

Clusters in Extragalactic HII Regions and their Modelling

Rosa María González Delgado

*Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC). Apdo. 3004, 18080
Granada, Spain*

Abstract.

This review deals with properties of very young (≤ 10 -20 Myr) stellar clusters which are in the nebular phase and are embedded in photoionized regions (classical extragalactic HII regions (RHII) or starburst galaxies). Based on the analysis of the integrated light of these clusters at the UV and optical wavelengths, different techniques are discussed that allow to estimate in consistent the stellar content and the evolutionary state of the ionizing clusters. Figures illustrating this contribution can be found in: <http://www.iaa.csic.es/~rosa/>

1. Introduction

RHII are amongst the brightest objects in galaxies. They have sizes up to a few 100 pc and their $H\alpha$ luminosity is larger than 10^{39} erg s^{-1} (Kennicutt 1984). The most luminous and brightest RHII in the Local Group is 30 Doradus in the LMC. It has a nebular size of 400 pc and an $H\alpha$ luminosity $10^{40.2}$ erg s^{-1} , produced by more than one thousand ionizing stars. However, most of the radiative and mechanical energy of the region is provided by the central compact cluster R136. It contains more than one hundred stars within a few parsecs, having a stellar density of ~ 2 stars/pc² (Campbell et al 1992). This cluster is very young, ~ 3 Myr (Vacca et al 1995). Its compactness contrasts with that of the second brightest RHII in the Local group, NGC 604 in M 33. As 30 Dor, NGC 604 is photoionized by a very young cluster (3 Myr old, González Delgado & Pérez 2000) that contains a large population of O and B stars. However, it extends in a larger area with an effective radius of 60 pc (Hunter et al 1996; Drissen et al 1993). Several subclumps are detected, but they have still a stellar density is which a factor 10 lower than R136. The stellar clusters in these two luminous and brightest RHII could represent two different modes of star formation: compact stellar clusters (called super star clusters SSCs) and a young extended population that may be responsible of the diffuse UV light detected in more distant star forming regions.

Starbursts show properties (nebular size, luminosity, ...) that could be considered as scaled up versions of RHII where these two modes of star formation also co-exist (see Maíz-Apellániz in this volume). Meurer et al (1995) have found that only 20% of the UV light of a sample of optically or UV selected starburst galaxies is provided by SSCs, and the remaining 80% could be due to an extended unresolved population similar to the population in NGC 604. In

fact, Tremonti et al (2001) have shown that this diffuse UV light detected in the starburst galaxy NGC 5253 is not scattered light from the SSCs but it originates from unresolved, more extended stellar clusters.

Most of the RHIIs and starbursts are far-away enough that the main characteristics of the stellar clusters can be estimated only through the analysis of the integrated light. UV light is potentially very useful to estimate the properties of these stellar clusters because it represents direct emission from hot massive stars. Therefore, UV light provides direct evidence of the location and properties of the most recent stellar clusters in a star forming galaxy. On the other hand, these massive stars are the main source of the ionization, mechanical heating and chemical enrichment of the interstellar gas. The spectrum of the ionized gas is dominated by nebular emission. Here, I will show how to unveil the properties of young stellar clusters embedded in photoionized regions through the modelling of their UV and optical light.

2. UV-light

The UV spectra of RHIIs and starbursts are mainly dominated by absorption lines (Rosa et al 1984; Kinney et al 1993). They form in the wind and photosphere of massive stars. However, these resonance lines can also form in the interstellar medium of the star forming regions. Instead of strong absorption lines, some RHIIs show a few or weak nebular lines (see for example NGC 2363A, Drissen et al 2000). An inventory of the most important absorption lines are:

- Wind lines: The strongest one are OVI λ 1036, NV λ 1240, SiIV λ 1400, CIV λ 1550, HeII λ 1640, NIV λ 1720. They show a P-Cygni profile and/or are shifted by ~ 1000 - 3000 km s⁻¹. These lines depend on stellar luminosity, mass loss rate, terminal velocity and age of the stars (Leitherer & Lamers 1991). Therefore, the shape of the line profiles in the UV integrated light of a stellar population is related to its content in massive stars. Thus, these features can be used to constrain the properties (such as age, and the slope and upper mass limit of the IMF) of the stellar clusters
- Photospheric lines: they are much weaker than the wind lines. SV λ 1502, FeV λ 1430, SiIII λ 1417, FeV λ 1360-1380, OV λ 1371 are produced by O and early B stars; and SiII λ 1265, 1485, SiIII triplet (λ 1295-1300), CII λ 1335 and CII λ 1247, 1427 also form in late type B stars. At longer wavelengths, FeII λ 2570-2615 and MgII λ 2780-2825 features also form. These lines are also useful to constrain the age of the stellar cluster, but also the metallicity. In particular blends of these lines in 1360-1380 and 1415-1435 show a strong dependence with Z (Leitherer et al 2001).
- The most important interstellar lines in the ~ 1000 - 1800 Å spectral range are: SiII λ 1260, OI+SiII λ 1302, CII λ 1335, SiIV λ 1400, SiII λ 1526, CIV λ 1550, FeII λ 1608, AlII λ 1670. Low ionization lines are very useful to study the kinematics of the ionized gas because the interstellar component usually dominates the stellar contribution (González Delgado et al 1998a). They are also useful to derive the metallicity of the gas (Pettini et al 2000). The high ionization interstellar lines are blended with the wind

lines, a careful separation between both components is necessary. However, when the stellar cluster is young (2-8 Myr), wind lines dominate over the interstellar ones.

Evolutionary synthesis models that predict the wind and photospheric lines have been proposed by Mass-Hesse & Kunth (1991), Robert et al (1993), Leitherer et al (1995a), González Delgado et al (1997b), de Mello et al (2000). These models have been extensively applied to date stellar clusters in RHIIs and Starbursts observed by IUE and HST (Table 1). Most recent results are commented in section 2.2.

2.1. Estimating the masses of stellar clusters

The mass of the stellar cluster can be estimated comparing the UV luminosity provided by the cluster with the predictions by evolutionary synthesis models. However, the mass estimated represents a lower limit to the virial mass of the cluster because the UV light does not account for low mass stars. On the other hand, these estimates depend on the extinction correction applied to the observed UV flux. For young clusters not heavily obscured that emit a significant fraction of their bolometric luminosity in the UV, the extinction can be estimated comparing the observed with the UV intrinsic spectral distribution predicted by the evolutionary synthesis models, because the UV spectral slope ($F_{UV} \sim \lambda^\beta$) is independent of the IMF and the star formation history. It is correlated with the color excess $E(B-V)$ estimated from the Balmer decrement and with L_{FIR}/L_{UV} (Meurer et al 1997). This indicates that some UV photons are absorbed by dust and re-emitted at FIR wavelengths. This is the prediction of a dust screen model. However, variations on this geometry can also explain this correlation (Charlot & Fall 2000). In addition, the UV luminosity depends on the extinction laws used to do the correction. Several attenuation laws have been proposed (Rosa & Benvenuti 1994; Mas-Hesse & Kunth 1999; Calzetti 1997) to be applied to star forming regions, but all of them are coincident in showing a 2175 Å bump weaker than in the galactic extinction law.

Masses estimated for ionizing stellar clusters are given in Table 1. The masses of the clusters in RHIIs are $\sim 10^4 M_\odot$, much lower than the mass estimated for starbursts ($\sim 10^6 M_\odot$). The latter probably correspond to several clusters that contribute to a larger aperture.

2.2. General Results

The main results of these studies can be summarized as follows: UV integrated light is characterized by an instantaneous burst a few Myr old, populated by a Salpeter IMF with stars up to $M_{up} \geq 50 M_\odot$. When the integrated light is emitted by extended areas (~ 100 pc), the UV spectra are equally well fitted by continuous star formation lasting for a few Myr. These results indicate that clusters form with a very small age spread. In fact, this is the case for the starburst He2-10 (Johnson et al 2000) in which the clusters are chained along ~ 90 pc with a mean separation ≤ 10 pc, and they are all 4-5 Myr old. However, a good exception is the case of the low metallicity RHII NGC 2363. It contains two clusters (called A and B) within a distance of ~ 70 pc. The UV spectra of the two clusters have a very different morphology. Cluster A shows only a few

Table 1. Age and mass estimated for the clusters responsible of the photoionization in RHIIs and Starbursts

Name	Age Myr	Mass $10^5 M_{\odot}$	Apert/Inst pc	Authors
30Dor	3	0.2	14 IUE	Vacca et al 1995
NGC2363B	2.5	0.1	13 STIS	Drissen et al 2000
NGC604	3	0.5	60 IUE	González Delgado, Pérez 2000
RHIIs M101	3		FOS	Rosa, Benvenuti 1994
NGC5253	1-8	0.01-0.4	≤ 10 STIS	Tremonti et al 2001
NGC4214	4-5	1	20 FOS	Leitherer et al 1996
Antennae	2-8	1	GHRs	Whitmore et al 1999
He2-10	4	20	75 GHRs	Johnson et al 1999
NGC3049	4	10	60 STIS	González Delgado Leitherer 2001
NGC1741	4	30	400 GHRs	Conti et al 1997
NGC7714	4.5	50	350 GHRs	González Delgado et al 1999a
Seyfert 2	3-6	30-500	300 GHRs	González Delgado et al 1998b
Darwf Gal.	2-13	0.1-1000	≥ 1000 IUE	Mass-Hesse, Kunth 1999
Nuclear SB	3-6	100-400	≥ 1000 HUT	González Delgado et al 1998a

weak interstellar lines plus CIV $\lambda 1550$ in emission, but the spectrum of cluster B shows strong wind lines (Drissen et al 2000). These differences are due to an age spread in the formation of the two clusters. Cluster A is still embedded in dust (age ≤ 1 Myr), however, cluster B is more evolved as indicated by the strong wind CIV line detected, suggesting an age of 2.5 Myr. These differences in the age could be due to several bursts of star formation in a time scale of 10 Myr over a spatial scale of 400 pc, probably triggered by the pass of a small satellite galaxy.

There are indications that the IMF and the global star formation processes are the same in metal rich clusters as they are in metal poor ones. A good example is the metal-rich, barred starburst NGC 3049. HST observations done with STIS/MAMA (FUV) indicate that most of the UV light is emitted by the central 2 arcsec. The wind lines detected in the spectrum indicate that the cluster(s) in the inner 50 pc form in an instantaneous burst 3-4 Myr ago. Even though the metallicity of the stars is oversolar, stars more massive than $50 M_{\odot}$ form in the cluster(s). However, field extended stellar population could form with a different IMF than the clusters. This is the case of the low metallicity starburst NGC 5253. Tremonti et al (2001) have obtained STIS/MAMA (FUV) narrow slit spectra of 8 stellar clusters plus several inter-cluster regions of diffuse light. They find that the UV light of all clusters is well fitted by instantaneous bursts with ages between 1 and 8 Myr that follow a Salpeter IMF extending up to $100 M_{\odot}$. However, the field spectrum is better fitted by continuous star formation models with either $M_{up}=30 M_{\odot}$ or an IMF slope steeper than Salpeter. However, other more sophisticated explanations are possible involving age and disruption effects in time scale of ~ 10 Myr.

2.3. Uncertainties in the models

The basic ingredients in the evolutionary synthesis models are: evolutionary stellar tracks, stellar atmospheres and stellar libraries used to predict the stellar parameters. Thus, the results obtained depend on these inputs to the evolutionary code. Here, I comment the limitations and improvements of the models concerning to the UV stellar libraries, evolutionary stellar tracks and the parametrization of the IMF.

UV stellar libraries Most of these evolutionary synthesis models use as input a stellar library built with the UV spectra of stars in the solar neighborhood. Then, it is very suitable to describe clusters with metallicity close to solar. Because, wind lines depend on mass loss rate (in consequence on metallicity), the predictions of these models could be no adequate for low metallicity stellar clusters. Recently, Leitherer et al (2001) have built a UV stellar library with HST observations of O3 to B0 stars from the LMC and SMC. They provide new evolutionary synthesis models at $1/4 Z_{\odot}$. These models predict photospheric lines much weaker, as expected from lower elemental abundances. However, the behaviour of the wind stellar lines is more complex; while, NV $\lambda 1240$ and SiIV $\lambda 1400$ do not scale monotonically with metallicity, CIV $\lambda 1550$ is significantly affected, showing a weaker P Cygni profile. Thus, while the wind NV and SiIV may be equally well predicted using the solar stellar library, CIV and the photospheric lines are overpredicted in low metallicity clusters, inducing a wrong estimation of the age and of the IMF parameters.

Evolutionary stellar tracks Massive stars are fast rotators. Rotation induces instabilities that produce transport of angular momentum and chemical elements to the outer radiative envelope. When the effect of the rotation is taken into account, the evolution of massive stars is significantly modified. New stellar tracks with rotation from the Geneva group (Maeder & Meynet 2000) predict with respect to the non-rotating models: a) Massive stars in the Main Sequence are bluer. b) The Wolf-Rayet phase is longer and starts earlier in the evolution. c) The ratio of the number of Wolf-Rayet to O type stars is larger, and Wolf-Rayet C to Wolf-Rayet N is lower. None of the evolutionary codes developed until now include these new tracks.

Stochastic effects on the IMF Evolutionary synthesis models use continuous functions (usually power laws) to distribute the number of stars formed in a cluster, and thus, they do not reproduce the discontinuous nature of star formation. This difference between the nature and the models has an important effect, in particular, in stellar clusters with small number of stars. Cerviño et al (2000) have performed Montecarlo realizations for clusters of several masses ($10^3 M_{\odot}$, $10^4 M_{\odot}$ and $10^5 M_{\odot}$) to simulate the stochastic nature of the IMF. They find that the properties of the stellar cluster are not affected if the mass of the cluster is $\geq 10^5 M_{\odot}$. But, for lower masses, the widths of the parameter distributions compared with analytical values are proportional to the mass transformed into stars, assumptions on IMF and age. Because the mass estimated for RHIIs is lower than $10^5 M_{\odot}$, stochastic effects on the IMF is an important uncertainty in the predictions of the properties of these stellar clusters.

3. The optical-IR light

In contrast to the UV light, the optical to infrared spectrum of RHIIIs is dominated by the nebular emission lines. The interstellar gas is photoionized by Lyman continuum photons provided by the most massive and hot stars in the clusters. Gas cools down via recombination and collisionally excited nebular lines. However, when the stellar cluster is $\sim 3\text{-}5$ Myr old, broad emission lines (HeII $\lambda 4686$, CIV $\lambda 5800$ bumps) from Wolf-Rayets are detected in the optical spectra. Evolutionary synthesis models that make predictions of the equivalent width and luminosity of these features are in Schaerer & Vacca (1998), Starburst99 (Leitherer et al 1999), Cerviño et al (2001).

Other optical stellar features are the high order Balmer series and some HeI lines in absorption. They form in the photosphere of O, B and A stars. These stellar features are normally overwhelmed by the nebular contribution if the cluster is in the nebular phase. However, if the stars and gas do not have the same spatial distribution, then, H δ , H ϵ , H8, H9, ... and HeI $\lambda 4471$, HeI $\lambda 4026$, HeI $\lambda 3819$, can be detected in absorption (González Delgado & Pérez 2000). The strength and profile of these lines show a strong dependency with the age of the stellar cluster, and they are very useful diagnostics if the cluster is older than ~ 5 Myr old (González Delgado et al 1999b). In addition to the Balmer and HeI absorption lines, the spectra of young clusters in the post-nebular phase (10-20 Myr old) show also the CaII triplet at 8550 Å and CO $\lambda 2.2$ μmm band. Beautiful examples of clusters in this phase are most nearby SSCs (called A and B) in the irregular galaxy NGC 1569 (González Delgado et al 1997a; see Gilbert et al in this volume and the most recent works based on HST by Origlia et al 2001 and Maoz et al 2001). Evolutionary synthesis models treating these lines include Mayya (1997), García-Vargas et al (1998) and Origlia et al (1999). In the following sections, I discuss only the results obtained from the modelling of the nebular lines.

3.1. Modelling of the nebular emission lines

The modelling of the nebular lines is a degenerated problem because of the dependence on radiation field from the ionizing stellar cluster, the chemical abundance of the gas, and the density structure and geometry of the region. Even so, it is possible to constrain the stellar content, age and IMF of the cluster by coupling an evolutionary synthesis and a photoionization code. Observable quantities to constrain the models are:

- Collisional excited lines: Forbidden/Balmer line ratios, as [OIII] $\lambda 5007$ /H β ; η parameter, as ([OIII] $\lambda 5007$ /[OII] $\lambda 3727$)/([SIII] $\lambda 9069+9531$ /[SII] $\lambda 6717 + 6732$) sensible to the effective temperature of the cluster (Vílchez & Pagel); low ionization lines like [OI] $\lambda 6300$ /H β ; and infrared fine structure lines such as [NeIII]/[NeII],[ArIII]/[ArII] or [SIV]/[SIII]
- Recombination lines: The ratio ionized He to ionized H, like HeI $\lambda 5876$ /H β , depends on the ratio of He to H ionizing photons, $Q(\text{He})/Q(\text{H})$; thus, it is sensitive to the effective temperature of the stars if $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 40000$ K (Stasińska 1996).

- Hydrogen recombination lines equivalent width, e.g. $Ew(H\beta)$, depend on $Q(H)$ and also on the optical continuum luminosity emitted by the cluster. It is a good indicator of the age of the stellar cluster.

Unfortunately these observational constraints also depend on other quantities like abundances, differential extinction, and the contribution of more evolved stellar populations. The uncertainties associated to these constraints and with the models are commented in section 3.2. A detailed modelling can be done following the illustrative flow chart proposed by García-Vargas et al (1997). A photoionization code such as CLOUDY requires in addition to the spectral energy distribution (SED) from the evolutionary synthesis code the following inputs: a) Chemical abundance of the gas estimated from the emission line ratios. b) Gas electron density estimated from the $[SII] \lambda 6717/[SII] \lambda 6732$ ratio. c) Assumption about the geometry of the gas. If a sphere is assumed, the inner and outer radius has to be specified, that can be estimated from high spatial resolution $H\alpha$ images. d) Total ionizing photon luminosity, which can be estimated from total $H\alpha$ flux or from UV continuum luminosity provided by the star clusters.

The general results from the most recent grids of models (e.g. Stasińska et al 2001; Kewley et al 2001; Moy et al 2001; Dopita et al 2000; Bresolin et al 1999), tailored models at optical wavelengths (e.g. Luridiana & Peimbert 2001 for NGC 5461; González Delgado & Pérez 2000 for NGC 604; Stasińska & Schaerer 1999 for IZw18; González Delgado et al 1999a for the nuclear starburst of NGC 7714; Luridiana et al 1999 for NGC 2363; García-Vargas et al 1997 for the RHIIs of NGC 7714) and at infrared wavelengths (e.g. Colbert et al 1999 for Arp 299; Forster-Schreiber et al 1999 for M82; Schaerer & Stasińska 1999 for NGC 5253 and IIZw 40) can be summarized as: a) Stellar clusters are very young and well described by an instantaneous burst. b) Clusters form following a Salpeter IMF, but in high metallicity RHIIs M_{up} is $\leq 40\text{-}30 M_{\odot}$. It seems to contradict the results obtained in the UV and optical based on the detection of Wolf-Rayet features in high metallicity starbursts (Schaerer et al 2000).

3.2. Critical points and uncertainties in the modelling

Most of these models fail to reproduce the $Ew(H\beta)$ and some lines ratios like $[OIII] \lambda 4363/H\beta$ and $[OI] \lambda 6300/H\beta$ (e.g. Stasińska 2000). However, the input to the photoionization models (e.g. the hypothesis that the nebula is radiation bounded; constant electron density along the RIII) and the ingredients used in the evolutionary synthesis models (in addition to those mentioned in section 2.3, the stellar atmospheres assumed to built the SED) have an important impact on the results of the photoionization models. Changes in these ingredients help to explain the divergences between the observed quantities and predictions.

Stellar atmospheres It is not clear how realistic is the hardness of the SED predicted by evolutionary synthesis models, in particular in the Wolf-Rayet phase. Most of the evolutionary codes use the Kurucz (1993) stellar atmospheres. However, Stasińska et al (2001) have produced a grid of photoionization models using the COSTAR stellar atmospheres (Schaerer & de Koter 1997) and they find an important impact on $Q(He)/Q(H)$, produced by the effect of the wind of O main sequence stars in the models. Starburst99 (Leitherer et al 1999) which is optimized for predictions for young clusters, uses the non-blanketed Schmutz et

al (1992) model atmospheres for stars with strong winds. These models predict a large increase in the nebular excitation at the onset of the Wolf-Rayet phase due to a large energy above the HeII ionization limit. In consequence, high-metallicity models predict much higher excitation than observed in metal-rich RHIIs (Bresolin et al 1999; Dopita et al 2000; Kewley et al 2001) due to a large number of Wolf-Rayet stars. It is expected that line blanketed extended atmosphere models should produced a softer spectrum above 4 Ryd but it is not clear how the SED could be from 1 to 4 Ryd (Schaerer 2001). These line blanketed stellar atmospheres should predict more similar emission line ratios to those observed in high metallicity RHIIs. Note that the present models predict older ages and a lower number of massive stars, thus, a steeper IMF and a lower M_{up} , than the real values in metal-rich stellar clusters. This may be the reason of the discrepancy between the results obtained analyzing the nebular lines and the the modelling of UV wind lines in metal rich clusters.

Density structure Most models assume that the electron density is constant. However, there are evidences that RHIIs can have a density structure (Pérez et al 2001; Castañeda et al 1992). Luridiana & Peimbert (2001) have modelled the RHI NGC 5461 in M101. They find that an asymmetric nebula with a gaussian electron density distribution powered by a young cluster ~ 3 Myr old reproduces most of the constraints. They find that the results strongly depend on the assumed density law. If it is constant, then the models overestimate the hardness of the ionizing field, and in consequence, it gives an erroneous determination of the age and IMF of the stellar cluster.

Radiation bounded hypothesis Most of the photoionization models assume that the nebula is radiation bounded. Consistent models using the UV light and nebular emission lines (e.g. González Delgado et al 1999a) find that the mass of the cluster estimated from the UV continuum is in agreement with the value estimated with the total Balmer recombination lines (e.g. $H\beta$). This result indicates that the leakage of ionizing photons is very small and, in general, that the radiation bounded hypothesis is correct. However, a small leakage in some direction is possible (Leitherer et al 1995b; Heckman et al 2001). Photon leakage affects preferentially the highest frequencies. These photons reach further into the gas, and filaments or diffuse gas can absorb them. Thus, larger values of $[OI] \lambda 6300/H\beta$ can be predicted by photoionization models if there is some leakage of ionizing photons that are absorbed by filaments (e.g. in IZw18 Stasińska & Schaerer 1999). This result rules out shocks as additional heating source that is able to explain larger values of $[OI] \lambda 6300/H\beta$.

Photon leakage has also been proposed to explain the low values of $Ew(H\beta)$ compared with the prediction of the models. Moy et al (2001) compute photoionization models for several covering factors (cf). However, to explain the $Ew(H\beta)$ observed in HII galaxies a $cf=0.1$ is required. This means that 90% of the ionizing photons have to escape from the nebula. Thus, leakage of ionizing photons is not a good explanation to the low values of $Ew(H\beta)$ observed in HII and starburst galaxies. Other alternatives, such as differential extinction between gas and stars or the contribution to the continuum of an underlying old stellar population have been suggested (Raiman et al 2000).

4. Conclusions

Very general conclusions from the studies discussed here are:

- The modelling of the wind and photospheric UV lines and the nebular Optical-IR lines are powerful tools to estimate the stellar content, IMF and age of embedded stellar clusters in RHIIs. However, we have to be aware that the results depend on the ingredients of the stellar evolutionary tracks and stellar atmosphere models, in particular on Wolf-Rayet stars.
- Stellar clusters are well described by an instantaneous burst of a few Myr old. The stellar masses distributed with a Salpeter IMF with $M_{up} \geq 50 M_{\odot}$. Lower M_{up} estimated in high metallicity RHIIs could be related to the use of inadequate stellar atmosphere models to compute the SED.

Acknowledgments. I thank to the organizers, in particular to Eva Grebel and Doug Geisler for inviting me to give this talk and for their financial support. I am also very grateful to Christy Tremonti for sending me a draft of her paper on NGC 5253 in advance of publication, and to Grazyna Stasińska, Daniel Schaerer and Enrique Pérez for their comments from a thorough reading of the paper.

References

- Bresolin, F., Kennicutt, R.C., Garnett, D.R., 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 104
 Calzetti D., 1997, *AJ*, 113, 162
 Campbell, A., et al, 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1721
 Castañeda, H., Vílchez, J.M., Copetti, M., 1992, *A&A*, 260, 370
 Cerviño, M. Luridiana, V., Castander, F.J., 2000, *A&A*, 360, 5
 Cerviño, M., Mas-Hesse, J.M., Kunth, D., 2001, *A&A*, submitted
 Charlot, S., Fall, M., 2000, *ApJ*, 539, 718
 Colbert, J.W., et al, 1999, *ApJ*, 511,521
 Conti, P., Leitherer, C., Vacca, W., 1997, *ApJ*, *ApJ*, 461, 87
 de Mello, D.F., Leitherer, C., Heckman, T.M., 1999, *ApJ*, 530, 251
 Dopita, M. et al, 2000, *ApJ*, 542
 Drissen L., Moffat, A.F.J., Shara, M., 1993, *AJ*, 105, 1400
 Drissen L., Roy J-R., Robert C., Devost D., Doyon R. 2000, *AJ*, 119, 688
 Forster-Schreiber, N., 1998, PhD thesis, Ludwig-Maximilian Universitat
 García-Vargas M.L., González-Delgado R.M., et al., 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 112
 García-Vargas M.L., Mollá, M., Bressan, S., 1998, *A&AS*, 130, 513
 González Delgado R.M, Leitherer, C., et al, 1997a, *ApJ*, 483, 705
 González Delgado R.M, Leitherer, C., Heckman, T., 1997b, *ApJ*, 489, 601
 González Delgado R.M, Leitherer, C., et al, 1998a, *ApJ*, 495, 698
 González Delgado R.M, Heckman, T., et al, 1998b, *ApJ*, 505, 174
 González Delgado R.M, García-Vargas M.L., et al., 1999a, *ApJ*, 513, 707
 González Delgado R.M, Leitherer C., Heckman T., 1999b, *ApJS*, 125, 489

- González Delgado R.M, Pérez, E., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 64
- González Delgado R.M, Leitherer C., 2001, in "Starburst near and far", in press
- Heckman, T., et al, 2001, ApJ, astro-ph/0105012
- Hunter D.A., Baum W.A., O'Neil E.J., Lynds R., 1996, ApJ, 456, 174
- Johnson, K. et al, 2000, ApJ, 120, 1273
- Kennicutt, R., 1984, ApJ, 287, 116
- Kewley, L.J., et al, 2001, ApJS, 132, 37
- Kinney, A., et al, 1993, ApJS, 86, 5
- Kurucz, R. L., 1993, CD-ROM 13, ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km/s Grid (Cambridge: Smithsonian Astrophys. Obs.)
- Leitherer C., Lamers, H., 1991, ApJ, 373, 89
- Leitherer C., Robert C., Heckman T.M., 1995a, ApJS, 99, 173
- Leitherer C., et al, 1995b, ApJ, 454, L19
- Leitherer C., Vacca W.D., et al, 1996, ApJ, 465, 717
- Leitherer C., Schaerer D., et al, 1999, ApJS, 123, 3
- Leitherer C., et al, 2001, ApJ, 550, 724
- Luridiana V., Peimbert M., Leitherer C., 1999, ApJ, 527, 110
- Luridiana V., Peimbert M., 2001, ApJ, astro-ph/0102128
- Maeder, A., Meynet, G., 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Maoz, D., Ho, L.C., Sternberg, A., 2001, ApJ, astro-ph/0105565
- Mas-Hesse J.M., Kunth D., 1991, A&AS, 88, 399
- Mas-Hesse J.M., Kunth D., 1999, A&A, 349, 765
- Mayya, Y.D., 1998, ApJ, 482, L149
- Meurer, G., et al, 1995, AJ,
- Meurer, G., et al, 1997, AJ, 110, 2665
- Moy, E. Rocca-Volmerange, B., Fioc, M., 2001, A&A, 365, 347
- Origlia, L. et al, 1999, ApJ, 514, 960
- Origlia, L. et al, 2001, ApJ, astro-ph/0105195
- Pérez, E., González Delgado, R.M., Vílchez, J.M., 2001, in "The Evolution of Galaxies. I. Observational Clues", in press
- Pettini, M. et al., 2000, ApJ, 528, 96
- Raiman, D. et al, 2000, MNRAS, 316, 559
- Robert C., Leitherer C., Heckman T.M., 1993, ApJ, 418, 749
- Rosa M., Joubert M., Benvenuti P., 1984, A&AS, 57, 361
- Rosa M., Benvenuti P., 1994, A&A, 291, 1
- Schmutz W., Leitherer C., Gruenwald R.B., 1992, PASP, 104, 1164
- Schaerer D, 2001, A.S.P. Conf. Serie, 221, 99
- Schaerer D, de Koter, A., 1997, A&A, 322, 598
- Schaerer D, Guseva, N.G., et al, 2000, A&A, 362, 53
- Schaerer D, Stasińska G., 1999, A&A, 345, 17
- Schaerer D, Vacca, W., 1998, ApJ, 497, 618

- Stasińska G., 2000, *New Astronomy Review*, 44, 275
Stasińska G., 1996; A.S.P. Conf. Ser. 98, 232
Stasińska G., Schaerer D., 1999, *A&AS*351, 72
Stasińska G., Schaerer D., Leitherer, C., 2001, *A&A*, astro-ph/0102228
Tremonti, C., et al, 2001, *ApJ*, astro-ph/0103432
Vacca W.D., Robert C., Leitherer C., Conti P.S., 1995, *ApJ*, 444, 647
Vílchez J.M., Pagel B.E.J., 1988, *MNRAS*, 231, 257
Whitmore, B. et al, 1999, *ApJ*, *AJ*, 118, 1551

Discussion

F. Sakhibov: Did you consider a case of continuous star formation? How do you account for the fraction of the Lyman continuum photons which do not contribute to the ionization process, missed leakage?

R. González Delgado: UV light may be equally well fitted by instantaneous or continuous star formation lasting for only 3-5 Myr. However, the nebular emission lines of RHIIs are better modelled by instantaneous bursts. Continuous star formation models predict larger excitation and $E_w(H\beta)$ than the values observed. Leakage of ionizing photons can be accounted computing photoionization models with covering factor (cf) less than 1. Moderate changes (cf lower but close to 1) do not alter significantly the strongest emission line ratios. Lower values of cf are not realistic because the mass of the clusters estimated from the nebular recombination lines is in good agreement with estimations done using the UV continuum luminosity.

F. D'Antona: Do the upper mass limits you gave for a few systems include the new rotating stellar models of the Geneva group?

R. González Delgado: Many of the results presented here were analyzed with Starburst99. This code has implemented the new stellar tracks of the Geneva group *without* rotation (Meynet et al 1994; Schaerer et al 1993 and Charbonnel et al 1993; Schaller et al 1992). To my knowledge no evolutionary synthesis code has yet implemented the new stellar tracks with rotation.

B. Elmegreen: Your suggestion of two modes of star formation is consistent with optical observations, but in the infrared, most embedded stars in the solar neighborhood are forming in clusters, and in this sense, there is only one mode: it is all clustered. So what you see optically, is perhaps more a difference in survival and dispersal of clusters than in modes of formation of stars.

R. González Delgado: It could be true that diffuse UV emission detected in starbursts could be produced by stellar clusters that have been disrupted in a time scale of 10-20 Myr. Tremonti et al (2001) have proposed this interpretation for the starburst galaxy NGC 5253.