

A NOTE ON NIELSEN EQUIVALENCE IN FINITELY GENERATED ABELIAN GROUPS

DANIEL OANCEA

(Received 16 November 2010)

Abstract

Nielsen transformations determine the automorphisms of a free group of rank n , and also of a free abelian group of rank n , and furthermore the generating n -tuples of such groups form a single Nielsen equivalence class. For an arbitrary rank n group, the generating n -tuples may fall into several Nielsen classes. Diaconis and Graham [‘The graph of generating sets of an abelian group’, *Colloq. Math.* **80** (1999), 31–38] determined the Nielsen classes for finite abelian groups. We extend their result to the case of infinite abelian groups.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20F99; secondary 20K99.

Keywords and phrases: Nielsen transformations, abelian groups.

1. Introduction

Let G be any group of rank n . The following transformations defined on the set $\Gamma_t(G)$ of all generating t -tuples of G ($t \geq n$) are called *elementary Nielsen transformations*:

(1) $\pi : \Gamma_t(G) \rightarrow \Gamma_t(G)$, defined by

$$\pi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t) = (w_2, w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t);$$

(2) $\sigma : \Gamma_t(G) \rightarrow \Gamma_t(G)$, defined by

$$\sigma(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t) = (w_2, w_3, \dots, w_t, w_1);$$

(3) $\mu : \Gamma_t(G) \rightarrow \Gamma_t(G)$, defined by

$$\mu(w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t) = (w_1 w_2, w_2, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t);$$

(4) $\tau : \Gamma_t(G) \rightarrow \Gamma_t(G)$, defined by

$$\tau(w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t) = (w_1^{-1}, \dots, w_i, \dots, w_t).$$

The elementary Nielsen transformations generate a group, $\mathbf{N}_t(G)$, acting on $\Gamma_t(G)$. Two t -tuples from $\Gamma_t(G)$ are said to be *Nielsen equivalent* if one can be transformed into the other by means of a finite sequence of elementary Nielsen transformations.

Nielsen [3] showed that every two generating t -tuples of F_n , the free group of rank n , are Nielsen equivalent, whence it follows in particular that $\mathbf{N}_n(F_n) \cong \text{Aut}(F_n)$.

We are interested here in the case where G is an arbitrary additively written abelian group A of rank $n \geq 1$, that is, in what the Nielsen equivalence classes of $\Gamma_t(A)$ might be for all $t \geq n$. We shall use the standard (and unique for the torsion subgroup) direct decomposition of such an A :

$$A = Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_k \times Z_{k+1} \times \cdots \times Z_n = \prod_{j=1}^n Z_j,$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $Z_j \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$, $Z_j \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_j\mathbb{Z}$ with the m_j integers exceeding 1 and satisfying $m_{j+1} | m_j$ (so that m_n divides all the m_j).

The following theorem, which in essence extends that of Diaconis and Graham [2] from finite to finitely generated abelian groups, gives the complete answer.

THEOREM 1.1.

- (i) *If $t > n$ then all generating t -tuples of A are Nielsen equivalent.*
- (ii) *The case $t = n$.*
 - (a) *If $k = n$ (so that A is free abelian) then all generating n -tuples of A are Nielsen equivalent. (This case is well known.)*
 - (b) *Suppose that $k < n$ (so that A has torsion). Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n be fixed generators of the cyclic summands Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n of A . Then every generating n -tuple of A is Nielsen equivalent to one and only one n -tuple of the form $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, rz_n)$, where $1 \leq r < m_n/2$ and $(r, m_n) = 1$. Hence in the case $m_n > 2$, $\Gamma_n(A)$ falls into $\varphi(m_n)/2$ Nielsen classes, while if $m_n = 2$ there is again just one Nielsen class. (Here φ is the Euler totient function, $\varphi(m) = |\{i : 0 < i \leq m, \gcd(i, m) = 1\}|$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.)*

Our proof follows that of [2] closely. What is new is the inclusion of the case where A is infinite ($k \geq 1$) and the use of matrices from $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$. Note also that in [2] only transformations generated by the first three types of elementary Nielsen transformations are used, so that in case (ii)(b) (with, in addition, $k = 0$) they obtain $\varphi(m_n)$ classes.

2. Preliminaries

Any t -tuple $\mathbf{g} := (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ of elements of A can be written as a $t \times n$ matrix,

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1n} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{t1} & g_{t2} & \cdots & g_{tn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_{ij} \in Z_j$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $g_j = g_{j1} \cdots g_{jn}$. Using this representation of t -tuples of A together with the \mathbb{Z} -module structure of the subgroups Z_j of A , we have an action of $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$ on the set $\Gamma_t(A)$ of generating t -tuples of elements of A ,

namely that given by multiplication of the above matrix \mathbf{g} on the left by the matrices of $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the following matrices in $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices in fact generate $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$ [1] and we have an epimorphism $\Phi : \mathbf{N}(F_t) \rightarrow \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$, induced by the natural epimorphism $F_t \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^t$. Thus $\Phi(\pi) = M_1$, $\Phi(\sigma) = M_2$, $\Phi(\mu) = M_3$, and $\Phi(\tau) = M_4$, taking $G = F_t$ in the definitions of π, σ, μ, τ above.

The following lemma is immediate from the fact that on the one hand M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 act on the t -tuples of $\Gamma(A)$ like π, σ, μ, τ respectively, and on the other they generate $\text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be, as above, a finitely generated abelian group of rank n , and let \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{h} be generating t -tuples of A , written, as above, as $t \times n$ matrices. Then \mathbf{g} is Nielsen equivalent to \mathbf{h} if and only if there exists a matrix $S \in \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $S\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}$.*

The next lemma is key.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let C be an (additively written) nontrivial cyclic group and (a_1, \dots, a_t) a generating t -tuple of C , $t \geq 2$. Then for any generator z of C , there exists $S \in \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $S(a_1, \dots, a_t)^T = (z, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. (Here T denotes the transpose.) Equivalently, there exists a sequence of elementary Nielsen transformations taking (a_1, \dots, a_t) to $(z, 0, \dots, 0)$ for any generator z of C .*

PROOF. We use induction on t . We identify C with the additive group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m = |C|$ (including the case $m = \infty, C \cong \mathbb{Z}$).

Let $t = 2$, and let (a_1, a_2) be any pair generating C . Since z is a generator of C , we have $a_1 = n_1z, a_2 = n_2z$, for some $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $d = \text{gcd}(n_1, n_2)$, let $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $kn_1 + ln_2 = d$, and define $S_1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} k & l \\ -n_2/d & n_1/d \end{pmatrix}.$$

One verifies directly that $S_1(a_1, a_2)^T = (dz, 0)^T$. Since $(dz, 0)$ is a generating pair,

we have $(d, m) = 1$ ($d = \pm 1$ if $m = \infty$), and so for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $cd \equiv 1 \pmod m$. A possible two further S_2 and S_3 are defined as follows: first

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

and then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dz \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the product of the matrices S_1, S_2, S_3 (right to left) we obtain a matrix $S \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$S \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inductive step from t to $t + 1$ proceeds as follows: if $(a_1, \dots, a_t, a_{t+1})$ is a generating $(t + 1)$ -tuple of C , then by the induction hypothesis there exists $M \in \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$M(a_1, \dots, a_t)^T = (u, 0, \dots, 0)^T,$$

where u is any generator of the subgroup $\langle a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle$. Define the $(t + 1) \times (t + 1)$ matrix W by

$$W := \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $W \in \text{GL}_{t+1}(\mathbb{Z})$, and

$$W \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_t \\ a_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ a_{t+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where now $(u, 0, \dots, 0, a_{t+1})$ is a generating $(t + 1)$ -tuple for C . Defining $Q_{(t+1) \times (t+1)}$ by

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ a_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ a_{t+1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $S \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be obtained as in the case $t = 2$ above, that is, such that

$$S(u, a_{t+1})^T = (z, 0)^T,$$

where z is our chosen arbitrary generator of C . If we define the matrix $R \in GL_{t+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} S & \circ \\ \circ & I_{t-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $RQW(a_1, \dots, a_t, a_{t+1})^T = (z, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. □

3. Proof of the theorem

PROOF. The proof follows that for finite abelian groups given by Diaconis and Graham [2], except that we present it in a somewhat modified form, using matrices in $GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ to execute the Nielsen transformations. We may assume without loss of generality that $t \geq 2$ since the case $t = 1$ (implying $n = 1$) is obvious.

As above, we write an arbitrary generating t -tuple of A in the form of a $t \times n$ matrix:

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1n} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{t1} & g_{t2} & \cdots & g_{tn} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $g_{ij} \in Z_j$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

We show by induction on s , $1 \leq s < t$, that for any choice of generators z_1, z_2, \dots, z_s of Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_s respectively, there is a matrix $R_s \in GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$R_s \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{1,s+1} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & f_{2,s+1} & \cdots & f_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & f_{s,s+1} & \cdots & f_{sn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{t,s+1} & \cdots & f_{tn} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

The initial step: $s = 1$.

Since \mathbf{g} is a generating t -tuple, it follows that $(g_{1j}, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{tj})$ is a generating t -tuple for Z_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Consider the first column of (g_{ij}) , whose entries are in Z_1 . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a matrix $R_1 \in GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$R_1 \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} \\ g_{21} \\ \vdots \\ g_{t1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where z_1 is the arbitrarily chosen generator of the cyclic group Z_1 ($z_1 = \pm 1$ if $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$). Thus

$$R_1 \mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{t2} & \cdots & a_{tn} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $a_{ij} \in Z_j$. As always, since $R_1 \in \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z})$, $R_1 \mathbf{g}$ is still a generating t -tuple of A .

The inductive step from s to $s + 1 \leq n$.

We assume inductively that there is a matrix R_s such that $R_s \mathbf{g}$ has the form (3.1) above. Consider the $(t - s)$ -tuple $\mathbf{f}_{s+1} := (f_{s+1,s+1}, \dots, f_{t,s+1})$ consisting of the entries in (3.1) below $f_{s,s+1}$. This generates a subgroup $\langle d \rangle$ of the cyclic group Z_{s+1} . Thus by Lemma 2.2 there exists a $(t - s) \times (t - s)$ matrix $P \in \text{GL}_{t-s}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$P \begin{pmatrix} f_{s+1,s+1} \\ f_{s+2,s+1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{t,s+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence defining the $t \times t$ matrix

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} I_s & \circ \\ \circ & P \end{pmatrix}, \quad S \in \text{GL}_t(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where I_s is the $s \times s$ identity matrix, we have

$$S \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{1,s+1} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & f_{2,s+1} & \cdots & f_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & f_{s,s+1} & \cdots & f_{sn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{t,s+1} & \cdots & f_{tn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{1,s+1} & f_{1,s+2} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & f_{2,s+1} & f_{2,s+2} & \cdots & f_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & f_{s,s+1} & f_{s,s+2} & \cdots & f_{sn} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d & h_{s+1,s+2} & \cdots & h_{s+1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & h_{s+2,s+2} & \cdots & h_{s+2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & h_{t,s+2} & \cdots & h_{tn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now since the elements of A represented by the rows of the submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & f_{1,s+1} \\ 0 & z_2 & \dots & 0 & f_{2,s+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_s & f_{s,s+1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

generate $Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \dots \times Z_{s+1}$, there must exist integers v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{s+1} such that

$$v_1(z_1, 0, \dots, 0, f_{1,s+1}) + v_2(0, z_2, 0, \dots, 0, f_{2,s+1}) + \dots + v_{s+1}(0, \dots, 0, d) = (0, \dots, 0, z_{s+1}),$$

where z_{s+1} is the arbitrarily chosen generator of Z_{s+1} . It follows that $v_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$ (where, as usual, we interpret this to mean $v_j = 0$ for those j , if any, for which $Z_j \cong \mathbb{Z}$). In view of the ordering of the Z_j so that $m_{j+1} | m_j$, we also have that all of $v_1, \dots, v_s \equiv 0 \pmod{m_{s+1}}$ (or are all zero if $Z_{s+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}$). Hence $v_{s+1}d = z_{s+1}$, so that in fact d generates Z_{s+1} . Thus there exist integers $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $f_{i,s+1} = a_i d$. We now proceed in three steps.

- Step 1 (valid also if $s + 1 = t$). Let W_s be the matrix in $GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the $(i, s + 1)$ entries with a_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then

$$W_s \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \dots & 0 & * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_s & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_s & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The $*$ entries are placeholders and their values are not important for the argument; we are using them to simplify notation.

The next two steps require $s + 1 < t$.

- Step 2. Let X_s be the matrix in $GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the $(s + 2, s + 1)$ entry with v_{s+1} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_s & \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \\
 & = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s+1} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Step 3. Let Y_s be the matrix in $GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ obtained from the identity matrix by modifying four of the entries as follows: $(s + 1, s + 1) : 0$, $(s + 1, s + 2) : 1$, $(s + 2, s + 1) : 1$, $(s + 2, s + 2) : -b$, where b is an integer such that $bz_{s+1} = d$. An immediate calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_s & \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s+1} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \\
 & = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_s & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{s+1} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have thus reached the form required by the induction step, completing the induction.

Part (i) of the theorem (the case $t > n$) now follows, since for $s = n (< t)$ the matrix on the right of Equation (3.2) becomes

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For part (ii) of the theorem (the case $t = n$), the above argument (up to and including Step 1) shows that for $s = n - 1$ our initial generating t -tuple can be transformed by means of matrices from $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ to

$$\mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

and the generator d of Z_n can be written as rz_n for a unique r with $1 \leq r < m_n$ satisfying $(r, m_n) = 1$. If $r \geq m_n/2$, then premultiplication by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$$

will cause rz_n to be replaced by $-rz_n = r'z_n$ for r' satisfying $0 < r' < m_n/2$.

Finally, we show that if $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2$ are as in (3.3) with entries $d_1 = r_1z_n, d_2 = r_2z_n$ in place of d , where $0 < r_1 < r_2 < m_n/2$, then $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2$ cannot be transformed into one another by any matrix from $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. If $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, with $A\mathbf{h}_1 = \mathbf{h}_2$, one can easily see that modulo m_n , A is a diagonal matrix, with entries $a_{ii} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and with $a_{nn}r_1 = r_2$. Since $\det(A) \in \{-1, 1\}$, $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, then also modulo m_n , $\det(A) = a_{nn} \in \{-1, 1\}$. It follows that $r_1 = r_2$ or $r_1 = -r_2$. \square

Acknowledgement

This work formed part of the author’s PhD dissertation (York University, 2010). The author thanks his supervisor R. G. Burns for help with the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 14 (Springer, Berlin, 1972), pp. 85–92.
- [2] P. Diaconis and R. Graham, ‘The graph of generating sets of an abelian group’, *Colloq. Math.* **80** (1999), 31–38.
- [3] J. Nielsen, ‘Die Isomorphismengruppe der Freien Gruppen’, *Math. Ann.* **91** (1924), 169–209.

DANIEL OANCEA, 1549 Victoria St. E, Whitby, Ontario, Canada L1N 9E3
e-mail: daniel.oancea@opg.com