Laryngology & Otology

cambridge.org/jlo

Main Article

Dr A Al Omari takes responsibility for the integrity of the content of the paper

Cite this article: Al Omari A, Atallah I, Castellanos PF. Partial arytenoidectomy with transoral vocal fold lateralisation in treating airway obstruction secondary to bilateral vocal fold immobility. *J Laryngol Otol* 2023;**137**: 997–1002. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S002221512100390X

Accepted: 14 April 2021 First published online: 26 November 2021

Key words:

Larynx; Airway Obstruction; Arytenoid Cartilage; Laser Surgery; Vocal Cords; Stridor

Author for correspondence:

Dr Ahmad Al Omari, Department of Special Surgery, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, PO Box: 3030, Jordan E-mail: ahmedjoo79@gmail.com

Partial arytenoidectomy with transoral vocal fold lateralisation in treating airway obstruction secondary to bilateral vocal fold immobility

A Al Omari¹, I Atallah² and Paul F Castellanos³

¹Department of Special Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan, ²Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery Department, Grenoble Alpes University Hospital, France and ³Mercy Health – St Rita's Ear, Nose and Throat, Lima, Ohio, USA

Abstract

Objective. To report the outcome of 18 patients with a tracheostomy secondary to bilateral vocal fold immobility, who were managed using reconstructive transoral laser microsurgical techniques.

Methods. A retrospective review was conducted of the surgical outcome of 18 patients with bilateral vocal fold immobility and a tracheostomy resulting from different aetiologies. Follow-up duration ranged from one to five years.

Results. A total of 18 patients had a tracheostomy at presentation because of bilateral true vocal fold immobility and stridor. All cases were treated using reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery with arytenoidectomy and vocal fold lateralisation. All patients were successfully decannulated by eight weeks after surgery.

Conclusion. Reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery using partial arytenoidectomy with vocal fold lateralisation is minimally invasive, feasible, safe and effective for airway reconstruction in patients who present with stridor due to bilateral true vocal fold immobility.

Introduction

Bilateral vocal fold immobility results in airway obstruction. It has multiple causes, including bilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis, bilateral cricoarytenoid joint ankylosis and posterior glottic stenosis.¹ Airway obstruction can also be caused by laryngeal synkinesis – an aberrant reinnervation of one vocal fold – that occurs following recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis and which results in excessive adduction.² In all of these cases, phonation is usually not severely impaired and may even be normal; however, respiration is often impaired by the narrow airway. The inability to recover the normal function of at least one of the vocal folds, or the lack of an adequate glottic aperture, with persistent airway symptoms, are indications for surgical treatment.

There are multiple surgical options to treat vocal fold immobility. Surgical treatment was originally described by Chevalier Jackson, who used cordectomy through a laryngo-fissure to open the airway. Others have described: arytenoidectomy, through the thyroid alar window;³ arytenoid abduction with lateralisation sutures;⁴ and the use of a carbon dioxide (CO₂) laser technique for a transoral cordectomy, with⁵ or without⁶ transoral arytenoidectomy. However, all of these surgical procedures reduce airway obstruction at the expense of phonation.

This study aimed to demonstrate the efficacy of a novel technique – endoscopic partial arytenoidectomy with true vocal fold lateralisation – in the treatment of bilateral vocal fold immobility.

Materials and methods

Patient selection and evaluation

The charts of 18 patients (10 women and 8 men), with a mean age of 57.1 years (range, 23–73 years), were retrospectively reviewed at tertiary referral centres. Post-operative follow-up duration ranged from one to five years. All patients had been treated by a tracheostomy because of bilateral vocal fold immobility, and decannulation had failed prior to being referred to our centres. Aetiologies of the bilateral vocal fold immobility included post-thyroidectomy complications, neck radiotherapy and cerebrovascular accident (Table 1).

Pre-operatively, all patients underwent transnasal and trans-stomal endoscopy, with retrograde examination of the vocal folds and the subglottis using flexible laryngo-tracheoscopy, under local anaesthesia, to confirm the diagnosis of bilateral true vocal fold immobility, and to identify any associated tracheal or subglottic obstructive lesion(s).

Each patient's voice was evaluated using the Voice Handicap Index before and after the surgery. The pre-operative Voice Handicap Index average score was 30.8 (range, 26–36) (Table 1). Patients completed the Voice Handicap Index based on their initial obstructive

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of J.L.O. (1984) LIMITED.

					VHI score	
Pt no.	Age (years)	Gender	Pre-op tracheostomy?	Cause of bilateral vocal fold paralysis	Pre-op	Post-op
1	23	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	31	18
2	70	М	Yes	Rheumatoid arthritis	33	20
3	52	М	Yes	Thyroid surgery	28	16
4	60	М	Yes	CVA	34	17
5	49	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	29	15
6	55	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	34	14
7	67	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	34	17
8	65	М	Yes	Thyroid surgery	28	14
9	68	М	Yes	Haemorrhagic CVA	30	16
10	48	М	Yes	Neck trauma	34	14
11	38	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	27	13
12	46	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	28	12
13	59	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	30	14
14	57	М	Yes	Thyroid surgery	32	15
15	62	F	Yes	Thyroid surgery	33	16
16	48	М	Yes	Idiopathic	36	14
17	55	F	Yes	Post radiotherapy	28	12
18	73	F	Yes	Post radiotherapy	26	13

Table 1. Bilateral vocal fold immobility aetiologies and Voice Handicap Index scores

Pt. no. = patient number; pre-op = pre-operative; VHI = Voice Handicap Index; post-op = post-operative; F = female; M = male; CVA = cerebrovascular accident

symptoms (before tracheostomy insertion) and weeks after the lateralisation surgery (after tracheostomy removal).

Surgical technique

Here, we describe the endoscopic arytenoidectomy technique. The reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery procedure was performed under general anaesthesia. Ventilation was maintained through the trans-stomal placement of an appropriate cuffed endotracheal tube.

A Lindholm laryngoscope (model 8587A; Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) was used for the suspension microlaryngoscopy (using a dental guard for protection), providing a wide aperture for the bimanual manipulation of instruments and tissues. The larynx was then examined with a 30° Hopkins rod telescope (model 49046 BA; Karl Storz). The mobility of the cricoarytenoid joint was tested using a laryngeal spatula. Associated unilateral or bilateral arytenoid fibrosis and/or cricoarytenoid ankylosis was also assessed for planned additional treatment. The same surgical approach was used for all cases in this series, with the addition of mobilisation of cricoarytenoid joints that were found ankylosed and/or fibrosed.

An operating microscope, with a microspot CO_2 laser (1.2 mm diameter) and a digital AccuBlade[®] robotic joystick controller (with a 0.1 second pattern delay), was used to incise the epithelium overlying the arytenoid tower cartilage. A sigmoid incision was made over these structures and took the medial incision through to the subglottis. The incision effectively severed the medial face of the arytenoid body in preparation for the creation of an advancement flap, leaving it attached to the medial muccosa, the vocal process of the arytenoid and the thyroarytenoid muscle. We flanked this incision into the interarytenoid muccosa, posteriorly, and into the deep tissues of the piriform sinus, laterally, circumferentially

isolating the central arytenoid, in preparation for its removal. We then tipped the central arytenoid medially and incised its deep attachments that are frequently fibrosed to the cricoid cartilage, consistent with the clinical impression as described above. This is similar to a type IV central arytenoidectomy surgical technique (previously described by Atallah *et al.*⁷) used to make room for a wider airway.

After we removed the central arytenoid, we used topical adrenaline on cottonoids or low-wattage suction cautery for haemostasis, as needed (Figures 1 and 2).

We then mobilised the medial face of the residual arytenoid body and vocal process by making anterior incisions through these soft tissues and drawing this structure posterolaterally. Once it was sufficiently loose, we considered the flap prepared for lateralisation and reattachment to the lateral residual arytenoid (its muscular process).

We irrigated the wound with copious amounts of sterile saline and then turned our attention to attaching this advancement flap posterolaterally (Figure 3).

Using size 4-0 Prolene sutures on a P3 cutting needle, we passed first through the residual muscular process, and then out into the airway lumen underneath the vocal process. The needle was then passed back to the wound above the vocal process, through the cartilaginous residual medial face of the arytenoid, and then back through the deep soft tissues again to broadly draw the arytenoid posterolaterally. This suturing method enabled us to lateralise the patient's glottis and prevent drifting of the fold medially (Figure 4). The suture ends were brought to the outside of the laryngoscope and clipped with titanium clips (Figure 5). The excess suture was cut by a 1.5 mm laser line with a 0.1 second delay.

We closed the superficial wound, incorporating the interarytenoid mucosa with the superior edge of the residual cartilage face in a figure-of-eight configuration. We clipped all sutures







Fig. 2. (a & b) The central arytenoid is circumferentially isolated and removed.

Fig. 3. After removal of the central arytenoid, the wound is irrigated and the flap is made ready for posterolateral attachment.

All patients were treated with the reconstructive transoral laser microsurgical procedure described above, via a suspension laryngoscopy approach, under general anaesthesia. Endoscopic resection of obstructive tracheal granulation tissue with a laser was also performed in six patients, with or without local corticosteroid injection. Tracheal balloon dilatation was performed in two patients. Two patients needed a secondstage surgery for a minor revision. There were no postoperative local complications related to surgery.



(b)



Fig. 1. (a & b) Sigmoid incision of epithelium overlying the arytenoid tower cartilage. The medial incision is extended to the subglottis for creation of an advancement flap.

in the manner of Atallah *et al.*,⁸ laser lysing the excess suture in order to fully close the wound (Figure 6).

Post-operative care

Post-operatively, we admitted the patients for 2–4 days for airway observation. They were given a prophylactic broadspectrum intravenous antibiotic. They also received respiratory care, including nebulised 3 per cent sodium chloride to help clear airway secretions. After discharge, the patients were followed up in the out-patient clinic at two and six weeks post-operatively, where we repeated the fibrotic examination to confirm that the lateralised vocal fold was in an optimal position with a reasonable glottis gap, as shown in Figure 7. Patients were readmitted once they were ready for decannulation, and placed on close observation during tracheostomy removal.

Results

Eighteen patients were included in the study, including 10 women and 8 men. Their mean age was 57.1 years, ranging from 23 to 73 years. All patients were tracheostomy dependent – decannulation had failed prior to them being referred to our care. The most common aetiology of bilateral true vocal fold immobility was thyroidectomy (61.11 per cent).

A Al Omari, I Atallah, Paul F Castellanos

(a)







Fig. 4. (a & b) A size 4-0 Prolene suture is passed through the residual muscular process into the airway lumen, underneath the vocal process, back to the wound above the vocal process and then back through the deep soft tissues.

All patients with a tracheostomy were successfully decannulated. This was accomplished either after the initial or the revision procedures (range, 2–8 weeks). In all patients, the transoral partial arytenoidectomy with true vocal fold lateralisation showed complete healing by 8–12 weeks, with no residual clips (on the transoral sutures) on out-patient laryngeal examination.

The voice outcome after the surgery was evaluated using the Voice Handicap Index. The average score was 15 (range, 12–20), indicating a significant improvement in patients' voice outcome (p < 0.001).

Discussion

Bilateral vocal fold immobility is a rare condition that can be due to vocal fold paralysis or cricoarytenoid joint fixation. It is frequently associated with significant morbidity and disability, most significantly tracheostomy dependence. Varying degrees of dyspnoea, dysphonia and dysphagia occur, depending on the underlying aetiology, vocal fold position and compensatory behaviour(s).^{9–11} Thyroid surgery remains the most common cause of bilateral vocal fold immobility.¹²

Oral or nasal endotracheal tubes, or tracheostomy tubes, are most commonly used to deliver mechanical ventilatory support in respiratory failure.¹³ Tracheostomy is known to have deleterious effects on voice and swallowing, and vastly diminishes quality of life.^{14–16} There is also a risk of airway stenosis, as tracheostomy can cause laryngeal stenosis and/or tracheal





Fig. 5. (a & b) The suture ends are brought to the outside of the laryngoscope then clipped with titanium clips.



Fig. 6. The wound is superficially closed with a figure-of-eight configuration and all sutures are clipped.

stenosis.¹⁷ However, tracheostomy may be required if uncompensated bilateral vocal fold immobility is not adequately addressed.

- Bilateral vocal fold immobility is a rare condition caused by vocal fold paralysis or cricoarytenoid joint fixation
- Bilateral vocal fold immobility is frequently associated with significant morbidity and disability
- Reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery is a minimally invasive, safe and effective treatment option
- The use of an endoscopic (rather than a laryngofissure) approach in bilateral vocal fold immobility reduces iatrogenic harm to the larynx and trachea



Fig. 7. (a-d) A laryngeal examination conducted six weeks after surgery showed the position of the lateralised vocal fold with a reasonable glottis gap.

There are a number of surgical techniques described in the literature designed to address bilateral vocal fold immobility. The endoscopic reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery based partial arytenoidectomy with true vocal fold lateralisation described in this paper is a minimally invasive, safe, effective and reproducible technique.

A decannulation rate of 100 per cent was obtained in tracheostomy dependent patients, with a small percentage of patients requiring one or two additional minor procedures.

Although endoscopic suturing can be challenging, it is facilitated by the use of vascular clips to secure the stitches in a way that is much simpler, more reliable and timesaving than endoscopic knot tying.⁸ It is important to stress that the titanium ligation clips present no clinical danger whatsoever. In fact, the use of haemostatic clips in transoral laryngeal surgery has already been reported in the literature in transoral laser cancer resection techniques, to control bleeding from medium to larger size vessels.^{18,19} The titanium clips used are very small, light and biocompatible. Most of the clips are swallowed or coughed out during the early post-operative period.

Conclusion

Reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery based partial arytenoidectomy with true vocal fold lateralisation is a safe, effective and well-tolerated choice for airway reconstruction in those with airway obstruction due to bilateral true vocal fold immobility. It requires the combined techniques of careful laser-based partial arytenoidectomy and lateralisation, facilitated by the use of vascular clips to secure the stitches in a simple and reliable way. The use of an endoscopic approach rather than a laryngofissure approach reduces iatrogenic harm to the larynx and trachea. Additionally, it can be performed by laryngologists familiar with laryngeal microsurgery using commonly available laryngeal instruments and a scanning CO_2 laser.

Competing interests. None declared

References

 Hillel AD, Benninger M, Blitzer A, Crumley R, Flint P, Kashima HK et al. Evaluation and management of bilateral vocal cord immobility. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1999;121:760–5

- 2 Crumley RL. Laryngeal synkinesis revisited. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2000;109:365-71
- 3 Jackson C. Ventriculocordectomy: a new operation for the cure of goitrous glottic stenosis. *Arch Surg* 1922;4:257–74
- 4 Kelly J. Surgical treatment of bilateral paralysis of the abductor muscles. Arch Otolaryngol 1941;**33**:293–304
- 5 Woodman D. A modification of the extralaryngeal approach to arytenoidectomy for bilateral abductor paralysis. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1946; **43**:63–5
- 6 Ossoff R, Sisson GA, Duncavage JA, Moselle H, Andrews PE, McMillan WG. Endoscopic laser arytenoidectomy for the treatment of bilateral vocal cord paralysis. *Laryngoscope* 1984;94:1293–7
- 7 Atallah I, Mk M, Al Omari A, Righini CA, Castellanos PF. Cricoarytenoid joint ankylosis: classification and transoral laser microsurgical treatment. J Voice 2019;33:375–80
- 8 Atallah I, Manjunath MK, Omari AA, Righini CA, Castellanos PF. Reconstructive transoral laser microsurgery for posterior glottic web with stenosis. *Laryngoscope* 2017;**127**:685–90
- 9 Olthoff A, Zeiss D, Laskawi R, Kruse E, Steiner W. Laser microsurgical bilateral posterior cordectomy for the treatment of bilateral vocal fold paralysis. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2005;114:599–604
- 10 Ozdemir S, Tuncer U, Tarkan O, Kara K, Surmelioglu O. Carbon dioxide laser endoscopic posterior cordotomy technique for bilateral abductor vocal cord paralysis: a 15-year experience. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;139:401–4

- 11 Segas J, Stavroulakis P, Manolopoulos L, Yiotakis J, Adamopoulos G. Management of bilateral vocal fold paralysis: experience at the University of Athens. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001;124:68–71
- 12 Rosenthal LH, Benninger MS, Deeb RH. Vocal fold immobility: a longitudinal analysis of etiology over 20 years. *Laryngoscope* 2007;117: 1864–70
- 13 Stauffer JL, Olson DE, Petty TL. Complications and consequences of endotracheal intubation and tracheostomy. A prospective study of 150 critically ill adult patients. Am J Med 1981;70:65–76
- 14 Bennett JD. High tracheostomy and other errors—revisited. J Laryngol Otol 1996;110:1003–7
- 15 Gilony D, Gilboa D, Blumstein T, Murad H, Talami YP, Kronenberg J et al. Effects of tracheostomy on wellbeing and body-image perceptions. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005;133:366–71
- 16 Citta-Pietrolungo TJ, Alexander MA, Cook SP, Padman R. Complications of tracheostomy and decannulation in pediatric and young patients with traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1993;74:905–9
- 17 Grillo HC, Donahue DM, Mathisen DJ, Wain JC, Wright CD. Postintubation tracheal stenosis. Treatment and results. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995;109:486–93
- 18 Steiner W. Experience in endoscopic laser surgery of malignant tumours of the upper aero-digestive tract. Adv Otorhinolaryngol 1988;39:135–44
- 19 Canis M, Ihler F, Martin A, Wolff HA, Matthias C, Steiner W. Organ preservation in T4a laryngeal cancer: is transoral laser microsurgery an option? *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2013;270:2719–27