

On Knörrer Periodicity for Quadric Hypersurfaces in Skew Projective Spaces

Kenta Ueyama

Abstract. We study the structure of the stable category $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(S/(f))$ of graded maximal Cohen– Macaulay module over $S/(f)$ where S is a graded (\pm 1)-skew polynomial algebra in *n* variables of degree 1, and $f = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$. If S is commutative, then the structure of $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f))$ is well known by Knörrer's periodicity theorem. In this paper, we prove that if $n \leq 5$, then the structure of $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(S/(f))$ is determined by the number of irreducible components of the point scheme of S which are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0.

Knörrer's periodicity theorem ([\[5,](#page-15-0) Theorem 3.1]) plays an essential role in Cohen-Macaulay representation theory of Gorenstein rings. As a special case of Knörrer's periodicity theorem, the following result is well known (see also [\[3\]](#page-15-1)).

Theorem 1.1 Let $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded polynomial algebra generated in degree 1 and let $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$. Let $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f))$ denote the stable category of graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay module over $S/(f)$.

- (i) If n is odd, then $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x]/(x^2)) \cong D^b(\text{mod }k).$
- (ii) If n is even, then $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(k[x,y]/(x^2+y^2)) \cong D^{\mathfrak{b}}(\text{mod } k^2)$.

The purpose of this paper is to study a " (± 1) -skew" version of Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0)

Definition 1.2 + .

(i) We say that S is a graded skew polynomial algebra if

$$
S = k\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle/((x_ix_j-\alpha_{ij}x_jx_i)_{1\leq i,j\leq n},
$$

where $\alpha_{ii} = 1$ for every $1 \le i \le n$, $\alpha_{ii} \alpha_{ii} = 1$ for every $1 \le i, j \le n$, and deg $x_i = 1$ for every $1 \le i \le n$.

(ii) We say that S is a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra if

 $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)_{1 \le i, j \le n}$

Received by the editors September 27, 2018; revised November 6, 2018.

The author was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists 18K13381. Published online on Cambridge Core May 7, 2019.

AMS subject classiûcation: **16G50,** 16S38, 18E30, 14A22.

Keywords: Knörrer periodicity, stable category, noncommutative quadric hypersurface, skew polynomial algebra, point scheme.

On Knörrer Periodicity 897

is a graded skew polynomial algebra such that ε_{ij} equals either 1 or −1 for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j.$

Clearly, a graded polynomial algebra $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated in degree 1 is an example of a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra. Consider the element

$$
f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2
$$

of a graded skew polynomial algebra $S = k \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \alpha_{ij} x_j x_i)$. Then we notice that f is normal if and only if f is central if and only if S is a (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra.

Let $S = k \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ be a graded (±1)-skew polynomial algebra so that $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S$ is a homogeneous regular central element. Let A be the graded quotient algebra $S/(f)$. Since S is a noetherian AS-regular algebra of dimension *n* and *A* is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension $n - 1$, *A* is regarded as a homogeneous coordinate ring of a quadric hypersurface in a (± 1) skew projective space. The main focus of this paper is to determine the structure of $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(A)$ from a geometric data associated with S, called the point scheme of S. Based on our experiments, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3 Let $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ be a graded (±1)-skew polynomial algebra, let $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S$, and let $A = S/(f)$. Let ℓ be the number of irreducible components of the point scheme of S that are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

(i) If n is odd, then

$$
\binom{2m-1}{2} < \ell \leq \binom{2m+1}{2} \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{2^{2m}})
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where we consider $\binom{-1}{2} = -\infty$, $\binom{1}{2}$ $_{2}^{1}$) = 0. (ii) If *n* is even, then

$$
\binom{2m}{2} < \ell \leq \binom{2m+2}{2} \Longleftrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(A) \cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{mod}\, k^{2^{2m+1}})
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where we consider $\binom{0}{2}$ $\binom{0}{2} = -\infty.$

We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem [3.10\)](#page-13-0) Let $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ be a graded (± 1)-skew polynomial algebra, let $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S$, and let $A = S/(f)$. Assume that $n \leq 5$. Let ℓ be the number of irreducible components of the point scheme of S that are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .

(i) If n is odd, then $l \leq 10$ and

$$
\ell = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k),
$$

$$
0 < \ell \leq 3 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{4}),
$$

$$
3 < \ell \leq 10 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{16}).
$$

(ii) If n is even, then $l \leq 6$ and

$$
0 \leq \ell \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{2}),
$$

$$
1 < \ell \leq 6 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{8}).
$$

This theorem asserts that Conjecture [1.3](#page-1-0) is true if $n \leq 5$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

For an algebra A , we denote by Mod A the category of right A -modules, and by mod A the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated modules. The bounded derived category of mod A is denoted by $D^b(\text{mod }A)$.

For a connected graded algebra A, that is, $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ with $A_0 = k$, we denote by GrMod A the category of graded right A-modules with A-module homomorphisms of degree zero, and by grmod A the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated graded modules.

Let A be a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension n (see [\[4,](#page-15-2) Section 1] for the definition). We define the local cohomology modules of $M \in \text{grmod } A$ by $H^i_m(M) := \lim_{n \to \infty} Ext^i_A(A/A_{\ge n}, M)$. It is well known that $H^i_m(A) = 0$ for all $i \ne n$. We say that M \in grmod A is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay if $H^i_m(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. We denote by $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ the full subcategory of grmod A consisting of graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules.

he stable category of graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, denoted by $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(A)$, has the same objects as $\mathsf{CM}^{\mathbb Z}(A)$ and the morphism set is given by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{\underline{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)}(M,N)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{grmod}\,A}(M,N)/P(M,N)
$$

for any $M, N \in \mathsf{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$, where $P(M, N)$ consists of degree zero A-module homomorphisms that factor through a projective module in grmod A. Since A is AS-Gorenstein, $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ is a triangulated category with respect to the translation functor $M[-1] = \Omega M$ (the syzygy of M) by [\[8,](#page-15-3) Theorem 3.1].

2.2 The Algebra C(A)

The method we use is due to Smith and Van den Bergh $[8]$; it was originally developed by Buchweitz, Eisenbud, and Herzog [\[3\]](#page-15-1).

Let S be an n -dimensional noetherian AS-regular algebra with the Hilbert series $H_S(t) = (1-t)^{-n}$. Then S is Koszul by [\[7,](#page-15-4) Theorem 5.11]. Let $f \in S$ be a homogeneous regular central element of degree 2, and let $A = S/(f)$. Then A is Koszul by [\[8,](#page-15-3) Lemma 5.1 (1)], and there exists a central regular element $w \in A_2^!$ such that $A^!/(w) \cong S^!$ by $[8, Lemma 5.1 (2)].$ $[8, Lemma 5.1 (2)].$ We can define the algebra

$$
C(A) := A^![w^{-1}]_0.
$$

By [\[8,](#page-15-3) Lemma 5.1 (3)], we have $\dim_k C(A) = \dim_k (S^1)^{(2)} = 2^{n-1}$.

<https://doi.org/10.4153/S0008439518000607>Published online by Cambridge University Press

Theorem 2.1 ([\[8,](#page-15-3) Proposition 5.2]) Let the notation be as above. Then $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong$ $\mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{mod}\, \mathsf{C}(A)).$

2.3 The Point Schemes of Skew Polynomial Algebras

Let S be a quantum polynomial algebra of dimension n (see [\[6,](#page-15-5) Definition 2.1] for the definition).

Definition 2.2 A graded module $M \in GrMod S$ is called a point module if M is cyclic, generated in degree 0, and $H_M(t) = (1-t)^{-1}$.

If $M \in GrMod S$ is a point module, then M is written as a quotient $S/(g_1S +$ $g_2S + \cdots + g_{n-1}S$) with linearly independent $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1} \in S_1$ by [\[6,](#page-15-5) Corollary 5.7, Theorem 3.8], so we can associate it with a unique point $p_M := \mathcal{V}(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1})$ in $\mathbb{P}(S_1^*) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then the subset

$$
E \coloneqq \{ p_M \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid M \in \text{GrMod } S \text{ is a point module} \}
$$

has a k -scheme structure by $[1]$, and it is called the point scheme of S. Point schemes have a pivotal role in noncommutative algebraic geometry.

hanks to the following result, we can compute the point scheme of a graded skew polynomial algebra.

Theorem 2.3 ($[9,$ Proposition 4.2], $[2,$ Theorem 1 (1)]) Let

$$
S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \alpha_{ij} x_j x_i)
$$

be a graded skew polynomial algebra. Then the point scheme of S is given by

$$
E = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \\ \alpha_{ij} \alpha_{ik} \alpha_{ki} \neq 1}} \mathcal{V}(x_i x_j x_k) \quad \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.
$$

For $1 \le i_0, \ldots, i_s \le n$, we define the subspace

 $\mathbb{P}(i_1, \ldots, i_s) := \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i_1, \ldots, j \neq i_s}}$ $\mathcal{V}(x_j) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

It is easy to see that the point scheme of a graded skew polynomial algebra in three variables is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 or $\mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2)$. The following is the classification of the point schemes of graded skew polynomial algebras in four variables.

Proposition 2.4 ([\[9,](#page-15-7) Corollary 5.1], [\[2,](#page-15-8) Section 4.2]) Let

$$
S = k\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle / (x_i x_j - \alpha_{ij} x_j x_i)
$$

be a graded skew polynomial algebra in four variables. Then the point scheme of S is isomorphic one of the following:

- $\bullet \mathbb{P}^3$;
- $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4);$
- $\mathbb{P}(2,3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2);$
- $\mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2).$

3 Results

hroughout this section,

- $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ is a graded (±1)-skew polynomial algebra,
- E is the point scheme of S ,
- $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \in S_2$ (a regular central element of S), and
- $A = S/(f)$.

Note that $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji}$ holds for every $1 \le i, j \le n$.

Lemma 3.1 (i) A^{\dagger} is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle x_1,\ldots,x_n\rangle/(\varepsilon_{ij}x_ix_j+x_jx_i,x_n^2-x_i^2)_{1\leq i,j\leq n,i\neq j}.
$$

- (ii) $w = x_n^2 \in A_2^!$ is a central regular element such that $A^!/(w) \cong S^!$.
- (iii) $C(A) = A^{\dagger} [w^{-1}]_0$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle t_1,\ldots,t_{n-1}\rangle/(t_it_j+\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jn}t_jt_i,\,t_i^2-1)_{1\leq i,j\leq n-1,i\neq j}.
$$

Proof (i) and (ii) follow from direct calculation.

(iii) Since *S* has a *k*-basis $\{x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}\cdots x_n^{i_n} \mid i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \ge 0\}$, and

$$
(x_n x_i w^{-1})(x_n x_j w^{-1}) = x_n x_i x_n x_j w^{-2} = -\varepsilon_{ni} x_n^2 x_i x_j w^{-2} = -\varepsilon_{ni} x_i x_j w^{-1}
$$

in *C*(*A*) for 1 ≤ *i*, *j* ≤ *n* − 1, *i* ≠ *j*, it follows that { $x_n x_1 w^{-1}$, ..., $x_n x_{n-1} w^{-1}$ } is a set of generators of $C(A)$. Put $t_i := x_n x_i w^{-1}$ for $1 \le i \le n - 1$. Since

$$
t_i t_j = (x_n x_i w^{-1})(x_n x_j w^{-1}) = -\varepsilon_{ni} x_i x_j w^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ji} x_j x_i w^{-1}
$$

= $-\varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} (-\varepsilon_{nj} x_j x_i w^{-1}) = -\varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} (x_n x_j w^{-1})(x_n x_i w^{-1})$
= $-\varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} t_j t_i$,

for $1 \le i, j \le n-1, i \ne j$, and

$$
t_i^2 = (x_n x_i w^{-1})(x_n x_i w^{-1}) = -\varepsilon_{ni} x_i^2 w^{-1} = -\varepsilon_{ni} x_n^2 w^{-1} = -\varepsilon_{ni}
$$

for $1 \le i \le n-1$, we have a surjection $k(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})/(t_i t_j + \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} t_j t_i, t_i^2 + \varepsilon_{ni}) \rightarrow$ $C(A)$. This is an isomorphism, because the algebras have the same dimension. Since $\varepsilon_{ni} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the homomorphism defined by $t_i \rightarrow \sqrt{-\varepsilon_{ni}}t_i$ induces the isomorphism

$$
k\langle t_1,\ldots,t_{n-1}\rangle/(t_it_j+\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jn}t_jt_i, t_i^2+\varepsilon_{ni})\xrightarrow{\sim} k\langle t_1,\ldots,t_{n-1}\rangle/(t_it_j+\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jn}t_jt_i, t_i^2-1).
$$

Proposition 3.2 (i) If $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, then $C(A)$ is isomorphic to

$$
C_{+} := k \langle t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \rangle / (t_i t_j + t_j t_i, t_i^2 - 1)_{1 \le i, j \le n-1, i \ne j}.
$$

(ii)
$$
E = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(i, j)
$$
 if and only if $C(A)$ is isomorphic to

$$
C_{-} := k \langle t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \rangle / (t_i t_j - t_j t_i, t_i^2 - 1)_{1 \le i, j \le n-1, i \ne j}.
$$

Proof First note that

(3.1)
$$
\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = (\varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jn})(\varepsilon_{nj}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{kn})(\varepsilon_{nk}\varepsilon_{ki}\varepsilon_{in})
$$

for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

(i) By Theorem 2.3 , (3.1) , and Lemma 3.1 (iii), it follows that

$$
E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \iff \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jk}\varepsilon_{ki} = 1 \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j < k \le n
$$
\n
$$
\iff \varepsilon_{ni}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{jn} = 1 \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j \le n
$$
\n
$$
\implies C(A) \cong C_+.
$$

(ii) By Theorem [2.3,](#page-3-0) (3.1) , and Lemma 3.1 (iii), it follows that

$$
E = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(i, j) \Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jk} \varepsilon_{ki} \neq 1 \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j < k \le n
$$
\n
$$
\Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jk} \varepsilon_{ki} = -1 \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j < k \le n
$$
\n
$$
\Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_{ni} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{jn} = -1 \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j \le n
$$
\n
$$
\Longleftrightarrow C(A) \cong C_{-}.
$$

Here the last \Longleftarrow is by commutativity of $C(A)$.

Theorem 3.3 (i) If $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and n is odd, then $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k)$. (ii) If $E = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and n is even, then $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong \overline{D^b}(\text{mod }k^2)$. (iii) $E = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(i, j)$ if and only if $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong D^{\mathsf{b}}(\text{mod } k^{2^{n-1}})$.

Proof Since C_+ is a Clifford algebra over k , it is known that

(3.2)
$$
C_{+} \cong \begin{cases} M_{2^{(n-1)/2}}(k) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M_{2^{(n-2)/2}}(k)^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}
$$

so

$$
\text{mod } C_+ \cong \begin{cases} \text{mod } M_{2(n-1)/2}(k) \cong \text{mod } k & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{mod } M_{2(n-2)/2}(k)^2 \cong \text{mod } k^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
$$

Thus, (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem [2.1](#page-3-1) and Proposition [3.2\(](#page-0-1)i).

We next show (iii). If $E = \bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le n} \mathbb{P}(i, j)$, then $C(A) \cong C$ by Proposition [3.2\(](#page-0-1)ii). Since C_− is isomorphic to the group algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n-1}$ over k, we have C_− $\cong k^{2^{n-1}}$, so it follows that $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{2^{n-1}})$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1) Conversely, if $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S/(f)) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{2^{n-1}})$, then $D^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^{2^{n-1}})$ by Theo-rem [2.1.](#page-3-1) Since dim_k $C(A) = 2^{n-1}$, it follows that $C(A) \cong k^{2^{n-1}} \cong C_{-k}$. Hence, E = $\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} \mathbb{P}(i, j)$ by Proposition [3.2\(](#page-0-1)ii).

Note that Theorem [3.3\(](#page-0-1)i), (ii) recover Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) and Theorem 3.3(iii) shows that a new phenomenon appears in the noncommutative case. We can now give an explicit classification of $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ in the case $n \leq 3$ (the case $n = 1$ is clear; see Theorem [1.1\(](#page-0-0)i)).

Corollary 3.4 (i) If $n = 2$, then $E = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^2)$.

902 K. Ueyama

(ii) If $n = 3$, then

$$
E = \mathbb{P}^2 \iff \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k),
$$

\n
$$
E = \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2) \iff \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^4).
$$

Proof These follow from Theorem [2.3](#page-3-0) and Theorem [3.3.](#page-0-1)

As we will see later, the converse of Theorem $3.3(i)$ $3.3(i)$, (ii) does not hold in general. So, in order to give a classification for the cases $n = 4$ and $n = 5$, we need a precise computation.

For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have an isomorphism

$$
S = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \newline k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} - \varepsilon_{ij} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}) =: S_{\sigma}
$$

between graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebras, which we call a permutation isomorphism. Since φ preserves f, it induces an isomorphism

$$
A = S/(f) \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} S_{\sigma}/(f),
$$

which we also call a permutation isomorphism.

Lemma 3.5 If $n = 4$, then, via a permutation isomorphism, S is isomorphic to a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra whose point scheme is one of the following: $(4a) \mathbb{P}^3$;

(4b) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4);$

(4c) $\mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2)$.

Proof First, via a permutation isomorphism, S is isomorphic to one of the following:

- (4i) a graded (\pm 1)-skew polynomial algebra with $\varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{24} = \varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{34} =$ $\epsilon_{42} \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} = 1$;
- (4ii) a graded (\pm 1)-skew polynomial algebra with $\varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{24} = \varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{34} = 1$, $\epsilon_{42} \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} = -1$;
- (4iii) a graded (\pm 1)-skew polynomial algebra with $\varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{24} = 1$, $\varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{34} =$ $\epsilon_{42} \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} = -1$;
- (4iv) a graded (± 1)-skew polynomial algebra with $\varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{24} = \varepsilon_{41}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{34} =$ $\epsilon_{42} \epsilon_{23} \epsilon_{34} = -1.$

Note that the above follows from (3.1) and the classification of simple graphs of order 3:

(we define $\varepsilon_{4i}\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{i4} = -1$ if $\{i, j\}$ is an edge in the graph, and $\varepsilon_{4i}\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{i4} = 1$ otherwise).

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (4i) is \mathbb{P}^3 , so this is (4a).

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (4iii) is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4)=\mathcal{V}(x_3)\cup \mathcal{V}(x_4)\cup \mathcal{V}(x_1,x_2),
$$

so this is (4b). The point scheme of an algebra in the case (4ii) is $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_3) \cap$ $\mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4) = \mathcal{V}(x_2) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1,x_4)$, so an algebra in the case (4ii) is isomorphic to an algebra in the case (4iii) via the permutation isomorphism induced by $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (4iv) is $\bigcap_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} \mathcal{V}(x_i x_j x_k)$ = $\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \mathcal{V}(x_i, x_j)$, so this is (4c).

Remark 3.6 It follows from Lemma [3.5](#page-6-0) that not every point scheme in Proposi-tion [2.4](#page-3-2) appears as the point scheme of a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra.

Lemma 3.7 If n = 5, then, via a permutation isomorphism, S is isomorphic to a graded (±1)-skew polynomial algebra whose point scheme is one of the following: $(5a) \mathbb{P}^4$;

(5b) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5);$

(5c) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5);$

(5d) $\mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4);$

(5e) $\mathbb{P}(1, 3, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2, 3);$

(5f) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4);$

 $(5g)$ $\mathbb{P}(4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4)$ $\cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2).$

Proof First, via a permutation isomorphism, S isisomorphic to one of the following:

(5i) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

(5vii) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = 1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$
\n $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{35} = 1,$ $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} = 1,$ $\varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} = -1;$

(5viii) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = 1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$

$$
\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{35}=-1, \qquad \varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45}=-1, \qquad \varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45}=1;
$$

(5ix) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = 1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = 1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$
\n $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} = -1;$

(5x) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = 1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$
\n $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} = -1;$

(5xi) a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra with

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = -1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$
\n $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} = -1.$

Note that the above follows from (3.1) and the classification of simple graphs of order 4:

(we define $\varepsilon_{5i}\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{i5} = -1$ if $\{i, j\}$ is an edge in the graph, and $\varepsilon_{5i}\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{i5} = 1$ otherwise).

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5i) is \mathbb{P}^4 , so this is (5a).

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5v) is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_4x_5)\cap\mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5)\cap\mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5)=\mathcal{V}(x_4)\cup\mathcal{V}(x_5)\cup\mathcal{V}(x_1,x_2,x_3),
$$

so this is (5b). The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5ii) is $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4) \cap$ $\mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5) = \mathcal{V}(x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5)$, so an algebra in the case (5ii) is isomorphic to an algebra in the case (5v) via the permutation isomorphism induced by $\sigma = (\frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{5} \frac{4}{4} \frac{5}{3})$.

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5viii) is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_5)\cap\mathcal{V}(x_1x_4x_5)\cap\mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_5)\cap\mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5)=\mathcal{V}(x_5)\cup\mathcal{V}(x_1,x_2)\cup\mathcal{V}(x_3,x_4),
$$

so this is (5c). The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5iii) is $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_4) \cap$ $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5)=\mathcal{V}(x_4)\cup \mathcal{V}(x_1,x_5)\cup \mathcal{V}(x_2,x_3)$, so an algebra in the case (5iii) is isomorphic to an algebra in the case (5viii) via the permutation isomorphism induced by $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5vi) is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_4) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5) =
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_5, x_1),
$$

so this is (5d).

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5ix) is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_3) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_4x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5) = \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4, x_5),
$$

so this is (5e). The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5iv) is $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_3) \cap$ $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_5)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5)=\mathcal{V}(x_1,x_3)\cup \mathcal{V}(x_1,x_4)\cup$ $\mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4, x_5)$, so an algebra in the case (5iv) is isomorphic to an algebra in the case (5ix) via the permutation isomorphism induced by $\sigma = \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{5} \frac{4}{4} \frac{5}{1}\right)$.

The point scheme of an algebra in the case $(5x)$ is

$$
\mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_4x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_5) \n\cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_4x_5) \cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5) \n= \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_4, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_4) \n\cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5),
$$

so this is (5f). The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5vii) is $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_3) \cap$ $\mathcal{V}(x_1x_2x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_3x_5)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_1x_4x_5)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_2x_3x_4)\cap \mathcal{V}(x_3x_4x_5) = \mathcal{V}(x_1,x_3)$ \cup $\mathcal{V}(x_1, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_3, x_4) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_1, x_2, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_3, x_5) \cup \mathcal{V}(x_2, x_4, x_5)$, so an algebra in the case (5vii) is isomorphic to an algebra in the case (5x) via the permutation isomorphism induced by $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The point scheme of an algebra in the case (5xi) is $\bigcap_{1 \le i < j < k \le 5} \mathcal{V}(x_i x_j x_k)$ = $\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 5} \mathcal{V}(x_i, x_j, x_k)$, so this is (5g).

To describe the algebras $C(A)$ appearing in Lemma [3.1,](#page-0-1) we show that the following algebras are isomorphic to algebras of the form $M_i(k)^j$.

Lemma 3.8 $:= k(t_1, t_2, t_3)/(t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 + t_3t_1, t_2t_3 - t_3t_2, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1,$ t_3^2 – 1) is isomorphic to $M_2(k)^2$.

- (ii) $C_{ii} = k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 t_3 t_1, t_2 t_3 t_3 t_2, t_1^2 1, t_2^2 1, t_3^2 1)$ is isomorphic to $M_2(k)^2$.
- (iii) $C_{\text{iii}} = k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 + t_3 t_1, t_1 t_4 t_4 t_1, t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_2, t_2 t_4 t_4 t_2,$ $t_3t_4 - t_4t_3$, $t_1^2 - 1$, $t_2^2 - 1$, $t_3^2 - 1$, $t_4^2 - 1$) is isomorphic to $M_2(k)^4$.
- (iv) $C_{iv} = k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 t_3 t_1, t_1 t_4 t_4 t_1, t_2 t_3 t_3 t_2, t_2 t_4 t_4 t_2,$ $t_3t_4+t_4t_3$, t_1^2-1 , t_2^2-1 , t_3^2-1 , t_4^2-1) is isomorphic to $M_4(k)$.
- (v) $C_v := k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) / (t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 t_3t_1, t_1t_4 + t_4t_1, t_2t_3 t_3t_2, t_2t_4 t_4t_2,$ $t_3t_4+t_4t_3$, t_1^2-1 , t_2^2-1 , t_3^2-1 , t_4^2-1) is isomorphic to $M_4(k)$.
- (vi) $C_{vi} = k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 + t_3 t_1, t_1 t_4 t_4 t_1, t_2 t_3 t_3 t_2, t_2 t_4 t_4 t_2,$ $t_3t_4 - t_4t_3$, $t_1^2 - 1$, $t_2^2 - 1$, $t_3^2 - 1$, $t_4^2 - 1$) is isomorphic to $M_2(k)^4$.
- (vii) $C_{vii} = k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 t_3 t_1, t_1 t_4 t_4 t_1, t_2 t_3 t_3 t_2, t_2 t_4 t_4 t_2,$ $t_3t_4 - t_4t_3$, $t_1^2 - 1$, $t_2^2 - 1$, $t_3^2 - 1$, $t_4^2 - 1$) is isomorphic to $M_2(k)^4$.

906 K. Ueyama

Proof (i) Let

$$
e_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_2t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - t_2 + t_3 - t_2t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + t_2 - t_3 - t_2t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_4 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - t_2 - t_3 + t_2t_3).
$$

Then they form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents of C_i . Since

$$
e_1t_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1+t_2+t_3+t_2t_3)t_1 = \frac{1}{4}t_2(1-t_1-t_3+t_2t_3) = t_1e_4,
$$

\n
$$
e_2t_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1-t_2+t_3-t_2t_3)t_1 = \frac{1}{4}t_2(1+t_1-t_3-t_2t_3) = t_1e_3,
$$

\n
$$
e_3t_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1+t_2-t_3-t_2t_3)t_1 = \frac{1}{4}t_2(1-t_1+t_3-t_2t_3) = t_1e_2,
$$

\n
$$
e_4t_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1-t_2-t_3+t_2t_3)t_1 = \frac{1}{4}t_2(1+t_1+t_3+t_2t_3) = t_1e_1,
$$

it follows that the map $M_2(k)^2 \to C_i$;

$$
\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}\right) \longmapsto
$$

$$
a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_1t_1e_4 + b_{11}e_2 + b_{12}e_2t_1e_3
$$

$$
+ a_{21}e_4t_1e_1 + a_{22}e_4 + b_{21}e_3t_1e_2 + b_{22}e_3
$$

is an isomorphism of algebras.

(ii) Since t_3 commutes with t_1 , t_2 in C_{ii} , we have

$$
C_{\text{ii}} \cong k \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1) \otimes_k k [t_3] / (t_3^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\cong M_2(k) \otimes_k k^2 \cong M_2(k)^2
$$

by [\(3.2\)](#page-5-1).

(iii) Since t_4 commutes with t_1, t_2, t_3 in C_{iii} , we have

$$
C_{\text{iii}} \cong k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 + t_3 t_1, t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_2, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1, t_3^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\otimes_k k[t_4] / (t_4^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\cong M_2(k)^2 \otimes_k k^2 \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

by [\(3.2\)](#page-5-1).

(iv) Since t_3 , t_4 commute with t_1 , t_2 in C_{iv} , we have

$$
C_{\text{iv}} \cong k \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1)
$$

\$\otimes_k k \langle t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_3 t_4 + t_4 t_3, t_3^2 - 1, t_4^2 - 1)\$
\$\cong M_2(k) \otimes_k M_2(k) \cong M_4(k)\$

by [\(3.2\)](#page-5-1).

(v) Let

$$
e_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + t_1 + t_3 + t_1t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - t_1 + t_3 - t_1t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + t_1 - t_3 - t_1t_3),
$$

\n
$$
e_4 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - t_1 - t_3 + t_1t_3).
$$

Then they form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents of C_v . Similar to the proof of (i), we have

$$
e_1t_4 = t_4e_4, \t e_1t_2 = t_2e_2, \t e_1t_4t_2 = t_4t_2e_3, \n e_2t_4 = t_4e_2, \t e_3t_2 = t_2e_1, \t e_2t_4t_2 = t_4t_2e_4, \n e_3t_4 = t_4e_1, \t e_4t_2 = t_2e_3, \t e_4t_4t_2 = t_4t_2e_2,
$$

so it follows that the map $M_4(k) \rightarrow C_v$;

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\na_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\longrightarrow
$$
\n
$$
a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_1t_4e_4 + a_{13}e_1t_2e_2 + a_{14}e_1t_4t_2e_3 + a_{21}e_4t_4e_1 + a_{22}e_4 + a_{23}e_4t_4t_2e_2 + a_{24}e_4t_2e_3 + a_{31}e_2t_2e_1 + a_{32}e_2t_4t_2e_4 + a_{33}e_2 + a_{34}e_2t_4e_3 + a_{41}e_3t_4t_2e_1 + a_{42}e_3t_2e_4 + a_{43}e_3t_4e_2 + a_{44}e_3
$$

is an isomorphism of algebras.

(vi) Since t_4 commutes with t_1, t_2, t_3 in C_{vi} , we have

$$
C_{vi} \cong k(t_1, t_2, t_3)/(t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 + t_3t_1, t_2t_3 - t_3t_2, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1, t_3^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\otimes_k k[t_4]/(t_4^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\cong M_2(k)^2 \otimes_k k^2 \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

by (i).

(vii) Since t_4 commutes with t_1, t_2, t_3 in C_{vii} , we have

$$
C_{\text{vii}} \cong k \langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 - t_3 t_1, t_2 t_3 - t_3 t_2, t_1^2 - 1, t_2^2 - 1, t_3^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\otimes_k k[t_4] / (t_4^2 - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\cong M_2(k)^2 \otimes_k k^2 \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

\nby (ii).

Theorem 3.9 (i) If
$$
n = 4
$$
, then
\n
$$
E \cong \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ or } \mathbb{P}(1,2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,4)
$$
\n
$$
\iff \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^2),
$$
\n
$$
E = \mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2)
$$
\n
$$
\iff \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^8).
$$

(ii) If
$$
n = 5
$$
, then
\n
$$
E \cong (5a), (5c), or (5d) \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k),
$$
\n
$$
E \cong (5b), (5e), or (5f) \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{4}),
$$
\n
$$
E = (5g) \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{16}),
$$

where $(5a) \mathbb{P}^4$ (5b) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5)$ (5c) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5)$ (5d) $\mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4)$ (5e) $\mathbb{P}(1,3,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3)$ (5f) $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4)$ $(5g)$ $\mathbb{P}(4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,4)$ $\cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2).$

Proof (i) By Lemma [3.5,](#page-6-0) there exists a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra S' such that $A \cong S'/(f)$ and the point scheme E' of S' is \mathbb{P}^3 , $\mathbb{P}(1,2,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,4)$, or $\bigcup_{1 \le i < j \le 4} \mathbb{P}(i, j)$. (Note that $E \cong E'$.) By Theorem [3.3\(](#page-0-1)ii), (iii), we only consider the $\text{case } E' = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(3, 4).$ In this case,

$$
\epsilon_{41}\epsilon_{12}\epsilon_{24}=1, \quad \epsilon_{41}\epsilon_{13}\epsilon_{34}=-1, \quad \epsilon_{42}\epsilon_{23}\epsilon_{34}=-1
$$

(see (4iii) in the proof of Lemma [3.5\)](#page-6-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k(t_1, t_2, t_3)/(t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 - t_3t_1, t_2t_3 - t_3t_2, t_1^2 - 1) \cong M_2(k)^2
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)ii). Thus, we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S'/(f)) \cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\bmod k^2)$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

(ii) By Lemma [3.7,](#page-7-0) there exists a graded (± 1) -skew polynomial algebra S' such that $A \cong S'/(f)$ and the point scheme E' of S' is (5a), . . . , (5f), or (5g). By Theorem [3.3\(](#page-0-1)i), (iii), we only consider the cases (5b) to (5f).

If E is (5b), then

(see (5v) in the proof of Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 + t_3t_1,
$$

$$
t_1t_4 - t_4t_1, t_2t_3 + t_3t_2, t_2t_4 - t_4t_2, t_3t_4 - t_4t_3, t_i^2 - 1) \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)iii). Thus, we have $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(A)\cong \underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(S'/(f))\cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} k^4)$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

If E is (5c), then

$$
\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} &= 1, & \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} &= -1, \\ \varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{35} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} &= 1, \end{aligned}
$$

(see (5viii) in the proof of Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) / (t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 - t_3t_1, t_1t_4 - t_4t_1, t_2t_3 - t_3t_2, \nt_2t_4 - t_4t_2, t_3t_4 + t_4t_3, t_i^2 - 1) \cong M_4(k)
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)iv). Thus, we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S'(f)) \cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} k)$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

If E is (5d), then

$$
\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} = 1,
$$
 $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} = 1,$
\n $\varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} = -1,$ $\varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} = 1,$

(see (5vi) in the proof of Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 - t_3t_1, t_1t_4 + t_4t_1, t_2t_3 - t_3t_2, t_2t_4 - t_4t_2, t_3t_4 + t_4t_3, t_i^2 - 1) \cong M_4(k)
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)v). Thus, we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S'(f)) \cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} k)$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

If E is (5e), then

(see (5ix) in the proof of Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3 + t_3 t_1, t_1 t_4 - t_4 t_1, \nt_2 t_3 - t_3 t_2, t_2 t_4 - t_4 t_2, t_3 t_4 - t_4 t_3, t_1^2 - 1) \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)vi). Thus, we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)\cong \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(S'(f))\cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\bmod k^4)$ by Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-1)

If E is (5f), then

$$
\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{25} &= 1, & \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{13}\varepsilon_{35} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{51}\varepsilon_{14}\varepsilon_{45} &= -1, \\ \varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{35} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{52}\varepsilon_{24}\varepsilon_{45} &= -1, & \varepsilon_{53}\varepsilon_{34}\varepsilon_{45} &= -1, \end{aligned}
$$

(see (5x) in the proof of Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), so $C(S'/(f))$ is isomorphic to

$$
k\langle t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \rangle / (t_1t_2 + t_2t_1, t_1t_3 - t_3t_1, t_1t_4 - t_4t_1,\nt_2t_3 - t_3t_2, t_2t_4 - t_4t_2, t_3t_4 - t_4t_3, t_i^2 - 1) \cong M_2(k)^4
$$

by Lemma [3.8\(](#page-0-1)vii). Thus, we have $\underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(A)\cong \underline{\mathsf{CM}}^{\mathbb Z}(S'/(f))\cong \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{b}}(\bmod k^4)$ by Theo r em [2.1.](#page-3-1) ■

Let ℓ denote the number of irreducible components of E that are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , that is, the number of irreducible components of the form $\mathbb{P}(i, j)$. Corollary [3.4](#page-0-1) and Theorem [3.9](#page-0-1) imply the following result, which states that Conjecture [1.3](#page-1-0) is true for $n \leq 5$.

Theorem 3.10 Assume that $n < 5$.

(i) If n is odd, then $l \le 10$ and

$$
\ell = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k),
$$

$$
0 < \ell \leq 3 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{4}),
$$

$$
3 < \ell \leq 10 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{16}).
$$

(ii) If n is even, then $l \leq 6$ and

$$
0 \leq \ell \leq 1 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{2}),
$$

$$
1 < \ell \leq 6 \Longleftrightarrow \underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^{b}(\text{mod } k^{8}).
$$

At the end of paper, we collect some examples when $n = 6$ as further evidence for Conjecture [1.3.](#page-1-0)

Then the point scheme of S is $\mathbb{P}(3, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2, 3, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup$ $\mathbb{P}(3, 4, 6) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 4, 6) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 6) \cup \mathbb{P}(5, 6)$, so $\ell = 1$. On the other hand, one can check that $C(A) \cong M_4(k)^2$, so we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^2)$.

(ii) Let
$$
S = k(x_1, ..., x_6) / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)
$$
 with

Then the point scheme of S is $\mathbb{P}(2,3,4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(2,3,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,2,6) \cup$ $\mathbb{P}(4,5,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3)$, so $\ell = 1$. On the other hand, one can check that $C(A) \cong M_4(k)^2$, so we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod } k^2)$.

(iii) Let $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_6 \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ with

Then the point scheme of S is $\mathbb{P}(2,3,5)\cup\mathbb{P}(2,3,4)\cup\mathbb{P}(1,2,5)\cup\mathbb{P}(1,2,4)\cup\mathbb{P}(1,2,6)\cup$ $\mathbb{P}(2,3,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(4,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1,3) \cup \mathbb{P}(4,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(5,6)$, so $\ell = 4$. On the other hand, one can check that $C(A) \cong M_2(k)^8$, so we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod }k^8)$.

(iv) Let $S = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_6 \rangle / (x_i x_j - \varepsilon_{ij} x_j x_i)$ with

Then the point scheme of S is $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 5) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 3) \cup \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 6) \cup \mathbb{P}(4, 5) \cup$ $\mathbb{P}(3,5) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,4) \cup \mathbb{P}(4,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(3,6) \cup \mathbb{P}(5,6)$, so $\ell = 6$. On the other hand, one can check that $C(A) \cong M_2(k)^8$, so we have $\underline{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong D^b(\text{mod }k^8)$.

Acknowledgment The author thanks the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. Artin, J. Tate, and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves. In: The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. I, Prog. Math., 86, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 33-85.
- [2] P. Belmans, K. De Laet, and L. Le Bruyn, *The point variety of quantum polynomial rings.* J. Algebra 463(2016), 10–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.06.013>.
- [3] R.-O. Buchweitz, D. Eisenbud, and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay modules on quadrics. In: Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 58–116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0078838>.
- [4] P. Jørgensen, Local cohomology for non-commutative graded algebras. Comm. Algebra 25(1997), no. 2, 575–591. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879708825875>.
- [5] H. Knörrer, Cohen–Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. I. Invent. Math. 88(1987), 153–164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01405095>.
- [6] I. Mori, Co-point modules over Koszul algebras. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 74(2006), no. 3, 639–656. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S002461070602326X>.
- [7] S. P. Smith, Some finite-dimensional algebras related to elliptic curves. In: Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 315–348.
- [8] S. P. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative quadric surfaces. J. Noncommut. Geom. 7(2013), no. 3, 817–856. <https://doi.org/10.4171/JNCG/136>.
- [9] J. Vitoria, Equivalences for noncommutative projective spaces. 2011. [arxiv:1001.4400v3](http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1001.4400v3).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Hirosaki University, 1 Bunkyocho, Hirosaki, Aomori 036-8560, Japan

e-mail : k-ueyama@hirosaki-u.ac.jp