and cost impact associated with alternative care pathways in the National Health Service and other sectors of the economy. Based on the proforma developed and data extracted, an exploration of patient health and non-health outcomes associated with alternative care pathways will be conducted to inform service evaluation and to promote patient centric care.

PP451 Does NICE Reimburse Oncology Treatments Meeting End-Of-Life Criteria More Often Than Treatments That Do Not?

Prachi Manchanda (prachi.manchanda@clarivate.com), Karen Mark and Judith Rubinstein

Introduction. The National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued a supplementary advice in 2009 stating that treatments for patients with short life expectancies (<24 months) can exceed the cost-effectiveness threshold of GBP30,000 (EUR34,668) per additional quality-adjusted life-year (QALY), as long as the treatment is indicated for small patient populations and there is sufficient evidence that it extends life ($\geq$ three months), compared with current National Health Service (NHS) treatments. This study investigated how often NICE reimburses treatments that meet end-of-life (EOL) criteria.

Methods. Health technology assessments (HTAs) conducted by NICE from 2009 to 2020 were reviewed for approved oncology drugs. Terminated appraisals were excluded. Data regarding EOL criteria in these submissions were then gathered. The HTA decisions were divided into the following categories: EOL criteria met; EOL criteria not met; and EOL criteria not applicable. A chi-square analysis was performed.

Results. A total of 316 reviews were assessed in the final sample, of which 71 percent (n = 223) of decisions were positive. Out of the positive decisions, 43 percent (n = 96), 25 percent (n = 55), and 32 percent (n = 72) of decisions were in the EOL criteria met, EOL criteria not met, and EOL criteria not applicable groups, respectively. The chi-square analysis showed a significant correlation between HTA decisions and EOL criteria (p = 0.0008). These results were consistent when the "EOL criteria not applicable" group was excluded (p = 0.001). When the analysis was performed between the "EOL criteria met" and "EOL criteria not met", along with "EOL criteria not applicable" groups, it showed a possible correlation (p = 0.05).

Conclusions. This study showed that in oncology, NICE reimburses treatments that meet EOL criteria more often than treatments that attempt, but fail, to meet the EOL criteria.

PP452 Impact Of Patient Access Schemes On Health Technology Assessment Agency Guidance For Rare Diseases In England and Scotland

Karen Mark (karen.mark@clarivate.com), Prachi Manchanda, Judith Rubinstein and Riza Veronica Inumerable

Introduction. Patient access schemes (PAS) are agreements that may enable patients to access drugs or other treatments that may not be cost effective under normal circumstances. The aim of this study was to determine whether the use of PAS by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC) for recommended drugs can lead to greater access to medications for rare diseases.

Methods. Reimbursement data for rare diseases between 2004 and 2021 from health technology assessment (HTA) agencies, namely the SMC (Scotland) and NICE (England), were included. The reviews with positive HTA decisions were considered, while those with negative decisions were excluded. Several observations were made from these data and reported.

Results. Among the total positive reviews (n = 81), 43 included PAS. The inclusion of PAS in manufacturer submissions was more frequent for NICE than for the SMC (79% and 40% percent, respectively). Most of the drugs with PAS were included in the HTA guidance from both agencies. The positive NICE reviews contingent on PAS consisted of 20 drugs. For the same set of drugs, the SMC recommended 14 with PAS and one without PAS; five drugs were not assessed. Adalimumab was recommended by NICE with a PAS (base-case incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of GBP12,336 [EUR14,256]; GBP13,676 [EUR15,804]) and by the SMC without a PAS (base-case incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of GBP22,519 [EUR26,023]). Hence, without a PAS, the drug was costlier per quality-adjusted life-year for the National Health Service (NHS) Scotland.

Conclusions. PAS submissions for rare diseases are more frequent for NICE than for the SMC. With the PAS discounts, the overall cost of the drugs is reduced, resulting in cost effectiveness. The SMC approved some drugs for which NICE required a PAS to improve the economic argument. Hence, the use of PAS for these drugs could lead to potential cost-savings to the NHS Scotland.