

LETTER

The importance of the classical channel in the impurity transport of optimized stellarators

S. Buller^{1,†}, A. Mollén², S. L. Newton³, H. M. Smith² and I. Pusztai¹

¹Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Göteborg, Sweden

²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 17491 Greifswald, Germany

³CCFE, Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 3DB, UK

(Received 29 March 2019; revised 11 June 2019; accepted 12 June 2019)

In toroidal magnetic confinement devices, such as tokamaks and stellarators, neoclassical transport is usually an order of magnitude larger than its classical counterpart. However, when a high-collisionality species is present in a stellarator optimized for low Pfirsch–Schlüter current, its classical transport can be comparable to the neoclassical transport. In this letter, we compare neoclassical and classical fluxes and transport coefficients calculated for Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) and Large Helical Device (LHD) cases. In W7-X, we find that the classical transport of a collisional impurity is comparable to the neoclassical transport for all radii, while it is negligible in the LHD cases, except in the vicinity of radii where the neoclassical transport changes sign. In the LHD case, electrostatic potential variations on the flux surface significantly enhance the neoclassical impurity transport, while the classical transport is largely insensitive to this effect in the cases studied.

Key words: fusion plasma

1. Introduction

The most developed concepts for achieving controlled thermonuclear fusion are the tokamak and stellarator. Both the tokamak and the stellarator utilize a strong toroidal magnetic field to confine a hot plasma in which fusion reactions take place.

When such a plasma is in a steady state, loss of particles and energy mainly occurs as a result of micro-turbulence, collisions or direct losses of particles on unconfined orbits. The two latter processes – and the resulting transport of particles and heat – are referred to as collisional transport, and can be modelled within the framework of drift kinetics. Historically this is the dominant transport channel in the core of stellarators because of the large transport due to particles on unconfined orbits (Beidler *et al.* 2012).

Collisional transport can be further separated into two additive components: classical transport, which is due to the gyro-motion of particles around the magnetic field lines,

† Email address for correspondence: bstefan@chalmers.se

and neoclassical transport, which is due to the complex orbits carried out by the centre of gyration as it moves in the magnetic field. The latter typically leads to much larger transport than the former (Pfirsch & Schlüter 1962), and also accounts for the unconfined orbits in stellarators, with a very strong unfavourable scaling towards reactor-relevant high temperatures. Thus, much effort has been devoted to reducing the neoclassical transport in stellarators – resulting in optimized stellarators such as Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) (Nührenberg & Zille 1986) – while classical transport is often neglected.

However, it has not been widely appreciated that, as a result of optimizing for low neoclassical transport and Pfirsch–Schlüter current, the neoclassical transport of impurities in W7-X can now be comparable to the often neglected classical transport. The main purpose of the present note is to raise attention to this circumstance.

To understand why the classical transport is relevant in an optimized stellarator, we employ recent analytical results on neoclassical transport for a collisional impurity (Braun & Helander 2010; Helander *et al.* 2017; Newton *et al.* 2017) to show that the ratio of classical to neoclassical fluxes is proportional to a geometrical factor (2.1), which turns out to be larger than one in W7-X.

Motivated by these results, we present a general expression for the classical transport, using the linearized Fokker–Planck operator and allowing for an arbitrary number of species. The employed collision operator is frequently used in modern neoclassical solvers, and the results can thus be directly compared with the output from such codes. In the final sections, we look at a few example magnetic configurations, where we compare the magnitude of the classical transport to that of the neoclassical transport calculated with the SFINCS¹ drift-kinetic solver (Landreman *et al.* 2014), and investigate the collisionality dependence of the ratio of classical to neoclassical transport.

2. Motivation

Before performing a detailed analysis, it is useful to consider a simple (but experimentally relevant) limit, where the importance of classical transport in a stellarator is apparent. For this purpose, we summarize results from earlier work (Braun & Helander 2010; Helander *et al.* 2017; Buller *et al.* 2018).

At fusion-relevant temperatures, the bulk hydrogen species of the confined plasma will be in a low-collisionality regime. However, as the collisionality increases with charge, high- Z impurities (with Z being the charge number) can still have high collisionality. Such impurities can occur, for example, in experiments using tungsten plasma-facing components, which is the favoured material for the divertor of future fusion reactors (Bolt *et al.* 2002). These plasmas will thus be in a mixed-collisionality regime, with low-collisionality bulk and high-collisionality impurity ions.

In this regime, the ratio of classical to neoclassical impurity particle fluxes calculated from the mass-ratio expanded collision operator is given by a purely geometrical factor (Buller *et al.* 2018)

$$\frac{\langle \Gamma_z \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle^C}{\langle \Gamma_z \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle^{NC}} = \frac{\langle j_{\perp}^2 \rangle \langle B^2 \rangle}{\langle j_{\parallel}^2 \rangle \langle B^2 \rangle - \langle j_{\parallel} B \rangle^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here, ψ is a radial coordinate (a flux-surface label), $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the flux-surface average, Γ_z is the flux of impurity ions, $\langle \Gamma_z \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle^{(N)C}$ is the radial (neo)classical impurity flux

¹Available at: <https://github.com/landreman/sfincs> (verified 2019-07-10).

averaged over the flux surface, \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field, $B = |\mathbf{B}|$, and \mathbf{j} is the current density, here defined by $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla p(\psi)$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$; with j_{\parallel} and j_{\perp} being the current components parallel and perpendicular to \mathbf{B} , and p the total pressure.

Equation (2.1) also enters into the ratio of classical and neoclassical transport at yet higher collisionalities: in the Pfirsch–Schlüter regime, where both bulk and impurity ions are collisional. This can be shown using the expression for neoclassical transport derived by Braun & Helander (2010) together with the expression for classical transport in, for example, Buller *et al.* (2018). For stellarators optimized for low j_{\parallel}/j_{\perp} (such as W7-X), the (2.1) ratio will be large and classical transport will thus dominate at high collisionality. This will be verified by numerical simulations in § 4.

3. Linearized Fokker–Planck operator

In this section, we write down the classical particle and heat transport due to a linearized Fokker–Planck operator. The flux-surface averaged radial classical transport of particles and energy is given by

$$\Gamma_a^C \equiv \langle \Gamma_a \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle^C \equiv \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \psi}{Z_a e B} \cdot \mathbf{R}_a \right\rangle, \tag{3.1}$$

$$Q_a^C \equiv \langle Q_a \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle^C \equiv \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \psi}{Z_a e B} \cdot \mathbf{G}_a \right\rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where we have introduced the friction force and energy-weighted friction force

$$\mathbf{R}_a \equiv \int m_a \mathbf{v} C[f_a] d^3 v, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_a \equiv \int \frac{m_a v^2}{2} m_a \mathbf{v} C[f_a] d^3 v. \tag{3.4}$$

Here, $C[f_a] = \sum_b C_{ab}[f_a, f_b]$ is the Fokker–Planck collision operator, accounting for the collisions of all species ‘ b ’ with species ‘ a ’; f_a the distribution function of species ‘ a ’, with mass m_a and charge $Z_a e$, with e the elementary charge; the integral is over all velocities \mathbf{v} . In a confined plasma, the distribution functions are close to a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution f_{a0} , such that $f_a = f_{a0} + f_{a1}$, and f_{a1} satisfies $f_{a1}/f_{a0} \ll 1$. For later reference, we also define the classical conductive heat flux $q_a^C = Q_a^C - (5/2)T_a \Gamma_a^C$, where T_a is the temperature of species ‘ a ’.

For a magnetized plasma, it is useful to separate out the dependence of the distribution function on the gyro-phase. Only the gyro-phase-dependent part of f , which we denote by \tilde{f} , contributes to \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{G} perpendicular to the magnetic field, and thus to the classical fluxes (3.1)–(3.2). For a magnetized plasma with an isotropic Maxwellian, it is well known that (Hazeltine 1973)

$$\tilde{f}_{a1} = -\boldsymbol{\rho}_a \cdot \nabla f_{a0}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_a = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{v} m_a / (Z_a e B^2)$ is the gyro-radius vector.

With (3.5), we can readily evaluate the classical transport given by (3.1)–(3.4). Lately in stellarator research, the importance of flux-surface variation of the electrostatic potential has been recognized (García-Regaña *et al.* 2017); such effects can be incorporated into the classical transport by including the flux-surface varying

part of the potential in the Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution f_0 (Hinton & Wong 1985)

$$f_0 = \eta(\psi) \left(\frac{m}{2\pi T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{mv^2}{2T} - \frac{Ze\tilde{\Phi}}{T} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where Φ is the electrostatic potential, $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle$ and we have introduced the pseudo-density

$$\eta(\psi) \equiv ne^{Ze\tilde{\Phi}/T}, \quad (3.7)$$

with n the density. In terms of gradients of η , T and Φ , the gradient in (3.5) thus becomes,

$$\nabla f_0 = \nabla \psi \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} = \nabla \psi f_0 \left[\frac{d \ln \eta}{d\psi} + \frac{Z_a e}{T_a} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial \psi} + \frac{Z_a e \tilde{\Phi}}{T_a} \frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} + \left(\frac{m_a v^2}{2T_a} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

With this ∇f_0 , the resulting classical fluxes can be calculated using Braginskii matrices (as in, for example, Newton & Helander (2006)), resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_a^C &= \frac{m_a}{Z_a e^2} \sum_b \frac{1}{\tau_{ab} n_b} \left\{ \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} \right\rangle M_{ab}^{00} \left(\frac{T_a}{Z_a} \frac{d \ln \eta_a}{d\psi} - \frac{T_b}{Z_b} \frac{d \ln \eta_b}{d\psi} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} e \tilde{\Phi} \right\rangle M_{ab}^{00} \left(\frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} - \frac{d \ln T_b}{d\psi} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} \right\rangle \left[(M_{ab}^{00} - M_{ab}^{01}) \frac{T_a}{Z_a} \frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} - \left(M_{ab}^{00} - \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a} M_{ab}^{01} \right) \frac{T_b}{Z_b} \frac{d \ln T_b}{d\psi} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_a^C &= -\frac{T_a m_a}{Z_a e^2} \sum_b \frac{1}{\tau_{ab} n_b} \left\{ \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} \right\rangle M_{ab}^{01} \left(\frac{T_a}{Z_a} \frac{d \ln \eta_a}{d\psi} - \frac{T_b}{Z_b} \frac{d \ln \eta_b}{d\psi} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} e \tilde{\Phi} \right\rangle M_{ab}^{01} \left(\frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} - \frac{d \ln T_b}{d\psi} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \left\langle n_a n_b \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{B^2} \right\rangle \left[(M_{ab}^{01} - M_{ab}^{11}) \frac{T_a}{Z_a} \frac{d \ln T_a}{d\psi} - (M_{ab}^{01} + N_{ab}^{11}) \frac{T_b}{Z_b} \frac{d \ln T_b}{d\psi} \right] \right\}, \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

where M_{ab}^{jk} are the Braginskii matrix elements (Braginskii 1958), defined in appendix A, using the same notation as Helander & Sigmar (2005); the collision time τ_{ab} is defined as

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{ab} n_b} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2} Z_a Z_b^2 e^4 \ln \Lambda}{12 \pi^{3/2} \epsilon_0^2 m_a^{1/2} T_a^{3/2}}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm, and ϵ_0 the vacuum permittivity. These expressions are valid for all collisionalities. In (3.9) and (3.10), the effect of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is to induce a weighting over the flux surface due to the flux-surface variation of n_a

and its radial gradient. Note that the radial electric field (from $\langle \Phi \rangle$ and $\tilde{\Phi}$) does not contribute in the above expression, even when $d \ln \eta / d\psi$ is expressed in terms of (3.7).

In (3.9)–(3.10), the $|\nabla\psi|^2$ factors correspond to the j_{\perp} factor in (2.1), while the j_{\parallel} factor in (2.1) arises due to the neoclassical transport (Braun & Helander 2010; Helander *et al.* 2017). In the following section, we will evaluate the above expression for example magnetic configurations.

4. Comparison to neoclassical calculations

In this section, we will compare the classical transport from (3.9) to the neoclassical transport calculated with the drift-kinetic solver SFINCS. Unlike analytical calculations of the neoclassical transport (Buller *et al.* 2018; Calvo *et al.* 2018), this procedure is not limited to a specific collisionality regime, which will let us assess the importance of classical transport for any collisionality.

For this study, we will look at two stellarator configurations, where the neoclassical transport coefficients have been calculated across a wide range of collisionalities. Specifically, we will look at a simulated W7-X standard configuration case at the radial location $r_N = 0.88$, with $T = 1$ keV and impurity parameters $Z = 6$, $Z_{\text{eff}} = 2.0$, studied by Mollén *et al.* (2015). The normalized radius is defined as $r_N = \sqrt{\psi_t / \psi_{t,\text{LCFS}}}$, with ψ_t the toroidal flux and $\psi_{t,\text{LCFS}}$ its value at the last-closed flux surface. Since W7-X has been optimized for a low parallel current, and the standard configuration has low neoclassical transport compared to other configurations, we here expect the classical transport to dominate at high collisionality, as indicated at the end of § 2. In addition, we will look at a scenario based on an impurity hole plasma (#113208, $t = 4.64$ s, $r_N = 0.6$, $T = 3.2$ keV) of the Large Helical Device (LHD), where we replaced the mixture of helium and carbon impurities with purely carbon ($Z_{\text{eff}} = 3.44$) for the sake of comparison. This magnetic configuration has been investigated in several studies, using both neoclassical (Velasco *et al.* 2017; Mollén *et al.* 2018) and turbulence codes (Nunami *et al.* 2016).

Effects of $\tilde{\Phi}$ and the radial electric field are not included in this demonstration (they are zero in the simulations), as this would make the drift-kinetic equation nonlinear, and add the complexity of finding the ambipolar electric field at each step. These effects are not expected to strongly affect the classical transport, which is independent of the radial electric field, and typically not as sensitive to $\tilde{\Phi}$ as the neoclassical transport (Buller *et al.* 2018). The neoclassical transport can be both enhanced or reduced by these effects, which thus would affect the relative importance of classical transport. These effects will be touched upon in § 5. As $\tilde{\Phi} = 0$ in this section, the density is a flux function, and $\eta_a = n_a$.

We scan the collisionality by artificially scaling the collision frequency. For each point in the collisionality scan, we calculate the neoclassical and classical transport coefficients of the hydrogen bulk ion and the carbon impurity. The transport coefficients for the (neo)classical fluxes are defined such that

$$\Gamma_a^{(N)C} = -n_a \left(D_{a,ni}^{(N)C} \frac{d \ln n_i}{d\psi} + D_{a,nz}^{(N)C} \frac{d \ln n_z}{d\psi} + D_{a,T}^{(N)C} \frac{d \ln T}{d\psi} \right), \quad (4.1)$$

where $a = i, z$ for ions and impurities. We have neglected the effects of electron collisions on the ion fluxes due to the small electron-to-ion mass ratio, and assumed that the bulk and impurity ions have the same temperature $T \equiv T_i = T_z$.




FIGURE 1. The neoclassical (—) and classical (---) transport coefficients as defined in (4.1), plotted against the impurity–impurity collisionality. (a) W7-X standard case. (b) LHD impurity–hole case. The classical coefficients were calculated using (3.9), while the neoclassical coefficients were calculated using SFINCS. Note the symmetric logarithmic scale of the y-axis; the shaded region has a linear y-axis scale.

The results of the collisionality scan are shown in figure 1, with the collisionality defined as

$$\hat{\nu}_{ab} = \frac{G + I}{B_{00} \sqrt{2T_a/m_a}} \frac{1}{\tau_{ab}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where B_{00} , G and I are related to the Boozer representation of the magnetic field (see, for example, Mollén *et al.* (2018)) and ι is the rotational transform. As seen in the left panels of figure 1, the impurity transport coefficients in the W7-X geometry are dominantly classical already for $\hat{\nu}_{CC} \gtrsim 1$. The cross-species contributions are dominantly classical at even lower collisionality, $\hat{\nu}_{CC} \gtrsim 0.1$, for both the bulk and the impurity ions. On the other hand, in LHD – which has not been optimized for low $|j_{\parallel}|/|j_{\perp}|$ – the classical transport for both species at most collisionalities is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the neoclassical transport. An exception to this is the $D_{a,T}$ coefficient, where the classical transport becomes comparable to or greater than the neoclassical transport at very high collisionalities ($\hat{\nu}_{CC} \gtrsim 100$). Another exception occurs in the collisionality range $\nu_{CC} \sim [0.1, 1]$, where the cross-species neoclassical $D_{z,ni}$ and $D_{i,nz}$ coefficients transition between different signs.




FIGURE 2. Neoclassical (—) and classical (---) fluxes normalized to pseudo-densities for different species in W7-X (a) and LHD (b) as functions of the normalized radius r_N . Filled (open) symbols show the flux with (without) the effect of $\tilde{\Phi}$ included. The lowest panels show the ratio of the classical and neoclassical transport; note that this quantity diverges at radii where the neoclassical flux crosses zero.

5. Discussion

We have seen that the neoclassical and classical transport coefficients can be comparable in a W7-X standard configuration, even at modest impurity collisionality ($\hat{\nu}_{CC} \gtrsim 0.1-1$), although the previously discussed simulations do not include effects of electrostatic potential variation within and across flux surfaces and collisions with electrons. To demonstrate the relative importance of neoclassical and classical transport in realistic scenarios with these effects included, we consider two cases in which the full neoclassical behaviour has previously been analysed by Mollén *et al.* (2018): a simulated neutral-beam heated high-mirror W7-X scenario with inward electric field and neon impurities, and the impurity hole LHD case of the previous section with an additional helium impurity.

The classical and neoclassical fluxes are shown in figure 2 (left panels, W7X; right panels, LHD). We note that in the W7-X case, the classical to neoclassical neon flux ratio is around 0.5 in magnitude at most radii, and its sensitivity to a finite $\tilde{\Phi}$ is weak. This is consistent with neon being the only collisional impurity in this discharge (with $\hat{\nu}_{NeNe} \sim [0.5, 2.5]$).

In the LHD case, the classical flux is generally small, although the classical to neoclassical flux ratio for carbon and helium diverges at discrete points, where the neoclassical flux crosses zero. Additionally, for $\tilde{\Phi} = 0$, there is a radial range ($0.6 \lesssim r_N \lesssim 0.8$), with small outward neoclassical carbon flux, where the neoclassical flux is only 5 times as large as the classical. When the effect of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is included, there is a large increase in the neoclassical carbon flux for $r_N \gtrsim 0.4$, with the result that the classical-to-neoclassical flux ratio remains small for these radii.

As all species in the LHD case are in a low-collisionality regime, it is unlikely that the low neoclassical transport for $\tilde{\Phi} = 0$ is due to small neoclassical transport coefficients, when compared to the classical coefficients. Rather, it may be that the contributions from the different neoclassical transport coefficients cancel out approximately. Including potential variations both changes the individual transport coefficient and somewhat reduces the ambipolar radial electric field in this case, both of which could affect this cancellation.

The classical fluxes are comparable to the neoclassical fluxes in W7-X, and should not generally be neglected in an analysis of the collisional transport. Based on this conclusion, we have implemented the classical fluxes (3.9)–(3.10) as a post-processing step to the neoclassical codes SFINCS and DKES; see the supplementary material, available at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002237781900045X>, for an example implementation in python.

As a final remark, we note that since the neoclassical transport in W7-X is sufficiently low to be comparable to the classical, the transport due to micro-turbulence can become relatively more important. It may thus be necessary to consider the effect of turbulence on stellarator impurity transport in the future, which is often excluded due to the computational expense of simulating turbulence in stellarator geometry (Nunami, Watanabe & Sugama 2013). Recent experimental studies by Langenberg *et al.* (2018) and Geiger *et al.* (2019) already point strongly in that direction.

Acknowledgements

S.B. and I.P. were supported by the International Career Grant of Vetenskapsrådet (Dnr. 330-2014-6313) and I.P. by Marie Skłodowska Curie Actions, Cofund, Project INCA 600398. S.B.'s visit to Greifswald was supported by Chalmersska forskningsfonden. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. The authors would like to thank the LHD experiment group and the technical staff of LHD for their support of this work. The authors are grateful to M. Nunami for help with accessing the LHD data.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002237781900045X>.

Appendix A. Braginskii matrix elements

The Braginskii matrix elements are defined by

$$M_{ab}^{jk} = \frac{\tau_{ab}}{n_a} \int v_2 L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) C_{ab} \left[\frac{m_a v_2}{T_a} L_k^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) f_{a0}, f_{b0} \right] d^3 v, \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

$$N_{ab}^{jk} = \frac{\tau_{ab}}{n_a} \int v_2 L_j^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) C_{ab} \left[f_{a0}, \frac{m_b v_2}{T_b} L_k^{(3/2)}(x_b^2) f_{b0} \right] d^3 v, \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

where v_2 is any Cartesian velocity component, f_{a0} is a Maxwellian, $x_a = v/\sqrt{2T_a/m_a}$, $L_k^{(3/2)}$ are Sonine polynomials, where the polynomials relevant to classical particle and heat transport are

$$L_0^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) = 1, \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

$$L_1^{(3/2)}(x_a^2) = \frac{5}{2} - x_a^2. \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

The corresponding relevant matrix elements are

$$M_{ab}^{00} = - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{m_a}{m_b}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)^{5/2}}, \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

$$M_{ab}^{01} = - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1 + \frac{m_a}{m_b}}{\left(1 + \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)^{5/2}}, \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

$$M_{ab}^{11} = - \frac{\frac{13}{4} + 4 \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a} + \frac{15}{2} \left(\frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)^2}{\left(1 + \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)^{5/2}}, \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

$$N_{ab}^{11} = \frac{27}{4} \frac{\frac{m_a}{m_b}}{\left(1 + \frac{m_a T_b}{m_b T_a}\right)^{5/2}}. \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

REFERENCES

- BEIDLER, C., BRAKEL, R., BURHENN, R., DINKLAGE, A., ERCKMANN, V., FENG, Y., GEIGER, J., HARTMANN, D., HIRSCH, M., JAENICKE, R. *et al.* 2012 *Fusion Physics*. chap. 8.3.6, International Atomic Energy Agency.
- BOLT, H., BARABASH, V., FEDERICI, G., LINKE, J., LOARTE, A., ROTH, J. & SATO, K. 2002 Plasma facing and high heat flux materials needs for ITER and beyond. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **307–311**, 43–52.
- BRAGINSKII, S. 1958 Transport phenomena in a completely ionized two-temperature plasma. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **6** (33), 358–369.
- BRAUN, S. & HELANDER, P. 2010 Pfirsich–Schlüter impurity transport in stellarators. *Phys. Plasmas* **17** (7), 072514.
- BULLER, S., SMITH, H. M., HELANDER, P., MOLLÉN, A., NEWTON, S. L. & PUSZTAI, I. 2018 Collisional transport of impurities with flux-surface varying density in stellarators. *J. Plasma Phys.* **84** (4), 905840409.
- CALVO, I., PARRA, F. I., VELASCO, J. L., ALONSO, J. A. & NA, J. G.-R. 2018 Stellarator impurity flux driven by electric fields tangent to magnetic surfaces. *Nucl. Fusion* **58** (12), 124005.

- GARCÍA-REGAÑA, J., BEIDLER, C., KLEIBER, R., HELANDER, P., MOLLÉN, A., ALONSO, J., LANDREMAN, M., MAAßBERG, H., SMITH, H., TURKIN, Y. *et al.* 2017 Electrostatic potential variation on the flux surface and its impact on impurity transport. *Nucl. Fusion* **57** (5), 056004.
- GEIGER, B., WEGNER, T., BEIDLER, C., BURHENN, R., BUTTENSCHÖN, B., DUX, R., LANGENBERG, A., PABLANT, N., PÜTTERICH, T., TURKIN, Y. *et al.* & THE W7-X TEAM 2019 Observation of anomalous impurity transport during low-density experiments in W7-X with laser blow-off injections of iron. *Nucl. Fusion* **59** (4), 046009.
- HAZELTINE, R. D. 1973 Recursive derivation of drift-kinetic equation. *Plasma Phys.* **15** (1), 77.
- HELANDER, P., NEWTON, S. L., MOLLÉN, A. & SMITH, H. M. 2017 Impurity transport in a mixed-collisionality stellarator plasma. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 155002.
- HELANDER, P. & SIGMAR, D. J. 2005 *Collisional Transport in Magnetized Plasmas*. Cambridge University Press.
- HINTON, F. L. & WONG, S. K. 1985 Neoclassical ion transport in rotating axisymmetric plasmas. *Phys. Fluids* **28** (10), 3082–3098.
- LANDREMAN, M., SMITH, H. M., MOLLÉN, A. & HELANDER, P. 2014 Comparison of particle trajectories and collision operators for collisional transport in nonaxisymmetric plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **21** (4), 042503.
- LANGENBERG, A., WARMER, F., FUCHERT, G., MARCHUK, O., DINKLAGE, A., WEGNER, T., ALONSO, J. A., BOZHENKOV, S., BRUNNER, K. J., BURHENN, R. *et al.* & THE W7-X TEAM 2018 Impurity transport studies at Wendelstein 7-X by means of x-ray imaging spectrometer measurements. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **61** (1), 014030.
- MOLLÉN, A., LANDREMAN, M., SMITH, H. M., BRAUN, S. & HELANDER, P. 2015 Impurities in a non-axisymmetric plasma: transport and effect on bootstrap current. *Phys. Plasmas* **22** (11), 112508.
- MOLLÉN, A., LANDREMAN, M., SMITH, H. M., GARCÍA-REGAÑA, J. M. & NUNAMI, M. 2018 Flux-surface variations of the electrostatic potential in stellarators: impact on the radial electric field and neoclassical impurity transport. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **60** (8), 084001.
- NEWTON, S. & HELANDER, P. 2006 Neoclassical momentum transport in an impure rotating tokamak plasma. *Phys. Plasmas* **13** (1), 012505.
- NEWTON, S. L., HELANDER, P., MOLLÉN, A. & SMITH, H. M. 2017 Impurity transport and bulk ion flow in a mixed collisionality stellarator plasma. *J. Plasma Phys.* **83** (5), 905830505.
- NÜHRENBERG, J. & ZILLE, R. 1986 Stable stellarators with medium β and aspect ratio. *Phys. Lett. A* **114** (3), 129–132.
- NUNAMI, M., SUGAMA, H., VELASCO, J. L., YOKOYAMA, M., SATO, M., NAKATA, M. & SATAKE, S. 2016 Anomalous and neoclassical transport of hydrogen isotope and impurity ions in LHD plasmas. In *IAEA Fusion Energy Conference preprints, Kyoto, Japan*, pp. TH/P2–3. International Atomic Energy Agency.
- NUNAMI, M., WATANABE, T.-H. & SUGAMA, H. 2013 A reduced model for ion temperature gradient turbulent transport in helical plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **20** (9), 092307.
- PFIRSCH, D. & SCHLÜTER, A. 1962 Der einfluss der elektrischen leitfähigkeit auf das gleichgewichtsverhalten von plasmen niedrigen drucks in stelleratoren. In *Max-Planck-Institut Report MPI/PA/7/62*, pp. 88–89.
- VELASCO, J., CALVO, I., SATAKE, S., ALONSO, A., NUNAMI, M., YOKOYAMA, M., SATO, M., ESTRADA, T., FONTDECABA, J., LINIERS, M. *et al.* & THE LHD EXPERIMENTAL TEAM & THE TJ-II TEAM 2017 Moderation of neoclassical impurity accumulation in high temperature plasmas of helical devices. *Nucl. Fusion* **57** (1), 016016.