Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. (First published online 2025), page 1 of 4* doi:10.1017/S0004972724001333

*Provisional—final page numbers to be inserted when paper edition is published

PARITY BIAS IN FUNDAMENTAL UNITS OF REAL QUADRATIC FIELDS

FLORIAN BREUER[®] and CAMERON SHAW-CARMODY[®]

(Received 6 September 2024; accepted 27 October 2024)

Abstract

We compute primes $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$ up to 10^{11} for which the Pellian equation $x^2 - py^2 = -4$ has no solutions in odd integers; these are the members of sequence A130229 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. We find that the number of such primes $p \le x$ is well approximated by

$$\frac{1}{12}\pi(x) - 0.037 \int_2^x \frac{dt}{t^{1/6} \log t},$$

where $\pi(x)$ is the usual prime counting function. The second term shows a surprising bias away from membership of this sequence.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11D09; secondary 11R27, 11Y65.

Keywords and phrases: Pellian equation, fundamental unit, prime, OEIS A130229.

1. Introduction

For a prime $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$, consider the real quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, with ring of integers $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{p})]$ and fundamental unit $\varepsilon_p = \frac{1}{2}(x_0+y_0\sqrt{p}) > 1$. Then, (x_0, y_0) is a fundamental solution to the Pellian equation

$$x^2 - py^2 = -4. (1.1)$$

The prime 2 is inert in K/\mathbb{Q} , and $\varepsilon_p \equiv 1 \mod 2O_K$ if and only if (1.1) has no odd integer solutions. Primes $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$ satisfying the above equivalent conditions define sequence A130229 in [5]. They also appear in [1, 2, 7].

Since $\varepsilon_p \mod 2O_K$ can take any of three nonzero values in $O_K/2O_K \cong \mathbb{F}_4$, it is reasonable to expect roughly one third of all primes $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$ to be members of this sequence.



The first author was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. The second author was supported by a 2020–2021 Vacation Research Scholarship from the Australian Mathematical Sciences Institute (AMSI).

[©] The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Define

$$\chi(p) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \bmod 8 \text{ and } \varepsilon_p \equiv 1 \bmod 2O_K, \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \bmod 8 \text{ and } \varepsilon_p \not\equiv 1 \bmod 2O_K, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \not\equiv 5 \bmod 8, \end{array} \right.$$

and define the modified counting function

$$\theta_{\chi}(x) := \sum_{p \leqslant x} \chi(p) \log p.$$

Then, the above heuristic leads us to expect $\theta_{\nu}(x) = o(x)$ as $x \to \infty$.

In this note, we report on computations of $\theta_{\chi}(x)$ for $x \le 10^{11}$, which show a surprising bias away from the $\varepsilon_p \equiv 1 \mod 2O_K$ case, hinted at in related computations reported in [1, Section 4]. We thus pose a conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1. There exists a constant $c \approx -0.066$ for which

$$\theta_{\nu}(x) \sim cx^{5/6}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

2. Results

We computed ε_p using the continued fraction method in [3, Section 3.3], with the modification that B_i and G_i are only computed modulo 2, since we only need to know the parity of ε_p . This significantly reduces the memory requirements of the calculation.

We implemented the algorithm to run on a GPU using the PYTHON Numba library [4]. The final computation for all $p < 10^{11}$ took approximately 17 hours on an entry-level gaming laptop with an Nvidia RTX 3050 GPU. The source code and data are available at https://github.com/florianbreuer/A130229.

Table 1 lists some values for the naive counting function

$$\pi_1(x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x, \, \chi(p)=1} 1.$$

However, it is advantageous to study the 'smoothed' counting function $\theta_{\chi}(x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x} \chi(p) \log p$. Figure 1 plots $-\theta_{\chi}(x)$ for $x \leqslant 10^{11}$ on logarithmic axes. The plot approximates a straight line with slope 5/6. The least squares best fit of the form $f(x) = cx^{5/6}$ is found to have $c \approx -0.06626$, computed using the find_fit method in SAGEMATH v9.3 [6]. The error term $\theta_{\chi}(x) - cx^{5/6}$ is shown in Figure 2. This provides evidence for Conjecture 1.1. Moreover, it appears likely that the error is of the order $O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon})$.

From this, we may also deduce a good approximation for $\pi_1(x)$. Define

$$\pi_{-1/2}(x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x, \, \chi(p) = -1/2} 1$$
 and $\pi_{\chi}(x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x} \chi(p) = \pi_1(x) - \frac{1}{2} \pi_{-1/2}(x)$.

x	$\pi_1(x)$	Approximation	х	$\pi_1(x)$	Approximation
$\frac{10^{2}}{10^{2}}$	1	1	2×10^{10}	72770931	72761719
10^{3}	15	11	3×10^{10}	107298975	107293481
10^{4}	98	90	4×10^{10}	141363308	141357259
10^{5}	741	735	5×10^{10}	175085540	175080418
10^{6}	6200	6187	6×10^{10}	208542967	208537579
10^{7}	53382	53348	7×10^{10}	241775700	241776120
10^{8}	468223	468144	8×10^{10}	274823028	274829667
10^{9}	4164936	4165422	9×10^{10}	307723656	307723171
10^{10}	37490293	37483463	10^{11}	340472393	340476359

TABLE 1. Some values of the counting function $\pi_1(x)$ for sequence A130229.




FIGURE 1. Log-log plot of $-\theta_{\chi}(x)$ for $x \le 10^{11}$.




FIGURE 2. Plot of the error term $\theta_{\chi}(x) - cx^{5/6}$ for $c \approx -0.06626$.

Then, $\theta_{\chi}(x) \sim cx^{5/6} \approx c \cdot \frac{5}{6} \int_{2}^{x} t^{-1/6} dt$ suggests

$$\pi_{\chi}(x) \approx c \cdot \frac{5}{6} \int_{2}^{x} \frac{t^{-1/6}}{\log t} dt \sim c \frac{x^{5/6}}{\log x}.$$

Then, from $\pi_1(x) + \pi_{-1/2}(x) \approx \frac{1}{4}\pi(x)$, where $\pi(x)$ is the usual prime counting function, we arrive at

$$\pi_1(x) \approx \frac{1}{12}\pi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\pi_{\chi}(x) \approx \frac{1}{12}\pi(x) + c \cdot \frac{5}{9} \int_2^x \frac{t^{-1/6}}{\log t} dt.$$

These approximations are compared with the computed values of $\pi_1(x)$ in Table 1.

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to thank James Punch for useful discussions, Frank Calegari for suggesting that the correct exponent is likely to be 5/6 and GPT-4 for help with porting the algorithm to a GPU.

References

- [1] F. Breuer, 'Periods of Ducci sequences and odd solutions to a Pellian equation', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2019), 201–205.
- [2] F. Breuer, 'Multiplicative orders of Gauss periods and the arithmetic of real quadratic fields', *Finite Fields Appl.* **73** (2021), Article no. 101848.
- [3] M. J. Jacobson and H. C. Williams, Solving the Pell Equation, CMS Books in Mathematics (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [4] S. T. Lam, A. Pitrou and S. Seibert, 'Numba: a LLVM-based Python JIT compiler', in: LLVM'15: Proceedings of the Second Workshop on the LLVM Compiler Infrastructure in HPC, ACM Digital Library (ed. H. Finkel) (Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 2015), Article no. 7, 6 pages.
- [5] The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, entry #A130229, https://oeis.org/A130229.
- [6] The Sage Developers, SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.3), 2021. https://www.sagemath.org.
- [7] J. Xue, T.-C. Yang and C.-F. Yu, 'Numerical invariants of totally imaginary quadratic $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{p}]$ -orders', *Taiwanese J. Math.* **20**(4) (2016), 723–741.

FLORIAN BREUER, School of Information and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia e-mail: florian.breuer@newcastle.edu.au

CAMERON SHAW-CARMODY, School of Information and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales 2308, Australia e-mail: cameron.shaw-carmody@newcastle.edu.au