

## NON-UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION TO A GENERALIZED DIRICHLET PROBLEM

BY  
E. L. KOH

It is generally known [1] that the singular partial differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2\nu}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad \nu < -\frac{1}{2}$$

may not have a unique solution because of the existence of nontrivial representations of zero. This situation arises even more remarkably (e.g.  $\nu$  need not be  $< -\frac{1}{2}$ ) when the boundary conditions are distributional in nature, i.e.  $v(r, z)$  converges in some generalized sense to certain Schwartz distributions at the boundaries. In this note we give an example of a Dirichlet problem with distributional conditions whose solution is not unique.

The following problem was solved in [2]:

Find a function  $v(r, z)$  on the domain  $0 < r < \infty, 0 < z < \infty$ , that satisfies Laplace's equation

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

and the boundary conditions:

- (a) as  $z \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $v(r, z)$  converges in some generalized sense to the distribution  $f(r)$  whose support is a compact subset of  $0 < r < \infty$ .
- (b) as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $v(r, z)$  converges to zero uniformly on  $0 < r < \infty$ .
- (c) as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $v(r, z)$  converges to zero for every  $z > 0$ .
- (d) as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $v(r, z)$  remains finite.

To show non-uniqueness of the solution to the above problem, we replace condition (a) by

$$(a') \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0^+, v(r, z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly on } 0 < r < \infty,$$

and find a nontrivial solution  $v_h(r, z)$  to the resulting problem. Thus by the principle of superposition, some multiple of  $v_h(r, z)$  added to the solution in [2] will yield another solution.

As in [2], we set  $u(r, z) = (r)^{1/2} v(r, z)$  in (2) and apply the zero-order Hankel transformation with respect to  $r$ . The Hankel transform of  $u(r, z)$  so obtained can now be inverted by an appeal to the Lipschitz-Hankel integral [3, p. 9]. Thus,

it is easily shown that a solution to the problem with condition (a') is given by

$$(3) \quad v_h(r, z) = \frac{n!}{(z^2 + r^2)^{(n+1)/2}} P_n \left[ \frac{z}{(z^2 + r^2)^{1/2}} \right], \quad n = \text{odd integer}$$

where  $P_n(x)$  is the Legendre polynomial of  $x$  of degree  $n$ .

It might be mentioned in passing that equation (3) represents the potential on the  $(r, z)$  plane due to a multipole located at the origin. The special case of  $n=1$  gives the potential due to a dipole:

$$(4) \quad v_h(r, z) = \frac{z}{(z^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} \quad (\text{see [4, p. 302]}).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. David Colton, *Applications of a Class of Singular partial Differential Equations to Gegenbauer Series which converge to zero*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. Vol. 1 No. 1 (1970), pp. 90–95.
2. A. H. Zemanian, *A distributional Hankel transformation*, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **14** (1966), pp. 561–576.
3. A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Table of integral transforms*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
4. N. S. Koshlyakov, M. M. Smirnow, and E. B. Gliner, *Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN  
 REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN  
 CANADA