

# A note on simultaneous polynomial approximation of exponential functions

J.H. Loxton and A.J. van der Poorten

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be distinct complex numbers and  $\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)$  be non-negative integers. We obtain conditions under which the functions

$$z^{\tau(1)} \exp(\alpha_1 z), \dots, z^{\tau(m)} \exp(\alpha_m z)$$

form a perfect system, that is, for every set  $\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m)$  of non-negative integers, there are polynomials  $a_1(z), \dots, a_m(z)$ , with respective degrees exactly  $\rho(1)-1, \dots, \rho(m)-1$ , such that the function

$$R(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(z) \exp(\alpha_k z)$$

has a zero of order at least  $\rho(1) + \dots + \rho(m)-1$  at the origin. Moreover, subject to the evaluation of certain determinants, we give explicit formulae for the approximating polynomials  $a_1(z), \dots, a_m(z)$ .

## 1. Introduction

In [4], Mahler has introduced the idea of a perfect system of functions, defined as follows. Let  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  be functions of one complex variable which are regular at the origin and do not all vanish there. Let  $\rho = (\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m))$  be an  $m$ -tuple of non-negative integers

---

Received 1 July 1974.

and set  $\sigma = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(m)$ . Then there are polynomials  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$ , with respective degrees at most  $\rho(1)-1, \dots, \rho(m)-1$  and not all identically zero, such that the function

$$(1) \quad R(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k(z) f_k(z)$$

has a zero of order at least  $\sigma - 1$  at the origin. The functions  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  form a perfect system if, for every choice of  $\rho$ , there are polynomials  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$  with respective degrees exactly  $\rho(1)-1, \dots, \rho(m)-1$  such that the function  $R(z)$  defined in (1) has a zero of order at least  $\sigma - 1$  at the origin. The polynomials  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$  are then uniquely determined up to a common constant multiple; (see [4], page 113).

In [5], the second author gave several examples of sets of functions whose perfectness can be established by explicitly constructing the approximating polynomials  $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_m(z)$ . In this note, we consider in the same spirit the perfectness of the system of functions

$$(2) \quad z^{\tau(1)} \exp(\alpha_1 z), \dots, z^{\tau(m)} \exp(\alpha_m z),$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are complex numbers and  $\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)$  are non-negative integers. The main result and the corresponding construction are given in Section 2.

The particular case  $\tau(1) = \dots = \tau(m) = 0$  gives the approximating polynomials constructed by Mahler [2, 3] and used by him to obtain arithmetic properties of the exponential function. A lemma on the simultaneous polynomial approximation of the general system (2) was used recently by Baker [1] in obtaining a new diophantine inequality involving the exponential function. Unfortunately, our construction, at least in its present form, does not appear to have any applications of this kind.

## 2. Construction of the approximating polynomials

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  be an  $m$ -tuple of complex numbers and  $\rho = (\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m))$  and  $\tau = (\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m))$  be  $m$ -tuples of non-

negative integers. Set  $\sigma = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(m)$ . We denote by  $D(\alpha, \rho, \tau)$  the determinant of order  $\sigma$  with the element

$$\left( \begin{matrix} i-1 \\ \tau(r)+s-1 \end{matrix} \right) \alpha_r^{i-\tau(r)-s}$$

in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column, where  $j = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(r-1) + s$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq s \leq \rho(r)$ ).

**THEOREM.** Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be distinct complex numbers and  $\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)$  be non-negative integers with

$$0 = \tau(1) \leq \tau(2) \leq \dots \leq \tau(m).$$

If, for each  $m$ -tuple  $\rho = \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m)\}$  of non-negative integers, the determinant  $D(\alpha, \rho, \tau)$  defined above is non-zero, then the functions

$$(3) \quad z^{\tau(1)} \exp(\alpha_1 z), \dots, z^{\tau(m)} \exp(\alpha_m z)$$

form a perfect system.

**Proof.** Let  $\rho = \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(m)\}$  be an  $m$ -tuple of non-negative integers and set  $\sigma = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(m)$ . Let  $\omega_{rs}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq s \leq \rho(r)$ ) be  $\sigma$  distinct complex numbers and denote their difference product by  $\Delta(\omega)$ . Thus

$$\Delta(\omega) = \Delta(\omega_{11}, \dots, \omega_{m, \rho(m)}) = \left| \omega_{rs}^{i-1} \right|$$

is the determinant of order  $\sigma$  with  $\omega_{rs}^{i-1}$  in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column, where  $j = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(r-1) + s$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq s \leq \rho(r)$ ).

Define a function  $S(z)$  by

$$(4) \quad S(z) = \frac{\Delta(\omega)}{2\pi i} \int_C \prod_{r,s} (\zeta - \omega_{rs})^{-1} e^{\zeta z} d\zeta,$$

where  $C$  is a simple closed contour in the  $\zeta$ -plane containing all the  $\omega_{rs}$ . On the one hand, evaluating the integral by obtaining the residue of the integrand at each of the poles  $\omega_{rs}$  inside  $C$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad S(z) = \sum_{r,s} \Delta_{rs}(\omega) \exp(\omega_{rs} z),$$

where

$$\Delta_{rs}(\omega) = (-1)^{\sigma(r,s)} \Delta(\omega_{11}, \dots, \hat{\omega}_{rs}, \dots, \omega_{m,\rho(m)})$$

is, except for sign, the difference product of the  $\omega_{kl}$  with  $\omega_{rs}$  omitted, and we have introduced  $\sigma(r, s) = \rho(1) + \dots + \rho(r-1) + s - 1$ . In particular,  $\Delta_{rs}(\omega)$  is independent of  $\omega_{rs}$ . On the other hand, evaluating the integral (4) by considering the behaviour of the integrand at its remaining singularity at  $\zeta = \infty$ , we see that  $S(z)$  has a Taylor expansion about the origin which begins

$$(6) \quad S(z) = \Delta(\omega) \frac{z^{\sigma-1}}{(\sigma-1)!} + \dots$$

Define the differential operators

$$L = \prod_{k,l} \frac{1}{(\tau(k)+l-1)!} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{kl}} \right)^{\tau(k)+l-1} \Bigg|_{\omega_{kl}=\alpha_k},$$

and, for each pair  $(r, s)$  with  $1 \leq r \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq \rho(r)$ ,

$$L_{rs} = \prod_{(k,l) \neq (r,s)} \frac{1}{(\tau(k)+l-1)!} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{kl}} \right)^{\tau(k)+l-1} \Bigg|_{\omega_{kl}=\alpha_k},$$

where, after differentiation, we replace each  $\omega_{kl}$  by  $\alpha_k$ . On applying the operator  $L$  to (5), we obtain

$$(7) \quad R(z) = LS(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(z) z^{\tau(k)} \exp(\alpha_k z),$$

where

$$(8) \quad a_k(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\rho(k)} \frac{1}{(\tau(k)+l-1)!} L_{kl} \Delta_{kl}(\omega) z^{l-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

is a polynomial of degree at most  $\rho(k) - 1$  in  $z$ . From (6), the function  $R(z)$  has a zero of order at least  $\sigma - 1$  at the origin and its Taylor expansion about the origin begins

$$(9) \quad R(z) = L\Delta(\omega) \frac{z^{\sigma-1}}{(\sigma-1)!} + \dots = D(\alpha, \rho, \tau) \frac{z^{\sigma-1}}{(\sigma-1)!} + \dots$$

Moreover, the leading coefficient of the polynomial  $a_k(z)$  is

$$(10) \quad \pm \frac{1}{(\tau(k)+\rho(k)-1)!} D(\alpha, \rho'_k, \tau) ,$$

where  $\rho'_k = (\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)-1, \dots, \rho(m))$ , so by hypothesis,  $a_k(z)$  has exact degree  $\rho(k) - 1$ . Thus the functions (3) form a perfect system.

### 3. Construction of linearly independent approximations

Following the general theory of [4], pages 104-107, we can use the preceding work to construct explicitly systems of linearly independent forms in the functions (3).

As before, let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be distinct complex numbers and  $\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)$  be non-negative integers satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem of Section 2. We carry out the construction of Section 2 with the parameters  $\rho$  replaced in turn by the  $m$ -tuple

$$\rho_h = (\rho(1), \dots, \rho(h)+1, \dots, \rho(m)) \quad (1 \leq h \leq m) ,$$

denoting quantities obtained from  $\rho_h$  by a subscript  $h$ . Thus, from (7) and (9), we obtain the functions

$$(11) \quad R_h(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{hk}(z) z^{\tau(k)} \exp(\alpha_k z) \\ = D(\alpha, \rho_h, \tau) \frac{z^\sigma}{\sigma!} + \dots \quad (1 \leq h \leq m) ,$$

where, by (8) and (10),  $a_{hk}(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z$  of degree  $\rho(k) + \delta_{hk}$  and the leading coefficient of  $a_{kk}(z)$  is

$$(12) \quad \pm \frac{D(\alpha, \rho, \tau)}{(\tau(k)+\rho(k))!} .$$

Let  $A(z)$  be the  $m \times m$  determinant

$$A(z) = |a_{hk}(z)|_{1 \leq h, k \leq m} .$$

From (11) and the hypothesis  $\tau(1) = 0$ , it follows that  $A(z)$  has a zero of order at least  $\sigma$  at the origin. On the other hand, from the above remarks,  $A(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $\sigma$  and, in the expansion

of  $A(z)$ , a term of degree  $\sigma$  can only arise from the main diagonal. Using (12) to compute this term, we find

$$A(z) = \pm \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{D(\alpha, \rho, \tau)}{(\tau(k) + \rho(k))!} \right\} z^\sigma .$$

In particular, from our hypothesis,  $A(1) \neq 0$ , so on writing  $z = 1$  in (11), we obtain  $m$  linearly independent forms in  $\exp(\alpha_1), \dots, \exp(\alpha_m)$ , say

$$R_h = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{hk} \exp(\alpha_k) \quad (1 \leq h \leq m) .$$

However, it does not seem at all easy to estimate the size of the numbers  $a_{hk}$  and  $R_h$ , which the applications such as those in [1] and [2, 3] require.

#### References

- [1] A. Baker, "On some diophantine inequalities involving the exponential function", *Canad. J. Math.* 17 (1965), 616-626.
- [2] Kurt Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus. Teil I", *J. reine angew. Math.* 166 (1932), 118-136.
- [3] Kurt Mahler, "Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus. Teil II", *J. reine angew. Math.* 166 (1932), 137-150.
- [4] K. Mahler, "Perfect systems", *Compositio Math.* 19 (1968), 95-166.
- [5] A.J. van der Poorten, "Perfect approximation of functions", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 5 (1971), 117-126.

School of Mathematics,  
University of New South Wales,  
Kensington,  
New South Wales.