


## Review: extended lactation in dairy cattle

J. Sehested<sup>1,†</sup> , C. Gaillard<sup>1,a</sup>, J. O. Lehmann<sup>2</sup>, G. M. Maciel<sup>3,b</sup>, M. Vestergaard<sup>1</sup>, M. R. Weisbjerg<sup>1</sup>, L. Mogensen<sup>2</sup>, L. B. Larsen<sup>3</sup>, N. A. Poulsen<sup>3</sup> and T. Kristensen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark; <sup>3</sup>Department of Food Science, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

(Received 22 August 2018; Accepted 14 March 2019)

*This paper reviews the effects of extended lactation (EXT) as a strategy in dairy cattle on milk production and persistency, reproduction, milk quality, lifetime performance of the cow and finally the economic effects on herd and farm levels as well as the impact on emission of greenhouse gas at product level. Primiparous cows are able to produce equal or more milk per feeding day during EXT compared with a standard 305-d lactation, whereas results for multiparous cows are inconsistent. Cows managed for EXT can achieve a higher lifetime production while delivering milk with unchanged or improved quality properties. Delaying insemination enhances mounting behaviour and allows insemination after the cow's energy balance has become positive. However, in most cases EXT has no effect or a non-significant positive effect on reproduction. The EXT strategy sets off a cascade of effects at herd and farm level. Thus, the EXT strategy leads to fewer calvings and thereby expected fewer diseases, fewer replacement heifers and fewer dry days per cow per year. The optimal lifetime scenario for milk production was modelled to be an EXT of 16 months for first parity cows followed by an EXT of 10 months for later lactations. Modelling studies of herd dynamics indicate a positive effect of EXT on lifetime efficiency (milk per dry matter intake), mainly originating from benefits of EXT on daily milk yield in primiparous cows and the reduced number of replacement heifers. Consequently, EXT also leads to reduced total meat production at herd level. For the farmer, EXT can give the same economic return as a traditional lactation period. At farm level, EXT can contribute to a reduction in the environmental impact of dairy production, mainly as a consequence of the reduced production of beef. A wider dissemination of the EXT concept will be supported by methods to predict which cows may be most suitable for EXT, and clarification of how milking frequency and feeding strategy through the lactation can be organised to support milk yield and an appropriate body condition at the next calving.*

**Keywords:** milk production, milk quality, reproduction, herd scenarios, lifetime scenarios

### Implications

Improved breeding, feeding and management have led to intensive dairy systems with increased milk to meat production based on cows with improved lactation persistency and peak milk yield. The use of extended lactation can increase cows' lifetime and improve lifetime milk production and reduce the frequency per annual cow of the welfare issues associated with calving. Furthermore, extended lactation has the potential to improve the sustainability of dairy production by lower land use and emissions of greenhouse gas.

### Introduction

Lactation in dairy cows can be extended (EXT) beyond the standard 305-d lactation (STD) by delayed rebreeding leading to an extended calving interval (CI). Since the 1990s, various EXT strategies have been studied in a number of experiments (Bertilsson *et al.*, 1997; van Amburgh *et al.*, 1997; Österman and Bertilsson, 2003; Christiansen *et al.*, 2005; Auldust *et al.*, 2007; Kolver *et al.*, 2007; Sorensen *et al.*, 2008), and in one on-farm study (Arbel *et al.*, 2001) where cows were deliberately managed to receive a delayed first insemination. Studies of an EXT strategy where cows likely went through an EXT not on purpose but because of reproductive failure were not included here.

An EXT strategy will decrease the number of calvings per year and decrease the number of health risk periods (mastitis, lameness, metabolic problems in early lactation) associated with calving (Ingvarsen *et al.*, 2003), and thereby the EXT

<sup>a</sup> Present address: PEGASE, INRA, AGROCAMPUS OUEST, 35590 Saint-Gilles, France.

<sup>b</sup> Present address: Innovation R&D, Discover Department, Arla Foods Ingredients Group P/S, Sønderupvej 26, Videbaek 6920, Denmark.

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: [jakob.sehested@anis.au.dk](mailto:jakob.sehested@anis.au.dk)

**Table 1** ECM yield per day of CI (lactating + dry days) of dairy cows in confinement systems during control and EXT. Number of observations (*n*)

Reference	<i>n</i>	Parity	Control lactation			Extended lactation		
			Lactating days	Dry days	kg ECM/day of CI	Lactating days	Dry days	kg ECM/day of CI
Arbel <i>et al.</i> (2001) <sup>1</sup>	937	Primi	340	65	27.7	399	65	28.5
		Multi	320	69	32.8	369	67	33.0
Christiansen <i>et al.</i> (2005) <sup>2</sup>	86	Primi	319	43	20.3	465	46	20.4
		Multi	302	63	21.4	486	51	22.9
Lehmann <i>et al.</i> (2016) <sup>3</sup>	1379	Primi	325	46	22.0	431	50	23.2
		Multi	322	46	27.1	431	50	27.4
Rehn <i>et al.</i> (2000) <sup>4</sup>	165	All	309	59	21.1	369	61	20.6
van Amburgh <i>et al.</i> (1997) <sup>5</sup>	4210	Multi	364	55	26.4	486	55	28.5
Osterman and Bertilsson (2003) <sup>6</sup>	90	Primi	312	55	22.6	471	64	23.9
		Multi	293	85	23.8	419	116	22.8

ECM = energy-corrected milk; Primi = Primiparous; Multi = Multiparous.

<sup>1</sup> High-yielders (Prim: >30 kg ECM/day, Mult: 305-d yield above herd average) were randomly assigned to either control or treatment.

<sup>2</sup> Randomised 2 x 2-factorial design.

<sup>3</sup> Observational study where farmers selected the majority of their cows for extended lactation.

<sup>4</sup> Random allocation at calving between control and experimental group. Swedish Holstein (SLB).

<sup>5</sup> Random allocation to one of four treatments within herd. Only second lactation cows. Cows were treated with bovine somatotropin from day 63 after parturition.

<sup>6</sup> Blocked by calving date and randomly allocated to one of four treatments.

strategy holds the potential to improve dairy cow longevity and lifetime efficiency. Farm economy should potentially benefit from this by reduced costs of insemination, reduced veterinary costs and reduced costs of rearing replacement heifers, which represent the second-largest annual expense of a dairy farm after the feed costs (Bach *et al.*, 2008). Modern dairy cows have been selected for increased milk yield, but this is associated with a decrease in health and reproductive performance (Butler, 2000). With an EXT strategy, the cow is being inseminated in a stage of more positive energy balance (EB) and might also be dried off at a lower milk yield than when managed for STD. However, the individual lactation persistency is varying (Kolver *et al.*, 2007). Both peak yield (kg and days in milk (DIM)) and lactation persistency affect the ability of the individual cow to maintain a high daily milk production during EXT. Delaying rebreeding should itself improve lactation persistency as pregnancy exerts a negative effect on milk yield, especially during the last trimester (e.g. Brotherstone *et al.*, 2004). However, milk yield and persistency might be affected by health incidences during lactation and can also be manipulated through management decisions, including milking frequency and feeding strategy (Stockdale, 2006; Kolver *et al.*, 2007; Grainger *et al.*, 2009).

Managing herds for EXT can be critical for milk quality if cows fail at sustaining high yields (Sorensen *et al.*, 2008). Such scenario is of special relevance for seasonal calving systems, as EXT bulk milk can be problematic for the manufacturer of high-quality dairy products (Auldust *et al.*, 2010).


Recently, focus has also been on the potential impact that an EXT strategy may have on greenhouse gas (GHG) emission from dairy production and herd profitability (Wall *et al.*, 2012; Browne *et al.*, 2015). However, the complex effects on herd dynamics and production performance of changing from an STD strategy to an EXT strategy make it less clear what the aggregated effect will be at herd and farm levels.

This paper aims at reviewing the effects of an EXT strategy in dairy cattle. The review will focus on effects of EXT on main sections: milk production and persistency, reproduction, milk quality, lifetime performance of the cow and herd and farm levels. The paper reviews the scientific literature including results from the recent Danish project REPROLAC on EXT strategy. The empirical basis of REPROLAC was (1) experiments at four private Danish farms (Lehmann *et al.*, 2014, 2016 and 2017; Lehmann, 2016; Maciel *et al.*, 2017); (2) experiment at the experimental farm, Aarhus University, Foulum (Gaillard, 2016; Gaillard *et al.*, 2016a and 2016c; Maciel, 2016; Maciel *et al.*, 2016); (3) lifetime modelling by use of the GARUNS model (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016b); and (4) modelling of various herd scenarios using the SimHerd-model (Lehmann *et al.*, 2016 and 2019).

### Milk production and persistency

The ascending, peak and descending phases of milk yield during the lactation of a dairy cow characterise the course of milk production from calving through cessation by drying off. The rate of decline in milk yield after the peak phase broadly defines milk production persistency, and hence a combination of peak yield and lactation persistency defines total lactation milk production. The following subsections focus on how an EXT strategy and management decisions (milking frequency, feeding strategy) affect milk production and persistency.

Several studies (Table 1) compare EXT with STD. Some studies compare milk production per lactation day, whereas others compare milk production per feeding day, which is the sum of lactating and dry days. Extending the lactation dilutes the effect of including dry days on milk production per feeding day if dry period length is unchanged.



**Figure 1** Mean ECM production per day in relation to five CI groups. Values are per feeding day during CI and per day during the last 45 days of lactation for multiparous cows as well as per day during the first 80 days of the second lactation following an extended first lactation. Error bars indicate standard error. Modified from Lehmann *et al.* (2016). ECM=energy-corrected milk; CI=calving interval.

In confinement systems, primiparous cows are able to produce equal or more milk per feeding day during EXT compared with STD (van Amburg *et al.*, 1997; Rehn *et al.*, 2000; Arbel *et al.*, 2001; Östermann and Bertilsson, 2003; Christiansen *et al.*, 2005; Lehmann *et al.*, 2016), whereas results for multiparous cows are inconsistent (Table 1). In pastoral, season-based systems, cows produced less milk per feeding day during EXT (Auldust *et al.*, 2007; Grainger *et al.*, 2009; Kolver *et al.*, 2007), partly due to concomitant changes in grass/sward with season, although this could be compensated for with supplementary feeding (Grainger *et al.*, 2009). Both Arbel *et al.* (2001) and Lehmann *et al.* (2016) based their analyses on cows selected for EXT, and that may skew their conclusions. However, they do demonstrate that a high milk yield during EXT is indeed achievable. Some studies (Bertilsson *et al.*, 1997; Grainger *et al.*, 2009; Kolver *et al.*, 2007) note that not all experimental cows were capable of completing an EXT with a satisfactory level of milk production. Hence, selecting the most suitable cows for EXT is a potential optimisation strategy, and both production performance such as previous and current milk production (Lehmann *et al.*, 2017) and physiological stage, described by Insulin-like Growth Factor 1 (IGF-1) and non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) blood levels (Kay *et al.*, 2007), may prove to be useful selection criteria.

Studies at the four private dairy herds in the REPROLAC project showed that (Figure 1) (1) both primi- and multiparous cows managed for longer lactations produced similar milk yields compared with cows managed for shorter lactations, albeit with a large individual variation, (2) early second lactation milk yield was increased for cows managed for a longer first lactation compared with cows managed for a shorter first lactation and (3) multiparous EXT cows had a lower yield during the last 45 days before dry-off than cows with a shorter lactation (Lehmann *et al.*, 2016). These results

illustrate that it in practice is possible to maintain a high milk yield with cows undergoing EXT while the large individual variation indicates that there is potential for developing selection methods to only manage high-persistent cows for EXT.

#### Milking frequency

Increasing milking frequency from two to three times per day generally increases daily milk production by 10% to 15% (Stockdale, 2006). Two studies confirm this response for EXT (Östermann and Bertilsson, 2003; Sorensen *et al.*, 2008) and showed that increased milking frequency increased energy-corrected milk (ECM) yield per feeding day by 13.2%. Furthermore, the effect of milking three times per day on milk yield lasts beyond switching to milking two times per day if three times milking per day is initiated at calving, whereas there is no long-lasting effect if the cow is switched to three times milking per day later in lactation (Stockdale, 2006). However, it is unclear how far into an EXT that this effect would persist.

In the REPROLAC project, a milking robot was used at the experimental farm. Milking frequency was not fixed and could therefore vary between cows and over the lactation which might have had an effect on the production results. However, the results indicate that milking frequency was quite stable over the lactation with around 2.5 visits per day for the primiparous cows, while for the multiparous cows milking frequency decreased after the end of the mobilisation period from around 3.5 to 2.5 milkings per day.

#### Feeding strategy

There are few studies on feeding in relation to EXT reporting that feeding strategies may be able to increase lactation persistency. An individual feeding strategy based on live weight measurements was applied on the experimental farm in the

**Table 2** Selected references about EXT in dairy cows and its effects on pregnancy rates at 1<sup>st</sup> AI

Reference	Number of cows	Parity	Insemination time (STD v. EXT)	Pregnancy rates at 1 <sup>st</sup> AI (STD v. EXT)
Arbel <i>et al.</i> (2001)	937	Primi	93 v. 154 d	40.3% v. 43.5% NS
		Multi	71 v. 124 d	36.6% v. 38.7% NS
Bertilsson <i>et al.</i> (1997)	90	All	50 v. 90 d	41.5% v. 50%
Kolver <i>et al.</i> (2007)	60	Multi	82 v. 451 d	28.5% v. 53.5%
Larsson and Berglund (2000)	100	All	50 v. 140 d	49% v. 56%
Sawa and Bogucki (2009)	11 891	All	NA <sup>1</sup>	Decrease
Schindler <i>et al.</i> (1991)	174	Primi	35-59 v. 120-150 d	66.6% v. 69.2% NS
		Multi		35.7% v. 64.4%*
van Amburgh <i>et al.</i> (1997)	108	All	60 v. 150 d	35% v. 35%

EXT = extended lactation; AI = artificial insemination; NS = non-significant difference; Primi = primiparous; Multi = multiparous; EXT = extended lactation; STD = standard 305-d lactation.

\* = significant difference  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>1</sup> A posteriori data analysis on 22432 lactations, a lactation was labelled as extended when its duration was above 305 days.

REPROLAC project (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016a), where 62 cows were on an EXT strategy with a planned CI of 18 months. Half of the cows received a diet enriched in energy (HD diet) in early lactation until individual live weight nadir from where they were shifted to a lower energy density diet (LD diet) until the end of the lactation. The other half of the cows were fed the LD diet throughout the lactation. During early lactation the multiparous cows fed the HD diet had a higher milk production compared with the cows fed the LD diet, but no diet effect on milk production was observed for primiparous cows. After the shift to the LD diet, the milk production (ECM) decreased faster for the HD-LD cows than for the LD-LD cows, meaning that the HD diet given in early lactation had a 300-day negative carry-over effect on the lactation persistency for all the cows compared with cows fed the LD diet through EXT. This differs from the results of Bossen and Weisbjerg (2009), reporting that an individualised feeding strategy imposed in early lactation increased the lactation milk yield in early and mid-lactation, and also increased persistency of lactation in cows on a 12-month lactation cycle, without changing the feed efficiency and with reduced live weight (LW) losses. It can be due to diet differences between the two studies; indeed, in Bossen and Weisbjerg (2009) the difference between the high- and the low-energy diet was twice the difference used in the REPROLAC experiment, where the attained energy differences between HD and LD diets were relatively small.

#### Conclusion and perspectives on milk yield and persistency

The achievable level of milk production during EXT and hence the ability to maintain a high level of persistency is essential for the success of the EXT strategy. Delaying rebreeding itself improves milk yield persistency. Management factors including milking frequency and feeding strategy in combination with different grouping of cows may also be useful to improve persistency. Less is known about cow characteristics and other factors that can predict cows' ability to both maintain a high level of milk production and a high level of persistency.

#### Reproduction

Dairy cows are genetically selected to produce more milk and consequently to partition nutrients towards the mammary gland (Veerkamp *et al.*, 1995). The potential for milk production in first lactation is also influenced by the live weight and age at first calving (Markusfeld and Ezra, 1993). This increase in production is related to increased energy deficiency in early lactation which has negative effects on the reproductive performance of the cows (Butler, 2000). Therefore, delaying insemination until after peak yield, like with an EXT strategy, would potentially increase pregnancy rates (Schindler *et al.*, 1991), decrease the number of inseminations needed per pregnancy and the frequency of anestrus treatment (Larsson and Berglund, 2000).

Pregnancy rate recordings for cows managed for EXT are rare and vary a lot. This variation might be due to large variations in individual reproductive performance, and to the quality of recordings that can vary from farm to farm (Pryce *et al.*, 2004). Despite pregnancy rates seem to be improved with EXT (Table 2), in most cases no significant difference has been found between the pregnancy rates of cows managed for EXT and those of cows managed for STD.

Observational studies at the four private dairy herds in REPROLAC showed that inseminations per conception were below 2 across CI groups (Lehmann *et al.*, 2016), although the longest CIs did have the highest number of inseminations. Compared with the low-yielding cows, the high-yielding cows required more inseminations per conception (1.9 v. 1.4), had a lower conception rate at first insemination (50% to 54% v. 67.2% to 70.6%) and took a higher number of days from first insemination to conception (63 to 73 days v. 48 to 54 days) (Lehmann *et al.*, 2017). This may reflect that pregnancy difficulties increase with increasing milk yield. However, both studies were founded on cows selected for EXT, and those cows were likely the highest-yielding cows within herd. Thus, there may be a confounding between lactation length, milk yield and reproduction.

On the experimental farm in REPROLAC, more cows tended to express mounting behaviour at estrus #8 than #2



(63.3% v. 45.9%,  $P=0.06$ ). However, in cows managed for EXT the reproductive parameters, defined as pregnancy rates (at first and second insemination), and number of inseminations were not improved compared with 10-month lactations (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016b). Moreover, the level of live weight loss in early lactation, given as an estimation of EB, had no effect on the reproductive parameters (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016a).

#### *Conclusion and perspectives on reproduction*

Delaying insemination enhances mounting behaviour which could facilitate insemination at the right time. However, in most cases EXT has no effect or a non-significant positive effect on reproduction. Moreover, the level of negative EB in early lactation did not seem to explain the reproductive parameters. Factors like insemination protocol and timing, estrus detection, environment and genetics might have a higher impact on reproduction than feeding strategies or lactation length *per se*.

#### **Milk composition and quality**

There is a fundamental difference between STD late lactation milk and EXT milk. Late lactation milk is the milk collected in the last third of a given STD lactation before dry-off, whereas EXT milk refers to the milk collected from cows in which re-breeding has deliberately been postponed, and lactation persists beyond what is considered late lactation in a STD lactation. In this sense, comparisons between the composition of EXT and late lactation milk have been logical, although studies have suggested that impairment in STD late lactation milk quality takes place particularly in low-input systems, where cows experience a substantial yield decline (i.e. poor milking persistency) during late lactation (Auld *et al.*, 1996; Bertilsson *et al.*, 1997; Sorensen *et al.*, 2008).

Literature retrieved from the past 20 years documents a consensus that good-quality milk at EXT can be produced in both seasonal (Kolver *et al.*, 2007; Grainger *et al.*, 2009; Auld *et al.*, 2010) and continuous calving systems (Rehn *et al.*, 2000; Österman and Bertilsson, 2003). The particularities of the genotypes, breeds, feeding and milking strategies investigated in these studies are summarised in Table 3. Milk fat, protein and total solids concentrations were reported to be, at least, the same at dry-off when comparing 15-, 18- or even 22-month CI to 12-month CI (Rehn *et al.*, 2000; Österman and Bertilsson, 2003; Auld *et al.*, 2010). The same components have been documented to be higher in late- compared with mid-EXT milk from cows managed from 15- up to 22-month CI irrespective of calving system, which was confirmed in two publications related to REPROLAC (Maciel *et al.*, 2016 and 2017).

When it comes to the detailed composition and quality of EXT milk in relation to dairy processing, published data are scarce. The study from Auld *et al.* (2010) reported that the higher protein and fat concentrations and an unaffected CN (casein) to protein ratio and protein composition of the milk produced by cows in the second half of 22-month lactation compared with milk produced by cows in 10-month lactation resulted in superior cheese-making properties and cheese

quality. Accordingly, Sorensen *et al.* (2008) suggested positive attributes of EXT milk for cows milked twice daily; cows that did not receive concentrate supplement and were only milked twice daily showed a poor persistency, and a progressively reduced CN to protein ratio during the EXT period. Our recent publications from REPROLAC confirm that the improved cheese-making properties of EXT milk are linked to the increased concentrations of proteins and casein (Maciel *et al.*, 2016 and 2017). Those increases were not affected by the distance from calving to conception, but were instead related to the gestational stage in cows managed for 15- or 18-month CI. Regardless of CI, milk composition was enhanced from 180 to 90 days before calving, as the chymosin-induced gels were firmer and tended to be built up at a faster rate, although rennet coagulation time (RCT) did not differ between the referred periods. In a similar way, relative concentration of major milk proteins also changed towards the end of the lactation with an increase in total  $\kappa$ -CN; glycosylated  $\kappa$ -CN and  $\alpha$ -LA concentrations, and decrease in  $\alpha$ <sub>S1</sub>-CN and  $\beta$ -LG (Maciel *et al.*, 2017). Besides that, our recent findings have also revealed that the udder integrity indicators; SCC, pH, conductivity or free amino terminals (i.e. natural proteolysis in milk) were not significantly different from mid-lactation towards the end of the EXT. On the other hand, a higher chloride level was observed in late EXT, but seemed to be associated with the reduction in milk yield and concentration of milk solids, due to its strong negative correlation with lactose (Maciel *et al.*, 2016). Bertilsson *et al.* (1997) reported salty taste of EXT milk from low-yielding cows, which may relate to chloride concentrations. However, late-EXT milk of healthy cows that was evaluated by a trained sensory panel did not present any sensory disadvantage in relation to mid-EXT milk, as both pasteurised full-fat and skim milk produced by healthy cows at late-EXT was graded similarly (Maciel *et al.*, 2017), or better (Maciel *et al.*, 2016) than mid-EXT milk for sensory attributes.

#### *Conclusion and perspectives on milk quality characteristics*

The outlined studies document that good-quality milk can be produced with EXT in both seasonal and continuous calving systems, and even in low-input organic farm systems. No major concern in relation to overall milk quality and technological properties of milk from EXT compared to STD have been reported. However, quantification of minor milk components, such as specific proteins, fatty acids and metabolites, could potentially uncover some changes in underlying metabolic pathways behind the secretory mammary epithelium dynamics, for example, in relation to cell turnover and cellular activity (Garnsworthy *et al.*, 2006; Murney *et al.*, 2015) during EXT, which has not been explored in the reported studies. It is important to also measure the bulk concentration of minor components (i.e. protein fractions and minor whey proteins, salts, enzymes and metabolites), specifically for seasonal calving systems, as the share of whey-based ingredient sales on the income of whey-processing dairies is increasing together with its gradually higher value in the market (Lagrange *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 3** Summary of aspects associated with good-quality milk in dairy cows at EXT retrieved from literature

Aspects	Findings	Remarks
Genotype and breeds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Swedish Holstein and Swedish Red and White cows had similar protein and slightly higher fat concentration at the end of 15-month CI [1]</li> <li>- Both Holstein-Friesian of North American and New Zealand origin produced milk with high-protein concentration from mid- to late-EXT [3]</li> <li>- Cross-breed cows with high percentage of Jersey in the pedigree produced milk with higher protein, fat and CN to protein ratio from mid- to late-EXT [8]</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Changes in milk composition due to stage of lactation overcome that of genotype or breed effect; the magnitude of changes, however, may be breed/genotype related</li> <li>- The capacity to persist at EXT and information on the composition of milk from breeds/cross-breeds other than Holstein-Friesian are scarce</li> </ul>
Feeding strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increasing the offer of concentrate DM/cow per day from 0 to 6 kg, from calving to dry-off, promoted an increase in milk fat concentration [3]</li> <li>- SCC was lower and fat, lactose, protein and CN to protein ratio were higher in EXT milk when cows were offered 3 kg/day of concentrate [4]</li> <li>- Cows on pasture receiving high-energy or TMR diets produced milk with higher concentrations of protein at EXT, but fat was lower for the TMR fed group [5]</li> <li>- Supplying cows high-energy diet in the mobilisation period did not exert a carry-over effect on EXT milk quality and composition [6,7]</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is not enough evidence that energy intake causes significant changes in milk composition compared to lactation stage itself</li> <li>- By adopting feeding strategies that support persistency of healthy cows, milk quality will most likely not be impaired</li> </ul>
Milking strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increasing milking frequency from two to three times in cows managed for 18-month CI lowered the concentrations of fat and protein at EXT, although milk yield was increased [2]</li> <li>- Cows managed for 18-month CI when milked three instead of two times/day kept constant CN to protein ratio and lower SCC at EXT[4]</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Different milking strategies to support persistency in primi- or multiparous cows and its impact on detailed milk composition are still to be verified</li> <li>- The physiological mechanisms related to the mammary epithelium dynamics and its impact on detailed milk composition (including protein profile) are not fully understood</li> </ul>

CI=calving interval; EXT=extended lactation; SCC=somatic cell count; TMR=total mixed ration; CN=casein.


[1] Rehn *et al.* (2000); [2] Österman and Bertilsson (2003); [3] Kolver *et al.* (2007); [4] Sorensen *et al.* (2008); [5] Grainger *et al.* (2009); [6] Auldist *et al.* (2010); [7,8] Maciel *et al.* (2016, 2017).

### Lifetime scenarios for cows

In REPROLAC we studied the effect of an EXT strategy with 18-month CI, corresponding to a 16-month lactation period, on productive and reproductive performances on a time scale of one lactation per cow at the experimental farm. Then, a modelling approach allowed us to go further by predicting the lifetime effect of using an EXT strategy on cows' performance testing different length of EXT (12, 14, 16 or 18 months). We used the GARUNS model developed by Martin and Sauvant (2010), a dynamic and stochastic model taking into account the changing priorities in nutrient partitioning of an animal as its ages, through repeated reproduction cycles in combination with a reproduction sub-model (Phuong *et al.*, 2015). The lifetime scenario optimising the productive performance of high-yielding Holstein cows would be to have an EXT of 16 months at first parity, followed by 10-month lactations (EXT-STD scenario). The scenario where all cows were managed for 16-month lactation gave a performance similar to the EXT-STD scenario (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016b). It should be noted that lifetime predicted in the model scenarios is only defined by reproductive performance and culling rules, as

the effects of diseases are not yet incorporated in the model (Phuong *et al.*, 2015).

Primiparous cows have a lower peak yield than multiparous cows, but their persistency is higher (Bar-Anan and Genezi, 1981) so they maintain a long lactation with a decent daily milk yield in late lactation (Ratnayake *et al.*, 1998). Gaillard *et al.* (2016c) also reported differences in weight loss and metabolite and hormone concentrations between primiparous and multiparous cows, indicating that primiparous cows promote nutrient partitioning into body growth and not only into milk (Wathes *et al.*, 2007). This might explain why extending the duration of lactation of primiparous cows seems more advantageous for the following lactations than for multiparous cows. This modelling approach is the first to report results on lifetime scale of the cows. Experimental studies or at least on-farm data are needed at this scale to confirm the results obtained. However, other studies at the scale of one lactation showed that EXT was more advantageous for primiparous cows than for multiparous cows in terms of daily milk yield and economical profitability (Arbel *et al.*, 2001; Österman and Bertilsson, 2003) (Figure 2).



**Figure 2** Difference in kilogram ECM per day of CI between control and EXT in primiparous and multiparous cows. Error bars indicate standard error. ECM = energy-corrected milk; CI = calving interval; EXT = extended lactation.

For multiparous cows, a reason why a 16-month lactation appears less advantageous than for the primiparous cows might be that there are more days with low milk yield at the end of the lactation. However, on the lifetime scale, the multiparous cows could benefit from longer lactation by increasing their efficiency (Gaillard *et al.*, 2016b). The higher rate of proliferation of mammary gland epithelial cells in primiparous cows as compared with multiparous cows (Miller *et al.*, 2006) might partly explain the positive carry-over of an EXT on primiparous cows' following lactations (milk production) and lifetime.

#### Conclusion and perspectives on lifetime efficiency

GARUNS model was used to predict productive and reproductive performances of cows managed for EXT. Modelling showed that the optimal lifetime scenario for production cows would be an EXT of 16 months at first parity cows, followed by 10-month lactations for later parities. Further work should include health incidents to the prediction model to have predictions that are more accurate on the lifetime efficiency. The productive and reproductive performances predicted should then be compared with real data for cows managed for the optimum lifetime scenario defined by the model.

#### Effects at herd and farm levels

Deliberately delaying the time of first insemination for all or selected cows initiates a cascade of system effects at herd and farm levels. For the dairy herd, EXT can be expected to lead to fewer diseases per cow-year (365 feeding days) because the majority of diseases occur around the time of calving (Ingvarsen *et al.*, 2003). Similarly, EXT leads to fewer

calvings, fewer replacement heifers and fewer dry days per cow-year if dry period length is maintained, but replacement rate per lactation can be maintained despite more time per lactation for accidents etc. to happen (Lehmann *et al.*, 2014; 2019). Together, this leads to lower total live-weight gain from males and females per cow-year and therefore a reduced production of beef.

Longer CIs also cause the cow herd on average to be more days in milk (longer time from latest calving), and to have a lower proportion of dry days per cow-year. This will increase the average feed intake capacity per unit of milk yield and hence allow for feeding the herd a low energy-rich diet on average. The combined reduction in replacement heifers and reduced need for an energy-rich diet during lactation will reduce and change the composition of total herd feed intake. In systems with on-farm forage production, this reduces the need for on-farm feed growing area and might change the crop rotation and the required composition of crops. Thus, an EXT strategy can have a profound effect on herd production of milk and meat, herd composition, herd feed intake and farm feed-growing area.

However, the magnitude of the cascade of effects described in the previous paragraphs depends on (1) the proportion of cows selected for EXT, (2) the parity of the selected cows and (3) the extent to which these cows have their EXT. These effects are in addition to the direct effect of EXT on milk yield per feeding day as well as possible interactions between EXT, health and fertility. Recently, a few studies have investigated different EXT (Table 4) and their consequences for herd milk production, profitability and GHG emissions per kilogram of milk.

Under pastoral conditions, Browne *et al.* (2015) compared 18- with 12-month CIs and showed an increased milk production per cow per year, an improved profitability and a reduced GHG emission per kilogram of milk. Kok *et al.* (2018) showed

**Table 4** Milk production, operating profit and GHG emission for different strategies for EXT (CI) in dairy cows at herd level

Unit, reference and scenario	Calving interval	Milk yield	Operating profit	GHG
Unit	Months	kg ECM/cow	€/cow	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq./kg ECM
<b>Browne <i>et al.</i> (2015)<sup>1</sup></b>				
20% of DMI from conc	12	6303	340	765
20% of DMI from conc	18	6697	371	691
35% of DMI from conc	12	7013	346	710
35% of DMI from conc	18	7447	363	642
<b>Vellinga <i>et al.</i> (2018)<sup>2</sup></b>				
Baseline	12	8458		1069
All cows 14 months	14	8458		1065
All cows 15 months	15	8458		1067
<b>Lehmann <i>et al.</i> (2019)</b>				
Baseline	13	11 054	2089	984
All cows 15 months	15	10 869	2086	979
All cows 17 months	17	10 633	2042	984
Primiparous 15 months	15	11 039	2099	982
Primiparous 17 months	17	11 014	2104	981
Multiparous 15 months	15	10 864	2071	983
Multiparous 17 months	17	10 603	2022	987
<b>Kok <i>et al.</i> (2018)<sup>3</sup></b>				
Baseline, FC	13	8776	1740	939
All cows 15 months , FC	15	8439	1680	939
All cows 17 months , FC	17	8161	1630	938
Primiparous 15 months , FC	15	8717	1740	934
Primiparous 17 months , FC	17	8598	1710	937
Baseline, VC	13	8796	1740	939
All cows 15 months , VC	15	8439	1670	949
All cows 17 months , VC	17	8181	1620	955
Primiparous 15 months , VC	15	8697	1720	941
Primiparous 17 months , VC	17	8598	1700	943

GHG = greenhouse gas; ECM = energy-corrected milk to contain 4.2% fat and 3.4% protein; DMI = dry matter intake; conc = concentrate feed; eq. = equivalents.

<sup>1</sup> Exchange rate used: 0.63 €/AU\$. Pastoral system.

<sup>2</sup> Milk yield was assumed unchanged.

<sup>3</sup> FC = fixed culling per lactation; VC = variable culling per lactation.

the opposite results under confinement conditions, whereas the results of Lehmann *et al.* (2019) differed depending on which groups of cows were submitted to EXT, and they argued that their estimated GHG emissions would all increase if emissions from displaced meat production were included based on beef breed cattle. Browne *et al.* (2015), Kok *et al.* (2018) and Lehmann *et al.* (2019) include a change in replacement rate with a concomitant change in cow longevity, either as a default or because of other model assumptions. The increase in lifespan is a major reason for the reduction in GHG emissions per unit milk, because fewer replacement heifers are reared and these heifers are costly in terms of emissions. This is a major part of the explanation for the dynamics and derived consequences of implementing an EXT strategy. An EXT strategy will inevitably reduce meat production as fewer calves are born, but the combined use of sexed dairy semen and beef semen could partially compensate for this if the sector requires an unchanged amount of beef. The use of sexed dairy

semen and beef semen could also offset the genetic lag caused by an EXT strategy (Clasen *et al.*, 2018).

Table 4 indicates that it is economically more advantageous to manage primiparous cows for EXT, as compared with managing all cows for EXT. However, to our knowledge, no empirical study has so far investigated the effect of managing the most persistent cows for EXT while managing the remaining herd for STD lactations. Through modelling both Lehmann *et al.* (2019) and Kok *et al.* (2018) found that an improved lactation persistency substantially improves lactation yield and the economic effect of EXT, and that a selection of the most suitable cows for EXT could lead to this effect. Suitable cows could be cows with a high milk production in early lactation relative to other cows in the herd (Lehmann *et al.*, 2017), but more work is needed to determine which cows are more suitable than others. However, the economic consequences estimated by Browne *et al.* (2015) under pastoral, seasonal conditions as well as by



Kok *et al.* (2018) and Lehmann *et al.* (2019) under confinement conditions highly depend on the costs included. Thus, Lehmann *et al.* (2019) showed that accounting for a potential change in labour costs would have a major impact on the estimated economic effect of implementing an EXT.

Furthermore, Lehmann *et al.* (2019) showed that the potential reduction in the area required for feed production leads to a positive economic effect, either through an increased number of cows in the herd or growing a cash crop, which far exceeded the estimated direct economic effect of EXT.

#### *Conclusion and perspectives at herd and farm levels*

Deliberately delaying the time of first insemination sets off a cascade of effects at cow, herd and farm levels. Economically it seems most advantageous to manage primiparous cows for EXT, as compared with managing all cows for EXT. Selecting the most suitable cows for EXT could support the positive economic effect of EXT. The EXT strategy has potential to decrease estimated GHG emissions, but GHG emissions increase if displaced meat production is included based on beef breed cattle. There is a scope for optimisation of EXT through a selection of the most suitable cows as well as finding ways of realising the potential reduction in land use for feed growing, which could lead to an economic benefit for the farmer.

## Conclusions

Dairy cows managed for EXT can maintain or even slightly increase daily milk yield through a CI and achieve a higher lifetime milk production while delivering milk with unchanged or improved quality properties. Delaying insemination enhances mounting behaviour and allows insemination after the cow's EB has become positive. However, in most cases EXT has no effect or a non-significant positive effect on reproduction. The EXT strategy sets off a cascade of effects at herd and farm levels. Thus, the EXT strategy leads to fewer calvings and thereby expected fewer diseases, fewer replacement heifers and fewer dry days per cow per year. The optimal lifetime scenario for milk production was modelled to be an EXT of 16 months for first parity cows followed by an EXT of 10 months for later lactations. Modelling studies of herd dynamic indicate a positive effect of EXT on lifetime efficiency (milk per dry matter intake (DMI)), mainly originating from benefits of EXT on daily milk yield in primiparous cows and the reduced number of replacement heifers. Consequently, EXT also leads to reduced total meat production at herd level. For the farmer, EXT can give the same economic return as a traditional lactation period. At farm level, EXT will contribute to a reduction in the environmental impact of dairy production, mainly because of a reduced herd feed use through fewer young stock and dry cows. A wider dissemination of the EXT concept will be supported by methods to predict which cows may be most suitable for EXT, and clarification of how milking frequency and feeding strategy through the lactation

can be organised to support milk yield and an appropriate body condition at the next calving.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Danish Council for Strategic Research, the Programme Commission on Health, Food and Welfare and Aarhus University for the financial support for the REPROLAC project (Grant no 11-116247). The authors also would like to thank the farmers and technicians involved in the REPROLAC experiments for their highly committed efforts.

 J. Sehested, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6977-6972>

## Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this review.

## Ethics statement

No original data are presented in this review, and no ethics approval was sought or required.

## Software and data repository resources

No software or data were deposited anywhere as part of this review.

## References

- Auldust MJ, Coats S, Sutherland BJ, Mayes JJ, McDowell GH and Rogers GL 1996. Effects of somatic cell count and stage of lactation on raw milk composition and the yield and quality of Cheddar cheese. *The Journal of Dairy Research* 63, 269–280.
- Auldust MJ, Grainger C, Houlihan AV, Mayes JJ and Williams RPW 2010. Composition, coagulation properties, and cheesemaking potential of milk from cows undergoing extended lactations in a pasture-based dairying system. *Journal of Dairy Science* 93, 1401–1411.
- Auldust MJ, O'Brien G, Cole D, Macmillan KL and Grainger C 2007. Effects of varying lactation length on milk production capacity of cows in pasture-based dairying systems. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 3234–3241.
- Bach A, Valls N, Solans A and Torrent T 2008. Associations between non dietary factors and dairy herd performance. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 3259–3267.
- Bar-Anan R and Genizi A 1981. The effects of lactation, pregnancy and calendar month on milk records. *Animal Science* 33, 280–290.
- Bertilsson J, Berglund B, Ratnayake G, Svennersten-Sjaunja K and Wiktorsson H 1997. Optimising lactation cycles for the high yielding dairy cow. A European perspective. *Livestock Production Science* 50, 5–13.
- Bossen D and Weisbjerg MR 2009. Allocation of feed based on individual dairy cow live weight changes II: effect on milk production. *Livestock Science* 126, 273–285.
- Brotherstone S, Thompson R and White IMS 2004. Effects of pregnancy on daily milk yield of Holstein–Friesian dairy cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 87, 265–269.
- Browne NA, Behrendt R, Kingwell RS and Eckard RJ 2015. Does producing more product over a lifetime reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase profitability in dairy and wool enterprises? *Animal Production Science* 55, 49–55.
- Butler WR 2000. Nutritional interactions with reproductive performance in dairy cattle. *Animal Reproduction Science* 60, 449–457.
- Christiansen HC, Danfær A and Sehested J 2005. Koens reaktion på forskelle i planlagt kælvningsinterval og energiforsyning. In *Økologisk mælkeproduktion: Fodring og management ved høj selvforsyning*. FØJO-rapport nr. 20 (ed.

- T Kristensen), pp. 13–26. Forskningscenter for Økologisk Jordbrug (FØJO), Tjele, Denmark.
- Clasen JB, Lehmann JO, Thomasen JR, Østergaard S and Kargo M 2018. Combining extended lactation with sexed semen in a dairy cattle herd: effect on genetic and total economic return. *Livestock Science* 223, 176–183.
- Gaillard C 2016. *Extended lactation and feeding strategies in dairy cows*. PhD thesis, Department of Animal Science, Aarhus University, Denmark.
- Gaillard C, Barbu H, Callesen H, Sørensen MT, Sehested J and Vestergaard M. 2016a. Milk yield and estrus behavior during eight consecutive estruses of Holstein cows grouped according to live weight change in early lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 3134–3143.
- Gaillard C, Martin O, Blavy P, Friggens N, Sehested J and Phuong H 2016b. Prediction of the reproductive lifetime performance of Holstein cows managed for different durations, using a model of lifetime nutrient partitioning. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 9126–9135.
- Gaillard C, Vestergaard M, Weisbjerg MR and Sehested J 2016c. Effects of live weight adjusted feeding strategy on plasma indicators of energy balance in Holstein cows managed for extended lactation. *Animal* 10, 633–642.
- Garnsworthy PC, Masson LL, Lock AL and Mottram TT 2006. Variation of milk citrate with stage of lactation and de novo fatty acid synthesis in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 1604–1612.
- Grainger C, Auld MJ, O'Brien G, Macmillan KL and Culley C 2009. Effect of type of diet and energy intake on milk production of Holstein-Friesian cows with extended lactations. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 1479–1492.
- Ingvartsen KL, Dewhurst RJ and Friggens N 2003. On the relationship between lactational performance and health: is it yield or metabolic imbalance that cause production disease in dairy cattle? A position paper. *Livestock Production Science* 83, 277–308.
- Kay JK, Aspin PW, Phyn CVC, Roche JR and Kolver ES 2007. Production and physiological indicators to select cows suitable for extended lactations. Proceedings of the 67<sup>th</sup> Conference of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production, 20–22 June 2007, Wanaka, New Zealand, pp. 315–319.
- Kok A, Lehmann JO, Kemp B, Hogeveen H, van Middelaar CE, de Boer IJM and van Knegsel ATM 2018. Production, cash flows and greenhouse gas emissions of simulated dairy herds with extended lactations. *Animal* 13, 1074–1083.
- Kolver ES, Roche JR, Burke CR, Kay JK and Aspin PW 2007. Extending lactation in pasture-based dairy cows: I. Genotype and diet effect on milk and reproduction. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 5518–5530.
- Lagrange V, Whitsett D and Burris C 2015. Global market for dairy proteins. *Journal of Food Science* 80, A16–A22.
- Larsson B and Berglund B 2000. Reproductive performance in cows with extended calving interval. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 35, 277–280.
- Lehmann JO 2016. *Extended lactation in Danish dairy production*. PhD thesis, Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Denmark.
- Lehmann JO, Fadel JG, Mogensen L, Kristensen T, Gaillard C and Kebreab E 2016. Effect of calving interval and parity on milk yield per feeding day in Danish commercial dairy herds. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 621–633.
- Lehmann JO, Mogensen L and Kristensen T 2014. Extended lactations may improve cow health, productivity and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from organic dairy production. *Organic Agriculture* 4, 295–299.
- Lehmann JO, Mogensen L and Kristensen T 2017. Early lactation production, health, and welfare characteristics of cows selected for extended lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 100, 1487–1501.
- Lehmann JO, Mogensen L and Kristensen T 2019. Extended lactations in dairy production: economic, productivity and climatic impact at herd, farm and sector level. *Livestock Science* 220, 100–110.
- Maciel GM 2016. Impact of some farm-related practices and first processing steps on milk quality for cheese production, PhD thesis, Department of Food Science, Aarhus University, Denmark.
- Maciel GM, Mogensen L, Lehmann JO, Kidmose U, Kristensen T, Larsen LB and Poulsen NA 2017. Impaired milk quality and cheese making properties is not a concern for managing cows for 15 or 18 months calving intervals. *International Dairy Journal* 70, 2–11.
- Maciel GM, Poulsen NA, Larsen MK, Kidmose U, Gaillard C, Sehested J and Larsen LB 2016. Good sensory quality and cheesemaking properties in milk from Holstein cows managed for an 18-month calving interval. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 8524–8536.
- Markusfeld O and Ezra E 1993. Body measurements, metritis, and postpartum performance of first lactation cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 76, 3771–3777.
- Martin O and Sauvant D 2010. A teleonomic model describing performance (body, milk and intake) during growth and over repeated reproductive cycles throughout the lifespan of dairy cattle. 1. Trajectories of life function priorities and genetic scaling. *Animal* 4, 2030–2047.
- Miller N, Delbecchi L, Petitclerc D, Wagner GF, Talbot BG and Lacasse P 2006. Effect of stage of lactation and parity on mammary gland cell renewal. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 4669–4677.
- Murney R, Stelwagen K, Wheeler TT, Margerison JK and Singh K 2015. The effects of milking frequency in early lactation on milk yield, mammary cell turnover, and secretory activity in grazing dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 98, 305–311.
- Osterman S and Bertilsson J 2003. Extended calving interval in combination with milking two or three times per day: effects on milk production and milk composition. *Livestock Production Science* 82, 139–149.
- Phuong HN, Blavy P, Martin O, Schmidely P and Friggens NC 2015. Modelling impacts of performance on the probability of reproducing, and thereby on productive lifespan, allow prediction of lifetime efficiency in dairy cows. *Animal* 10, 106–116.
- Pryce JE, Royal MD, Garnsworthy PC and Mao IL 2004. Fertility in the high-producing dairy cow. *Livestock Production Science* 86, 125–135.
- Ratnayake D, Berglund B, Bertilsson J, Forsberg M and Gustafsson H 1998. Fertility in dairy cows managed for calving intervals of 12, 15 or 18 months. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* 39, 215–228.
- Rehn H, Berglund B, Emanuelson U, Tengroth G and Philipsson J 2000. Milk production in Swedish dairy cows managed for calving intervals of 12 and 15 months. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A – Animal Science* 50, 263–271.
- Sawa A and Bogucki M 2009. Effect of extended lactations on cow milk and reproductive performance. *Archiv Fur Tierzucht-Archives of Animal Breeding* 52, 219–229.
- Schindler H, Eger S, Davidson M, Ochowski D, Schermerhorn EC and Foote RH 1991. Factors affecting response of groups of dairy cows managed for different calving conception intervals. *Theriogenology* 36, 495–503.
- Sorensen A, Muir DD and Knight CH 2008. Extended lactation in dairy cows: effects of milking frequency, calving season and nutrition on lactation persistency and milk quality. *Journal of Dairy Research* 75, 90–97.
- Stockdale CR 2006. Influence of milking frequency on the productivity of dairy cows. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 46, 965–974.
- van Amburgh ME, Galton DM, Bauman DE and Everett RW 1997. Management and economics of extended calving intervals with use of bovine somatotropin. *Livestock Production Science* 50, 15–28.
- Veerkamp RF, Hill WG, Stott AW, Brotherstone S and Simm G 1995. Selection for longevity and yield in dairy cows using transmitting abilities for type and yield. *Animal Science* 61, 189–197.
- Vellinga TV and de Vries M 2018. Effectiveness of climate change mitigation options considering the amount of meat produced in dairy systems. *Agricultural Systems* 162, 136–144.
- Wall E, Coffey MP and Pollott GE 2012. The effect of lactation length on greenhouse gas emissions from the national dairy herd. *Animal* 6, 1857–1867.
- Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Bourne N, Taylor VJ, Coffey MP and Brotherstone S 2007. Differences between primiparous and multiparous dairy cows in the interrelationships between metabolic traits, milk yield and body condition score in the periparturient period. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 33, 203–225.