

Fractional interpolation inequality and radially symmetric ground states

Li Ma and Fangyuan Dong

School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Science and Technology Beijing, 30 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100083, China (lma17@ustb.edu.cn,dfangyuan20@163.com)

In this paper, we establish a new fractional interpolation inequality for radially symmetric measurable functions on the whole space \mathbb{R}^N and a new compact imbedding result about radially symmetric measurable functions. We show that the best constant in the new interpolation inequality can be achieved by a radially symmetric function. As applications of this compactness result, we study the existence of ground states of the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation on the whole space \mathbb{R}^N . We also prove an existence result of standing waves and prove their orbital stability.

Keywords: Interpolation inequality; fractional Laplacian; compactness result; ground states; standing waves

2020 Mathematics subject classification: 35R11, 46E35, 35B65, 81Q05

1. Introduction

Recently, a great attention has been focussed on the study of inhomogeneous fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\dot{u} - (-\Delta)^{\alpha}u - m|x|^{\sigma}u + \varepsilon|x|^{\gamma}|u|^{p-1}u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0\\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N), & N \ge 2, \ \alpha \in (0,1), \ p > 1, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where m > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ are real physical constants, σ and γ are real constants, $1 , and this equation comes from various physical contexts in the description of nonlinear waves such as propagation of a laser beam and plasma waves. When <math>\sigma = 2$, the potential term is often called the harmonic potential and occurs in the condensed states. When $\alpha = 1$, there is a strong physical background related to the study of (1.1) and one may prefer to the papers [3, 34, 37]. When $\sigma = 0 = \gamma$, to understand the ground state of (1.1) (see proposition 3.1 in [14] or [33]), one may use the well-known fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality that for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta\alpha}{N}$, it holds that

$$\|u\|_q \leqslant C \|u\|_2^{\theta} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{1-\theta}$$

(see proposition A.3 in [35]). When $\sigma = -1$, a new fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality has been obtained in [20] to prove the existence of the ground state. In

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Royal Society of Edinburgh the above papers, the Schwartz symmetrization method (so called re-arrangement argument) has been used. However, for $\sigma > 0$ and m > 0, one can not directly use the re-arrangement argument to get the ground state to (1.1). The natural question is if one may get the ground state in broad class of the powers $\sigma, \gamma > 0$ without using re-arrangement argument, which is one subject of this paper. In [25], the author has found the ground state in the case when $\sigma = 2$ and $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2})$ by restricting the working space to the class of radially functions. In case when m = 0, the ground states have been obtained [26]. The range of α also plays a crucial role in the existence result of ground states. In this paper, we first set up a new fractional interpolation inequality related to (1.1) and prove that the best constant in the new inequality can be obtained by a radially measurable solution function. We then get the ground states of the fractional Schrödinger equation on the whole space. For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, the power of the nonlinearity will be restricted into $p \in (1, \frac{N}{N-\alpha})$ and the compactness result about radially symmetric functions obtained by Lions [23] will be used. Even in this case, we need to have more conditions about γ and σ . The precise results will be stated (see theorems 1.2 and 1.3 below) after we briefly report some related results obtained by others. In the recent very interesting paper [2], the authors have introduced the ODE approach to nonlinear equations with the fractional Laplacian and their approach may be useful to the problem (1.1).

In [5], for $\alpha = 1$ in (1.1), Chen and Guo have given a criterion for the global existence of solutions of the corresponding Cauchy problem for an inhomogeneous nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential:

$$\begin{cases} i\psi_t = -\Delta\psi + |x|^2\psi - |x|^b|\psi|^{p-1}\psi, & b > 0, \\ \psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

with radial initial data

$$\psi_0 \in \widetilde{\Sigma} := \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \text{ is radial and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty \}.$$

Their result may be stated as the following. Let $b > 0, N > 1 + \frac{b}{2}, 1 + \frac{4+2b}{N}$ $and let <math>V(\lambda) = \left(\frac{A-2}{p+1-A}\right)^{\frac{A-2}{2B}} ||Q||_{L^2}^{\frac{p-1}{B}} \lambda^{-\frac{A-2}{2B}}$, where Q is the ground state solution to the equation

$$-\Delta Q + Q - |x|^{b}|Q|^{p-1}Q = 0.$$

Define $\mathscr{S} = \{\phi \in \widetilde{\Sigma} : ||\phi||_{L^2} < V(||\nabla \phi||_{L^2}^2 + ||x\phi||_{L^2}^2)\}$. If $\varphi_0 \in \mathscr{S}$, then the corresponding solution to (1.2) is global in time and uniformly bounded in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. Furthermore, \mathscr{S} is unbounded in $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. The proof relies on a Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality with best constant and an energy method. Moreover, a similar result holds true for the critical power $p = 1 + \frac{4+2b}{N}$, where the assumption $\varphi_0 \in \mathscr{S}$ is replaced with $\varphi_0 \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $||\varphi_0||_{L^2} < ||Q||_{L^2}$. In addition, this criterion is sharp in the following sense. For any $\lambda > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c| \ge 1$, if $\varphi_0(x) = c\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}Q(\lambda x)$, then $\varphi_0 \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$, $||\varphi_0||_{L^2} \ge ||Q||_{L^2}$ and the corresponding solution to (1.2) blows up in finite

time. See also [21, 34] for related results. For $\sigma = -s$ and $\gamma = -t$ with $0 \leq t < 2s$, via a detailed study of a fractional version Hardy–Sobolev–Maz'ya inequality, with particular attention to the optimality of the constants involved, Mallick [28] has studied the existence of positive solutions of the nonlinear equation involving fractional powers of the Laplacian with cylindrical potentials. Moreover, he has also considered the symmetric and asymptotic properties of the positive solution. The study of the problem (1.1) is also closely related to weighted Hardy type inequalities [13, 20]. See also [7, 9, 15–19, 24, 29, 32] for related results of nonlocal problems.

We use the following notations: $A \leq B$ denotes an estimate of the form $A \leq CB$ for some absolute constant C > 0; for $q \geq 1$, $L^q := L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Lebesgue space endowed with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_q := \|\cdot\|_{L^q}$; $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_2$, and $\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot\| =$ $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}$. We also use the brief notation that $\int dx := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx$.

Recall that for $s \in (0,1)$, the fractional Hilbert space $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by [1, 6, 11, 12, 30]

$$H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) = \{ u \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}); (1 + |\xi|^{2})^{s/2} \mathcal{F}(u(\xi)) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(u)$ denotes the Fourier transformation of u, with norm

$$|u||_{H^s} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1+|\xi|^2)^s |\mathcal{F}(u(\xi))|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi}.$$

We also denote by

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|^{2} = \int_{R^{N}} |\xi|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}(u(\xi))|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\xi, \quad u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(R^{N}).$$

We introduce, for $\sigma \in (0,2), \alpha \in (0,1)$, a fractional Sobolev space D^{α} with the norm

$$||u||_{D^{\alpha}} := \left(||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u||^2 + ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u||^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

such that under this norm D^{α} is the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We also let \dot{H}^{α} be the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the usual norm $\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|$. For $\alpha > 1$, we may use Fourier transform to define H^{α} and we refer to [8]. Then we may define D^{α} as above.

For Z being any space of functions on \mathbb{R}^N , we denote by Z_{rd} the space of radial functions in Z. Here and hereafter, we denote, for $\phi \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$, the mass and energy functionals for (1.1):

$$M(\phi) = \int |\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x := \|\phi\|^2,$$

and

$$E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \phi\|^2 + \frac{m}{2} \int |x|^{\sigma} |\phi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} |\phi|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

where the integration is over the whole space R^N . We remark that the ground state to (1.1) may be considered as the mountain pass critical point of the energy functional $E(\cdot)$ on the working space D_{rd}^{α} [10].

We define the functional

$$J(u) := \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|^B \||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u\|^A}{\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{1+p} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

on the space D_{rd}^{α} , where

$$A := (p+1)\theta,$$
$$B := (p+1)(1-\theta)$$

where $\theta = \frac{N + \sigma - \frac{2N + 2\gamma}{p+1}}{2\alpha + \sigma}$. We now consider the minimization problem

$$d := \inf_{0 \neq \phi \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}} \left\{ J(u); u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}, u \neq 0 \right\}.$$
 (1.3)

There is no previous result about this problem with $\sigma > 0$. We now set up the interpolation inequality as below.

PROPOSITION 1.1. (1). Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $1 , <math>\gamma' = \frac{\gamma}{p+1} > 0$, and $\sigma > 0$ with $\frac{2\alpha}{(1-p)N+\alpha(p+1)}\gamma \leq \sigma$. Then

$$|||x|^{\gamma'}u||_{p+1} \lesssim |||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u||^{1-\theta}||u||^{\theta}_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}},$$

for any $u \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

 $(2). \ Let \ \alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\right), \ \frac{N+2\gamma-2\alpha-4\sigma}{N-2\alpha} \leqslant p \leqslant \frac{2(N+\gamma)}{N-2\alpha} - 1, \ and \ \theta := \frac{N+\sigma-\frac{2N}{p+1}-2\gamma'}{2\alpha+\sigma}.$ Then $|||x|^{\gamma'}u||_{p+1} \lesssim |||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u||^{1-\theta}||u||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\theta},$

for any
$$u \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
.

For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, a more general result than proposition 1.1 is proven in theorem 1.1 in [**31**]. Since we have different purpose, we shall present a simpler and direct proof of proposition 1.1 for completeness. We now explain why θ can be chosen in this way. So we do the dimension analysis. Note that for p > 1, $\gamma' \ge 0$, a > 0, b > 0, we let the scaling $\psi = u_{a,b} := au\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)$ with $\frac{x}{b} = z$:

$$\begin{aligned} \||\cdot|^{\gamma'} u_{a,b}\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} &= \int |x|^{\gamma'(p+1)} u_{a,b}^{p+1}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= a^{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma'(p+1)} u^{p+1}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= a^{p+1} b^{N+\gamma'(p+1)} \int |x|^{\gamma'(p+1)} u^{p+1}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= a^{p+1} b^{N+\gamma'(p+1)} \||\cdot|^{\gamma'} u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for p = 1 and $\gamma' = \frac{\sigma}{2}$, we have

$$\||\cdot|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u_{a,b}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = a^{2}b^{N+\sigma}\||\cdot|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2022.26 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We also have

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_{a,b}\|_{L^2}^2 = a^2 b^{N-2\alpha} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

If proposition 1.1 is true, then for any constant c, we have

$$ab^{\frac{N}{p+1}+\gamma'} \leqslant c(ab^{\frac{N+\sigma}{2}})^{1-\theta}(ab^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}})^{\theta}$$

By this we have

$$b^{\frac{N}{p+1}+\gamma'} \leqslant cb^{\left(\frac{N+\sigma}{2}\right)(1-\theta)+\left(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha\right)\theta}$$

which implies that

$$1 \leqslant cb^{\left(\frac{N+\sigma}{2}\right)(1-\theta) + \left(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha\right)\theta - \frac{N}{p+1} - \gamma'}.$$

Then we get

$$\left(\frac{N+\sigma}{2}\right)(1-\theta) + \left(\frac{N}{2}-\alpha\right)\theta - \frac{N}{p+1} - \gamma' = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\theta = \frac{N + \sigma - \frac{2N}{p+1} - 2\gamma'}{2\alpha + \sigma}.$$

As the consequences, we may obtain some of our main results (and the very new part is when $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, which has not been treated before).

THEOREM 1.2. Let $\gamma \ge 0$. Assume (1) $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $1 , and <math>\frac{2\alpha}{(1-p)N+\alpha(p+1)}$ $\gamma \le \sigma$; or (2) $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2})$, and $\frac{2\gamma+2\alpha-N-4\sigma}{N-2\alpha} \le p \le \frac{2(N+\gamma)}{N-2\alpha} - 1$. We have three conclusions below.

(1). There exists a positive constant $C(N, p, \gamma, \alpha)$, such that for any $u \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}$, it holds

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{1+p} \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant C(N, p, \gamma, \alpha) ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u ||^{A} ||u||^{B}_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}.$$
(1.4)

(2). Moreover, if $\frac{2\gamma+2\alpha-N-4\sigma}{N-2\alpha} , then the minimization problem$ $<math display="block">\beta := \inf \{J(u), \quad u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}\}$ (1.5)

is attained in some $\psi \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$ (that is, $\beta = (\int |x|^{\gamma} |\psi|^{p+1} dx)^{-1}$, $||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi|| = ||\psi||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}} = 1$) and ψ satisfies

$$B(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\psi + A|x|^{\sigma}\psi - \beta(p+1)|x|^{\gamma}\psi|\psi|^{p-1} = 0.$$
 (1.6)

(3). Furthermore,

$$C(N, p, \gamma, \alpha) = \frac{1+p}{A} \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{\frac{B}{2}} \|\phi\|^{-(p-1)},$$
(1.7)

where ϕ is a ground state solution to the following equation on \mathbb{R}^N :

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi - |x|^{\gamma}\phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}.$$
 (1.8)

Using this proposition, we shall prove that there is a ground state of (1.9) below.

THEOREM 1.3. Take $\epsilon = 1, \gamma \ge 0, \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{N}{2}$, and $\frac{2\gamma + 2\alpha - N - 4\sigma}{N - 2\alpha} \le p \le \frac{2(N + \gamma)}{N - 2\alpha} - 1$. Then, there is a ground state solution to (1.1) in the following meaning

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi - |x|^{\gamma}\phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}.$$
(1.9)

To prove the result above, we need to set up a compactness result, which is parallel to the classical Sobolev injection (see [5, 23]). We state here such result in case $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{N}{2}$.

LEMMA 1.4. Let $\gamma \ge 0$, $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{N}{2}$, and $\frac{2\gamma + 2\alpha - N - 4\sigma}{N - 2\alpha} \le p \le \frac{2(N + \gamma)}{N - 2\alpha} - 1$. Then, the following injection

$$D^{\alpha}_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow \Sigma := \{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n); \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} |x|^{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty \}$$
(1.10)

is compact, and we may simply write $\Sigma := L^{p+1}(|x|^{\gamma} dx)$.

We may also show the existence of other standing states to (1.1) in the following way. We now define on D_{rd}^{α} the action for (1.1) by

$$S(u) = E(u) + \frac{1}{2}M(u)$$

and let $Q(u) = \langle S'(u), u \rangle$. Set

$$M = \{ u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}; u \neq 0, Q(u) = 0 \}$$

and

$$m_0 = \inf\{S(u), u \in M\}.$$

It is clear that when restricted on M, the action S is simplified to

$$S(u) = a \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} = a \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + m|x|^{\sigma} |u|^2 + |u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

where $a = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)$. Hence $m_0 \ge 0$.

We shall show in next section that

LEMMA 1.5. $m_0 = \inf\{S(u), u \in \mathbf{M}\} > 0.$

The proof of lemma 1.5 replies on theorem 1.2 and this result will play an important role in the argument of theorem 1.6 below.

Then, we can show the following result about the existence of standing waves and their orbital stability for (1.1).

THEOREM 1.6. Take $\varepsilon = 1, \gamma \ge 0$, $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\right)$, and $\frac{2\gamma + 2\alpha - N - 4\sigma}{N - 2\alpha} \le p \le \frac{2(N+\gamma)}{N - 2\alpha} - 1$. We have the following conclusions.

(1) There is a standing wave solution to (1.1) in the following sense

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi + \phi - |x|^{\gamma}\phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0, \ 0 \neq \phi \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}, \ m_0 = S(\phi).$$

(2) Let
$$B_1 = (1 - \theta_0)(q + 1) + \frac{2\gamma}{N - 2\alpha}$$
, where $q + 1 = p + 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{N - 2\alpha}$ and $\theta_0 = \frac{N}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}\right)$. For $B_1 < 2$, this standing wave is orbitally stable.

As usual, in the orbitally stable part, we always suppose that the global existence of solutions to (1.1) with initial datum near to the standing waves.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2, we prove lemmas 1.4 and 1.5. We recall some useful inequalities and some tools like compactness result needed in the sequel. In §3, we prove proposition 1.1. In §4, we prove theorem 1.2. We prove theorem 1.3 in § 5. We establish the existence of standing states of (1.1) and the orbital stability in §6. Thus, we prove theorem 1.6 in the last section.

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we collect some well-known facts about properties about radially symmetric function on \mathbb{R}^N . An estimate similar to Strauss's inequality [36] in the fractional case is as follows [8]:

LEMMA 2.1. Let $N \ge 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{N}{2}$. Then, for any $u \in \dot{H}^{\alpha}_{rd}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} |x|^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha} |u(x)| \leq C(N, \alpha) \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u \|,$$
(2.11)

where

$$C(N,\alpha) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2^{2\alpha}\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}\Gamma^{2}(\alpha)\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1 + \alpha\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and Γ is the Gamma function.

To facilitate the proofs of our latter results, we show that $||u||_{D^{\alpha}}$ is stronger than $||u||_{H^{\alpha}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Fix $\alpha > 0$. There exists a uniform constant $c_1 > 0$ depending only on α and n such that

$$c_1 \|u\|_{H^{\alpha}}^2 \leqslant \|u\|_{D^{\alpha}}^2, \tag{2.12}$$

for any $u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that (2.12) is not true. Then there exists a sequence (u_j) in D_{rd}^{α} such that $||u_j||_{H^{\alpha}} = 1, ||u_j||_{D^{\alpha}} \to 0$. We may assume that

$$u_j \to u \text{ in } L^2_{loc}, u_j \to u \text{ a.e.}, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_j \to 0 \text{ in } L^2.$$
 Since

$$1 = \|u_j\|^2_{H^{\alpha}} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_j\|^2_{L^2} + \|u_j\|^2_{L^2}.$$

we have

944

$$1 + o(1) = ||u_j||_{L^2}^2.$$
(2.13)

For any R > 0, we know that $||u_j||_{L^2}^2 = ||u_j||_{L^2(B_R)}^2 + ||u_j||_{L^2(B_R^c)}^2$ and

$$R^{\sigma} \|u_j\|_{L^2(B_R^c)}^2 \leq \||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2(B_R^c)}^2 = o(1).$$

This implies that u = 0 almost everywhere and

$$\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{R}^{c})} \leqslant \circ(1) \left(\frac{1}{R}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$$

By the local compactness imbedding theorem we conclude that $||u_j||_{L^2_{loc}} \to 0$. Then we have $||u_j||^2_{L^2(B_R)} + ||u_j||^2_{L^2(B_R^c)} = o(1)$, which leads to a contradiction with the equality (2.13). This completes the proof.

Taking into account proposition 2.2, we get $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^{q}$ for any $q \in \left[2, \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}\right]$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $N \ge 2$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^{q}$ for any $q \in \left[2, \frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}\right]$.

This result will be useful in the proof of theorem 1.2.

We may prove lemma 1.4 by using the imbedding $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha}$. However, we prefer to give a direct proof below.

Proof. (Proof of lemma 1.4). Recall that

 $\Sigma_{rd} = \{ u \in L^{1+p}(|x|^{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}x); u = \text{radial and measurable in } R^N \},\$

which is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{\Sigma_{rd}} := \left(\int |x|^{\gamma} |u(x)|^{1+p} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}}.$$

We divide the proof into three steps. Take (u_j) a bounded sequence of D_{rd}^{α} and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that u_j converges weakly in D_{rd}^{α} and almost everywhere to zero. We write

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x = \left(\int_{|x| \leqslant \varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon \leqslant |x| \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} + \int_{|x| \geqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \right) |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x := I + II + III.$$

We should only concern $\gamma > 0$. Lemma 2.1 will play an important role below.

Since $|u(x)| \leq |x|^{\alpha - \frac{N}{2}} ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u||$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\gamma + (\alpha - \frac{N}{2})(p+1) + N > 0$, that is,

$$p+1 < \frac{N+\gamma}{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha} = \frac{2N+2\gamma}{N-2\alpha},$$
(2.14)

we have

$$\begin{split} I &= \int_{|x|\leqslant\varepsilon} |x|^{\gamma} |u_{j}|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x|\leqslant\varepsilon} |x|^{\gamma} |x|^{\left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1)} \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{j} \|^{p+1} \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{\gamma + \left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1) + N - 1} \,\mathrm{d}r \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{j} \|^{p+1} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\gamma + \left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1) + N} r^{\gamma + \left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1) + N} |_{0}^{\varepsilon} \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{j} \|^{p+1} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{\gamma + \left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1) + N} \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{j} \|^{p+1} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{\gamma + \left(\alpha - \frac{N}{2}\right)(p+1) + N} \to 0, \end{split}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Step II. We consider the integral in the region $O_{\epsilon} := \{ \varepsilon \leqslant |x| \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \}$. Since $\int_{O_{\epsilon}} |u_j|^2 dx \to 0$ and $|x|^{2\sigma}$ is bounded in O_{ϵ} , we obtain

$$\int_{O_{\epsilon}} |x|^{2\sigma} |u_j|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

Then,

$$II = \int_{\varepsilon \leqslant |x| \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} dx$$

$$= \int (|x|^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha} |u_j|)^{p-1} |x|^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right)} |u_j|^2 dx$$

$$\leqslant C ||u_j||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{p-1} \int |x|^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right)} |u_j|^2 dx$$

$$\leqslant C ||u_j||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{p-1} \varepsilon^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) - 2\sigma} \int |x|^{2\sigma} |u_j|^2 dx$$

$$\leqslant C \varepsilon^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) - 2\sigma} \int |x|^{2\sigma} |u_j|^2 dx \to 0$$

as j tends to infinity.

Step III. We consider the integral in the region $|x| \ge \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

For $\gamma < (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) + 2\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{split} III &= \int |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int \left(|x|^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha} |u_j| \right)^{p-1} |x|^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right)} |u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim c \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_j \|^{p-1} \int |x|^{\gamma - (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right)} |u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim c \| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_j \|^{p-1} \varepsilon^{-\gamma + (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) + 2\sigma} \int |x|^{2\sigma} |u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim c \varepsilon^{-\gamma + (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) + 2\sigma} \int |x|^{2\sigma} |u_j|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim c \varepsilon^{-\gamma + (p-1)\left(\frac{N}{2} - \alpha\right) + 2\sigma} \to 0 \end{split}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0.$ Thus, the proof is completed.

We now give the proof of lemma 1.5.

Proof. By theorem 1.2, for $u \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}}u||_{p+1} &\leq C||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u||^{\frac{A}{p+1}}|||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u||^{\frac{B}{p+1}} \\ &\leq C_1(||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u||^2 + |||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$S(u)|_{\mathcal{M}} = a \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1}$$

= $a \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 dx + \int u^2 dx$
$$\geqslant a \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 dx$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{C_1} a \left(\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\int |x|^{\gamma}|u|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\frac{2}{p+1}} \geqslant \frac{1}{C_1}a,$$

which implies that

$$m_0 \geqslant a\left(\frac{a}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{2}{p+1}}} > 0.$$

г		

946

3. Proof of proposition 1.1

We now prove proposition 1.1 via arguing by contradiction.

Proof. (proposition 1.1). We prove the result by dividing α into two cases: case (1): $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ and case (2): $\alpha \in (1/2, N/2)$.

Case (1): In this case we have

$$\alpha \in (0, 1/2], \ 1$$

If the conclusion of proposition 1.1 is not true, up to a scaling, there exists a sequence $(u_j) \subset D_{rd}^{\alpha}$ such that $||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}}u_j||_{L^{p+1}} = 1$, $||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_j||_{L^2} = 1$, and

$$\||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_j\|_{L^2} \to 0. \tag{3.15}$$

By $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha}$, we may assume that $\|u_j\|_{H^{\alpha}} \leq C$ for some constant C > 0. So for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}} u_j\|_{L^{p+1}(|x| \leq 1/\epsilon)} \to 0$. Let $\lambda = \frac{\frac{2N-\alpha}{N-\alpha}-2p}{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}-(p+1)}$. Let $B^R = \{|x| \geq R\}$ for $R = 1/\epsilon$. Then, applying Cauchy–Schwartz inequality for $u = u_j$ and omitting the integration domain for moment,

$$\begin{split} \||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}}u\|_{L^{p+1}(|x|\ge 1/\epsilon)}^{p+1} &= \int_{B^R} |x|^{\gamma-\frac{\sigma}{2}} |u|^p \cdot |x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} |u| \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{B^R} |x|^{2\gamma-\sigma} |u|^{2p}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B^R} |x|^{\sigma} |u|^2\right)^{1/2} \end{split}$$

Note that $2p = \lambda(p+1) + (1-\lambda)\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}$,

$$\int |x|^{2\gamma-\sigma} |u|^{2p} = \int |x|^{2\gamma-\sigma} |u|^{\lambda(p+1)+(1-\lambda)\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}$$

which is bounded by

$$\left(\int |x|^{(2\gamma-\sigma)\frac{1}{\lambda}}|u|^{p+1}\right)^{\lambda} \left(\int |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\lambda}$$

and further bounded by

$$\left(\int |x|^{\gamma}|u|^{p+1}\right)^{\lambda} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}} \right)^{1-\lambda}.$$

In the last step we have used the assumption that

$$\frac{2\alpha}{(1-p)N+\alpha(p+1)}\gamma\leqslant\sigma,$$

which is equivalent to $(2\gamma - \sigma)\lambda^{-1} \leq \gamma$.

Combining all these together, we get for some uniform constant C > 0,

$$|||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}}u_j||_{L^{p+1}(|x|\ge 1/\epsilon)}^{p+1} \leqslant C\left(\int_{B^R} |x|^{\sigma}|u_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \to 0,$$

which gives a contradiction to the assumption that

$$\||x|^{\frac{\gamma}{p+1}}u_j\|_{L^{p+1}} = 1.$$

Case (2): Again we argue by contradiction and take the sequence (u_j) as above. Note that

$$1 = \int |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} = \int \left(|x|^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha} |u_j| \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N - 2\alpha}} |u_j|^{p+1 - \frac{2\gamma}{N - 2\alpha}}.$$

Let $q + 1 = p + 1 - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}$, By lemma 2.1, we know that

$$1 \leqslant C \int |u_j|^{q+1}.$$

Using the well-known fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (proposition A.3 in [35]) that

$$||u_j||_{q+1} \leq C ||u_j||_2^{\theta_0} ||u_j||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{1-\theta_0},$$

where $\theta_0 = \frac{N}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right)$, we get

$$\|u_j\|_{L^2} \ge c > 0.$$

That is,

$$\|u_j\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon})} + \|u_j\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon}^c)} \ge c > 0.$$
(3.16)

However,

$$\int_{B_{\varepsilon}^{c}} |u_{j}(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant \varepsilon^{-\sigma} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}^{c}} |x|^{\sigma} |u_{j}(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant \varepsilon^{-\sigma} \int_{R^{N}} |x|^{\sigma} |u_{j}(x)|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \to 0.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small, $\|u_j\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon})} \to \|u\|_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon})} = o(\varepsilon)$. Then

$$||u_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to ||u||_{L^2(B_{\varepsilon})} = \circ(\varepsilon),$$

which lead to a contradiction with (3.16) that $||u_j||_{L^2} \ge c$.

4. Proof of theorem 1.2

The proofs of theorem 1.2 in the two groups of assumptions are almost the same. So we present the full proof only in case $\alpha \in (1/2, N/2)$ (but in case (1), we need to use the compactness result theorem II.1 of [23]). We divide the proof into three parts.

A. Proof of the interpolation inequality (1.4):

948

First, using lemma 2.1, we get

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u(x)|^{1+p} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int (|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha} |u(x)|)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} |u(x)|^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \int |u(x)|^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}.$$

Now, thanks to lemma 1.1, it yields

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u(x)|^{1+p} \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \|u\|_{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} (\|u\|^{1-\theta}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\theta})^{1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \\ \lesssim \|u\|^{(1-\theta)\left(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}\right)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{\theta\left(1+p-\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}\right)+\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}$$

The proof of (1.4) is complete.

B. Proof of the equation (1.6): Recall that $\beta = \frac{1}{C(N,p,\gamma,\alpha)}$ and

$$J(u) = \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|^B \||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u\|^A}{\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{1+p} \,\mathrm{d}x}$$

on D_{rd}^{α} , where

$$A := (p+1)\theta,$$

$$B := (p+1)(1-\theta),$$

where $\theta = \frac{N + \sigma - \frac{2N + 2\gamma}{p+1}}{2\alpha + \sigma}$. Using the definition of β in (1.5), there exists a sequence (u_j) in D_{rd}^{α} such that $J(u_j) \to \beta$. Denoting for $a_j, b_j > 0$ such that

$$||u_{j_{a_jb_j}}|| = 1$$
 and $|||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{j_{a_jb_j}}|| = 1.$

Let $v_j = u_{j_{a_j b_j}}$, $J(u_j) = J(v_j)$ and $||v_j||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}} = 1$, $|||x||^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} v_j|| = 1$. It follows that

$$J(v_j) = \frac{1}{\int |x|^{\gamma} |v_j|^{p+1}} \to \beta.$$

Then we may assume that $v_j \to v$ a.e., $v_j \rightharpoonup v$ in D_{rd}^{α} . Since the injection $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(|x|^{\gamma} dx)$ is compact (by lemma 1.4), we obtain

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |v_j|^{p+1} \to \int |x|^{\gamma} |v|^{p+1},$$

which means that

 $J(v_i) \to J(v).$

Hence

$$J(v) = \beta.$$

The minimizer $\psi := v$ satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}J(\psi+\varepsilon\eta)|_{\varepsilon=0}=0,\quad\forall\eta\in C_0^\infty\cap D_{rd}^\alpha.$$

Since

$$\ln J(\psi) = \frac{B}{2} \ln \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \psi\|^2 + \frac{A}{2} \ln \||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi\|^2 - \ln \int |x|^{\gamma} \psi^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

we have from

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}J(\psi+\varepsilon\eta)|_{\varepsilon=0}=0,$$

that for any $\eta \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}$,

$$B\frac{\int (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\psi(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\eta \,\mathrm{d}x}{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\psi\|^2} + A\frac{\int |x|^{\sigma}\psi\eta \,\mathrm{d}x}{\||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\psi\|^2} - (p+1)\frac{\int |x|^{\gamma}\psi^p\eta \,\mathrm{d}x}{\int |x|^{\gamma}\psi^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x} = 0.$$

That is, ψ satisfies

$$\frac{B}{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\psi\|^2}(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\psi + \frac{A}{\||x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\psi\|^2}|x|^{\sigma}\psi - \frac{p+1}{\int |x|^{\gamma}\psi^{p+1}\,\mathrm{d}x}|x|^{\gamma}\psi^p = 0$$

in the weak sense, i.e., ψ satisfies (1.6) in the weak sense.

C. Proof of the equation (1.7):

We use the scaling property of the functional J. By the fact that

$$B(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\psi + A|x|^{\sigma}\psi - \beta(p+1)|x|^{\gamma}|\psi|^{p-1}\psi = 0,$$

letting

$$b = \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$$
 and $a = \left(\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2\alpha}} \frac{A}{\beta(1+p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$

and $\psi = \phi^{a,b} := a\phi(bx)$, we have

$$Aa\left(\frac{A}{B}b^{2\alpha}(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi - \frac{A}{B}(p+1)a^{p-1}b^{-\gamma}|x|^{\gamma}\phi|\phi|^{p-1}\right) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi - |x|^{\gamma}\phi|\phi|^{p-1} = 0.$$

Since

$$\|\psi\| = 1 = ab^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|\phi\|,$$

we get

$$\beta = \frac{A}{1+p} (\frac{A}{B})^{-\frac{B}{2}} \|\phi\|^{p-1},$$

which is the desired equation (1.7). The proof is complete.

5. Proof of theorem 1.3

The plan of proving theorem 1.3 is to use the Nehari method (see [10, 25, 26]). We denote by $X := D_{rd}^{\alpha}$.

Proof. We now consider the existence of the ground state ϕ , that is, it is the positive solution with minimal energy to

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}\phi + |x|^{\sigma}\phi - |x|^{\gamma}|\phi|^{p-1}\phi = 0, \quad 0 \neq \phi \in X.$$

For this, we let

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + |x|^{\sigma} u^2) \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} u^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad u \in X.$$

Note that

$$K'(u)\varphi = \int \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \varphi + u\varphi \right) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p-1} u\varphi \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

We define the Nehari functional

$$N(u) = K'(u)u = \int \left(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}u|^2 + |x|^{\sigma}u^2 \right) - \int |x|^{\gamma}|u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

We define the Nehari manifold by

$$\mathcal{N}_{rd} := \{ u \in X; \ u \neq 0, \ N(u) = 0 \}$$

Define

$$d = \inf\{K(u), \ u = 0, \ u \in \mathcal{N}_{rd}\}$$

the depth of the potential well.

Claim 1: d > 0.

For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{rd}$, via a use of proposition 1.1 (2) we have

$$K(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \|u\|_X^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} u^{p+1}$$
$$\lesssim \||x|^{\sigma/2} u\|_2^A \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|_2^B$$
$$\lesssim \|u\|_X^{A+B}.$$

Since A + B > 2, we obtain $||u||_X \ge c > 0$ for some uniform constant c > 0. It follows that for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{rd}$,

$$K(u) \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)c^2 > 0,$$

which implies that

$$d \geqslant \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)c^2 > 0.$$

Claim 2: There exists $u \in \mathcal{N}_{rd}$, such that d = K(u).

To prove this, we may take a minimizing sequence $(u_j) \subset \mathcal{N}_{rd}, K(u_j) \to d$. By this we may assume $||u_j||_X \leq c$ for some uniform constant c > 0. Then using lemma 1.4, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_j) with the weak limit $u \in X$ such that $u_j \to u$ a.e. and $u_j \to u$ in $L^{p+1}(|x|^{\gamma} dx)$.

On one hand,

$$\underline{\lim}_{j} K(u_{j}) = \underline{\lim}_{j} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \|u_{j}\|_{X}^{2} \ge K(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \|u\|_{X}^{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$K(u_j) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x \to \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

According to $K(u_j) \to d$, we get

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{-1} d > 0.$$

Then $u \neq 0$ and $N(u) \leq 0$.

If N(u) < 0 and

$$N(tu) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}}^2 - \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int |u|^{p+1} > 0$$

for t > 0 small, then we have $N(t_c u) = 0$ for some $t_c \in (0, 1)$. Then $t_c u \in \mathcal{N}_{rd}$ and $K(t_c u) \ge d$. However, by direct computation, we have

$$K(t_c u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) t_c^{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} u^{p+1} < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} u^{p+1} = K(u) = d.$$

It is absurd. Then N(u) = 0 and K(u) = d, which implies that u is a minimizer of K on \mathcal{N}_{rd} . Then we have J'(u) = 0 in the sense that for any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap D_{rd}^{\alpha}$,

$$J'(u)\varphi = \int \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \varphi + u\varphi \right) \mathrm{d}x - \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p-1} u\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

This implies that u is a nontrivial ground state as desired.

Using the argument we may also get similar conclusion for the case when $\alpha \in (0, 1/2], 1 , and <math>\frac{2\alpha}{(1-p)N+\alpha(p+1)}\gamma \leq \sigma$.

6. Proof of theorem 1.6

The argument in the proof of proposition 1.1 can be used to prove the following interesting interpolation result.

LEMMA 6.1. Let $\alpha \in (1/2, N/2)$. There is a uniform constant C such that for any $u \in H_{rd}^{\alpha}$,

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \leqslant C ||u||_{2}^{A_{1}} ||u||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{B_{1}}$$

where $A_1 = \theta_0(q+1)$, $B_1 = (1-\theta_0)(q+1) + \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}$, $q+1 = p+1 - \frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}$ and $\theta_0 = \frac{N}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $u \in H^{\alpha}_{rd}$. Note that

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} = \int \left(|x|^{\frac{N}{2} - \alpha} |u| \right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N - 2\alpha}} |u|^{q+1}$$

By lemma 2.1, we know that for $x \neq 0$,

$$\left(|x|^{\frac{N}{2}-\alpha}|u|\right)^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \leqslant C(N,\alpha) \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}$$

Then we have

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u_j|^{p+1} \leqslant C(N,\alpha) \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\|^{\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}} \int |u|^{q+1}.$$

Using the well-known fractional Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (proposition A.3 in [35]) that

$$\|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \leq C \|u\|_{2}^{\theta_{0}(q+1)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{(1-\theta_{0})(q+1)}$$

for any $u \in H^{\alpha}$, where $\theta_0 = \frac{N}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q+1} \right)$. Then we have

$$\int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \leqslant C ||u||_{2}^{\theta_{0}(q+1)} ||u||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{(1-\theta_{0})(q+1)+\frac{2\gamma}{N-2\alpha}}.$$

This completes the proof.

This result improves the power $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in theorem 2.1 (1) [33] to $\alpha \in (1, \frac{N}{2})$. The proof of theorem 1.6 is now given below.

Proof. To consider the existence of the ground state ϕ , we take a minimizing sequence $(u_i) \in M, u_i \neq 0, S(u_i) \rightarrow m_0$, and we may assume

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u_i|^{p+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_i|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int ||x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_i|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \int u_i^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \\ \leqslant m_0 + 1.$$

This implies that $(u_i) \subset D_{rd}^{\alpha}$ is bounded. From the compact imbedding theorem, $D_{rd}^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$. We may choose a sequence (u_i) such that $u_i \to u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$ weakly and

almost everywhere, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u_i|^{p+1} \to \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1}.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant m_0,$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} = m_0.$$

By this, we have $u \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$, $u \neq 0$. In the following, we prove $u \in M$, and then $S(u) = m_0$, that is, u is the standing wave by the convergence of (u_i) . First, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

that is, $Q(u) \leqslant 0$. If Q(u) < 0, then for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$Q(\lambda u) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left[\int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right] - \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \lambda^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \int |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int ||x|^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int u^2 \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\lambda^{p-1}}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x \right].$$

By this, for $\lambda > 0$ small, $Q(\lambda u) > 0$. Using the intermediate value theorem, we have $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1), \ Q(\lambda_0 u) = 0, \ i.e. \ \lambda_0 u \in M$, it implies $S(\lambda_0 u) \ge m_0$. Note that

$$m_0 \leqslant S(\lambda_0 u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |\lambda_0 u|^{p+1} dx$$
$$= \lambda_0^{p+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int |x|^{\gamma} |u|^{p+1} dx$$
$$= m_0 \lambda_0^{p+1} < m_0,$$

a contradiction. Then Q(u) = 0, *i.e.* $u \in M$.

Next, we prove the stability of standing wave and the idea is similar to [4] (see also [33]). Suppose there exists a sequence $(u_n^0) \in D_{rd}^{\alpha}$ such that for positive real numbers (t_n) and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, where for some $T^* \in (0, \infty]$, $u_n \in C([0, T^*), D_{rd}^{\alpha})$ is the

https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2022.26 Published online by Cambridge University Press

solution to (1.1) when taking $n \to \infty$,

$$||u_n - e^{it_n}\phi||_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}} \to 0, \quad \inf_{\theta \in R} ||u_n(t_n) - e^{i\theta}\phi||_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}} > \varepsilon.$$
(6.17)

Denote $\phi_n := u_n(t_n)$. Since ϕ is a ground state to (1.1), we have $S(\phi) = m_0, ||\phi|| = q > 0$. Then

$$S(u_n) = m_0 \text{ and } ||u_n|| \to q.$$

By theorem 1.2, $\int |x|^{\gamma} |u_n - \phi|^{p+1} dx \leq ||u_n - \phi||^{p+1}_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}} \to 0$, and using the mass conservation, $||u_n(t_n)|| = ||u_n^0|| \to ||\phi||$. By (6.17) and proposition 2.2, we have

$$||\phi_n|| \to q \text{ and } S(\phi_n) \to m_0.$$

If $\phi_n \to \phi \in D^{\alpha}_{rd}$, then

$$\varepsilon_0 < \inf ||\phi_n - e^{i\theta}\phi||_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}} \le ||\phi_n - \phi|| \to 0$$

which is a contradiction. Then we need only to prove that (ϕ_n) is relatively compact in D_{rd}^{α} such that

$$||\phi_n|| \to q \text{ and } S(\phi_n) \to m_0.$$

The latter is

$$S(\phi_n) = \frac{1}{2} ||\phi_n||_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||\phi_n||^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} |\phi_n|^{p+1} \,\mathrm{d}x \to m_0.$$
(6.18)

For large n, and $\varepsilon > 0$, using lemma 6.1 and proposition 2.2,

$$\begin{split} m_0 + \varepsilon \ge S(\phi_n) \ge \frac{1}{2} ||\phi_n||_{D^{\alpha}_{rd}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||\phi_n||_2^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int |x|^{\gamma} |\phi_n|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \ge \frac{c}{2} ||\phi_n||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^2 - C ||\phi_n||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{B_1} ||\phi_n||^{A_1} \\ \ge \frac{c}{2} ||\phi_n||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^2 \left(1 - \frac{C}{c} ||\phi_n||_{\dot{H}^{\alpha}}^{B_1-2} ||\phi_n||^{A_1}\right). \end{split}$$

Since $B_1 < 2$, it follows that ϕ_n is bounded in \dot{H}^{α} . This then implies that the term $\int |x|^{\gamma} |\phi_n|^{p+1} dx$ is bounded. Going back to (6.18), we then know that ϕ_n is bounded in D_{rd}^{α} . This completes the proof.

We remark that in [27] the authors have proved existence results about ground states of related nonlinear problems with drifting term and the existence of related principal eigen-functions. We believe that related results there may be true for the fractional cases.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the unknown referee for useful suggestions, especially for pointing out the reference [**31**]. The research of Li Ma is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11771124 and a research grant from USTB, China.

References

- 1 R. A. Adams. *Sobolev spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 65 (New York-London: Academic Press (A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers), 1975).
- 2 W. W. Ao, H. Chan, A. Delatorre, M. Fontelos, M. Gonzalez and J. C. Wei. ODE methods in non-local equations. J. Math. Study 53 (2020), 370–401. A special volume for Prof. A. Chang's 70th birthday.
- J. Byeon and Z.-Q. Wang. On the Hénon equation: asymptotic profile of ground states, II. J. Differ. Equ. 216 (2005), 78–108.
- 4 T. Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 10 (New York: New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2003).
- 5 J. Chen and B. Guo. Sharp constant of an improved Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and its application. *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **190** (2011), 341–354.
- 6 W. Chen, Y. Li and P. Ma. *The fractional Laplacian* (Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, 2020). p. 35R11.
- 7 M. Chhetri and P. Girg. Some bifurcation results for fractional Laplacian problems. Nonlinear Anal. 191 (2020), 111642.
- 8 Y. Cho and T. Ozawa. Sobolev inequalities with symmetry. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **11** (2009), 355–365.
- 9 Y. Cho, G. Hwang, S. Kwon and S. Lee. On the finite time blowup for mass-critical Hartree equations. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A Math.* **145** (2015), 467–479.
- 10 D. G. Costa. An invitation to variational methods in differential equations (Boston: Birkhauser, 2007).
- E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012), 521–573.
- 12 B. Dyda, L. Ihnatsyeva and A. V. Vähäkangas. On improved fractional Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities. Ark. Mat. 54 (2016), 437–454.
- 13 R. L. Frank and R. Seiringer. Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), 3407–3430.
- 14 R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre. Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 69 (2016), 1671–1726.
- 15 H. Hajaiej, G. Molica Bisci and L. Vilasi. Existence results for a critical fractional equation. Asymptot. Anal. 100 (2016), 209–225.
- 16 H. Hajaiej, L. Molinet, T. Ozawa and B. Wang. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier-Stokes and generalized boson equations. In *Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS) vol. B26, pp. 159–175 (Kyoto: RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, 2011).
- 17 H. Hezzi, A. Marzouk and T. Saanouni. A note on the inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation with mixed power nonlinearity. *Commun. Math. Anal.* 18 (2015), 34–53.
- 18 N. Laskin. Fractional quantum mechanics (Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2018).
- 19 S. Le Coz. A note on Berestycki-Cazenave's classical instability result for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 8 (2008), 455–463.
- 20 J. J. Li and L. Ma. Extremals to new Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and ground states. Appl. Math. Lett. 120 (2021), 107266.
- 21 Y. Li, Z.-Q. Wang and J. Zeng. Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 23 (2006), 829–837.
- 22 P.-L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 1 (1985), 45–121.
- 23 P.-L. Lions. Symetrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev. J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1982), 315–334.
- 24 H. Luo and Z. Zhang. Normalized solutions to the fractional Schrödinger equations with combined nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 59 (2020), 143.
- 25 L. Ma. On nonlocal nonlinear elliptic problems with the fractional Laplacian. Glasgow Math. J. 62 (2020), 75–84.

- 26 L. Ma. On nonlocal Hénon type problems with the fractional Laplacian. Nonlinear Anal. 203 (2021), 112190.
- 27 L. Ma and K. Zhang. Ground states of a nonlinear drifting Schrödinger equation. Appl. Math. Lett. 105 (2020), 106324.
- 28 A. Mallick. Extremals for fractional order Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 58 (2019), 45.
- 29 V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen. Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponent. Commun. Contemp. Math. 17 (2015), 1550005.
- 30 R. Musina and A. I. Nazarov. Fractional Hardy-Sobolev inequalities on half spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 178 (2019), 32–40.
- 31 H. M. Nguyen and M. Squassina. Fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), 2661–2672.
- 32 S. Paul and S. Santra. On the ground state solution of a fractional Schrödinger equation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 147 (2019), 5275–5290.
- 33 T. Saanouni. Remarks on the inhomogeneous fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), 081503.
- 34 D. Smets, J. Su and M. Willem. Non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation. Commun. Contemp. Math. 4 (2002), 467–480.
- 35 T. Tao. Nonlinear dispersive equations, local and global analysis. In CBMS, Regional Conference Series in Math. Number 106 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2006).
- 36 W. A. Strauss. Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. Commun. Math. Phys. 55 (1977), 149–162.
- 37 J. Zhang. Stability of attractive Bose-Einstein condensate. J. Stat. Phys. 101 (2000), 731–746.