CORRIGENDUM



A careful consideration of CLARIFY: simulation-induced bias in point estimates of quantities of interest – CORRIGENDUM

Carlisle Rainey*

(First published online 7 July 2023)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2023.8, published by Cambridge University Press, 28th April 2023

The author would like to amend an error in the above article as per the following:

In Rainey (2023), I evaluate the point estimate suggested by King, Tomz, and Wittenberg (2000). They suggest that researchers "[a]verage the simulated values to obtain a point estimate" (p. 351). I show that this approach creates "simulation-induced bias" and recommend that researchers directly transform the maximum likelihood estimates instead.

In listing examples of software that implement the two approaches, I regrettably describe a new R package clarify incorrectly (Greifer et al. 2023). In footnote 1 and the second paragraph of the conclusion, I wrongly cite the new clarify for R as an example of software that uses the average of simulations as the point estimate.

Predecessors CLARIFY for Stata (version 2.0; Tomz et al., 2003,) and Zelig (version 5.1.7; Imai et al., 2008; Choirat et al., 2018) use the average of simulations to compute a point estimate. clarify for R was released January 25, 2023 (version 0.1.0) as a replacement for the now-deprecated R package Zelig, and the new R package clarify "directly transform[s] maximum likelihood estimates of coefficients to obtain maximum likelihood estimates of the quantities of interest" as Rainey (2023) suggests.

References

Choirat, C, Honaker, J, Imai, K, King, G and Lau, O (2018) Zelig: Everyone's Statistical Software. Version 5.1.7 (December 12, 2020). http://zeligproject.org/

Greifer, N, Worthington, S, Iacus, S and King, G (2023) clarify: Simulation-Based Inference for Regression Models. Version 0.1.0 (January 25, 2023). https://iqss.github.io/clarify/

Imai, K, King, G and Lau, O (2008) Toward a common framework for statistical analysis and development. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 17, 892–913.

King, G, Tomz, M and Wittenberg, J (2000) Making the most of statistical analyses: improving interpretation and presentation. *American Journal of Political Science* 44, 341–355.

Tomz, M, Wittenberg, J and King, G (2003) Clarify: software for interpreting and presenting statistical results. *Journal of Statistical Software* 8, 1–30

Rainey, C. (2023). A careful consideration of CLARIFY: simulation-induced bias in point estimates of quantities of interest. *Political Science Research and Methods*, 1–10. doi:10.1017/psrm.2023.8

Cite this article: Rainey C (2024). A careful consideration of CLARIFY: simulation-induced bias in point estimates of quantities of interest – CORRIGENDUM. *Political Science Research and Methods* 12, 685. https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2023.25

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the European Political Science Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}Department of Political Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA (crainey@fsu.edu).