



Addendum to “Limit Sets of Typical Homeomorphisms”

Nilson C. Bernardes Jr.

Abstract. Given an integer $n \geq 3$, a metrizable compact topological n -manifold X with boundary, and a finite positive Borel measure μ on X , we prove that for the typical homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$, it is true that for μ -almost every point x in X the restriction of f (respectively of f^{-1}) to the omega limit set $\omega(f, x)$ (respectively to the alpha limit set $\alpha(f, x)$) is topologically conjugate to the universal odometer.

This note is an addendum to my previous work [3] on limit sets of typical homeomorphisms. The goal is to point out that, by combining the methods used in [3] with a result from [4], the following theorem complementary to [3, Theorem 1.1] can be derived.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a metrizable compact topological manifold with (or without) boundary. Assume X has dimension $n \geq 2$; if the boundary of X is nonempty, then assume $n \geq 3$. Let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on X . For the typical $f \in H(X)$, there exists a residual set G_f such that $\mu(G_f) = \mu(X)$ and the following property holds for every point x in G_f :*

(e) *The restriction of f (respectively of f^{-1}) to the omega limit set $\omega(f, x)$ (respectively to the alpha limit set $\alpha(f, x)$) is topologically conjugate to the universal odometer.*

In the above statement as well as in all that follows we are adopting the notations and terminology used in [3].

Let us recall that the omega limit set $\omega(f, x)$ (respectively the alpha limit set $\alpha(f, x)$) of f at x is the set of all limit points of the sequence $(f^j(x))_{j \geq 0}$ (respectively of the sequence $(f^{-j}(x))_{j \geq 0}$). Let us also recall the notion of *universal odometer*. Given $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^{\mathbb{N}}$, where \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers, we consider the product space

$$\Delta_\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(i)},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_k = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ is endowed with the discrete topology. Note that Δ_α is a Cantor space, *i.e.*, a totally disconnected compact metrizable space without isolated points. We consider the operation of addition on Δ_α defined in the following way: if (x_1, x_2, \dots) and (y_1, y_2, \dots) are in Δ_α , then $(x_1, x_2, \dots) + (y_1, y_2, \dots) = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$, where $z_1 = x_1 + y_1 \bmod \alpha(1)$ and, in general, z_i is defined recursively as

Received by the editors July 2, 2012.

Published electronically November 13, 2012.

The author was partially supported by CAPES: Bolsista - Proc. n° BEX 4012/11-9.

AMS subject classification: 37B20, 54H20, 28C15, 54C35, 54E52.

Keywords: topological manifolds, homeomorphisms, measures, Baire category, limit sets.

$z_i = x_i + y_i + \epsilon_{i-1} \pmod{\alpha(i)}$, where $\epsilon_{i-1} = 0$ if $x_{i-1} + y_{i-1} + \epsilon_{i-2} < \alpha(i - 1)$ (where $\epsilon_0 = 0$) and $\epsilon_{i-1} = 1$ otherwise. If we let f_α be the “+1” map, that is,

$$f_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots) + (1, 0, 0, \dots),$$

then $(\Delta_\alpha, f_\alpha)$ is a dynamical system known as a solenoid, adding machine, or odometer. Let M_α be the function from the set of all prime numbers into $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ given by

$$M_\alpha(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n(i),$$

where $n(i)$ is the largest integer such that $p^{n(i)}$ divides $\alpha(i)$. When $M_\alpha(p) = \infty$ for every prime number p , f_α is said to be a universal odometer.

The following beautiful characterization of odometers up to topological conjugacy was obtained by Buescu and Stewart in [5] by using an ergodic theoretic approach. A proof based on a topological approach was obtained later by Block and Keesling in [4].

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^\mathbb{N}$. Then f_α and f_β are topologically conjugate if and only if $M_\alpha = M_\beta$.

It follows from this result that any two universal odometers are topologically conjugate to each other.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we shall need the following result from [4]:

Let $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^\mathbb{N}$ and $r_m = \alpha(1)\alpha(2) \cdots \alpha(m)$ for each m . Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of a compact topological space Y . Then f is topologically conjugate to f_α if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) *for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a cover \mathcal{P}_m of Y consisting of r_m nonempty pairwise disjoint clopen sets which are cyclically permuted by f ;*
- (2) *for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{P}_{m+1} refines \mathcal{P}_m ;*
- (3) *if $W_1 \supset W_2 \supset W_3 \supset \cdots$ is a nested sequence with $W_m \in \mathcal{P}_m$ for each m , then $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty W_m$ consists of a single point.*

We now explain how to prove Theorem 1. First, it is enough to prove the theorem only for omega limit sets, for then we can apply the result to f^{-1} and intersect the two residual sets. Second, as was shown in the proof of [3, Theorem 1.1], it is enough to prove the theorem with “ G_δ set” in place of “residual set”. Moreover, it is enough to consider the cases “ $\mu(b(X)) = 0$ ” and “ $b(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu(i(X)) = 0$ ” separately.

CASE I: $\mu(b(X)) = 0$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{O}_k be the set of all $f \in H(X)$ for which there are finitely many pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for f such that:

- (i) $\theta(T_i) < 1/k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$;
- (ii) $\mu(X - (Q(T_1) \cup \cdots \cup Q(T_s))) < 1/k$;

(iii) For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, the special branch of T_i has the form

$$S_i = A_{i,1}^{(1)} < A_{i,2}^{(1)} < \dots < A_{i,d_i}^{(1)} < A_{i,1}^{(2)} < A_{i,2}^{(2)} < \dots < A_{i,d_i}^{(2)} < \dots \\ \dots < A_{i,1}^{(k!)} < A_{i,2}^{(k!)} < \dots < A_{i,d_i}^{(k!)} = R_i.$$

Clearly, each \mathcal{O}_k is open in $H(X)$. Let $f \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{O}_k$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there are pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees $T_{k,1}, \dots, T_{k,s_k}$ for f so that properties (i)–(iii) hold with $T_{k,1}, \dots, T_{k,s_k}$ in place of T_1, \dots, T_s . By replacing each $T_{k,i}$ by a thickening, if necessary, we may assume the following strengthening of property (ii):

(ii') $\mu(X - (\text{Int}Q(T_{k,1}) \cup \dots \cup \text{Int}Q(T_{k,s_k}))) < 1/k$.

Put $Q_k = Q(T_{k,1}) \cup \dots \cup Q(T_{k,s_k})$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and

$$G = \bigcap_{r=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=r}^\infty \text{Int}Q_k.$$

Then G is a G_δ set and $\mu(G) = \mu(X)$ because of property (ii'). By property (iii), the special branch of each $T_{k,i}$ has the form

$$S_{k,i} = A_{k,i,1}^{(1)} < \dots < A_{k,i,d_{k,i}}^{(1)} < \dots < A_{k,i,1}^{(k!)} < \dots < A_{k,i,d_{k,i}}^{(k!)} = R_{k,i}.$$

Fix $x \in G$. There exists an increasing sequence $(k_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that $x \in Q_{k_m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $1 \leq i_m \leq s_{k_m}$ such that $x \in Q(T_{k_m,i_m})$. By [3, Proposition 2.1(B)],

$$\omega(f, x) \subset \bigcup_{t=1}^{k_m!} \bigcup_{j=1}^{d_{k_m,i_m}} A_{k_m,i_m,j}^{(t)} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

and $\omega(f, x) \cap A_{k_m,i_m,j}^{(t)} \neq \emptyset$ whenever $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t \leq k_m!$ and $1 \leq j \leq d_{k_m,i_m}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \{\omega(f, x) \cap A_{k_m,i_m,j}^{(t)}; 1 \leq t \leq k_m! \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq d_{k_m,i_m}\}.$$

Then each \mathcal{P}_m is a partition of $\omega(f, x)$ in nonempty clopen subsets that are cyclically permuted by f . Hence, condition (1) of the above-mentioned result from [4] holds (with $Y = \omega(f, x)$ and $r_m = d_{k_m,i_m} k_m!$). By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can also assume that condition (2) holds (because of property (i)) and that

$$\frac{k_{m+1}!}{d_{k_m,i_m} k_m!} \text{ is a multiple of } m!,$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, condition (3) also follows from property (i). Thus, $f|_{\omega(f,x)} : \omega(f, x) \rightarrow \omega(f, x)$ is topologically conjugate to f_α , where

$$\alpha = \left(d_{k_1,i_1} k_1!, \frac{d_{k_2,i_2} k_2!}{d_{k_1,i_1} k_1!}, \frac{d_{k_3,i_3} k_3!}{d_{k_2,i_2} k_2!}, \dots \right).$$

Since $m!$ divides the $(m + 1)^{\text{th}}$ -coordinate of α for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that f_α is a universal odometer.

In order to prove that each \mathcal{O}_k is dense in $H(X)$, let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in H(X)$, and $\epsilon > 0$. In the proof of [2, Theorem 1 (CASE I)], we saw that the set of all $f \in H(X)$ for which there are finitely many pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for f satisfying properties (i) and (ii) is dense in $H(X)$. Therefore, if we choose an integer $k' \geq k$ such that $1/k' < \epsilon/3$, then there are a function $g \in H(X)$ and pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees T'_1, \dots, T'_s for g so that $\tilde{d}(g, h) < \epsilon/3$ and (i) and (ii) hold with T'_1, \dots, T'_s in place of T_1, \dots, T_s and k' in place of k . Let

$$S'_i = A_{i,1}^{(1)} < \dots < A_{i,d_i}^{(1)} = R'_i$$

be the special branch of T'_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$). In the proof of [3, Theorem 1.1 (CASE I)], we explained how to duplicate the lengths of the special branches of the trees T'_1, \dots, T'_s and to make small perturbations on g in order to obtain $u \in H(X)$ and pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for u so that $\tilde{d}(u, g) < \epsilon/3$ and (i)–(iii) hold with the number 2 instead of $k!$ in property (iii). By using a similar reasoning, we can triplicate, quadruplicate, . . . the lengths of the special branches of the trees. Hence, by multiplying these lengths by $k!$, we obtain $f \in H(X)$ and pairwise disjoint \mathcal{W}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for f so that $\tilde{d}(f, g) < \epsilon/3$ and (i)–(iii) hold. So, $f \in \mathcal{O}_k$ and $\tilde{d}(f, h) < \epsilon$.

CASE II: $b(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu(i(X)) = 0$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{O}_k be the set of all $f \in H(X)$ for which there are finitely many pairwise disjoint \mathcal{Z}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for f satisfying properties (i)–(iii) as in CASE I. Then each \mathcal{O}_k is open in $H(X)$ and each $f \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{O}_k$ has the desired property. In order to prove that each \mathcal{O}_k is dense in $H(X)$, we fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in H(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. In the proof of [2, Theorem 1 (CASE II)], we saw that the set of all $f \in H(X)$ for which there are finitely many pairwise disjoint \mathcal{Z}_X -trees T_1, \dots, T_s for f satisfying properties (i) and (ii) is dense in $H(X)$. Now, it is enough to continue by arguing as in CASE I, but we need to consider the collection \mathcal{Z}_X instead of \mathcal{W}_X and the collection \mathcal{V}_X instead of \mathcal{U}_X .

By using the same methods we can obtain the following version of Theorem 1 for the space $C(X)$.

Theorem 2 *Let X be a metrizable compact topological n -manifold with (or without) boundary, where $n \geq 1$, and let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on X . For the typical $f \in C(X)$, there exists a residual set G_f such that $\mu(G_f) = \mu(X)$ and the following property holds for every point x in G_f : the restriction of f to the omega limit set $\omega(f, x)$ is topologically conjugate to the universal odometer.*

This theorem complements the main results in [1].

Let us mention that D’Aniello, Darji, and Steele proved in [6] that for the typical $f \in C(X)$, it is true that for the typical point x in X the restriction of f to the omega limit set $\omega(f, x)$ is topologically conjugate to the universal odometer. Our main goal in the previous theorem was to establish the same conclusion with probability 1, i.e., for μ -almost every point x in X .

It is interesting to call attention to the fact that in the previous theorems, as well as in the main results in [1, 3], it turned out that the same properties hold simultaneously on a residual set and on a set of full measure. As is well known, this is not always the case in dynamics.

Acknowledgment This work was done while the author was visiting the Department de Matemàtica Aplicada at the Universitat Politècnica de València (Spain). The author is very grateful for the hospitality.

References

- [1] N. C. Bernardes Jr., *Limit sets of typical continuous functions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **319**(2006), no. 2, 651–659. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.06.056>
- [2] ———, *On the predictability of discrete dynamical systems. III*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339**(2008), no. 1, 58–69. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.06.029>
- [3] ———, *Limit sets of typical homeomorphisms*. Canad. Math. Bull. **55**(2012), no. 2, 225–232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2011-066-2>
- [4] L. Block and J. Keesling, *A characterization of adding machine maps*. Topology Appl. **140**(2004), no. 2–3, 151–161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2003.07.006>
- [5] J. Buescu and I. Stewart, *Lyapunov stability and adding machines*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **15**(1995), no. 2, 271–290.
- [6] E. D’Aniello, U. B. Darji, and T. H. Steele, *Ubiquity of odometers in topological dynamical systems*. Topology Appl. **156**(2008), no. 2, 240–245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2008.07.003>

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68530, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21945-970, Brasil
e-mail: bernardes@im.ufrj.br