ON THE TWO-PARAMETER ERDŐS-FALCONER DISTANCE PROBLEM IN FINITE FIELD[S](#page-0-0)

FRANCOIS CLÉMENT[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9206-3698) HOSSEIN NASSAJIAN MOJARRA[D](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0741-6060)[®], DUC $HIEP PHAM[•]$ and CHUN-YEN SHEN

(Received 7 June 2022; accepted 23 June 2022; first published online 29 September 2022)

Abstract

Given $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$, with the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of order *q* and the integer $d \geq 2$, we define the two-parameter distance set $\Delta_{d,d}(E) = \{(\|x - y\|, \|z - t\|): (x, z), (y, t) \in E\}$. Birklbauer and Iosevich ['A two-parameter finite field Erdős–Falconer distance problem', *Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc.* 61 (2017), 21–30] proved that if $|E| \gg q^{(3d+1)/2}$, then $|\Delta_{d,d}(E)| = q^2$. For $d = 2$, they showed that if $|E| \gg q^{10/3}$, then $|\Delta_{2,2}(E)| \gg q^2$. In this paper, we give extensions and improvements of these results. Given the diagonal polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, the distance induced by P over \mathbb{F}_q^d is $||x - y||_s := P(x - y)$, with the corresponding distance set $\Delta_{d,d}^s(E) = \{ (||x - y||_s, ||z - t||_s) : (x, z), (y, t) \in E \}.$ We show that if $|E| \gg q^{(3d+1)/2}$. then $|\Delta_{d,d}^s(E)| \gg q^2$. For $d = 2$ and the Euclidean distance, we improve the former result over prime fields by showing that $|\Delta_{2,2}(E)| \gg p^2$ for $|E| \gg p^{13/4}$.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 52C10; secondary 11T99.

Keywords and phrases: Erdős–Falconer distance problem, finite fields.

1. Introduction

The general Erdős distance problem is to determine the number of distinct distances spanned by a finite set of points. In the Euclidean space, it is conjectured that for any finite set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \ge 2$, we have $|\Delta(E)| \ge |E|^{2/d}$, where $\Delta(E) = \{||x - y|| : x, y \in E\}$. Here and throughout, $X \ll Y$ means that there exists $C > 0$ such that $X \leq CY$, and *X* \leq *Y* with the parameter *N* means that for any ε > 0, there exists C_{ε} > 0 such that $X \leq C_{\varepsilon}N^{\varepsilon}Y$.

The finite field analogue of the distance problem was first studied by Bourgain *et al.* [\[2\]](#page-4-0) over prime fields. In this setting, the Euclidean distance between any two points $x =$ (x_1, \ldots, x_d) , $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, the *d*-dimensional vector space over the finite field
 \mathbb{F}_q of order a is \mathbb{F}_q , $y = \sum_{k=1}^d (x_k - y_k)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For prime fields \mathbb{F}_q , with $y = 1 \pmod{4}$. \mathbb{F}_q of order q, is $||x - y|| = \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For prime fields \mathbb{F}_p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, they showed that if $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p^2$ with $|E| = p^\delta$ for some $0 < \delta < 2$, then the distance set satisfies $|\Delta(E)| \gg |E|^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on δ .

The second-listed author was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant P2ELP2-178313. © The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

This bound does not hold in general for arbitrary finite fields \mathbb{F}_q , as shown by Iosevich and Rudnev [\[9\]](#page-4-1). In this general setting, they considered the Erdős–Falconer distance problem to determine how large $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ needs to be so that $\Delta(E)$ spans all possible distances or at least a positive proportion of them. More precisely, they proved that $\Delta(E) = \mathbb{F}_q$ if $|E| > 2q^{(d+1)/2}$ in the all distances case, and also conjectured that $|\Delta(E)| \gg a$ if $|E| \gg a^{d/2+\epsilon}$ in the positive proportion case. In [6] it was shown that $|\Delta(E)| \gg q$ if $|E| \gg_{\varepsilon} q^{d/2+\varepsilon}$ in the positive proportion case. In [\[6\]](#page-4-2), it was shown that the exponent in the all distances case is shorp for add d and the conjecture for the the exponent in the all distances case is sharp for odd *d*, and the conjecture for the positive proportion case holds for all $E \subset \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : ||x|| = 1\}$. It is conjectured that in even dimensions, the optimal exponent is $d/2$ for the all distances case. In particular for $d = 2$, it was shown in [\[3\]](#page-4-3) that if $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^2$ satisfies $|E| \gg q^{4/3}$, then $|\Delta(E)| \gg q$, improving the positive proportion case. The proofs in [\[3\]](#page-4-3) use extension estimates for circles. Therefore, one would expect to get improvements for distance problems if one can obtain improved estimates for extension problems.

There have been a recent series of other improvements and generalisations on the Erdős–Falconer distance problem. In [[7\]](#page-4-4), a generalisation for subsets of regular varieties was studied. Extension theorems and their connection to the Erdős–Falconer problem are the main focus of [\[10\]](#page-4-5). The exponents $(d+1)/2$ and $d/2$ were improved in [\[13,](#page-4-6) [14\]](#page-4-7) for subsets *E* with Cartesian product structure in the all distances case for $|\Delta(E)|$ and in the positive proportion case for the quotient distance set $|\Delta(E)/\Delta(E)|$.

A two-parameter variant of the Erdős–Falconer distance problem for the Euclidean distance was studied by Birklbauer and Iosevich in [\[1\]](#page-4-8). More precisely, given $E \subseteq$ $\mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$, where $d \geq 2$, define the two-parameter distance set as

$$
\Delta_{d,d}(E) = \{ (||x - y||, ||z - t||) : (x, z), (y, t) \in E \} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

They proved the following results.

THEOREM 1.1 [\[1\]](#page-4-8). Let E be a subset in $\mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$. If $|E| \gg q^{(3d+1)/2}$, then $|\Delta_{d,d}(E)| = q^2$. THEOREM 1.2 [\[1\]](#page-4-8). Let E be a subset in $\mathbb{F}_q^2 \times \mathbb{F}_q^2$. If $|E| \gg q^{10/3}$, then $|\Delta_{2,2}(E)| \gg q^2$.

In this short note, we provide an extension and an improvement of these results. Unlike [\[1\]](#page-4-8), which relies heavily on Fourier analytic techniques, we use an elementary counting approach.

Let $\overline{P}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be a fixed diagonal polynomial in *d* variables
degree $s \ge 2$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{F}^d$, we introduce of degree $s \ge 2$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we introduce

$$
||x - y||_s := P(x - y) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i (x_i - y_i)^s \in \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

For any set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$, define

$$
\Delta_{d,d}^s(E) = \{ (||x - y||_s, ||z - t||_s) : (x, z), (y, t) \in E \} \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

Our first result reads as follows.

THEOREM 1.3. Let E be a subset in $\mathbb{F}_q^d \times \mathbb{F}_q^d$. If $|E| \gg q^{(3d+1)/2}$, then $|\Delta_{d,d}^s(E)| \gg q^2$.

Our method also works for the multi-parameter distance set for $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{d_1+\cdots+d_k}$, but we do not discuss such extensions here. For $d = 2$, we get an improved version of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) for the Euclidean distance function over prime fields.

THEOREM 1.4. Let
$$
E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^2 \times \mathbb{F}_p^2
$$
, $f|E| \gg p^{13/4}$, then $|\Delta_{2,2}(E)| \gg p^2$.

The continuous versions of Theorems [1.3](#page-2-0) and [1.4](#page-2-1) have been studied in [\[4,](#page-4-9) [5,](#page-4-10) [8\]](#page-4-11). We do not know whether our method can be extended to that setting. It follows from our approach that the conjectured exponent *^d*/2 of the (one-parameter) distance problem would imply the sharp exponent for the two-parameter analogue, namely 3*d*/2, for even dimensions. We refer to [\[1\]](#page-4-8) for constructions and more discussions.

2. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0)

By using the following auxiliary result whose proof relies on Fourier analytic methods (see [\[15,](#page-4-12) Theorem 2.3] and [\[11,](#page-4-13) Corollaries 3.1 and 3.4]), we are able to give an elegant proof for Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) Compared with the method in [\[1\]](#page-4-8), ours is more elementary.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let* $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$. *Define* $\Delta^s(X, Y) = {\|x - y\|_{s}: x \in X, y \in Y\}}$. If $|X||Y| \gg$ q^{d+1} *, then* $|\Delta^{s}(X, Y)| \gg q$ *.*

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.3.](#page-2-0) By assumption, $|E| \geq Cq^{d+(d+1)/2}$ for some constant $C > 0$. For $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, let $E_y := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : (x, y) \in E\}$ and define

$$
Y := \{ y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : |E_y| > \frac{1}{2} C q^{(d+1)/2} \}.
$$

We first show that $|Y| \ge \frac{1}{2}Cq^{(d+1)/2}$. Note that

$$
|E| = \sum_{y \in Y} |E_y| + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus Y} |E_y| \le q^d |Y| + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus Y} |E_y|,
$$

where the last inequality holds since $|E_y| \le q^d$ for $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Combining it with the assumption on |*E*| gives the lower bound

$$
\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q^d\setminus Y}|E_y|\geq Cq^{d+(d+1)/2}-q^d|Y|.
$$

However, by definition, $|E_y| \leq \frac{1}{2}Cq^{(d+1)/2}$ for $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus Y$, yielding the upper bound

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus Y} |E_y| \le \frac{1}{2} C q^{d + (d+1)/2}.
$$

These two bounds together give $Cq^{d+(d+1)/2} - q^d|Y| \le \frac{1}{2}Cq^{d+(d+1)/2}$, proving the claimed bound $|Y| \ge \frac{1}{2}Cq^{(d+1)/2}$.

In particular, Lemma [2.1](#page-2-2) implies $|\Delta^s(Y, Y)| \gg q$, as $|Y||Y| \gg q^{d+1}$. However, for each $u \in \Delta^s(Y, Y)$, there are $z, t \in Y$ such that $||z - t||_s = u$. One has $|E_z|, |E_t| \gg q^{(d+1)/2}$, therefore, again by Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-2) $|\Delta^{s}(E_z, E_t)| \gg q$. Furthermore, for $v \in \Delta^{s}(E_z, E_t)$, there are $x \in E_z$ and $y \in E_t$ satisfying $||x - y||_s = v$. Note that $x \in E_z$ and $y \in E_t$ mean that $(x, z), (y, t) \in E$. Thus, $(v, u) = (||x - y||_s, ||z - t||_s) \in \Delta_{d,d}^s(E)$. From this, we conclude that $|\Delta_{d,d}^s(E)| \gg q |\Delta^s(Y, Y)| \gg q^2$, which completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem [1.4](#page-2-1)

To improve the exponent over prime fields \mathbb{F}_p , we strengthen Lemma [2.1](#page-2-2) as shown in Lemma [3.1](#page-3-0) below. Following the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) and using Lemma [3.1](#page-3-0) proves Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1)

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X*, *Y* ⊆ \mathbb{F}_p^2 , *If* |*X*|, |*Y*| ≫ *p*^{5/4}, *then* |Δ(*X*, *Y*)| ≫ *p*.

PROOF. It is clear that if $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$, then $\Delta(X', Y') \subseteq \Delta(X, Y)$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $|X| = |Y| = N$ with $N \gg p^{5/4}$. Let *Q* be the number of quadruples $(x, y, x', y') \in X \times Y \times X \times Y$ such that $||x - y|| = ||x' - y'||$. It follows easily from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$
|\Delta(X,Y)| \gg \frac{|X|^2|Y|^2}{Q}.
$$

Let *T* be the number of triples $(x, y, y') \in X \times Y \times Y$ such that $||x - y|| = ||x - y'||$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality again, one gets $Q \ll |X| \cdot T$. Next, we need to bound *T*. For this, denote $Z = X \cup Y$, so that $N \leq |Z| \leq 2N$. Let *T* be the number of triples (a, b, c) ∈ *Z* × *Z* × *Z* such that $||a - b|| = ||a - c||$. Obviously, *T* ≤ *T'*. However, it was recently proved (see [\[12,](#page-4-14) Theorem 4]) that

$$
T' \ll \frac{|Z|^3}{p} + p^{2/3} |Z|^{5/3} + p^{1/4} |Z|^2,
$$

which gives

$$
T \ll \frac{N^3}{p} + p^{2/3} N^{5/3} + p^{1/4} N^2,
$$

and then $T \ll N^3/p$ (since $N \gg p^{5/4}$). Putting all the bounds together, we obtain

$$
\frac{N^3}{|\Delta(X, Y)|} = \frac{|X||Y|^2}{|\Delta(X, Y)|} \ll \frac{Q}{|X|} \ll T \ll \frac{N^3}{p},
$$

or equivalently, $|\Delta(X, Y)| \gg p$, as required.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Dr. Thang Pham for sharing insights and new ideas.

References

- [1] P. Birklbauer and A. Iosevich, 'A two-parameter finite field Erdős–Falconer distance problem', *Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc.* 61 (2017), 21–30.
- [2] J. Bourgain, N. Katz and T. Tao, 'A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications', *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 14 (2004), 27–57.
- [3] J. Chapman, M. Erdogan, D. Hart, A. Iosevich and D. Koh, 'Pinned distance sets, *k*-simplices, Wolff's exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates', *Math. Z.* 271 (2012), 63–93.
- [4] X. Du, Y. Ou and R. Zhang, 'On the multiparameter Falconer distance problem', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 375 (2022), 4979–5010.
- [5] K. Hambrook, A. Iosevich and A. Rice, 'Group actions and a multi-parameter Falconer distance problem', Preprint, 2017, [arXiv:1705.03871.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03871)
- [6] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh and M. Rudnev, 'Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdös–Falconer distance conjecture', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (2011), 3255–3275.
- [7] D. Hieu and T. Pham, 'Distinct distances on regular varieties over finite fields', *J. Number Theory* 173 (2017), 602–613.
- [8] A. Iosevich, M. Janczak and J. Passant, 'A multi-parameter variant of the Erdős distance problem', Preprint, 2017, [arXiv:1712.04060.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.04060)
- [9] A. Iosevich and M. Rudnev, 'Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), 6127–6142.
- [10] D. Koh, T. Pham and L. Vinh, 'Extension theorems and a connection to the Erdős–Falconer distance problem over finite fields', *J. Funct. Anal.* 281 (2021), 1–54.
- [11] D. Koh and C.-Y. Shen, 'The generalized Erdős–Falconer distance problems in vector spaces over finite fields', *J. Number Theory* 132 (2012), 2455–2473.
- [12] B. Murphy, G. Petridis, T. Pham, M. Rudnev and S. Stevens, 'On the pinned distances problem in positive characteristic', *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 105 (2022), 469–499.
- [13] T. Pham and A. Suk, 'Structures of distance sets over prime fields', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148 (2020), 3209–3215.
- [14] T. Pham and L. Vinh, 'Distribution of distances in vector spaces over prime fields', *Pacific J. Math.* 309 (2020), 437–451.
- [15] L. Vinh, 'On the generalized Erdős–Falconer distance problems over finite fields', *J. Number Theory* 133 (2013), 2939–2947.

FRANCOIS CLÉMENT,

Sorbonne Université, CNRS, LIP6, Paris, France e-mail: francois.clement@lip6.fr

HOSSEIN NASSAJIAN MOJARRAD,

Courant Institute, New York University, New York 10012, USA e-mail: sn2854@nyu.edu

DUC HIEP PHAM, University of Education, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam e-mail: phamduchiep@vnu.edu.vn

CHUN-YEN SHEN, Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan e-mail: cyshen@math.ntu.edu.tw