

# A uniqueness theorem for the Chaplygin-Frankl problem

John M.S. Rassias

In a paper dealing with trans-sonic jet flows Frankl (*Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Sér. Math. [Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR]* 9 (1945), 121-143) considered the following problem (T) by applying the condition

$$(1) \quad F(y) = 1 + 2(k/k')' > 0 \text{ for } y < 0 ,$$

where  $k = k(y)$  is a monotone increasing function with a continuous second derivative,  $k(0) = 0$ ,  $F(0) > 0$ ,  $k'(y) \neq 0$  for  $y < 0$ . Consider an equation of the form

$$(2) \quad \bar{L}[u] = k(y) \cdot u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 ,$$

which is elliptic for  $y > 0$ , hyperbolic for  $y < 0$ , and parabolic for  $y = 0$ . Consider equation (2) in a bounded simply connected region  $D \subset R^2$  which is bounded by the following three curves: a piecewise smooth curve  $\Gamma_0$  lying in the half-plane  $y > 0$  which intersects the line  $y = 0$  at the points  $A(0, 0)$  and  $B(1, 0)$ ; for  $y < 0$  by a smooth curve  $\Gamma_2$  through  $B$  which meets the characteristic of (2) issuing from  $A(0, 0)$  at the point  $P$ ; and the curve  $\Gamma_1$  which consists of the portion  $PA$  of the characteristic through  $A$ . The problem (T) (or problem of Tricomi-Frankl) consists of finding a solution  $u = u(x, y) \in C^2(D)$  assuming prescribed values on  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2$ . In the present paper we generalize Frankl's uniqueness theorem; our uniqueness theorem includes cases where  $F(y)$  may be negative.

---

Received 19 February 1979.

The Chaplygin-Frankl problem

Consider the equation

$$(3) \quad L[u] = k(y) \cdot u_{xx} + u_{yy} + \lambda(x, y) \cdot u = f(x, y)$$

in a bounded simply connected region  $G \subset R^2$ , where  $k = k(y)$  is a monotone increasing function with a continuous second derivative,  $k(0) = 0$ ,  $k'(y) \neq 0$  for  $y < 0$ , and the region  $G$  is bounded by the following curves: a piecewise smooth curve  $\Gamma_0$  lying in the half-plane  $y > 0$  which intersects the line  $y = 0$  at the points  $A(0, 0)$  and  $B(1, 0)$ ; for  $y < 0$  by a smooth curve  $\Gamma_2$  through  $B$  which meets the characteristic of (3) issuing from  $A(0, 0)$  at the point  $P$ ; and the curve  $\Gamma_1$  which consists of the portion  $PA$  of the characteristic through  $A$ ;  $\lambda(x, y) \in C^1(\bar{G})$ ,  $f(x, y) \in C^0(\bar{G})$ .

The Chaplygin-Frankl Problem, or problem (F), consists in finding a solution  $u = u(x, y) \in C^2(\bar{G})$  assuming prescribed values on  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2$ ; that is,

$$(4) \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2.$$

DEFINITION ([2], p. 234, [3], [4]). A function  $u = u(x, y)$  is called a quasi-regular solution of problem (F) if  $u$  satisfies equation (3) in  $G \subset R^2$  and in addition the following conditions:

(i) the integral  $\int_A^B u(x, 0) \cdot u_y(x, 0) dx$  exists;

(ii) if  $G_+ = G \cap \{y > 0\}$ ,  $G_- = G \cap \{y < 0\}$ , and if  $G_{\pm}(\epsilon)$  are regions with boundaries  $\partial G_{\pm}(\epsilon)$  lying entirely in  $G_{\pm}$ , then the line integrals along  $\partial G_{\pm}(\epsilon)$  which result from the application of Green's Theorem to the integrals

$$\iint_{G_{\pm}(\epsilon)} u \cdot L[u] \cdot dx dy, \quad \iint_{G_{\pm}(\epsilon)} u_x \cdot L[u] \cdot dx dy, \quad \iint_{G_{\pm}(\epsilon)} u_y \cdot L[u] \cdot dx dy$$

have a limit when  $\partial G_{\pm}(\epsilon)$  approaches the boundary of  $G_{\pm}$ .

**THEOREM.** Let  $k(y)$  be a monotone increasing function with a continuous second derivative,  $k(0) = 0$ ,  $F(0) > 0$ ,  $k'(y) \neq 0$  for  $y < 0$ ,  $\lambda(x, y) \in C^1(\bar{G})$ ,  $f(x, y) \in C^0(\bar{G})$ , where  $G$  is the domain described above. Moreover, assume the conditions  $\lambda|_{\Gamma_1} \leq 0$  and

$k \cdot a_{xxx} + a'' + 2\lambda \cdot a \geq d_1 > 0$  in  $G_+$ , and

$$R(x, y) = a'' - 4\lambda \cdot (k/k') \cdot \left[ a' + a_x \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \right] + k \cdot a_{xxx} + 2a \cdot \left\{ (-2) \cdot (k/k') \cdot \left[ \lambda' + (\lambda_x + \beta \cdot \lambda) \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \right] + \lambda \cdot R(y) \right\} \geq d_2 > 0 \text{ in } G_-,$$

where  $R(y) = 1 - 2 \cdot (k/k')'$ , and  $a = a(x, y) \in C^2(\bar{G})$  is a given negative function of the independent variables  $x, y \in R$ , such that

$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^-} (k/k') = 0$ , and  $\beta$  is a given positive constant  $R(x) = e^{\beta x} - 1 \geq 0$

in  $G$ . In addition, we assume  $R^*(x, y) = \left[ a' - (\beta \cdot a + a_x) \cdot e^{\beta \cdot x} \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \geq 0$

in  $G_-$ , and if  $R^*(x) = e^{2\beta \cdot x} - 1$ , then  $V(x, y) = A \cdot F^2 + B \cdot F + C \leq 0$  in  $G_-$ , where

$$A = a^2 \cdot R^*(x),$$

$$B = 4 \cdot (R^*(x) \cdot a' + \beta \cdot e^{\beta \cdot x} \cdot a \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot a \cdot (k/k'),$$

and

$$C = 4 \left\{ - \left[ \beta \cdot a (\beta \cdot a + 2 \cdot a_x) \cdot e^{2\beta \cdot x} + R^*(x) \cdot (a_x)^2 \right] \cdot k + 2\beta \cdot e^{\beta \cdot x} \cdot a \cdot a' \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^*(x) \cdot (a')^2 \right\} \cdot (k/k')^2.$$

Finally, we assume

$$R_1(x, y) = ak \cdot F(y) + 2 \cdot R^*(x, y) \cdot (k^2/k') \geq d_3 > 0,$$

$$R_2(x, y) = (-a) \cdot F(y) - 2 \cdot R^*(x, y) \cdot (k/k') \geq d_4 > 0 \text{ in } G_-,$$

and

$$-a_x \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} + ((a \cdot k')/4k) |_{\Gamma_1} = R_3(x, y) |_{\Gamma_1} \geq 0,$$

$$\left[ (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta \cdot x} \cdot v_1 + v_2 \right] \cdot \left[ k \cdot v_1^2 + v_2^2 \right] \Big|_{\Gamma_2} = R_4(x, y) \Big|_{\Gamma_2} \geq 0 ,$$

where  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  is the outer normal unit vector on  $\Gamma_2$ .

The prime (') differentiation is meant with respect to the variable  $y$ .

If the above hypotheses hold, then there exist a constant  $d_0 < 0$ , and another constant  $d^0 > 0$  such that if  $d_0 \leq F(y) \leq d^0$  in  $G_-$ , and  $u(x, y)$  is a quasi-regular solution of (3) which vanishes on  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2$ , then  $u = 0$  in  $G$ .

Proof. We investigate the expression

$$(5) \quad 2(l[u], L[u]) = 2 \cdot \iint_G l[u] \cdot L[u] \cdot dx dy ,$$

where

$$(6) \quad l[u] = a(x, y) \cdot u \text{ in } G_+ ,$$

and

$$l[u] = a(x, y) \cdot \left[ u + \left( (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \cdot u_x + u_y \right) \cdot (k/k) \right] \text{ in } G_- ,$$

where  $a = a(x, y) \in C^2(\bar{G})$  is a given negative function of the independent variables  $x, y \in R$ , and  $\beta$  is a given positive constant.

If  $u(x, y)$  is a solution of (3), then (5) will vanish.

We note the following identities:

$$2ak \cdot uu_{xx} = 2(ak \cdot uu_x)_x - 2ak \cdot u_x^2 - \left( a_x k \cdot u^2 \right)_x + ka_{xx} \cdot u^2 ,$$

$$2a \cdot uu_{yy} = 2(a \cdot uu_y)_y - 2a \cdot u_y^2 - \left( a_y \cdot u^2 \right)_y + a_{yy} \cdot u^2 ,$$

$$2bk \cdot u_x u_{xx} = \left( bk \cdot u_x^2 \right)_x - kb_x \cdot u_x^2 ,$$

$$2b \cdot u_x u_{yy} = 2(b \cdot u_x u_y)_y - 2b_y \cdot u_x u_y - \left( b \cdot u_y^2 \right)_x + b_x \cdot u_y^2 ,$$

$$2ck \cdot u_y u_{xx} = 2(ck \cdot u_x u_y)_x - \left[ ck \cdot u_x^2 \right]_y + (ck)_y \cdot u_x^2 - 2kc_x \cdot u_x u_y ,$$

$$2c \cdot u_y u_{yy} = \left[ c \cdot u_y^2 \right] - c_y \cdot u_y^2 ,$$

$$2\lambda \cdot b \cdot uu_x = (b\lambda u^2)_x - (b\lambda)_x \cdot u^2 ,$$

$$2c \cdot uu_y = (b\lambda \cdot u^2)_y - (b \cdot \lambda)_y \cdot u^2 ,$$

where  $b = b(x, y) \in C^1(\bar{G})$  and  $c = c(x, y) \in C^1(\bar{G})$  are defined from (6) as the coefficients of  $u_x$  and  $u_y$ , respectively, in  $\bar{G}$ .

Substitution of these identities into (5) and an application of Green's Theorem yield

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad 0 &= 2(L[u], L[u]) \\ &= 2 \iint_G L[u] \cdot L[u] \cdot dx dy \\ &= \iint_G \{ka_{xx} + a_{yy} + 2\lambda \cdot a - [(\lambda \cdot b)_x + (\lambda \cdot c)_y]\} \cdot u^2 \cdot dx dy \\ &\quad + \iint_G \left[ (-2ak - k \cdot b_x + (ck)_y) \cdot u_x^2 - 2 \cdot (kc_x + b_y) \cdot u_x u_y + (-2a + b_x - c_y) \cdot u_y^2 \right] \cdot dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial G} \lambda \cdot (b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \cdot ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial G} \left[ (2ak \cdot uu_x \cdot v_1 + 2a \cdot uu_y \cdot v_2) - (ka_x \cdot v_1 + a_y \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \right] \cdot ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial G} \left[ (kb \cdot v_1 - kc \cdot v_2) \cdot u_x^2 + (-b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot u_y^2 + 2(b \cdot v_2 + kc \cdot v_1) \cdot u_x u_y \right] \cdot ds \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 , \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = c = 0$  in  $G_+$ , and

$$(8) \quad b = c(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} , \quad c = (4ak/k') \text{ in } G_- .$$

LEMMA 1.

$$(9) \quad J_5^{(1)} = \int_{\Gamma_1} Q(u_x, u_y) \cdot ds \geq 0 ,$$

where  $Q = Q(u_x, u_y) = \alpha_1 \cdot u_x^2 + 2\beta_1 \cdot u_x u_y + \gamma_1 \cdot u_y^2$  is a quadratic form with

respect to  $u_x, u_y$ , where  $\alpha_1 = kb \cdot v_1 - kc \cdot v_2$ ,  $\beta_1 = b \cdot v_2 + kc \cdot v_1$ ,  $\gamma_1 = -b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2$ , and where  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  is the outer normal unit vector on  $\Gamma_2$ .

Proof.

$$\alpha_1 \cdot dx = kb \cdot dy + kc \cdot dx = (kb(-1/(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + kc) \cdot dx = (b(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} + kc) \cdot dx$$

$$= kc(-e^{\beta x} + 1) \cdot dx = (-k) \cdot c \cdot R(x) \cdot dx \geq 0 \quad (ds > 0);$$

$$\beta_1 \cdot ds = -b \cdot dx + kc \cdot dy = (-b + kc \cdot (-1/(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}})) \cdot dx = (-b + c(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot dx$$

$$= -c(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot R(x) \cdot dx;$$

$$\gamma_1 \cdot ds = (-b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot ds = -b \cdot dy - c \cdot dx = -(b(-1/(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + c) \cdot dx$$

$$= -(e^{\beta x} + 1) \cdot c \cdot dx = c \cdot R(x) \cdot dx \geq 0;$$

$$\beta_1^2 - \alpha_1 \cdot \gamma_1 = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad k \cdot v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1.$$

Therefore

$$(10) \quad J_5^{(1)} = \int_{\Gamma_1} ((-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot u_x - u_y)^2 \cdot c \cdot R(x) \cdot dx \geq 0. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.

$$(11) \quad J_5^{(2)} = \int_{\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2} Q(u_x, u_y) \cdot ds \geq 0,$$

where  $Q = Q(u_x, u_y)$  is defined as in Lemma 1, such that

$$R_H(x, y)|_{\Gamma_2} \geq 0.$$

Proof. By (4) we get  $du = u_x dx + u_y dy$ , and  $u_x = N \cdot v_1$ ,  $u_y = N \cdot v_2$ , where  $N$  is a normalizing factor. By substituting these expressions in  $Q(u_x, u_y)$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad Q = \left[ (kb \cdot v_1 - kc \cdot v_2) \cdot v_1^2 + 2(b \cdot v_2 + kc \cdot v_1) \cdot v_1 v_2 + (-b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot v_2^2 \right] \cdot N^2$$

$$= N^2 \cdot (b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot (k \cdot v_1^2 + v_2^2) \geq 0$$

on  $\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_2$ , because  $b = c = 0$  on  $\Gamma_0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot \left( k \cdot v_1^2 + v_2^2 \right) \Big|_{\Gamma_2} \\ = c \cdot \left[ (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \cdot v_1 + v_2 \right] \cdot \left[ k \cdot v_1^2 + v_2^2 \right] \Big|_{\Gamma_2} = c \cdot R_4(x, y) \Big|_{\Gamma_2} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis.  $\square$

LEMMA 3.

$$(13) \quad J_4 = \int_{\partial G} \left[ 2a(k \cdot u_x \cdot v_1 + u_y \cdot v_2) \cdot u - (ka_x \cdot v_1 + a_y \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \right] \cdot ds \geq 0,$$

where  $a = a(x, y) \in C^2(\bar{G})$  is a given negative function of the independent variables  $x, y \in R$ , such that  $R_3(x, y) \Big|_{\Gamma_1} \geq 0$ .

Proof. Condition (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[ 2a(k \cdot u_x \cdot v_1 + u_y \cdot v_2) \cdot u - (ka_x \cdot v_1 + a_y \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \right] \cdot ds \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[ 2a(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} u du - (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot u^2 \cdot da \right] = - \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[ a_x (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} - a_y + (ak' / -4k) \right] \cdot u^2 \cdot dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} R_3(x, y) \cdot u^2 \cdot dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.

$$(14) \quad J_3 = \int_{\partial G} \lambda \cdot (b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \cdot ds \geq 0,$$

where  $\lambda \Big|_{\Gamma_1} \leq 0$ .

Proof. Condition (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \lambda \cdot (b \cdot v_1 + c \cdot v_2) \cdot u^2 \cdot ds = \int_{\Gamma_1} \lambda \cdot (bdy - cdx) \cdot u^2 \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \lambda \cdot u^2 \cdot (b(-1/(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) - c) \cdot dx = \int_{\Gamma_1} (-\lambda) \cdot c \cdot (e^{\beta x} + 1) \cdot u^2 \cdot dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\lambda|_{\Gamma_1} \leq 0$ , by hypothesis.  $\square$

LEMMA 5.

$$(15) \quad J_1 = \iint_G \{k \cdot a_{xxx} + a_{yy} + 2\lambda \cdot a - [(\lambda \cdot b)_x + (\lambda \cdot c)_y]\} \cdot u^2 \cdot dxdy \geq 0,$$

if  $k \cdot a_{xxx} + a_{yy} + 2\lambda \cdot a \geq d_1 > 0$  in  $G_+$ , and  $R(x, y) \geq d_2 > 0$  in  $G_-$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 6.

$$(16) \quad J_2^{(1)} = \iint_{G_+} \tilde{Q}(u_x, u_y) \cdot dxdy \geq 0,$$

where  $\tilde{Q}(u_x, u_y) = \alpha_2 \cdot u_x^2 + 2\beta_2 \cdot u_x u_y + \gamma_2 \cdot u_y^2$  is a quadratic form with respect to  $u_x, u_y$ , where  $\alpha_2 = -2ak - k \cdot b_x + (ck)_y$ ,  $\beta_2 = -(kc_x + b_y)$ ,  $\gamma_2 = -2a + b_x - c_y$ .

Proof. Condition (6) implies that  $\tilde{Q} = 2(-a) \cdot (k \cdot u_x^2 + u_y^2) \geq 0$  in  $G_+$ .

LEMMA 7.

$$(17) \quad J_2^2 = \iint_{G_-} \tilde{Q}(u_x, u_y) \cdot dxdy \geq 0,$$

if  $\tilde{Q}$  is defined as in Lemma 6, and if conditions  $R^*(x, y) \geq 0$ ,  $V(x, y) \leq 0$ ,  $R(x) > 0$ ,  $R_1(x, y) \geq d_3 > 0$ ,  $R_2(x, y) \geq d_4 > 0$ , and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^-} (k/k') = 0$ , hold in  $G_-$ .

Proof. From (6), and by differentiation with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ , we find

$$c_x = 4(k/k') \cdot a_x,$$

$$c_y = 4[a_y \cdot (k/k') + a \cdot (k/k')'] ,$$

$$b_x = (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}(c_x + \beta \cdot c) \cdot e^{\beta x} = 4(-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (k/k') \cdot (a_x + \beta \cdot a) \cdot e^{\beta x},$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_y &= \left[ c_y \cdot (-k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + c \cdot (-k'/2 \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \cdot e^{\beta x} \\ &= 4 \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} [a_y \cdot (k/k') + a \cdot (k/k')' + (\frac{1}{2}) \cdot a] \cdot e^{\beta x}, \end{aligned}$$



$$\alpha_2 = 2ak \cdot F(y) - 4 \cdot \left[ (\beta \cdot a + a_x) \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \cdot a_y \right] \cdot (k^2/k') \\ = 2[ak \cdot F(y) + 2 \cdot R^*(x, y) \cdot (k^2/k')] \geq 0,$$

by hypothesis  $(R_1(x, y) \geq d_3 > 0)$ ,

$$\beta_2 = -2 \left[ 2a_x \cdot (k^2/k') + (a \cdot F(y) + 2a_y \cdot (k/k')) \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta x} \right],$$

$$\gamma_2 = 2[(-a) \cdot F(y) + 2R^*(x, y) \cdot (-k/k')] \geq 0,$$

by hypothesis  $(R_2(x, y) \geq d_4 > 0)$ ,

$$\Delta = \left( \beta_2^2 - \alpha_2 \gamma_2 \right) / 4 = (1 - e^{2\beta \cdot x}) \cdot a^2 \cdot k \cdot F^2(y) + 4 \left[ (1 - e^{2\beta \cdot x}) \cdot a_y \cdot \beta \cdot a \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta \cdot x} \right] \\ \cdot a \cdot (k^2/k') \cdot F(y) + 4 \left\{ \left[ (\beta \cdot a + a_x) \cdot e^{\beta x} \right]^2 - (a_x)^2 \right\} \cdot k + (1 - e^{2\beta \cdot x}) \cdot (a_y)^2 \\ - 2\beta \cdot e^{\beta x} \cdot a \cdot a_y \cdot (-k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (k^3/(k')^2) \leq 0,$$

because  $V(x, y) \leq 0$  in  $G_-$ , and  $B^2 - 4AC \geq 0$  always in  $G_-$ .

From hypotheses,  $V(x, y) \leq 0$ ,  $R_1(x, y) \geq d_3 > 0$ ,

$R_2(x, y) \geq d_4 > 0$ , implies that there exist two constants  $d_0 < 0$  and

$d^0 > 0$ , such that  $d_0 \leq F(y) \leq d^0$  in  $G_-$ .

Lemmas 1 to 7 imply the required result.

### References

- [1] Ф.И. Франкль [F. Frankl], "О задачах С.А. Чаплыгина для смешанных до- и сверхзвуковых течений" [On the problems of Chaplygin for mixed sub- and supersonic flows], *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Sér. Math. [Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR]* 9 (1945), 121-143.
- [2] М.В. Каплевич, "Equations of mixed elliptic and hyperbolic type", *Linear equations of mathematical physics*, 215-243 (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Toronto, London, 1967).
- [3] М.Н. Protter, "Uniqueness theorems for the Tricomi problem", *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* 2 (1953), 107-114.

- [4] John Michael Rassias, "Mixed type partial differential equations in  $R^n$ " (PhD dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 1977).

11 Dervenakion Str.,  
Daphne,  
Athens,  
Greece.