

## STRONG CONVERGENCE OF APPROXIMATING FIXED POINT SEQUENCES FOR NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

HONG-KUN XU

Consider a nonexpansive self-mapping  $T$  of a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space. Banach's contraction principle guarantees the existence of approximating fixed point sequences for  $T$ . However such sequences may not be strongly convergent, in general, even in a Hilbert space. It is shown in this paper that in a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, appropriately constructed approximating fixed point sequences can be strongly convergent.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space and  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a self-mapping of  $C$ . Recall that  $T$  is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . We use  $\text{Fix}(T)$  to denote the set of fixed points of  $T$  (that is,  $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ ). Throughout this article, we assume that  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is nonempty.

Recall also that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  is said to be an approximating fixed point sequence for  $T$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0.$$

There are several ways to construct an approximating fixed point sequence for a nonexpansive mapping  $T$ . We mention two below.

Firstly we can use Banach's contraction principle to obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  such that

$$x_n = t_n x_0 + (1 - t_n)Tx_n, \quad n \geq 1$$

where the initial guess  $x_0$  is taken arbitrarily in  $C$  and  $\{t_n\}$  is a sequence in the interval  $(0, 1)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Due to the assumption that  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ , this sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded (indeed  $\|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_0 - p\|$  for all  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ ). Hence

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| = t_n \|x_0 - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

---

Received 26th April, 2006

Supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/06 \$A2.00+0.00.

and  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence for  $T$ .

Secondly, we use Mann’s iteration process [8] to generate a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by the recursive formula

$$(1.1) \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

where the initial guess  $x_0 \in C$  is arbitrary, and the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  lies in the interval  $(0, 1)$ . This sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded since, for any  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ , we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|Tx_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|.$$

That is,  $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence. Moreover, it is not hard to find that the sequence  $\{\|x_n - Tx_n\|\}$  is also nonincreasing; hence  $\lim_n \|x_n - Tx_n\|$  exists.

However, it is not known whether this sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is always an approximating fixed point sequence of  $T$ . Only partial answers have been obtained. Indeed, if the space  $X$  is uniformly convex and if the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies the condition  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , then Reich [12] showed that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by Mann’s iteration process (1.1) is an approximating fixed point sequence of  $T$ . For the sake of completeness, we include a brief proof to this fact. Let  $\delta_X$  be the modulus of convexity of  $X$ . Pick a  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . Assuming  $\|x_n - p\| > 0$  and noticing  $\|Tx_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$ , we deduce that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \left[ 1 - 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\delta_X \left( \frac{\|x_n - Tx_n\|}{\|x_n - p\|} \right) \right].$$

Hence

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\|\delta_X \left( \frac{\|x_n - Tx_n\|}{\|x_n - p\|} \right) \leq \|x_0 - p\| < \infty.$$

Put  $r = \lim_n \|x_n - p\|$ . If  $r = 0$ , we are done. So assume  $r > 0$ . If  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , we obtain from (1.2) that  $\lim_n \delta_X(\|x_n - Tx_n\|/r) = 0$ . This implies that  $\lim_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence of  $T$ .

An approximating fixed point sequence is not necessarily always weakly convergent though it is true that in a Hilbert space every weak limit point of an approximating fixed point sequence is always a fixed point of  $T$ . This fact is called the demiclosedness principle for nonexpansive mappings which indeed holds in uniformly convex Banach spaces as stated in the next lemma.

**LEMMA 1.1.** (See [4].) *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $C$  a closed convex subset of  $C$ , and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point. Then  $I - T$  is demiclosed in the sense that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  and if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly and  $(I - T)x_n \rightarrow y$  strongly for some  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $(I - T)x = y$ .*

In a summary, in the setting of real uniformly convex Banach spaces  $X$ , what is clear is that every weak limit point of an approximating fixed point sequence for  $T$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . However it remains unclear if the entire approximating fixed point sequence is weakly convergent. Reich [12] proves that if, in addition,  $X$  also has a Fréchet differentiable norm and if  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence generated by Mann's iteration process (1.1), then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent.

In general, an approximating fixed point sequence may fail to be strongly convergent even in the Hilbert space setting [3].

It is the purpose of this note to prove that an appropriately constructed approximating fixed point sequence can be strongly convergent in a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. For more recent investigations on strong convergence for nonexpansive and maximal monotone mappings, see [5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17] and the references therein.

## 2. PROJECTIONS IN UNIFORMLY CONVEX BANACH SPACES

Let  $X$  be a real uniformly convex Banach space  $X$ . Thus, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta_X(\varepsilon) > 0$ , where  $\delta_X$  is the modulus of convexity of  $X$  defined by

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Like the Hilbert space case, we can define the nearest point projection  $P_C$  from  $X$  onto  $C$  by assigning to each  $x \in X$  the only point  $P_C x$  in  $C$  with the property

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \inf \{ \|x - y\| : y \in C \}.$$

This projection  $P_C$ , though continuous (indeed uniformly continuous on bounded sets), is however inconvenient to use because it is not nonexpansive anymore (hence  $I - P_C$  lacks monotonicity), as contrast to the nonexpansivity of nearest point projections in a Hilbert space. Instead, another kind of projections has been introduced to replace the nearest point projections, which is however still denoted by the same notation  $P_C$ . That is, in the rest of the paper, by  $P_C$  we mean the projection from  $X$  onto  $C$  introduced as follows.

Let  $J : X \rightarrow X^*$  be the duality map of  $X$  defined by

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}, \quad x \in X.$$

Assume  $X$  is smooth so that  $J$  is single-valued on  $X$  and hence we can define a function  $\varphi$  on  $X \times X$  by (see [1, 5])

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, J(y) \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Since for each fixed  $y$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot, y)$  is a continuous strictly convex function on  $X$ , there is a unique point  $z \in C$  which solves the minimisation

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(z, y) = \min\{\varphi(x, y) : x \in C\}.$$

This unique point  $z$  in  $C$  is called the (generalised) projection of  $y$  onto  $C$ . That is, we define the projection operator  $P_C : X \rightarrow C$  by setting

$$(2.3) \quad P_C y = z,$$

where  $z$  is the only point in  $C$  satisfying (2.2). (Note that if  $X$  is a Hilbert space,  $\varphi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$ . Hence the projection  $P_C$  defined in (2.3) coincides with the nearest point projection onto  $C$  in the Hilbert space setting.)

The next proposition gathers some basic properties of  $P_C$  which will be used in the proof of the main result in the next section.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Assume that  $X$  is a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and  $C$  is a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ .*

- (i) *Given sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$ . If one of them is bounded, then  $\varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .*
- (ii) *Given  $y \in X$  and  $z \in C$ . Then  $z = P_C y$  if and only if there holds the inequality:*

$$(2.4) \quad \langle v - z, J(z) - J(y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in C.$$

- (iii) *The following inequality holds:*

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(x, P_C y) + \varphi(P_C y, y) \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad \forall x \in C, y \in X.$$

**PROOF:** (i) The necessity part is proved in [5] under the stronger condition that the space  $X$  be uniformly smooth. The uniform smoothness can be indeed weakened to smoothness. To see this, we notice that if  $\varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  and if one of the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded, then both  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded. Let  $r > 0$  be such that the closed ball  $B_r = \{u \in X : \|u\| \leq r\}$  contains all the points of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n - y_n\}$ . By Xu [16], we have a continuous strictly increasing function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $g(0) = 0$  and satisfying the property:

$$\|u + v\|^2 \geq \|u\|^2 + 2\langle v, J(u) \rangle + g(\|v\|), \quad \forall u, v \in B_r.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_n\|^2 &= \|y_n + (x_n - y_n)\|^2 \\ &\geq \|y_n\|^2 + 2\langle x_n - y_n, J(y_n) \rangle + g(\|x_n - y_n\|) \\ &= -\|y_n\|^2 + 2\langle x_n, J(y_n) \rangle + g(\|x_n - y_n\|).\end{aligned}$$

It now follows from the definition of  $\varphi$  that

$$g(\|x_n - y_n\|) \leq \varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

To see the sufficiency part (true indeed in any smooth Banach space), we assume  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  and thus both sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded. That  $\varphi(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  now follows from the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x_n, y_n) &= \|x_n\|^2 - \|y_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n - y_n, J(y_n) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - y_n\|(\|x_n\| + 3\|y_n\|).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since for each fixed  $y \in X$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot, y)$  is convex,  $z \in C$  is a minimiser of  $\varphi(\cdot, y)$  over  $C$  if and only if there holds the optimality condition:

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \nabla \varphi(z, y), v - z \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in C$$

where  $\nabla \varphi(z, y)$  is the gradient of  $\varphi(\cdot, y)$  at  $z$ . Since it is easily computed that

$$\langle \nabla \varphi(z, y), v - z \rangle = 2\langle v - z, J(z) - J(y) \rangle$$

we obtain (2.4).

(iii) Using the definition of  $\varphi$ , we find that (2.5) is equivalent to the inequality:

$$\langle P_C y - x, J(P_C y) - J(y) \rangle \leq 0.$$

This is however the inequality (2.4) with  $v$  and  $z$  replaced by  $x$  and  $P_C y$ , respectively.  $\square$

We shall use the notation:

1.  $\rightharpoonup$  for weak convergence and  $\rightarrow$  for strong convergence.
2.  $\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightharpoonup x\}$  denotes the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $X$  and  $u \in X$ . Let  $q = P_K u$ . Assume that  $\{x_n\}$  satisfies the conditions*

- (i)  $\omega_w(x_n) \subset K$  and
- (ii)  $\varphi(x_n, u) \leq \varphi(q, u)$  for all  $n$ .

Then  $x_n \rightarrow q$ .

PROOF: Since  $X$  is reflexive and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded,  $\omega_w(x_n)$  is nonempty. Noticing the weak lower semi-continuity of  $\varphi(\cdot, u)$ , we derive from condition (ii) that

$$\varphi(v, u) \leq \varphi(q, u) \quad \forall v \in \omega_w(x_n).$$

However, since  $\omega_w(x_n) \subset K$  and  $q = P_K u$ , we must have  $v = q$  for all  $v \in \omega_w(x_n)$ . Thus  $\omega_w(x_n) = \{q\}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow q$ .

To see  $x_n \rightarrow q$ , we observe that the inequality  $\varphi(x_n, u) \leq \varphi(q, u)$  in condition (ii) is actually equivalent to the following one

$$\|x_n\|^2 \leq \|q\|^2 + 2\langle x_n - q, J(u) \rangle.$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow q$ , it follows that

$$\limsup_n \|x_n\| \leq \|q\|.$$

This and the uniform convexity of  $X$  imply that  $x_n \rightarrow q$ . □

### 3. STRONG CONVERGENCE OF APPROXIMATING FIXED POINT SEQUENCES

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth and uniformly Banach space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point. Starting an arbitrary initial guess  $x_0$ , we can construct an approximating fixed point sequence of  $T$  as follows. Take a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $(0,1)$  so that  $t_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Once  $x_n$  has been constructed, we then construct two closed convex subsets  $C_n$  and  $Q_n$  such that

$$C_n = \overline{\text{co}}\{z \in C : \|z - Tz\| \leq t_n \|x_n - Tx_n\|\}$$

and

$$Q_n = \left\{v \in C : \langle x_n - v, J(x_0) - J(x_n) \rangle \geq 0\right\}.$$

Then we define the  $(n + 1)$ th iterate  $x_{n+1}$  to be the projection of  $x_0$  onto the intersection of  $C_n$  and  $Q_n$ :

$$(3.1) \quad x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_0.$$

Before discussing the convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , we first use induction to verify that  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$  is well-defined. As a matter of fact, it is trivial that  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ . It is also trivial that  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset Q_0 = C$  and thus  $x_1 = P_{C_0 \cap Q_0} x_0$  is well-defined. Assume now  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset Q_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$  is well-defined. We need to prove that  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset Q_{n+1}$  and  $x_{n+2}$  is well-defined.

Since  $x_{n+1}$  is the projection of  $x_0$  onto  $C_n \cap Q_n$ , by Proposition 2.1 (ii) we have

$$\langle x_{n+1} - z, J(x_0) - J(x_{n+1}) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in C_n \cap Q_n.$$

As  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$ , the last inequality holds, in particular, for all  $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . This together with the definition of  $Q_{n+1}$  implies that  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset Q_{n+1}$ . Now as the projection of  $x_0$  onto the nonempty closed convex subset  $C_{n+1} \cap Q_{n+1}$ ,  $x_{n+2}$  is well-defined.

We now state and prove the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a real smooth and uniformly convex Banach space,  $C$  a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ , and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  a nonexpansive mapping such that  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the process (3.1). Then  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence for  $T$  and strongly convergent to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

**PROOF:** First we observe that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. As a matter of fact, by the definition of  $Q_n$ , we have  $x_n = P_{Q_n}x_0$ . Hence by Proposition 2.1 (iii)

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(y, x_n) + \varphi(x_n, x_0) \leq \varphi(y, x_0) \quad \forall y \in Q_n.$$

Since  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset Q_n$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi(x_n, x_0) \leq \varphi(p, x_0) \quad \forall p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

This implies the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$ . Because  $x_{n+1}$  belongs to  $Q_n$ , we can substitute it for  $y$  in (3.2) to get

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \varphi(x_{n+1}, x_0) - \varphi(x_n, x_0).$$

This implies that the real sequence  $\{\varphi(x_n, x_0)\}$  is increasing (and also bounded) and thus  $\lim_n \varphi(x_n, x_0)$  exists. Back to (3.4), we conclude that  $\varphi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  which implies  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  by virtue of Proposition 2.1 (i).

We now claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence of  $T$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be a bounded closed convex subset of  $C$  which contains all the points  $x_n$  and  $Tx_n$  for all  $n$  and let  $\eta = \text{diam}(\tilde{C})$ . Since  $x_{n+1} \in C_n$  and by definition of  $C_n$ , we have

$$\left\| x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i z_i \right\| < t_n$$

where  $\lambda_i > 0$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 1$  and each  $z_i \in C$  satisfies

$$\|z_i - Tz_i\| \leq t_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \eta t_n.$$

By Bruck [2], there exists a continuous strictly increasing function  $\gamma$  (depending only on  $\eta$ ) with  $\gamma(0) = 0$  and such that

$$\gamma \left( \left\| T \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i v_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i T v_i \right\| \right) \leq \max(\|v_i - v_j\| - \|T v_i - T v_j\| : 1 \leq i, j \leq m)$$

for all integers  $m > 1$ , all points  $\{v_i\}$  in  $\tilde{C}$ , and all nonnegative numbers  $\{\mu_i\}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i = 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\| &\leq \left\| x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i z_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i (z_i - Tz_i) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i Tz_i - T \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i z_i \right) \right\| + \left\| T \left( \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i z_i \right) - Tx_{n+1} \right\| \\ &\leq (2 + \eta)t_n + \gamma^{-1} \left( \max(\|z_i - z_j\| - \|Tz_i - Tz_j\| : 1 \leq i, j \leq l) \right) \\ &\leq (2 + \eta)t_n + \gamma^{-1} \left( \max(\|z_i - Tz_i\| + \|z_j - Tz_j\| : 1 \leq i, j \leq l) \right) \\ &\leq (2 + \eta)t_n + \gamma^{-1}(2\eta t_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is an approximating fixed point sequence.

Finally let us prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is strongly convergent to a fixed point of  $T$ . By the demiclosedness principle (Lemma 1.1), we have  $\omega_w(x_n) \subset \text{Fix}(T)$ . Let  $q = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}x_0$ . By (3.3) we see that  $\varphi(x_n, x_0) \leq \varphi(q, x_0)$  for all  $n$ . Therefore, applying Lemma 2.2 to the nonempty closed convex subset  $K := \text{Fix}(T)$ , we conclude that  $x_n \rightarrow q$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y.I. Alber and S. Guerre-Delabriere, 'On the projection methods for fixed point problems', *Analysis (Munich)* **21** (2001), 17–39.
- [2] R.E. Bruck, 'On the convex approximation property and the asymptotic behaviour of nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces', *Israel J. Math.* **38** (1981), 304–314.
- [3] A. Genel and J. Lindenstrass, 'An example concerning fixed points', *Israel J. Math.* **22** (1975), 81–86.
- [4] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **28** (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
- [5] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, 'Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space', *SIAM J. Optim.* **13** (2003), 938–945.
- [6] T.H. Kim and H.K. Xu, 'Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations', *Nonlinear Anal.* **61** (2005), 51–60.
- [7] T.H. Kim and H.K. Xu, 'Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups', *Nonlinear Anal.* **64** (2006), 1140–1152.
- [8] W.R. Mann, 'Mean value methods in iteration', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4** (1953), 506–510.
- [9] G. Marino and H.K. Xu, 'Convergence of generalized proximal point algorithms', *Commun. Pure App. Anal.* **3** (2004), 791–808.
- [10] C. Martinez-Yanes and H.K. Xu, 'Strong convergence of the CQ method for fixed point processes', *Nonlinear Anal.* **64** (2006), 2400–2411.



- [11] K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, 'Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **279** (2003), 372–379.
- [12] S. Reich, 'Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67** (1979), 274–276.
- [13] N. Shioji and W. Takahashi, 'Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 3641–3645.
- [14] M.V. Solodov and B.F. Svaiter, 'Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in a Hilbert space', *Math. Program. Ser. A* **87** (2000), 189–202.
- [15] R. Wittmann, 'Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings', *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **58** (1992), 486–491.
- [16] H.K. X, 'Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications', *Nonlinear Anal.* **16** (1991), 1127–1138.
- [17] H.K. Xu, 'Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators', *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **66** (2002), 240–256.

School of Mathematical Sciences  
University of KwaZulu-Natal  
Westville Campus  
Private Bag X54001  
Durban 4000  
South Africa  
e-mail: xuhk@ukzn.ac.za