

framework and open-access model for consistent cost calculation of ctDNA-testing.

**Methods.** First, the complete diagnostic workflow of ctDNA-testing was mapped based on expert discussions. This step-wise workflow was used as the foundation of the framework. Second, the activity-based costing method was used and included costs for personnel, materials, equipment, overhead, housing, and test failures. Third, the framework was validated by experts and by applying the cost calculation model to six case studies.

**Results.** The diagnostic workflow was mapped from blood sample collection to reporting the diagnostic findings. The framework was developed from a Dutch perspective and takes into account the testing volume. The total cost per sample for the case studies with different workflows and testing volumes ranged from EUR 168 to EUR 7,638.

**Conclusions.** The developed micro-costing framework can be used to calculate the costs for ctDNA-testing for different workflows. The results from the case studies show the wide range of costs for ctDNA-testing and that the costs are determined by the choice of platform, setting, and testing volume. The open access model allows users to adapt and specify parameters in the diagnostic workflow matching their setting and can be used to support investment decisions and future cost-effectiveness studies.

## PP49 Financing The Line Of Care In The First Biochemical Relapse Of Prostate Cancer After [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET- CT

Marcia Maria Diniz Pontes Paiva and

Lorena Pozzo ([lorenapozzohta@gmail.com](mailto:lorenapozzohta@gmail.com))

**Introduction.** It is estimated that prostate cancer will reach 66 thousand by the triennium 2020-2022 according to the National Cancer Institute (INCA). After initial diagnosis and staging the patient may undergo radical prostatectomy and/or curative radiotherapy. In patients with biochemical relapse (PSA >0.2 ng/ml) initially treated with radical prostatectomy, salvage external radiotherapy is indicated. The [<sup>68</sup>Ga] Prostate Specific Membrane Antigen Positron Emission Tomography-Computed Tomography (PSMA PET-CT) scan is mainly used for localization of prostate cancer in the setting of first biochemical recurrence and can significantly influence the clinical management of the patient.

**Methods.** The overall objective of this work is to perform a treatment cost analysis for patients in first biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer after curative radical prostatectomy and after performing [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET-CT from the perspective of the Brazilian Health System (SUS). A decision tree was constructed through consultation with experts to outline the patient's entire treatment. Values per modelled therapeutic procedure were surveyed in two different scenarios, with and without [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET-CT. The average treatment in scenario 1 was stereotaxic radiation therapy (SBRT), and rescue radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). In scenario 2, it was salvage radiotherapy and ADT. The reimbursement

table was prepared from data collected by SUS system. Variations were analyzed using a sensitivity study. Total average values included: individual procedure, according to medical management (up to 3 years) and population percentage with and without [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET-CT.

**Results.** Values were calculated in Brazilian currency (BRL) for each procedure. The total amount calculated for scenario 1 was BRL 264,965,465.00 (USD 55,642,747.65) and for scenario 2 was BRL 123,585,612.72 (USD 26,162,978.67).

**Conclusions.** The reimbursement of line of care adopted after [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET-CT is an important information to expand access to the Brazilian population. It shows an increased cost with [<sup>68</sup>Ga] PSMA PET-CT adoption. A prospective study should be considered with high follow up.

## PP51 Strengths And Limitations Of Migraine Management Guidelines In The USA and Europe: A Targeted Literature Review

Richard Perry ([Richard.Perry@adelphivalues.com](mailto:Richard.Perry@adelphivalues.com)),

Kayla Mills, Janet H. Ford and Zach McCosh

**Introduction.** Migraine, the second leading cause of disability worldwide, remains underdiagnosed and undertreated. Considering the high burden of migraine, we analyzed the strengths and limitations of existing migraine management guidelines.

**Methods.** A targeted literature review was conducted using MEDLINE on 24 March 2021 to identify current migraine management guidelines (including policies and position statements) published in the English language from France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the United Kingdom, and the USA. This was supplemented by a gray literature search. Disease state or pharmacological management guidelines for adults with migraine comprising any of the following perspectives were included: health economics; payer; health technology assessment; treatment access; and impact of guideline implementation on economic or disease burden. Guidelines were analyzed using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) policy analytical framework, which comprises three domains: problem identification, policy analysis, and strategy or policy development, with ranking criteria for each.

**Results.** Of 39 selected guidelines, 25 adequately identified problems related to migraine, 35 sufficiently reviewed the literature on migraine treatment, three failed to cite literature, and one lacked sufficient content. Twenty-three guidelines targeted healthcare professionals. Almost all guidelines lacked a stepwise migraine treatment approach; only the American Academy of Family Physicians guideline offered first- and second-line treatment options. Four guidelines mentioned current political forces, and coverage of economic or budgetary impact aspects was limited. Numerous guidelines described the substantial economic burden of migraine and were categorized as 'high' for benefits. Public health impact was categorized as 'high' for 28 guidelines and budgetary impact was rated as