CORRESPONDENCE.

1. SHORT NOTICE ON THREE DATED NEPALESE MSS.

Sir,—The MSS. under notice belong to the collection of the late Professor Minayef. I wish here to draw attention only to their dates, as a description of the whole collection will be given elsewhere. These dates will be, I expect, a small but welcome contribution to the chronology of Nepalese kings, which was so successfully treated in Prof. C. Bendall's Catalogue of the Cambridge Collection and in the account of his journey to Nepal.

- 1. Sekaniradeçapānjikā, by Rāmapāla; probably a commantary on the work of Nāgārjuna-Caturmudrānvya; date: caturmāsādhikaçatadvaye samvatsare çubhe || rājñaḥ çrīmad-Vāmadevavijaye. The date 1081 a.d. is very plausible and permits us to fix more approximatively the date of Vāmadeva's reign. The MS. is a modern paper transcript from a palmleaf original, as may be seen from a postscript: jīrnībhūtatālapatrapustake drstvā bhisāriteyam pustakam.
- 2. Kriyāsaṃgrahapānjikā, by Kuladatta; date: rajādhirājaparameçvaraçrīmad Abhayamalladevasya vijayarāj[y]e

¹ May I be permitted here to correct some few misprints in this excellent book: p. 106, 12 and 21, read Çāntideva for Jayadeva. p. 129, 9, read according to the MS. babhuvuh for vastuvuh (?); the cambūkāvadāna is one of the many versions of the Sapiakumārikāvadāna; the quotation from a Sapiakum. av. in Kramadīçvara (Zachariae, Th., Citate in Kramadīçvara's Samkshiptāra. Bezz. Beitr. v. 59 (1879), and Rāyamukuta mani (Aufrecht, Th., Zur Handschriftenkunde, Z.D.M.G. 28, 117, 119 (1874),) is from the work of Gopadatta, as may be seen from the Paris MS. D. 105. Gopadatta seems to have lived before the eleventh century, as a Gopadatta author of Jātakas is mentioned by Kşemendra in his Bodhisattvāvadāna Kalpalatā (A.D. 1052), cf. Bendall, Cat. p. 20. Index iii. read Çikşāsamuccaya, 106. Çişyalekhadharmakāvya, 31 (now edited by Prof. Minayef). Sumāgadhāvadāna, add. 134 (read here Sumāgadh-instead of Sumāghad-). Of this avadāna and on the different versions of the Saptakumārikāvadāna, I am shortly giving a detailed account.

likhanasamāptitrtam iti || samvat 373 Mārgaçirsaçukla dvitīvāvām cukravāsare svātinaksatre. This date, A.D. 1253, seems also very probable. The MS. is again a modern transcript (Devanāgarī). The original may be the palmleaf MS. in the library of the Bengal Asiatic Society. As the Catalogue of this collection seems not to have been very carefully revised,1 it would be of some use if a person well acquainted with the peculiarities of Nepalese MSS. would go through the whole collection once more (querywhere is now the collection mentioned in W. W. Hunter's Catalogue, pp. 19-20, as belonging to the library of the College of Fort William?).

3. Nāmasamgrahanighantu (palm-leaf); date: rajādhirājap[a] ramesvarap[a]ramabhat[t]ārakasya çrīçrī Jayajyotimal[1]adevasya vijayarājye. Samvat 547 (A.D. 1427). This must be the last, or last but one, year of the reign of Jayajyotirmalla, as the Cambridge MS. Add. 1703, bearing the date 549 (1429 A.D.), (486 on p. 197, l. 16 must be a misprint?) is from the reign of the following king Laksamalla. The Cambridge and the St. Petersburg MSS. seem to be written by the same scribe—the Chikşu Jivayībhadra.

SERGIUS D'OLDENBURG.

St. Petersburg.

1 I will give here only one instance of misrepresentation of the texts: Nep. Sanscr. Buddh. Lit. p. 300. XIX. Story of Srīmatī. King Bimbisāra had once given a handsome palace, named Jyotishka, to one of his sons, whereupon another, named Ajātasātru, etc. This is partly an account of the story of Jyotiska found in a somewhat different shape in the Divyāvadāna, in the Sumāgadhāvadāna, and probably in other avadānas. Jyotiska is the name of a man, and in the passage in question the text (sufficiently clear) runs as follows (we quote from the Paris MS. D. 124, fol. 1672):

"Yadā rājā mahīpālo Bimbisāro nṛpottamaḥ | Jyotiskāya dadau prītyā divyaçrīsamyutam grham tadaivājātaçatruh sa dṛṣtvairerṣyā samanvitaḥ | hā dhig mām iti nicvasya tasthau duḥkhārttamānasaḥ āḥ katham nāma tātasya mahārājasya me pituḥ | evam vyānishitā buddhiḥ svatmaje pi sute mayi yat svaputrāya me datvā sarvasādhāraṇamçriyam | sarvalokānubhūtām ca rājalakṣmīm nṛpaḥ pitā Jyotiṣkāyānyaputrāya dusprāpyām yām surair api | pradadāti mahālakṣmīm divyaçriyam pitā mama."

We would doubt the reading Nandūçvarācārya, pp. 17 and 40, as the two

We would doubt the reading Nandiçvarācārya, pp. 17 and 40, as the two Cambridge MSS., the London, and the Paris one, read something like than, but clearly no n. On p. 57 we find curious bibliographical facts.