009, amended 2019), 84	Italy v Commission (2020), 28
GC. See General Court	Klymenko v Council (2019), 25
GDPR (2016/679 version), xxxvi, 379, 413	Kočner v EUROPOL (2021), 59
Article 09(2), 415	Malagutti (2004), 363
Article 22, 414	Minister for Justice and Equality (2018),
Article 22(3) as revised in 2017 and 2018,	26
402, 408	Nord Stream 2 case (2020), 84, 85
in footnote, 396	Pharma Mar v Commission (2020), 27
GDPR (2016/680). See Law Enforcement	Prodifarma e.a. v Commission (1990), 71
Directive (2016/680)	Sison v Council (2011), 54
GDPR (2018/1725), xxxvii, 338–40, 413, 417,	Sped-Pro S.A. v Commission (2022), 26
418, 420, 421	T.Port case (2001), 91
Article 52(2), 417	ThyssenKrupp (2018)
Article 63, 417, 418	also General Court case (2011) and
Article 64, 417, 418	CJEU case (2021), xxi, xxiv–xxv, 369,
Article $64(1)$ , in footnote, $341$	379
General Court, 14, 20, 134, 192	Tillack case, xxiv
annulment of EU law in 312 cases, 22	in footnote, 316, 323, 324, 326, 330, 339
cases alleging breaches of substantive rights,	Wilson-Holland case (2001): in footnote,
28	354
cases relating to procedural issues, 23	WS and Others v Frontex (2023), 429
in charge of most cases against EU	General Court's jurisprudence (action for
institutions, 20	annulment in EU law), 21–28
competence to hear actions for damages at	influence of procedural rights, 23–28
first instance, 43	numerical evidence, 21–23
confidentiality of Commission documents,	General Data Protection Regulation. See
96	GDPR
fundamental rights (types) receiving special	general interest, 18, 32, 33, 51, 400
attention, 22	'general principle of EU law', 17, 22, 33, 186,
inadmissibility rulings, 168	317, 342, 399, 407 Caparal Product Safety Directive (2002) 251
previously 'Court of First Instance', 189 quantitative influence of EU fundamental	General Product Safety Directive (2002), 351
rights 'relatively limited', 22	Geneva Convention (1951) and Protocol (1967), 144
116116 Telatively lillined, 22	(190//), 144

Gentile, Giulia, xii, 8, 13-35, 422, 424, 426, 429	individual concern probandum, 86
Gerards, Janneke, 372	redefinition suggested by Jacobs (2002), 83
German constitutional court. See	individuals, 8, 9, 18, 111, 116, 156, 161, 174,
Bundesverfassungsgericht	179
Germany, 59, 78, 79, 91, 160, 161, 162	access to justice, 273
constitution, 174	'central role within EU legal system', 36
federal administrative court, 166	important actors, 273
ODR process, 259	individuation: desired level (two criteria), 80
rules on evidence, 75	informalisation, 142, 144, 366, 368, 389
Gkliati, Mariana, 144	instant implicit decision (concept), 321, 322, 325
good administration, 14, 137, 317, 408, 428,	in footnote, 323
See also right to good administration	institutions. See EU institutions
Gragl, Paul, 186	inter partes stage, 291
Grand Chamber, 108, 115, 115, 119	Inter-American Court of Human Rights: in
Greece, xxx, 144, 168, 169, 218	footnote, 40
asylum-seekers (in footnote), 136	international arbitration, 428
constitution, 169	benefits, 229–30
highest administrative court, 169	CJEU approach, 235–39
in footnote, 376	argument of uniform application of EU
Greenpeace, 217	law, 238–39, 240
Grimheden, Jonas, 141, 148	interim conclusion, 239–40
Grimmenstein, Marianne, 174	principle of autonomy, 236–37, 239, 243,
Grozdanovski, Ljupcho, xii, 8, 64–97, 422,	244
424, 425, 426	conclusion, 243–44
Gündel, Jörg, 383	constitutional limits within EU judicial
Canaci, 101g, 303	system, 228, 235–40
Halberstam, Daniel, 152	constitutional potential within EU judicial
Hanf, Dominik: in footnote, 134	system, 228, 240–43
hard law, 367, 388	practical implications, 242–43
harm, 93, 160	way forward, 241–42
Hauer, Liselotte, 165	defining, 228–29
Hearing Officers (DG COMP), 295–96, 297,	EU law, 228, 232–35
308	EU's competence and arbitration, 232–34
Hertog, Leonhard den (in footnote), 337	regulation of arbitration (legal
hierarchy of legal norms, 158	instruments), 234–35
higher law: sources, 15	
Hillion, Christophe, 203	limitations, 230–31 model, 228–31
Hofmann, Andreas, xii, 8, 155–76, 425	new way forward (EU fundamental rights
Hofmann, Herwig: in footnote, 345, 367	violations), 227–44
home, 278, 316. See also CFR Article 7	no provision in 'the Treaties', 232
human dignity, 399, 400. See also right to	
	'should in no way replace EU judicial system', 242
human dignity	
Hungarian constitutional court, 175	supplementary tool for EU fundamental
:	rights violations, 227–44
impartiality, 27, 69, 74, 280, 284, 296, 330,	international commercial arbitration definition, 228
342, 407	
in footnote, 252	International Council for Online Dispute
'in law we trust' presumption, 72	Resolution (ICODR): in footnote, 252
indicia, 70	International Covenant on Civil and Political
individual concern, 79, 80, 82, 83, 98, 155, 173, 189, 190, 216, 410, 424	Rights: compensation for violations, 40
1/5, 109, 190, 210, 410, 424	international human rights, 39, 42, 158, 406

international law, 36, 92, 428	Karagianni, Argyro, xii, 9, 271–310, 423, 425,
list, xxxix	426
private, 228, 232–34, 241	Kelsenian model of hierarchy of norms, 17
public, 38, 39, 57, 233	Kelsenian pyramid of legal sources, 15
international trade, 90, 243	Kenner, Jeff, 374
Internationale Handelsgesellschaft case (1969–	Kerber, Markus C., 173
1974), 163–65	Kosovo, 171, 200
internet, 246, 254, 258	in footnote, 203
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and	Krajewski, Michał, 6, 19, 145, 148
Numbers (ICANN), 245, 247	Krommendijk, Jasper, xii, 8, 177–205, 424,
in footnote, 253	428
interoperability, 401	
definition (in footnote), 401	Latvia, xxx, 168
Interpol, 395	law enforcement, 241, 423
investor-state arbitration, 237	definition, 271
Ireland, 160, 169, 182, 214, 215, 220	Law Enforcement Directive (2016/680), xxxvi,
Isiksel, T. (in footnote), 185	413
Italy, 74, 77, 175, 213	Article 11, 414
IUROPA dataset, 109	in footnote, 413
	law of evidence: ideal principles, 65
Jääskinen, Niilo, 384	Łazowski, Adam, 201
Johansen, Stian Øby, 171, 205	LED. See Law Enforcement Directive
joint liability	legal action, 209, 218, 277
EU and Member States (action for	legal acts, 2, 314, 321, 327, 334, 407, 423, 424,
damages), 55–60, 62	427
literature (in footnote), 55	EU factual conduct underpinning implicit,
judicial protection	322
essence of EU system of, 425	in footnote, 84, 314, 317, 327, 340
'two-speed', 424	legal acts (binding), 9, 311, 313, 317, 318, 320,
judicial remedies	322, 323, 325, 333, 339, 343
DG COMP, 293–94	definition, 312
ESMA, 286–88	in footnote, 312, 313, 328, 376
EU factual conduct, 318-29, 344	legal acts (non-binding), 313
ex ante, 306	in footnote, 313
limits, 422–23	soft law $(qv)$ , 311
OLAF, 301–2	legal aid, 170, 255
versus non-judicial remedies, 284–305	legal certainty, 34, 55, 230, 306, 307, 428
judicial review	legal culture, 161, 210, 425
definition, 15	legal expertise, 157, 213, 219, 224, 225, 229,
EU executive agencies acts, 333-34	429
evidence requirements restricting effective	in footnote, 147
access to remedy, 77–87	legal mobilisation
hallmark of rule of law, 16	definition, 210
modalities according to which litigants give	literature, 210
evidence, 82	legal opportunities, 210, 213, 226
overview of EU model, 15–17	dimensions (Andersen), 212
plea for lightening admissibility burden (two	legal opportunity structures, 157–60, 211, 212,
types), 82	217, 219, 226
justice (concept): 'humanist approach', 67	access to courts, 160
justiciable rights, 212	availability of rights to challenge EU acts,
EU remedies system, 212	158–59
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*)* ))

legal opportunity structures (cont.)	invocation of fundamental rights protection,
closed, 211–12	18
legal orders, 103, 156, 186, 273, 281, 307 coherence, 15	Memoranda of Understanding (MoU), 167, 168,
legal persons, 38, 116, 192, 222, 263, 276, 289,	Meta, 223
298, 315, 329, 331, 383, 386, 416	Meta Oversight Board, 254, 258
legal profession, 162, 174	annual report, 265
legal professional privilege, 279, 291, 292, 296,	charter (2019), 260
299, 306	first annual report (2022), 261
legislation: full list, xxxiii–xxxix	passim, 260–66
legislative acts: versus 'regulatory acts' (in	procedures for appeals, 260
footnote), 84	statistics, 261
legislative clarity, 34, 310	Meyer, John W.: in footnote, 126, 130, 131,
legislative courts (USA): in footnote, 129	
_ =	142
legitimacy assets, 128, 140, 152	migration and asylum: further reading (in
legitimate expectations, 55, 103	footnote), 135
Lenaert, Koen, 129	migration law, 7, 213, 222, 225
Leskinen, Charlotte (in footnote), 186	Migration Law Clinic (Vrije Universiteit
Letsas, George, 371	Amsterdam), 225
lex arbitri, 229	Moldova, 237
liability law, 38–43, 62	Möllers, Christoph: in footnote, 128
compensating harm, 38-40	Montaldo, Stefano, 280
compensation can be of pecuniary or non-	Montesquieu, Spirit of Laws (1748): in
pecuniary nature, 40	footnote, 128, 130
preventing undesired behaviour, 41-42	multi-level administration, 55, 61
use of term, 38	
in footnote, 38	naming and shaming, 143, 151
vindicating rights, 42-43	in footnote, 313, 316
Libya, 222, 223, 405	national apex courts, 156, 159, 160, 161
litigant characteristics, 160-62	national authorities, 26, 63, 155, 181, 189,
locus standi, 98, 217	265, 275, 279, 285, 300, 309, 394
in footnote, 83	passim, 345–63
lack of argument, 218	soft law, 369, 370, 377, 379
national rules, 100	national competent authority: definition, 417
strict requirements, 178, 183, 189, 192, 198,	national competition authorities (NCAs)
204, 217, 221	'independence questioned', 297
López Zurita, Lucía, xii, 8, 98-120, 425, 428	passim, 289–97
Lucke, Bernd, 173	powers laid down in national law, 292
Lustig, D., 15, 16	national courts, 9, 59, 68, 98, 102, 105, 113,
Luxembourg, 82	114, 185, 238, 247, 324
shorthand for 'CJEU', 106, 224, 307	central role (bringing preliminary reference
	procedures to CJEU), 104-5
Maas, Herman: in footnote, 369	central role within model established by
maladministration, 132, 133, 137, 145, 149,	Article 267 TFEU, 117
224, 289, 305, 331, 332, 385	composite procedure cases, 345, 356-63
examples (in footnote), 332	EU remedies system (over-reliance on),
Massachusetts Amherst Center, 247	424–26
Mehr Demokratie, 173	inclusion of pre-emptive opinion, 114
Member States, 18, 425, 427	judicial protection of private parties, 78
action for damages (joint liability with EU),	lack competence to rule on OLAF's
55–60	investigative acts, 307
enforcement autonomy, 281	obligation to review preparatory measures,
	362
	<i>j</i> ~=

role, 8	NGOs, 19, 109, 111, 116, 171, 210, 217, 218,
stripped of jurisdiction by CJEU ('certain	222, 263
composite procedures'), 360	non-binding measures, 131, 150, 373
national courts (lists of cases), xxix-xxxi	non-contractual liability, 50, 55, 63, 87, 88, 92,
Belgium, xxix	326, 344, 381
England and Wales, xxxi	Article 340 TFEU (qv), 87, 341
Germany, xxix–xxx	may not differ between EU and Member
Greece, xxx	States, 88
Latvia, xxx	system of evidence (overall design), 88
Netherlands, xxx	non-discrimination, 23, 34, 114, 213, 352, 404,
national courts (role in redressing fundamental	414, See also right to non-
rights violations by EU), 155–76	discrimination
access to courts, 157, 160	in footnote, 66
availability of rights to challenge EU acts,	three EU directives, 226
157, 158–59	non-judicial mechanisms, 6, 274, 302, 312,
chapter offering (limitations), 175	318, 426, 430
conclusions, 174–76	non-judicial remedies, 306, 308
legal opportunity structures, 156, 157–60	DG COMP, 294–97
litigant characteristics, 156, 157, 160–62	ESMA, 288–89
rights-based litigation against EU Acts	EU factual conduct, 329–40, 344
(empirical overview), 156, 162–74	versus judicial remedies, 284–305
civil liberties, 163, 170–71	limits, 308
economic rights, 163–67	non-pecuniary compensation, 40, 52, 62
political rights, 172–74	in footnote, 328
social rights, 163, 167–70	non-pecuniary harm, 38, 40, 326
national judges, 5, 157, 383	'broad notion' in EU liability law, 39
national law, 73, 84, 104, 158, 303, 308, 383	remedies, 39
national litigation culture, 425	non-privileged applicants, 2, 333
national procedural rules, 117, 214, 241, 281,	in footnote, 189
offectiveness (CIFII ease law) 81	non-refoulement, 143, 316, 349, 351, 406, See
effectiveness (CJEU case law), 81 national rules, 74, 81, 100, 163, 220, 221, 425	also CFR Articles 18 and 19
in footnote, 281	norms: types (Terpan), 367
	noyb, 215, 223 Nussbaum, Martha C., 66
national sources of rights: legal mobilisation against EU acts, 155–76	Nussbaum, Martila C., 00
national sovereignty, 307	obiter dictum, 203
in footnote, 66	OCMC. See Online Civil Money Claims
natural language processing (NLP), 250	ODR [online dispute resolution $(qv)$ ]
ne bis in idem, 23, 290, 291	Regulation (2013), xxxv, 256, 262
Netherlands, xxx, 213, 224, 248	OLAF, 284, 297–305, 307, 316
district court (in footnote), 185	access to court, 301–2
system of constitutional adjudication	access to count, 301 2
'missing', 162	acts in support of national authorities, 275
welfare allocation scandal, 404	complaints mechanism, 304–5
Netzwerkdurchsetzungsgesetz (NetzDG), 260	digital forensics operations, 299
Neustadt an der Weinstraße administrative	external investigations, 298, 301
court, 165	in footnote, 339
New Public Management, 127	internal investigations, 298
New York City, 248	investigatory body, 298
New York Convention, 230, 234, See also	judicial remedies, 301–2
international arbitration	key to abbreviation, 275
signed (1958), in footnote, 234	legal framework, 299
NextGenerationEU, 173	iegai iiainework, 299
1 text conclusion 1/3	

OLAF (cont.)	redressing fundamental rights violations,
legal framework (revised, 2020), 302	245–66
legal framework (safeguards and defence	technological component, 249-51
rights), 299–301	online dispute resolution mechanisms
mission, 297	Blomgren Amsler framework, 252-53
non-judicial remedies, 302–5	design, 251–53
review (external), 303-5	examples, 246, 254–61
review (internal), 303	EU consumer ODR platform, 254, 256–58
role of Ombudsman, 305, 308	ODR mechanisms set up by judiciary,
OLAF: Controller of Procedural Guarantees,	254–56
303–5, 308	redress of fundamental rights violations,
OLAF: Review Team, 303	254, 258–61
Ombudsman, 123, 140, 150, 223, 264, 265,	stages, 251, 253
266, 289, 339, 426, 428	online dispute resolution redress mechanism
access to justice perspective, 150	for EU fundamental rights violations
authority and measures, 145–46	(design options), 246, 263–66
criticism of Frontex, 152	1. establishment of goals, 263
duties, 132	2. engagement with stakeholders, 263
EU factual conduct, 331–33, 342	3. consideration of context and culture, 264
expertise and funding, 148, 149	4. decisions regarding structure and
lack of enforcement powers, 265	procedure, 264–65
legal basis (Article 228 TFEU), 263	5. funding, 265
'major limitation', 332	6. periodic evaluations, 266
mandate, 145	online platforms, 258
'non-binding and structure-focused	DSA definition (in footnote), 258
approach', 145	internal complaint handling system (right to
orientation 'towards improving	appeal), 259
administrative procedures', 137	onus probandi, 93, See also burden of proof
own initiative inquiries, 132, 145	organisational expertise, 137, 147, 148, 149, 152
'quasi-legal route', 284	Owusu-Bempah, Abenaa: procedural abilities,
recommended (twice)establishment of	67
individual review mechanisms to	0/
Frontex (in footnote), 135	pacta sunt servanda principle, 92
	para-law function, 368
reports, 124, 145 right to lodge complaints with (Article 43	
CFR), 331	Passalacqua, Virginia, 213 passenger name record (PNR), xxxvi, 394, 404
role <i>vis-à-vis</i> OLAF, 305, 308	in footnote, 394
short portrait, 132–33	Peake, Katrina, 374 Pergantis, Vassilis Pergantis, 205
soft law, 385	
strategic inquiries, 133, 145	personal data processing operations, 315
'various tools', 138	personal liberties, 377, 378
Online Civil Money Claims, 249, 250, 251,	personal liberties, 170–71 Pescatore, Pierre, 99
254–56, 258, 263	
online dispute resolution, 8, 428	physical acts, 313, 320, 321, 322, 323, 325,
definition, 245	332, 333, 344 in factors 225
elements, 246–53	in footnote, 327
from private actors to public sector,	Playmann doctring, Son 'CIFIL (2000)'
246–53	Plaumann doctrine. See 'CJEU (cases)'
redress mechanism for EU fundamental	plea of illegality
rights violations, 261–66	Article 277 TFEU, 319
design options, 263–66	EU factual conduct, 327
legal basis, 261–63	pleas, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 29, 82

pluralism, 34, 35, 175, 259, 380	limit (3) procedural freedom of court,
PNR. See passenger name record	104, 107–8
Polakiewicz, Jörg (in footnote), 186	private applicants and fundamental rights
policy cycle, 368, 370	claimants, 112
Polish constitutional court, 175	framing of claim, 112
political accountability, 289, 306, 308	fundamental rights treatment by CJEU,
DG COMP, 294-95	112
OLAF, 302	private applicants and fundamental rights
political opportunity structures, 211	(assessment), 100, 116–19
political rights, 156	private applicants and fundamental rights
challenges to EU policies and EU	(mapping), 100, 111–15, 116
integration, 172–74	case distribution among chambers, 115
political will, 152, 284	claimants, 111-13
Portugal, 160, 168, 169, 170, 375	framing of claim, 113-14
Portuguese Constitutional Court: in footnote,	framing of claim (breach of fundamental
376	rights or CFR used without specific
post-legislative guidance, 368, 377	fundamental rights framing, 113
post-legislative soft law, 368, 369	fundamental rights treatment by CJEU,
Poulou, Anastasia, 376	114–15
praemissa maior (higher law), 15	intervention of EU institutions, 116
praemissa minor (secondary measures), 15	policy areas, 113
preliminary reference procedure, 8, 95, 190,	summary of findings, 112
211, 224, 225, 282, 371, 425, See	questions of interpretation, 102, 108, 110,
also TFEU: Article 267	113, 118, 119
admissibility, 323	raison d'être, 107
	references on validity, 101, 102
constraints, 383–84 EU factual conduct cases, 323–24	reformulation, 108, 114
judicial review (difficulties), 323 limitations, 119	use against EU (inherent limitations), 100, 103–8, 118
mobilisation (strategic litigation), 213–16	use against EU (possibilities), 100–3
possibility for third-party interventions, 219	challengeable acts, 100–1
role, 99	types of grounds, 103
soft law, 382–84 whether also 'citizens' infringement	types of questions, 101–2
	validity rulings, 102, 108, 110, 113, 114,
procedure', 99	118, 119
'works as decentralised infringement	'prescribed by law', 368, 370, 372
procedure', 99	presumption of innocence, xxxvi, 23, 69
preliminary reference procedure (fundamental	pre-trial ODR, 254
rights complaints), 98–120	primary law, 2, 76, 96, 101, 102, 117, 119, 120,
conclusion, 119–20	172, 180, 195, 331, 423
empirical material and research process,	in footnote, 341, 414
100, 109–11	includes CFR, 99
claimants, 109	principle of equality, 170
framing of claim, 110	between men and women, 74
fundamental rights treatment, 110	principle of equality of arms, 68, 85
empirical material and research process	in footnote, 68
(limitation of study), 109	principle of legality, 280, 291, 306, 317
inherent limitations in procedure	privacy, 13, 183, 223, 253. See also 'right to
limit (1) central role of national courts,	privacy' and 'right to respect for
104-5	private life'
limit (2) reduced role of parties in	AI risks, 400–3
proceedings, 104, 105–6	Privacy International, 214

private actors, 155, 266, 276, 277, 285, 286,	quasi-judicial, 334, 415
287, 289, 306, 307, 308, 428	in footnote, 258
private applicants: fundamental rights	meaning 'often remains unclear' (in
complaints (assessment), 100,	footnote), 139
116–19	quid iuris, 353
private law, 38, 39, 232, 246	1 7999
in footnote, 133	Rademacher, Timo, 50, 344
private parties, 9, 386, 425	in footnote, 319, 325, 328
action before EU courts (evidence as	Ranchordás, Sofia, 420
enabler or filter, $qv$ ), 64–97	Rapid Exchange of Information System
availability of remedies (judicial and non-	(RAPEX), 351, 352, 363, 364
	ratione personae, 181
judicial), 306	
vindication of fundamental rights within EU	Rauchegger, Clara, xii, 8, 36–63, 424, 425,
remedies system, 4	426, 429 D. H. J.
pro bono legal clinics, 161, 171	Raz, Joseph: in footnote, 15
probandum, 79, 84, 93	receptivity of judiciary, 157, 162, 174, 212
in footnote, 83	CJEU judgment on politically salient issues,
probatio diabolica, 81	212
procedural abilities, 67, 82, 86, 90, 93	Rechtwijzer platform (2014–2017), 248, 250
effectiveness, 93	in footnote, 248
litigants' entitlements, 67	recurso de amparo, 3
theoretical vantage point, 73	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by EU
procedural autonomy, 74, 77, 94, 306, 307,	book aim, 4–5
383	book scope, 5–8
procedural entitlements, 68, 74, 75, 87	three lines of enquiry, 4
procedural fairness, 23, 25, 28, 67, 96, 308	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by
procedural law, 65, 94, 95, 117, 232, 362	EU (book scope), 5–8
procedural rights, 75, 295, 307, 330, 348, 391,	EU authorities, 6–7
421	fundamental rights, 7–8
CJEU, 30–35	remedies system, 5–6
	Redressing Fundamental Rights Violations by
composite procedures, 352–56	EU (book structure), 8–9
General Court, 23–28	
professional associations, 169, 174	conclusion, 422–30
profiling, 396, 401	final remarks, 429–30
GDPR definition (in footnote), 396	pushing boundaries, 8, 245–66
prohibition of torture, 171, 188, 316	remedies before CJEU, 8, 98–120
Article 4 CFR, 349	remedies beyond CJEU, 8, 123-54
in footnote, 52	testing of remedies systems, 8–9, 391–421
proportionality, 32, 51, 164, 234, 243, 280,	Regulation 1049/2001 (right to access
291, 292, 306, 317, 373, 386, 415	documents), 85
public administration, 312, 314	Regulation 2019/1896. See EBCG Regulation
accountability, 331	(2019)
public interest, 18, 33, 51, 136, 160, 214, 231	regulatory acts, 18, 83, 84
public sector purchasing programme (PSPP),	in footnote, 84
173	regulatory sandboxes, 418, 419, 420
pushing boundaries, 8, 245-66	Regulatory Scrutiny Board, 389
international arbitration (supplementary tool	relevance, 65, 70, 77
for EU fundamental rights	definition (in footnote), 77
violations), 227–44	remedies before CJEU, 8, 98–120
online dispute resolution (ODR), 245–66	action for annulment, 13–35
strategic litigation, 8, 209–26	action for damages, 36–63

evidence as enabler or filter (action brought	peril of ceremony (mimicry of justice),
by private parties), 64–97	130–31, 150
preliminary reference procedure	tripartite government, 128–30
(fundamental rights complaints),	authority emerges 'only incrementally', 145,
98–120	146, 148, 149
remedies beyond CJEU, 8, 123–54	characteristics (taxonomy), 124, 136-50
EU accession to ECHR, 177-205	authority and measures, 136, 138-46, 149
national courts (role in redressing violations	expertise and funding, 137, 146-49, 150
by EU), 155–76	interim conclusion, 132-50
Review Bodies, 123–54	orientation towards public or individual
remedies system, 4, 5–6, 9	interest, 136, 137–38
creative use, 221–25	complentarity, structure, ambivalence, 123-54
definition, 5	conclusion (key takeaways), 124, 151, 153-54
filling the gaps, 430	crucial advantage, 151
final remarks, 429–30	definition, 124
gaps, 423	'issue non-binding normative material', 140,
jewels in crown, 16	146
'simply outdated', 429	'less formal authority than courts', 126
testing, 391–421	'most efficient when teaming up with other
whether has potential to enable individuals	accountability forums', 146
to vindicate their fundamental rights,	non-binding normative output, 151
5	possibilities for reform, 124, 150–53
whether infringements of rights should be	more money, more wit, 152–53
treated differently from other	teaming up, 150–52, 153
breaches of EU law, 5	'quick fix to EU executive's accountability
whether tailor-made required, 5	and legitimacy deficits', 130
remedies system (limits), 422–26	role in protecting fundamental rights, 123–54
judicial remedies and outdated vision of EU	short portraits, 124, 132–36
as lawmaker, 422–23	structural issues, 151
over-reliance on national courts, 424–26	Review Bodies (three types)
reluctance to engage in fundamental rights	Boards of Appeal $(qv)$ , 133–34
reasoning, 423–24	Fundamental Rights Officers (qv), 135–36
remedies system (potential), 426–29	Ombudsman ( <i>qv</i> ), 132–33
applicants with agency, 428–29	reviewable act, 35, 321, 328, 346, 357, 409
looking beyond action for annulment, 426	Article 263 TFEU, 322, 341
looking beyond CJEU, 426–27	right to access courts, 68, 281, 293, 306
looking beyond EU law, 427–28	right to access documents, 27, 85, 291, 292,
technology as opportunity, 428	296, 300, 306
repeat litigants, 172, 174, 222, 225	right to access lawyer, 292, 299, 306
res judicata, 230, 231, 238, 239 Research Network on EU Administrative Law	right to asylum, 316, 349, 377, 391, 398, 406 Article 18 CFR, 405
(ReNEUAL): in footnote, 343	right to avoid self-incrimination, 279, 291, 296,
Ress, J., 190	299, 306 'right to remain silent', 292
Review Bodies, 8, 123–54, 426, 427 access to justice perspective, 150	right to be heard, 27, 28, 31, 68, 280, 291, 292,
advantages, 126	296, 306, 348, 353, 354
=	Article 41 CFR, 353
ambivalent new normal, 124–32 complementarity and structural focus,	general obligation, 28
125–26	right to conduct business, 45, 46, 85, 103, 164,
functional differentiation, 127–28	187, 276, 279, 316, 351
interim conclusion, 132	Article 16 CFR (qv), 352
	1

right to consumer protection: Article 38 CFR,	right to respect for private and family life, 45,
380	278, 315, 352, 375. See also 'right to
right to data protection, 45, 332, 338-40, 380,	privacy'
400	Article 7 CFR (qv), 380
Article 8 CFR $(qv)$ , 352	in footnote, 188
right to decent living, 169, 170	right to respect for rights of child, 351
'right to fair working conditions', 44, 45	Article 24 CFR, 380
ʻright to work', 159	right to social security, 157, 167
right to effective defence, 25, 31, 44, 68, 91	right to vote, 157, 159, 174
right to effective remedy, 9, 14, 37, 42, 50, 61,	'right to democracy', 174
73, 74, 75, 82, 85, 86, 93, 114, 130,	'right to free and fair elections', 172
241, 256, 281, 318, 323, 341, 346,	rights enforcement, 241, 244, 428
365, 408, See also effective judical	Rocca, Penelope, 368
protection	Romania, 168, 170
Article 13 ECHR (in footnote), 272	Rowan, Brian: in footnote, 126, 130, 131, 142
Article 47 CFR (qv), 53, 353, 406	rule (supremacy) of law, 2, 14, 34, 140, 317,
EU factual conduct, 318-19	362, 365, 399, 400, 419, 426, 429
procedural, 82	crucial manifestion, 16
right to fair trial, 44, 67, 68, 74, 75, 85, 166,	different visions, 35
185, 256, 278, 291, 298, 306	essence, 406
Article 47(2) CFR, 75	EU notion (CJEU articulation), 34
Article 6 ECHR, 256	requirements on individuals, 271
in footnote, 14, 296	requirements on those who govern, 271
right to good administration, 22, 27, 44, 141,	role, 271
277, 306, 310, 391, 407, 408, 421	Rule of Law Conditionality Framework, 34
Article 41 CFR (qv), 52, 353, 407	evidence-based approach, 34
EU factual conduct, 329-31	rule of law crisis, 156, 297
right to health care, 157, 159, 169	rule of law debate, 272
right to housing, 159, 167	rules (tenets) of law, 88, 89, 325, 332
right to human dignity, 170, 316, 377	rules of evidence
Article 1 CFR (qv), 380	national, 74
right to liberty, 315	national (unrealistic burdens on private
Article 6 CFR, 349	parties), 81
right to life, 53, 157, 163, 170, 171, 316, 332,	Rules of Procedure of Court of Justice. See
372	CJEU (Rules of Procedure)
Article 2 CFR, 405	Russian Federation
right to non-discrimination, 45, 391, 403, 405,	EU soft law, 378–79
421	exclusion from Council of Europe, 177
Article 21 CFR (qv), 380, 403	1 / //
right to occupational freedom, 156, 165, 166	Sarmiento, Daniel, 105
right to physical integrity, 38, 332	Scandinavia, 160, 161
Article 3 CFR, 316	Schengen Area, 392, 395, 397, 399
'right to integrity of person', 316	Schengen Information System (SIS), 351, 352,
'right to security of person', 315	363, 394, 395, 412
right to privacy, 23, 170, 278, 282, 291, 298,	in footnote, 317
306, 332, 400	Schengen Visa Code, 378
Article 7 CFR, 306	Schermers, H.: in footnote, 106
in footnote, 271	Schmidt-Kessen, Maria José, xii, 8, 245–66,
right to property, 23, 33, 38, 45, 46, 85, 156, 159,	428
165, 166, 169, 182, 279, 316, 376	Scholten, Miroslava, xii, 9, 271–310, 423, 425,
Article 17 CFR, 316	426
	т-~

	1, 0, 0
Schramm, Moritz, xii, 8, 214–15, 262, 426, 427	remedies, 380–89
in tootnote, 6	action for damages, 381–82
Schrems, Maximilian, 215, 223	administrative review, 384–88, 390
secondary law, 96, 99, 102, 191, 198, 199, 289,	possible way forward (three issues),
426	388–89
secondary legislation, 47, 63, 101, 102, 117,	preliminary reference procedure, 382–84
234, 238, 242, 311, 342	unavailability of action for annulment, 381
concretisation of CFR (greater chance to	risks for EU executive overreach, 371
hold EU liable), 46	'unclear legal status', 288
in footnote, 341	soft-law documents, 277, 285
secondary measures, 15, 17	soft-law guidance, 277, 310, 368, 420
security threats, 394, 397	soft-law instruments, 277, 292, 294, 306, 307
Senden, Linda, 367, 368	software, 248, 249, 250, 251, 398
separation of powers, 128, 145	solange (German, 'as long as'), 164
shield, 271, 273	Solum, Lawrence B.: definition of effective
in footnote, 271	participation (in footnote), 67
Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM), 314	Spain, 160, 239
small claims, 254, 258	stakeholders, 252, 255, 258, 263
Snowden, Edward, 215	standards of proof, 65, 69
social movements, 210, 211	beyond reasonable doubt, 69
social rights, 156	in footnote, 65
challenges to EU-induced austerity, 167–70	preponderance of evidence, 69
soft law, 6, 9, 50, 276, 277, 287	standing, 333. See also locus standi
admissibility requirements, 388, 389	heavy burden of proof, 77–82
author and addressee, 369	strict rules under Article 263(4) TFEU (in
challenges to access to justice, 366–90 conclusion, 389–90	footnote), 83 state liability: system of evidence, 88
constitutional relevance, 371	statement of objections (SO), 292
definition (Senden), 367	
ex ante participation of private actors, 287	Stefan, Marco (in footnote), 337 Strasbourg: shorthand for 'ECtHR' (qv), 185
features, 367	strategic litigation, 8, 209–26
in footnote, 314	creative use of remedies system, 210, 221–25
functions, 368–70	calling upon non-judicial institutions,
implementation (different ways), 374	222–24
interferences with fundamental rights	informal involvement, 224–25
(practice), 375–80	petition to European Court of Auditors,
area of freedom, security and justice	221–22
(AFSJ), 377–79	definition, 209
digital sphere, 379–80	EU as system with closed legal opportunity
economic coordination and Euro crisis, 377	structures, 210, 211–12
EU's response to Russia's invasion of	lessons (informing future action), 211,
Ukraine, 378–79	225–26
EUAA, 377–78	successful mobilisation before CJEU
interferences with fundamental rights	(examples), 210, 213–21
(theory), 370-75	direct actions, 216-19
judicial remedies, 390	mobilising preliminary reference
'no watertight system of remedies', 423	procedure, 213–16
non-binding nature, 366, 382, 388	third-party interventions, 219–21
not easily amenable to judicial review, 306	structural issues, 151, 152, 153
possibility to question through preliminary	structure and internal practice: literature
reference procedure, 288	survey (in footnote), 126

subsidiarity, 17, 234, 243	Article 067, 234
substantive expertise, 137, 147, 148, 151	Article 075, 17
substantive law, 95	Article 078, 262
in footnote, 189	Article 078(2)(d), 262
sufficiently serious breach, 47, 49-53, 61, 62,	Article 081, 234
93, 325, 382	Article $081(2)(g)$ , in footnote, 234
CJEU's approach in fundamental rights	Article 087(2)(a): in footnote, 413
cases, 53–55	Article 101, 289, 290
decisive test, 89	in footnote, 317
non-contractual liability cases, 88	Article 102, 71, 289, 290
sword, 271, 273	Article 114, 262, 410
in footnote, 271	in footnote, 234
, ,	Article 126(7), 375
Taobao platform (Alibaba), 250	Article 215, 17
TEC: Articles 288(1) and 288(3), in footnote,	Article 226, 294
88	Article 227, 222
technology as opportunity, 428	Article 228, 263, 266
TEEC	in footnote, 330
Article 173 (currently Article 263 TFEU,	Article 228(1), 132
qv), 78, 79	Article 245, 297
Article 220, 232	Article 256(1), 43
Terpan, Fabien, 367	
	Article 257, 134 Article 258, 70
territoriality, 357, 364	
testing of remedies systems, 391–421, 423	Article 263, 2, 16, 24, 32, 50, 62, 64, 78, 82,
access to justice, 271–310	83, 86, 98, 101, 104, 117, 189, 190,
Al, 391–421	277, 282, 319–22, 327, 333, 334,
composite procedures, 345–65	338, 341, 343, 344, 362, 370, 376,
EU factual conduct, 311–44	381, 386, 387, 388, 389. See also
EU law enforcement authorities, 271–310	action for annulment
soft law, 366–90	admissibility requirements, 335, 370
TEU	in footnote, 328
Article 02, 2, 16, 34, 399	narrow interpretation 'remains contested'
Article 03, 72	99
Article 03(2), 234	Article 263(4), 3, 77, 81, 82, 83, 84, 94, 192
Article 04(3), 282	in footnote, 84, 189
Article o6, 16	Article 265, 64, 80, 81, 82, 86, 218, 322. See
Article o6(1), 188	also action for failure to act
Article o6(3), 186	Article 265(3), 192
Article 17(8) (power of EP to dismiss	Article 267, 16, 117, 119, 190, 193, 323–24
Commission), 294	341, 357, 382
Article 19, 16, 86	drafting 'minimalistic', 98
Article 19(1), 384	passim, 100–4
Article 24, 199	primary purpose, 213
Article 40, 201	Article 267(3), 83, 94
Article 40(1), 199	Article 268, 3, 192
Title V, 200	Article 268 juncto 340, 324
Title V, chapter 2, 18	action for damages (in footnote), 319
TFEU	Article 270, 192
Article 016, 262	Article 272, 192
Article 019, 200, 262	Article 275, 18, 24, 199, 200, 201
Article 026, 262	Article 277, 190, 319, 327

Article 278: in footnote, 328	UN Guidelines on Violations of International
Article 279: in footnote, 328	Humanitarian Law
Article 285, 295	definition of 'compensation' versus
Article 286, 295	'satisfaction', 39
Article 287, 295	definition of 'full reparation', 39
Article 288: in footnote, 312, 313, 314	UN Security Council, 30
Article 298(1): 'open, efficient and	UNCITRAL, 251, 253
independent administration', 330	undertakings, 92, 290, 292, 295
Article 340, 3, 61, 64, 87, 88, 89, 90, 93,	in footnote, 317
192, 216, 218, 324–27, 344	Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts
'high restrictive thresholds regarding EU	Directive (1998), 242
liability conditions', 341	UNHCR, 220, 224
Article 340(2), 43, 47	United Kingdom, xxxi, 73, 160, 193, 213, 220,
Article 340(3): in footnote, 88	254
Article 344, 237	United States, 92, 215, 248
the Court. See CJEU	constitution, 161
	federal rules of evidence, 65
the Treaties, 4, 5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 65, 243, 324,	unlawful conduct, 47, 48, 50, 56, 57, 87, 88,
328, 340, 426	
third parties, 20, 51, 152, 213, 215, 224, 226,	91, 92, 93, 194 as condition for EU fundamental rights
253, 296, 298, 305, 320, 321, 333,	
339	liability, 47–55
strategic litigation, 219–21	user interface, 264
Timmermans, Christiaan, 183	USA (in footnote), 264
in footnote, 186	1: 1: C 1 C 1 C
trade unions, 168, 169, 174, 187	validity. See also reformulation
transparency, 144, 231, 256, 338, 404, 409	van den Brink, Ton, 368
OLAF, 302	van der Pas, Kris, xii, 8, 171, 209–26, 425, 429
Treaties of Rome (1957), 1	Vanhala, Lisa, 212
Treaties on which EU based. See 'the Treaties'	Verfassungsbeschwerde, 3
Treaty Establishing European Economic	vertical composite procedures. See composite
Community. See TEEC	procedures
Treaty of Amsterdam, 233	Villiger, Mark Eugen:in footnote, 371
Treaty of Lisbon, 3, 76, 83, 84, 100, 101, 172,	Visa Information System (VIS), 394, 395
175, 234, 384, 385	Visa Requirement Regulation (2018), 379
entry into force (1 December 2009), 109	von der Boegart, Sina, 152
in footnote, 189	
Treaty of Maastricht, 159, 172, 174, 233	Waelbroeck, D.: in footnote, 106
Treaty on European Union. See TEU	Weiler, Joseph H.H., 15, 16
Treaty on Functioning of EU. See TFEU	Werkmeister, Christophe (in footnote), 84
tripartite government, 128-30	Wessel, Ramses, 201, 203
trust, 72, 247, 248, 252, 264	WHO, 396
Turkey, 218, 405	Wildemeersch, Jonathan, 75, 76
, , , ,	Wróblewski, Jerzy, 65
Uitelkaar.nl platform (2017–): in footnote,	wrongful act, 376, 405
248	definition, 89
Ukraine, 237	WTO, 92
EU soft law, 378–79	• /
ultima ratio, 72	Xanthoulis, Napoleon: in footnote, 313
ultra vires control, 159	, 1
UN Charter, 30	Yefremova, Veronika, xii, 8, 227–44, 428
- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,,, ,