| abortion | proceduralist review of, 243 |
|--|---|
| Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health | salus populi principle, 244 |
| Organization, 141 | sic utere principle, 244 |
| morals regulations for, 141 | synoptic review, 243 |
| Roe v. Wade, 145–46 | Articles of Confederation, in US Constitution, |
| Adams, John, 38 | 21, 22, 47 |
| Adams, Willi Paul, 93–94 | federalism under, 51–52 |
| administrative bureaucracy and power, | assisted suicide, 241–42 |
| delegation of | Austin, Benjamin, 76 |
| anti-administrativist movement, 135, 192, 266 | authoritarianism, concerns about, 310-13 |
| expertise in, 188–93 | |
| Major Questions Doctrine, 191–92 | Baker v. Carr, 249 |
| New Deal policies and, 189, 190 | Banner, Stuart, 67 |
| under nondelegation doctrine, 188 | on natural law, 81, 82–83, 73 |
| skepticism of, 190–91 | Barbier v. Connolly, 90–91 |
| special purpose authorities, 189-90 | Barnett, Randy, 96, 125 |
| administrative law, 197–98 | Barron, David, 182–83 |
| police power and, 251–53 | Barron v. Baltimore, 69, 222, 264 |
| Administrative Procedure Act (1946), US, 116, | Baumol, William, 321–22 |
| 262–63 | Bentham, Jeremy, 68 |
| adult entertainment, moral regulation of, 143 | Berman v. Parker, 134, 152, 218, 325 |
| judicial evaluation of, 144 | Bernick, Evan, 96, 125 |
| Affordable Care Act, US, 268, 269–70 | Bill of Rights, 69–70, 81–82, 201 |
| Agins v. Tiburon, 214, 215–16 | in Delaware, 23 |
| alcohol and drug consumption, moral regulation | morals regulation and, 145–46 |
| of, 140, 144, 148 | natural rights in, 223–24 |
| Ambler Realty Co. v. Village of Euclid, | BiMettalic v. State Board of Equalization, 210–11 |
| 118–21 | Binford v. Sununu, 159 |
| amendments, to constitutions. See also | Blackstone, William, 76 |
| Constitution, US | British legal philosophy and, 68 |
| to state constitutions, 74, 125 | on police power, 45–46, 60 |
| animal welfare laws, 150 | "boundary picking," 108–9, 201 |
| animus, legal, 244–48 | Bowers v. Hardwick, 142, 146 |
| equal protection doctrine, 245 | Brady, Maureen, 79 |
| against LGBTQ+ populations, 247–48 | Brandeis, Louis (Justice), 72, 111 |
| anti-administrativist movement, 135, 192, 266 | Brennan, William (Justice), 98–99 |
| anti-commandeering doctrine, 265 | Brick Presbyterian Church v. Mayor of New York, |
| arbitrariness, 242–44 | 56–57 |
| inclusiveness and, 244 | Briffault, Richard, 180 |
| | |

| Brown, Adam, 32 | Constitution, US. See also Bill of Rights; |
|---|---|
| Brown v. Maryland, 26, 53 | Contract Clause, in US Constitution; |
| Buchanan v. Warley, 114 | rights, individual, in US Constitution |
| Buck v. Bell, 114 | Articles of Confederation, 21, 22, 47 |
| Bullman-Pozen, Jessica, 183, 275 | federalism under, 51–52 |
| Burger, Warren (Chief Justice), 166 | Commerce Clause, 140–41 |
| Bush, George Herbert Walker, 135 | Eminent Domain clause in, 65 |
| Bush, George Walker, 135 | First Amendment |
| Buttetieg, Pete, 304 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 160 |
| 3, 1, | free speech and, 203-5 |
| Calder v. Bull, 69, 152 | individual rights under, 202–5, 206–7 |
| judicial review and, 221 | morals regulations and, 143 |
| Campbell, Jud, 203–4, 207, 234, 229, 230 | Fourteenth Amendment, 70–71, 109–10, 226–29 |
| Cardozo, Benjamin (Justice), 201 | Due Process Clause of, 146 |
| Carpenter, Daniel, 189 | original meaning of, 96 |
| Cedar Point Nursery v. Haddid, 11, 216 | interpretation of, 81 |
| Champion v. Ames, 140-41 | judicial review in, 81–82 |
| Charles River Bridge v. Proprietors of Warren | Ninth Amendment, 226–29 |
| Bridge, 70 | political strategy and, 48–51 |
| Chevron v. NRDC, 266 | public meaning of, 48–51, 77 |
| citizen safety. See public safety policies | purpose of, 48–51 |
| The City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center, | Reconstruction amendments, 264 |
| 202, 243 | salus populi principle and, 289 |
| City of Erie v. Pap's A. M., 143 | Second Amendment, 206–7, 298–300 |
| The Civil Rights Cases, 289 | state constitutions influenced by, 2–3 |
| Claeys, Eric, 120 | Supremacy Clause in, 53 |
| classical legal thought, 96 | Tenth Amendment, 51–52, 54, 226–29 |
| Cleveland Telephone Co. v. City of | constitutional avoidance canon, 250 |
| Cleveland, 99 | Constitutional Convention, 22, 26 |
| Clinton, Bill, 135 | constitutionalism |
| command-and-control regulations, 322 | administered, 134–35 |
| Commentaries on the Laws of England | British, 29 |
| (Blackstone), 45 | common good, 186–87 |
| Commerce Clause, 140–41 | laissez faire, 84–87 |
| common good constitutionalism, 186–87 | The Slaughterhouse Cases, 95 |
| common goods, zoning laws and, 120–21 | libertarian, 86–87, 142 |
| common law | republican tradition of, 47–48 |
| development as legal theory, 80 | constitutionalism, American, 2–3 |
| judicial intervention and, 30, 31–32, 179 | classical liberal theory and, 28–29 |
| judicial review and, 69 | criticism of, 47–48 |
| state constitutions and, 30–32 | federalism and, 276–78 |
| private property law and, 69–70 | interpretive method for, 81 |
| sic utere principle in, 55 | public meaning of, 48–51 |
| state constitutions influenced by, 30–31, | |
| | purpose of, 48–51 |
| 55–64 | strategic approaches to, 48–51 constitutions. See state constitutions, state |
| judicial intervention and, 30, 31–32 | constitutionalism and |
| judicial review and, 30–32 | |
| zoning laws and, 122 | contestation of rights, 229–31 |
| Commonwealth v. Alger, 58–60, 79–80 | Contract Clause, in US Constitution, 65, 82 |
| Commonwealth v. Tewksbury, 250–51 | eminent domain under, 65–66 |
| Conchatta v. Miller, 144 | liberty of contract, 103 |
| consent principles, in state constitutions, 22–24 | morals regulations and, 142 |
| evolution of, 23 | restriction of state regulatory authority |
| good governance and, 23–24 | and, 68 |

| private property under, 65–66 | Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, |
|--|---|
| property rights under, 69–70 | 141, 224 |
| state authority under, 65-66 | Dubber, Markus, 7, 60, 310 |
| contract rights | due process, 208–11 |
| during Lochner era Supreme Court, 88–95 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 210 |
| police power and, 43 | restriction of state regulatory authority and, 68 |
| Cooley, Thomas, 42, 95, 126, 180–81 | Due Process Clause, of Fourteenth |
| Cornell, Saul, 205–6 | Amendment, 146 |
| countermajoritarian mechanisms | Dunning–Kruger effect, 328 |
| during Lochner era Supreme Court, 86–87 | duty of public care, 186 |
| during Progressive era, 100 | Dworkin, Ronald, 142 |
| state constitutions as, 32-33, 101 | dynamic federalism, 270–76 |
| Covid-19 pandemic | education policies and, 272 |
| due process during, 210 | health policies and, 272 |
| First Amendment and, 160 | dynamic police power, 270–76 |
| Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 159 | |
| police power and, 158–62 | early legislative policies, in US, 67–68 |
| public health regulation during, 158–62, | common law traditions and, 68 |
| 169–70, 308–10 | natural law principles and, 67–68 |
| First Amendment protections, 160 | positive law and, 68 |
| legal reasoning for, 160–61 | purpose of, 68 |
| sic utere principle and, 286–87 | sic utere principle in, 68 |
| state constitutional power during, 5 | statutory lawmaking, 67 |
| scope of, 160 | education, right to, 228 |
| separation of powers, 175–76 | education policy, 272 |
| culture wars, 149–50 | Eisenstadt v. Baird, 145–46 |
| Cushman, Barry, 108–9 | Ellickson, Robert, 153–54 |
| | Ely, John Hart, 249 |
| Dartmouth College cases, 63–64 | Emergency Powers of the Governor Act of 1945 |
| De Mortibus Maris (Lord Hale), 71–72 | (Michigan), US, 176 |
| Declaration of Independence, 18 | Emerson, Blake, 186 |
| Declaration of Rights, in Pennsylvania state | eminent domain |
| constitution, 20 | under Contract Clause, 65–66 |
| Delaware | Holmes on, 102 |
| Bill of Rights, 23 | Horwitz on, 128 |
| state constitution in, 29 | jus publicum principle and, 101–5 |
| delegation. See administrative bureaucracy and | Pennsylvania Coal v. Mahon, 86, 101, 102-4, 128 |
| power, delegation of; nondelegation | Kohler Act and, 103 |
| doctrine | takings law and, 103–4 |
| democracy, 327–29 | police power and, 101–5 |
| state constitutions and | during Progressive era, 101–5 |
| legislative supremacy in, 25 | property rights and, 214–15 |
| representative democracy and, 24-25, 93-94 | salus populi principle and, 152 |
| DeShaney v. Winnebago County, 305-6 | in urban settings, 152 |
| Devlin, John, 175 | Eminent Domain clause, in US Constitution, 65 |
| Devlin, Lord, 142 | The End of Liberalism (Lowi), 190 |
| Dillon, John, 181, 196 | environmental protection policies, 300–2 |
| disclosure regulations, 320 | Flint water crisis and, 301 |
| discrimination cases | new governance traditions, 300–1 |
| Plessy v. Ferguson, 7, 71, 74, 91–94, 289 | environmental racism, 300 |
| Harlan dissent in, 92–94, 244–45 | equal protection, right to, 208–11 |
| Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 92 | animus and, 245 |
| District of Columbia v. Heller, 205, 299–300 | Erie Canal, construction of, 65 |
| Diver, Colin, 243 | Ernst, Daniel, 188, 197–98 |

| Euclidean zoning, 118–21 | Food & Drug Safety Act, US, 262 |
|--|---|
| executive powers, in state constitutions, | Forbath, William, 109–10 |
| 19, 201 | Forbes' Case, 80–81, 250–51 |
| unbundled executive power, 174 | formalism, 96 |
| expertise, 327–29 | Foucault, Michel, 7, 310 |
| in administrative bureaucracy and power, | Fourteenth Amendment, 70–71, 109–10, 226–20 |
| 188–93 | Due Process Clause of, 146 |
| skepticism of, 190–91 | original meaning of, 96 |
| E. L. I.C A.I. HC | Frankfurter, Felix (Justice), 72, 204 |
| Federal Communications Act, US | Franklin, Ben, 26 |
| (1934), 262 Federal Communications Commission, 88 | Freund, Ernst, 42, 60, 87, 114, 188, 197–98 |
| Federal Trade Commission Act, US, 262 | on localism of police power, 181–82 on police power, 138 |
| federal ism, federal power and | Friedman, Barry, 305, 306–7 |
| American constitutionalism and, 276–78 | Friends of Danny Devito v. Wolf, 159 |
| Articles of Federation, 51–52 | Frug, Gerald, 182–83 |
| Brown v. Maryland, 53 | 1145, Ceraid, 102 03 |
| dynamic, 270–76 | gambling, morals regulation of, 140–41 |
| education policies and, 272 | Gardner, James, 2–3 |
| health policies and, 272 | George, Robert, 142 |
| expansion of, 270 | Georgia Lactation Consultant Practice |
| Gibbons v. Ogden, 52 | Act, US, 237 |
| McCulloch v. Maryland, 53 | Gerken, Heather, 183, 271, 275 |
| during New Deal era, 263 | Gestle, Gary, 20, 220 |
| New York v. Miln, 53–54 | Gibbons v. Ogden, 52, 264–65, 308 |
| opportunistic, 272–73 | Gillman, Howard, 90, 109–10, 125 |
| over commerce issues, 54–55 | Gluck, Abbe, 271 |
| police power and, 51–55 | Godkin, Edwin, 106 |
| conceptual approach to, 261–62 | Goldberg, Suzanne, 139 |
| dynamic, 270–76 | Goldberg v. Kelly, 212 |
| expansion of, 262–66 | Gomillion v. Lightfoot, 249 |
| Major Questions Doctrine, 266 | Gonzales v. Raich, 267 |
| national, 266–70 | Gorsuch, Neil (Justice), 178–79, 308–9 |
| salus populi principle and, 265–66 | governance structures. See also regulatory |
| principal-agent formulation, 273–74 | governance; specific topics |
| "separate spheres" analysis of, 270–71 | analysis of, 331–32 |
| Federalist Papers (Hamilton and Madison), 105 | authoritarianism concerns and, 310–13 |
| infrastructure in, 64–65 | as control mechanism, 310–13 |
| state constitutionalism in, 18, 22, 36, 230 | Grad, Frank, 137 |
| Feinberg, Joel, 142 | Granger laws, 71 Great Britain |
| Field, Stephen (Justice), 211 Finnis, John, 142 | constitutionalism in, 29 |
| First Amendment | legal philosophy and thought in, 68 |
| during Covid-19 pandemic, 160 | US colonies' relationship with, 18 |
| free speech and, 203–5 | Great Society, 11 |
| individual rights under, 202–5, 206–7 | Gregory v. Ashcroft, 265 |
| Lochnerism and, 11 | Griswold v. Connecticut, 145–46 |
| morals regulations and, 143 | guns and firearms, regulation of, 297-300 |
| Fishkin, Joey, 109–10 | District of Columbia v. Heller, 205, 299–300 |
| Fiss, Owen, 124 | New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, |
| Fleming, James, 167 | 205, 298–300 |
| Fletcher, George, 142 | public opinion on, 298 |
| Fletcher v. Peck, 69–70 | Supreme Court and, 298–300 |
| Flint water crisis, 301 | Gunther, Gerald, 241 |

| Hale, Lord, 71–72 | International News Service v. Associated Press, |
|--|--|
| Hamilton, Alexander, 22, 201, 272–73. See also | 97–98 |
| Bill of Rights; Federalist Papers (Hamilton | interpretation, judicial |
| and Madison); rights, individual, in US | legal canon for, 250–51 |
| Constitution | of constitutional avoidance, 250 |
| Hand, Learned, 201 | during Legal Process era, 251 |
| Harlan, John (Justice), 90-91, 241, 242 | for police power, 250–51 |
| Plessy v. Ferguson dissent, 92-94, 244-45 | presumptions in, 250–51 |
| harm principle, 139–40 | Interstate Commerce Act, US, 262–63 |
| Hart, H. L. A., 142 | Issacharoff, Sam, 249 |
| Hart, Henry, 251 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Hartog, Dirk, 196 | Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 94–95, 114, 159, 308 |
| Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff, 152 | Jefferson, Thomas, 28, 38 |
| health care, right to, 228 | Jew Ho v. Williamson, 113 |
| health policies, 272 | judicial intervention |
| heuristics, 326 | in common law traditions, 30, 31-32 |
| Hohfeld, John Wesley, 197–98 | individual rights and, 203 |
| Holmes, Oliver Wendell (Justice), 72, 97–98, 201 | during Lochner era Supreme Court, 86–87 |
| on eminent domain, 102 | state constitutions and, 31–32 |
| home rule mechanisms, for police power, 181–82 | judicial review |
| Honore, Tony, 142 | Calder v. Bull and, 221 |
| Horwitz, Morton, 81, 103, 122, 128 | in common law traditions, 30–32, 69 |
| on eminent domain, 128 | establishment of, 68–72 |
| housing policies | individual rights and, 221–23 |
| access and affordability mechanisms, 291–96 | Marbury v. Madison, 30–31, 221 |
| zoning laws and, 291–93 | self-dealing and, 249 |
| construction prohibitions under, 292 | state constitutions and, 30–32 |
| criticism of, 294 | in US Constitution, 81–82 |
| NIMBY movement, 293 | jus publicum principle, 69–70 |
| YIMBY movement, 293, 294 | eminent domain and, 101-5 |
| Hulseboch, Daniel, 36 | during Lochner era Supreme Court, 106–7 |
| Hunter decision, 180–81 | nuisance laws and, 61 |
| Hurst, J. Willard, 8, 61–62 | during Progressive era, 100 |
| hyper-localism, police power and, 183–84 | public rights and, 63 |
| 71 1 7 7 7 | 1 5 /) |
| Illinois Central Railroad v. Illinois, 100 | Kahn, Alfred, 319 |
| imperium in imperio concept, 181, 182 | Kahneman, Daniel, 326 |
| In re Abbott, 159–60 | Kelo v. City of New London, 71, 152, 218 |
| In re Tahiti Bar, 144 | Kendrick, Leslie, 246 |
| incentive-based regulations, 320-21 | Kennedy, Anthony (Justice), 146, 147, 245 |
| individual rights. See rights, individual, in US | Kennedy, Duncan, 96 |
| Constitution | Kohler Act, US, 103 |
| infrastructure | Kovacs v. Cooper, 134 |
| in Federalist Papers, 64–65 | 1 / 21 |
| modern examples of, 163 | labor laws |
| Novak on, 64 | National Labor Relations Act, 88, 262 |
| police power and, 64–67 | under National Labor Relations Act, 88 |
| during Progressive era through massive public | during Progressive era, 88 |
| investment, 87–88 | laissez faire constitutionalism, 84–87 |
| as public policy, 64–67 | Lochner era Supreme Court and, 84–87, 107–11 |
| public rights to, 64 | police power and, 107–11 |
| Scheiber on, 65 | The Slaughterhouse Cases, 95 |
| wealth inequality and, 302–5 | land use regulations, police power and, 153 |
| initiative lawmaking, 106 | lawmaking. See early legislative policies, in US |
| G [,] | J , J |

| Lawrence v. Texas, 146, 245–46, 247–48 | legislative skepticism during, 105–7 |
|---|---|
| Lawton v. Steele, 113 | Mugler v. Kansas, 89–91, 93–94, 125, 131–32 |
| Lee, Sophia, 134–35 | Munn v. Illinois, 8, 71, 72, 74, 89, 131–32 |
| Legal Process era, 251 | Plessy v. Ferguson, 7, 71, 74, 91–94, 289 |
| Legal Realism movement, 108 | Harlan dissent in, 92-94, 244-45 |
| legislative powers, of states, under state | property rights during, 88–95 |
| constitutions, 19 | regulatory takings law, 86 |
| legislative skepticism, 73 | state-building during, 84 |
| initiative lawmaking and, 106–7 | zoning laws and, 118–21 |
| jus publicum principle and, 106–7 | Lochner v. New York, 84, 107–8 |
| during Lochner era Supreme Court, 105–7 | Locke, John, 224–26, 235 |
| during post-Reconstruction era, 93 | Londoner v. Denver, 210 |
| during Reconstruction era, 86 | Lowi, Theodore, 190 |
| LGBTQ+ populations | Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council, 214–15 |
| animus against, 247–48 | Lutz, Donald, 3, 18 |
| Bostock v. Clayton County, 247–48 | on consent theory, 23 |
| | on consent theory, 23 |
| Lawrence v. Texas, 146, 245–46, 247–48 | Madison James 18 28 28 272 72 See des Bill |
| moral regulations and, 149–50 | Madison, James, 18, 28, 38, 272–73. See also Bill |
| Obergefell v. Hodges, 147–48, 247–48 | of Rights; Federalist Papers (Hamilton |
| Romer v. Evans, 147, 245–46, 247–48 | and Madison); rights, individual, in US |
| United States v. Windsor, 247–48 | Constitution |
| liability rule, in takings law, 324 | on tyranny, 203 |
| liberal theory, American constitutionalism and, | Major Questions Doctrine, 191–92 |
| 28–29 | federalism and, 266 |
| libertarian constitutionalism, 86–87, 142 | police power and, 266 |
| liberty of contract, 103 | majoritarianism. See countermajoritarian |
| restriction of state regulatory authority and, 68 | mechanisms |
| liberty rights, 28–32 | Mansfield & Swett v. Town of W. Orange, 119, 12 |
| presumption of liberty, 193–94 | Marbury v. Madison, 30–31, 69 |
| The License Cases, 60, 70, 89 | judicial review and, 221 |
| Lincoln, Abraham, 329 | Marshall, John (Chief Justice), 52, 267, 297 |
| Linde, Hans, 98–99 | Marshfield, Jonathan, 164, 177–78 |
| Lindsley v. Natural Carbonic Gas Co., 115 | on nondelegation doctrine, 178–79 |
| Lingle v. Chevron, 217 | Marvin v. Trout, 140–41 |
| Liquormart v. Rhode Island, 144 | Massachusetts, Contract Clause in, 65–66 |
| Little Rock v. Arkansas, 169 | Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado, 245–46 |
| localism, of police power, 180–84 | Matthews v. Eldridge, 212 |
| arguments for, 183 | McCormack, Bridget, 178–79 |
| disadvantages in, 183–84 | McCulloch v. Maryland, 53, 269 |
| home rule mechanisms, 181–82 | McQuillin, Eugene, 180 |
| hyper-localism, 183–84 | Members of the Medical Licensing Board of |
| imperium in imperio concept, 181, 182 | Indiana, et al. v. Planned Parenthood |
| in nineteenth century, 181–82 | Great Northwest, 224 |
| origins of, 180 | Merrill, Thomas, 213 |
| skepticism of, 184 | Michelman, Frank, 213 |
| Lochner era, for US Supreme Court, 255–56 | Michigan |
| conceptual approach to, 84–87 | Emergency Powers of the Governor Act of |
| contract rights during, 88–95 | 1945, 176 |
| countermajoritarian elements during, 86-87 | Flint water crisis, 301 |
| individual rights during, 201, 204–5 | nondelegation doctrine in, 176–77 |
| Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 94–95, 114 | Mill, John Stuart, 139–40 |
| judicial intervention during, 86–87 | Minnesota Rate Case, 131–32 |
| jus publicum principle during, 106–7 | Mississippi, zoning laws in, 130 |
| laissez faire constitutionalism and 84-87 107-11 | morals regulations |

| for abortion, 141 | New Jersey |
|--|--|
| for adult entertainment, 143 | state constitution of, 136 |
| judicial evaluation of, 144 | zoning laws in, 119–20 |
| for alcohol and drug consumption, 140, 144, 148 | New York |
| through animal welfare laws, 150 | Forbes' Case, 80–81 |
| under Commerce Clause, 140–41 | state constitution for, 201 |
| criminalization of sex work, 148–49 | New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 205, |
| culture wars and, 149–50 | 298–300 |
| First Amendment and, 143 | New York v. United States, 261 |
| for gambling, 140–41 | Newsom, Gavin, 271 |
| harm principle and, 139–40 | NFIB v. Sebelius, 269–70 |
| individual liberty and, 141–42 | NIMBY movement, 293 |
| Bill of Rights and, 145–46 | Ninth Amendment, 226–29 |
| libertarian constitutionalism and, 142 | nondelegation doctrine, 176–79 |
| liberty of contract and, 142 | administrative power limits under, 188 |
| legitimacy of, 142–43 | Major Questions Doctrine and, 191–92 |
| against LGBTQ+ populations, 149–50 | Supreme Court support for, 191 |
| Mill on, 139–40 | Novak, William J., 42 |
| Novak on, 138–39 | on morals regulations, 138–39 |
| police power and, 138, 150–51 | on police power, 7–8, 76, 122 |
| public indecency statutes, 166 | on positive rights, 236 |
| for public safety, 145 | on rights to public infrastructure, 64 |
| under Restatement (Second) of Torts, 145 | on salus populi principle, 58, 125–26 |
| scope of, 139–40 | on state constitutional rights, 220 |
| sic utere principle and, 139-40, 142-43, 144-45 | nudges, 326–27 |
| in state constitutions, 137 | nuisance laws |
| origins of, 137–38 | jus publicum principle and, 61 |
| Mugler v. Kansas, 89-91, 93-94, 125, 131-32 | moral nuisance, 145 |
| Muller v. Oregon, 108–9 | police power and, 79 |
| Munn v. Illinois, 8, 71, 72, 74, 89, 131–32 | public infrastructure and, 79 |
| | Restatement (Second) of Torts, 145 |
| Nachbar, Thomas, 258 | sic utere principle and, 56, 57 |
| National Complete Streets Coalition, 297 | Nussim, Jacob, 322 |
| National Labor Relations Act, US (1935), 88, 262 | • |
| national police power, 266–70 | Obergefell v. Hodges, 147–48, 247–48 |
| National Pork Producers Council v. Ross, 265 | occupational licensing |
| natural law | police power and, 157–58 |
| Banner on, 81, 82–83, 73 | Uniform Bar Exam and, 158 |
| police power and, 73 | O'Connor, Sandra Day (Justice), 146-47, 217-18 |
| natural rights, 58, 78, 81, 223–26 | Oklahoma, state constitution of, 136 |
| in Bill of Rights, 223–24 | "On Participation" (Pitkin and Shumer), 184 |
| Locke on, 224–26, 235 | O'Neal, Emmett, 105 |
| police power compared to, 227–28 | opportunistic federalism, 272–73 |
| property and, 73 | originalist interpretations, of state |
| Nebbia v. New York, 84–85, 108–9, 237 | constitutions, 164 |
| Nedelsky, Jennifer, 24, 126 | · |
| negative rights, 220 | Paine, Thomas, 203, 223 |
| New Deal, 11, 134–35, 262–63 | Parmet, Wendy, 169–70 |
| administrative bureaucracy under, 189, 190 | patria potestas, 45 |
| federalist expansion during, 263 | Pearson, Susan, 84 |
| Second New Deal, 262 | Penn Central case, 214, 215-16, 233 |
| New Hampshire | Pennsylvania |
| lack of chief executive in, 20 | Declaration of Rights, 20 |
| state constitution for, 82–83 | state constitution in, 29 |
| * | <i>'</i> |

| Pennsylvania Coal v. Mahon | Novak on, 7–8, 76, 122 |
|--|--|
| eminent domain and, 86, 101, 102-4, 128 | nuisance laws and, 79 |
| Kohler Act and, 103 | obscurity of, 178–79 |
| takings law and, 103–4, 128 | occupational licensing and, 157–58 |
| People ex rel LeRoy v. Hurlbut, 180–81 | during post-Reconstruction era, 88-95, 124 |
| People v. Adams, 159 | practical purpose of, 6–7 |
| The People's Welfare (Novak), 7–8, 76 | private property and, 101 |
| public morality in, 138–39 | property rights and, 43 |
| performance-based regulations, 321 | public health regulation and, 158–62, 169–70 |
| Pigovian taxes, 321–22 | public works and, 64–67 |
| Pildes, Richard, 249 | during Reconstruction era, 72-75 |
| Pitkin, Hannah Fenichel, 184 | regulatory takings doctrine, 104–5 |
| Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 146-47 | salus populi principle and, 62, 63, 152 |
| Plessy v. Ferguson, 7, 71, 74, 91–94, 289 | federalism and, 265–66 |
| Harlan dissent in, 92–94, 244–45 | The Slaughterhouse Cases, 70–71, 90, 125 |
| Poe v. Ullman, 241 | as social control mechanism, 46 |
| police power. See also federalism, federal power | state constitutions and, 72, 228 |
| and; localism, of police power; salus | as core component of, 2 |
| populi principle; sic utere principle; | structural approaches to, 5 |
| specific cases; specific topics | in urban settings, 151–57 |
| Blackstone on, 45–46, 60 | eminent domain issues, 152 |
| Brick Presbyterian Church v. Mayor of New | for land use regulations, 153 |
| York, 56–57 | for tenant protection, 155 |
| Brown v. Maryland, 26 | zoning laws, 153–56 |
| in Commentaries on the Laws of | Vanderbilt v. Ames, 63 |
| England, 45 | "wicked problems" and, 193 |
| Commonwealth v. Alger, 58–60, 79–80 | zoning laws and, 121–22 |
| conceptual approach to, 1–11, 158–62 | police power national, 266–70 |
| analysis of, 331-32 | political rights, 213 |
| contract rights and, 43 | political skepticism |
| as control mechanism, 45-46 | state constitutions and, 18, 25-28, 36-37 |
| Covid-19 pandemic and, 158–62 | Wood on, 26, 86 |
| early legislative lawmaking as influence on, | popular sovereignty, through state constitutions, |
| 67–68 | 22–24 |
| eminent domain and, 101–5 | constitutional structure, 125 |
| expansion of, 10 | good governance and, 23–24 |
| federalism and, 51–55 | in post-revolutionary era, 23 |
| Fourteenth Amendment and, 70–71 | positive rights, 226–29 |
| Freund on, 138 | Novak on, 236 |
| general welfare protections and, 55–64 | public safety policies and, 306 |
| Gibbons v. Ogden, 52 | right to education, 228 |
| historical development of, 45 | right to health care, 228 |
| history of, 3–5 | sic utere principle and, 228–29 |
| role of Supreme Court in, 3–4 | Post, Robert, 3 |
| as household management, 46 | post-Reconstruction era. See also Lochner era, for |
| infrastructure and, 64–67 | US Supreme Court; Progressive era |
| judicial interpretation of, 250–51 | administrative policy during, 87–95 |
| laissez faire constitutionalism and, 107-11 | collective welfare policy, 93 |
| The License Cases, 60, 89 | legislative skepticism during, 93 |
| litigation over, 4 | national legislation policy during, 87–95 |
| morals regulations and, 138, 150–51 | expansion of, 88 |
| national, 266–70 | for police power, 88–95, 124 |
| natural law and, 73 | in Supreme Court cases, 88–95 |
| natural rights compared to, 227–28 | property rights during, 93, 211 |

| reasonableness standards during, 111–16 | natural rights and, 73 |
|--|--|
| unreasonable statutes during, 93 | Penn Central case, 214, 215–16, 233 |
| Pound, Dean Roscoe, 197–98 | police power and, 43 |
| power, structuring of, 193–94 | political rights and, 213 |
| Precautionary Principle, 288 | during post-Reconstruction era, 93, 211 |
| preemption, 269–70 | during Progressive era, 211 |
| presumption of liberty, 193–94 | reconfiguration of, 211–12 |
| Primus, Richard, 279–80 | salus populi principle and, 215 |
| private law | under state constitutions, 28–32 |
| public law compared to, 9 | private property protections, 37, 38 |
| sic utere principle in, 55 | takings cases, 214–15, 216–18 |
| private property law | Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins, 216-17 |
| in classic common law traditions, 69-70 | public choice theory, 318–19 |
| under Contract Clause, 65–66 | public health regulation |
| police power and, 101–5 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 158-62, 169-70, |
| during Progressive era, 98–99, 127 | 308–10 |
| under state constitutions, 37, 38 | First Amendment protections, 160 |
| privilege-no right, 197–98 | legal reasoning for, 160–61 |
| proceduralist review, of arbitrariness, 243 | Little Rock v. Arkansas, 169 |
| Progressive era. See also Lochner era, for US | police power and, 158–62 |
| Supreme Court | public indecency statutes, 166 |
| administrative policy during, 87–95 | public interest, 72 |
| Bureau of Animal Industry, 88 | public law, private law compared to, 9 |
| countermajoritarian instruments during, 100 | public meaning, of constitutions, 48-51, 77 |
| eminent domain during, 101–5 | public purpose requirement, 106 |
| Federal Communications Commission, 88 | public rights, 28 |
| jus publicum principle during, 100 | jus publicum principle and, 63 |
| labor laws during, 88 | public safety policies |
| massive public investment during, 87–88 | citizen safety and, 145, 296–97 |
| national legislation policy during, 87–95 | as positive right, 306 |
| expansion of, 88 | reimagination of, 305–8 |
| for police power, 88–95, 124 | public trust doctrine |
| in Supreme Court cases, 88–95 | during Progressive era, 100 |
| private property rights during, 98–99, 127 | public welfare policy and, 185 |
| property rights during, 211 | state constitutions and, 28 |
| public trust doctrine, 100 | "public use" |
| public welfare policy during, 185, 186–87 | eminent domain and, 13 |
| reasonableness standards during, 111–16 | in takings cases, 11 |
| salus populi principle during, 63 | public welfare policy, requirements of, 185–88 |
| sic utere principle during, 63 | common good constitutionalism, 186–87 |
| takings law during, 101 | cost-benefit analysis of, 186 |
| Progressivism, 47–48 | development of, 185 |
| property, definition of, 103 | as duty of public care, 186 |
| property rights, 211-18. See also private property | during Progressive era, 185, 186–87 |
| law; zoning laws | public trust doctrine and, 185 |
| Agins v. Tiburon, 214, 215–16 | salus populi principle and, 288–91 |
| under Contracts Clause, 69–70 | Vermeule on, 186–87 |
| eminent domain and, 214–15 | public works, police power and, 64–67 |
| freedom to use, 56 | |
| International News Service v. Associated | Raffensberger v. Jackson, 237–39, 257 |
| Press, 97–98 | Rakove, Jack, 36–37, 201 |
| The License Cases, 60, 70 | Randolph, Edmund, 26 |
| during Lochner era Supreme Court, 88–95 | ratemaking authorities, 131–32 |
| during modern era, 212–13 | rational choice theory, 32 |

| Rawls, John, 167–68 | due process, 208–11 |
|--|---|
| Reaching our Sisters Everywhere (ROSE), 237 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 210 |
| Reagan, Ronald, 135 | restriction of state regulatory authority |
| reasonableness standards, 240–42, 260 | and, 68 |
| judicial review and, 240–41 | to equal protection, 208–11 |
| during post-Reconstruction era, 111–16 | expansion of, 202 |
| during Progressive era, 111–16 | federal power and, 201 |
| Reconstruction amendments, 264 | under First Amendment, 202–3, 206–7 |
| salus populi principle and, 289 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 160 |
| Reconstruction era. See also | free speech, 203–5 |
| post-Reconstruction era | Lochnerism and, 11 |
| legislative skepticism during, 86 | morals regulations and, 143 |
| police power at end of, 72–75 | judicial intervention and, 203 |
| Redish, Martin, 204 | judicial review and, 221–23 |
| regulatory governance. See also takings law | during Lochner era of Supreme Court, 201, |
| administered constitutionalism and, 134–35 | 204–5 |
| anti-administrativism and, 135 | during modern era, 230–31 |
| conceptual approach to, 283–85 | natural, 58, 78, 81, 223–26 |
| intervention techniques | in Bill of Rights, 223–24 |
| classic models, 318–21 | Locke on, 224–26, 235 |
| command-and-control regulations, 322 | police power compared to, 227–28 |
| disclosure regulations, 320 | property and, 73 |
| incentive-based regulations, 320–21 | negative rights, 220 |
| performance-based regulations, 321 | original forms of, 201 |
| taxation, 321–23 | political, 213 |
| modern approaches to, 133–36, 162–63 | positive, 226–29 |
| New Deal policies, 134–35 | Novak on, 236 |
| nudges in, 326–27 | public safety policies and, 306 |
| heuristics and, 326 | right to education, 228 |
| public choice theory, 318–19 | right to health care, 228 |
| public faith in, 135 | sic utere principle and, 228–29 |
| rights revolution and, 136 | salus populi principle and, 204, 207 |
| at state level, 134–35 | under Second Amendment, 206–7 |
| growth of, 134–35 | under state constitutions |
| regulatory takings doctrine, 104–5 | form of, 219–21 |
| Lochner era Supreme Court, 86 | function of, 219–21 |
| Rehnquist, William (Chief Justice), 267 | individual rights in, 219 |
| Reich, Charles, 212 | as trump of federal power, 207–8 |
| Renton v. Playtime Theatres, 143 | rights revolution, 136 |
| representative democracy, 24-25, 93-94 | Roberts, John (Chief Justice), 233, 247, 269–70 |
| Restatement (Second) of Torts, 145 | Rodriguez, Cristina, 183 |
| right to education. See education, right to | Roe v. Wade, 141, 145–46 |
| right to health care. See health care, right to | Romer v. Evans, 147, 245–46, 247–48 |
| rights, individual, in US Constitution, 68-72. See | ROSE. See Reaching our Sisters Everywhere |
| also property rights; specific rights | (ROSE) |
| in Bill of Rights, 69–70, 81–82, 201 | Rossi, James, 63–64 |
| in Delaware, 23 | rule of law, 188–93 |
| morals regulation and, 145–46 | |
| natural rights, 223–24 | Sacks, Albert, 251 |
| "boundary picking" and, 108–9, 201 | safety policies. See public safety policies |
| Campbell on, 203–4, 207 | salus populi principle, 9, 10 |
| conceptual approach to, 200–8 | arbitrariness and, 244 |
| contestation of, 229–31 | eminent domain and, 152 |
| definition of, 229–31 | individual rights and, 204, 207 |

| Novak on, 58, 125–26 | in early lawmaking, 68 |
|--|---|
| police power and, 62, 63, 152, 288–91 | morals regulations and, 139–40, 142–43, |
| federalism and, 265–66 | 144-45 |
| during Progressive era, 63 | nuisance laws and, 56, 57 |
| property rights and, 215 | positive rights and, 228–29 |
| public welfare and, 288–91 | Precautionary Principle, 288 |
| Reconstruction amendments and, 289 | in private law, 55 |
| zoning laws and, 117–18, 119 | during Progressive era, 63 |
| Sanders, Anthony, 223 | tort law and, 91, 286–87 |
| Sassen, Saskia, 182–83 | Vanderbilt v. Adams, 57 |
| Sato, Sho, 182 | skepticism |
| Sax, Joseph, 216–17 | of administrative bureaucracy, 190–91 |
| Scalia, Antonin (Justice), 174–75, 205 | of expertise, 190–91 |
| Schad v. Mount Ephraim, 143 | legislative, 73 |
| Scheiber, Harry, 8, 42, 72, 238, 324–25 | during Reconstruction era, 86 |
| on infrastructure construction, 65 | of localism of police power, 184 |
| Schleicher, David, 292 | political |
| Schmitt, Carl, 51 | of state constitutions, 18, 25–28, 36–37, 86 |
| Schragger, Richard, 182–83 | Wood on, 26, 86 |
| Schwartzman, Micah, 246 | of self-dealing, 249–50 |
| Second Amendment, 206–7, 298–300. See also | of zoning laws, 156 |
| guns and firearms, regulation of | Skowronek, Stephen, 262 |
| Second New Deal, 262 | The Slaughterhouse Cases |
| Securities & Exchange Acts, US, 262 | laissez faire constitutionalism and, 95 |
| Seifter, Miriam, 175, 198 | police power in, 70–71, 90, 125 |
| self-dealing, 248–50 | Smart America, 297 |
| judicial review and, 249 | Smith, Adam, 45 |
| skepticism of, 249–50 | Smith, Henry, 213 |
| self-enforcing equilibrium, 32–33 "separate spheres" analysis, of | social control, through police power, 46 |
| federalism, 270–71 | Sotomayor, Sonia (Justice), 247 |
| separation of powers, in state constitutions, 88, | Souter, David (Justice), 242, 266–67 special purpose authorities, 189–90 |
| 166, 173–79 | Spencer, Herbert, 204 |
| during Covid-19 pandemic, 175–76 | state constitutions, state constitutionalism and. |
| nondelegation doctrine, 176–77, 178–79 | See also specific states |
| of plenary power, 174 | amendments to, 74, 125 |
| statutory interpretation of, 176 | British constitutionalism and, 29 |
| unbundled executive power, 174 | common law traditions and, 30–31, 55–64 |
| sex work, criminalization of, 148–49 | judicial intervention, 30, 31–32, 179 |
| SFAA v. Harvard, 209 | judicial review, 30–32 |
| SFAA v. U. North Carolina, 209 | conceptual approach to, 137 |
| Shapiro, Martin, 165 | consent principles in, 22–24 |
| Shaw, Lemuel (Justice), 106–7 | evolution of, 23 |
| Sherman Antitrust Act, US, 262 | good governance in, 23–24 |
| Shill, Gregory, 296–97 | Constitutional Convention, 22, 26 |
| Shoked, Nadav, 184 | as countermajoritarian mechanism, 32-33, 101 |
| Shumer, Sara, 184 | during Covid-19 pandemic, 5 |
| sic utere principle, 69-70, 285-88 | scope of constitutional powers, 160 |
| arbitrariness and, 244 | democracy and |
| Brick Presbyterian Church v. Mayor of New | legislative supremacy, 25 |
| York, 56–57 | representative, 24-25, 93-94 |
| in common law, 55 | executive powers under, 19, 201 |
| during Covid-19 pandemic, 286–87 | failure of, 2–3 |
| damage and, 286 | Federalist Papers and, 18, 22, 36, 230 |
| | |

| state constitutions, state constitutionalism (cont.) | subordination in, 22–24 |
|--|---|
| good governance through, 3, 32–35 | Tarr on, 18, 29, 36, 41, 266 |
| consent principles and, 23–24 | on changes in state constitutions, 136, 220 |
| as project, 34–35 | theories for, 2–3 |
| individual rights in, 219 | political, 63–64 |
| legislative powers under, 19 | rational choice theory, 32 |
| limits on, 26–27 | US Constitution as foundational influence |
| separation of, 88, 166 | |
| | on, 2–3 |
| length and stability of, 32 | state regulatory authority, restriction of |
| Major Questions Doctrine and, 191–92 | through due process, 68 |
| modern developments in, 136–51, 162–63 | through liberty of contact, 68 |
| governance strategies, 137 | State v. Reid, 206 |
| morals regulation, 137–38 | state-building, during Lochner era Supreme |
| positive rights, 136–37 | Court, 84 |
| morals regulations in, 137 | Stevens, John Paul, 146 |
| origins of, 137–38 | subordination, in state |
| Novak on, 220 | constitutions, 22–24 |
| objectives of, 2, 3 | Sunstein, Cass, 248, 288, 326 |
| originalist approach to, 164 | Supremacy Clause, 53 |
| police power and, 72, 228 | Supreme Court, US. See also Lochner era, for |
| as core component of constitutions, 2 | US Supreme Court; specific cases |
| political strategy and, 48–51 | gun regulation and, 298–300 |
| popular sovereignty through, 22-24 | history of police power and, 3-4 |
| through constitutional structure, 125 | Major Questions Doctrine and, 191 |
| good governance and, 23–24 | nondelegation doctrine and, 191 |
| in post-revolutionary era, 23 | during post-Reconstruction era, 88–95 |
| property rights and, 28–32 | Sutton, Jeff, 31, 137, 181–82, 219 |
| private property protections, 37, 38 | synoptic review, of arbitrariness, 243 |
| public meaning of, 48–51, 77 | symophic review, or around micros, 245 |
| public rights and, 28 | takings law, 230, 323-26. See also specific cases |
| public trust doctrine and, 28 | liability rule, 324 |
| purpose of, 17–18, 48–51 | during Progressive era, 101 |
| reform as constitutional reset, 25–28 | property rights and, 214–15, 216–18 |
| | |
| through skepticism, 86 | "public use" in, 11, 71 |
| renaissance for, 98–99 | Taney, Roger B. (Chief Justice), 60, 70 |
| representation and, 24–25 | Tarr, Alan, 18, 29, 36, 41, 266 |
| democracy and, 93–94 | on state constitutional changes, 136, 220 |
| during revolutionary era, 18–22 | taxation, 321–23 |
| active governance as goal of, 20, 201 | Pigovian taxes, 321–22 |
| colonials' connection to Great Britain, 18, 29 | Taylor, Frederick Winslow, 189 |
| constitutions as instruments of governance, 21 | tenant protections, police power and, 155 |
| early framers of state constitutions, 18–20, 21 | Tenth Amendment, 51–52, 54, 226–29 |
| political legacy of, 21–22 | Terminiello v. Chicago, 202–3 |
| right to liberty, 28–32 | Texas v. Johnson, 202–3 |
| separation of powers in, 88, 166, 173-79 | Thaler, Richard, 326 |
| during Covid-19 pandemic, 175–76 | Thayer, James Bradley (Justice), 201 |
| nondelegation doctrine, 176–77, 178–79 | A Theory of Justice (Rawls), 167–68 |
| plenary power, 174 | Thomas v. Smith, 121 |
| statutory interpretation of, 176 | Thorpe v. Rutland & Burlington Railroad Co., |
| unbundled executive power, 174 | 63-64 |
| skepticism of, 18, 25–28, 36–37 | Thurlow v. Massachusetts, 140 |
| Wood on, 26, 86 | Tiebout, Charles, 274 |
| structure of, 25–28 | Tiedeman, Christopher, 42, 100, 125–26 |
| popular sovereignty through, 125 | Tomlins, Christopher, 7, 80, 310 |
| r - r | 10, O |

| tort law | Virginia v. Black, 203 |
|--|--|
| general welfare protections under, 55–64 | Voting Rights Act of 1965, US, 290 |
| Restatement (Second) of Torts, 145 | |
| sic utere principle and, 91, 286-87 | Waldron, Jeremy, 207–8 |
| transportation policies, 296-97 | Washington v. Davis, 209 |
| National Complete Streets Coalition, 297 | Washington v. Glucksburg, 241–42 |
| Smart America, 297 | water law doctrines, 100 |
| Tribe, Laurence, 108 | wealth inequality, infrastructure and, 302-5 |
| Trump v. Hawaii, 247 | Weber, Max, 189 |
| Trustees of Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 82 | Weingast, Barry, 59 |
| Tversky, Amos, 326 | Weisbach, David, 322 |
| tyranny, Madison on, 203 | West Coast Hotel v. Parrish, 84-85 |
| | Whiggish political theory, 139–40 |
| Uniform Bar Exam, 158 | White, G. Edward, 44 |
| United States (US). See also Constitution, | White, Ted, 77, 108–9, 201, 238 |
| US; constitutionalism; New Deal; state | Whitmer, Gretchen, 176 |
| constitutions, state constitutionalism | Wickard v. Filburn, 267 |
| and; Supreme Court, US; specific states; | "wicked problems," police power and, 193 |
| specific topics | Williams, Robert, 26, 137 |
| Administrative Procedure Act, 116, 262–63 | Williamson v. Lee Optical, 237–38, 255–56 |
| Affordable Care Act, 268, 269–70 | Wilson, James, 38 |
| Bureau of Animal Industry, 88 | Wisconsin Legislature v. Evers, 176 |
| culture wars in, 149–50 | Wood, Gordon, 18, 20, 24–25, 26, 86 |
| Declaration of Independence, 18 | |
| Federal Communications Act, 262 | Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 92, 202, 244–45 |
| Federal Communications Commission, 88 | YIMBY movement, 293, 294 |
| Federal Trade Commission Act, 262 | Young, Ernie, 272–73, 274 |
| Food & Drug Safety Act, 262 | |
| Interstate Commerce Act, 262–63 | Zackin, Emily, 227, 229 |
| Kohler Act, 103 | zoning laws, 117–22 |
| National Labor Relations Act, 88, 262 | Ambler Realty Co. v. Village of Euclid, 118–21 |
| Securities & Exchange Acts, 262 | Claeys on, 120 |
| Sherman Antitrust Act, 262 | as common good, 120–21 |
| Voting Rights Act of 1965, 290 | in common law tradition, 122 |
| United States Dep't of Agriculture v. Moreno, | early establishment and regulation of, 117–18 |
| ² 44-45 | Euclidean, 118–21 |
| United States v. Lopez, 261, 265 | housing policies and, 291–93 |
| United States v. Morrison, 261, 266-67 | construction prohibitions and, 292 |
| United States v. Windsor, 247–48, 265 | criticism of, 294 |
| urban blight, 153 | NIMBY movement, 293 |
| Urofsky, Melvin, 127 | YIMBY movement, 293, 294 |
| US. See United States (US) | during Lochner era Supreme Court, 118–21 |
| 77 1 1 1 1 A 1 | Mansfssield & Swett v. Town of W. Orange, |
| Vanderbilt v. Adams, 57 | 119, 121 |
| Vanderbilt v. Ames, 63 | in Mississippi, 130 |
| Vattel, Emer de, 45, 310 | in New Jersey, 119–20 |
| Vermeule, Adrian, 63–64, 186–87 | police power and, 121–22 |
| vested rights, 65, 96 | salus populi principle and, 117–18, 119 |
| Village of Belle Terre v. Boraas, 153 | skepticism of, 156 Thomas v. Smith, 121 |
| Virginia | |
| state constitution in, 23 zoning laws in, 121 | in urban settings, 153–56 in Virginia, 121 |
| Zoming laws m, 121 | v 1181111a, 121 |
| | |