

## SPECIAL SERIES OF UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF GROUPS ACTING ON HOMOGENEOUS TREES

ANNA MARIA MANTERO and ANNA ZAPPA

(Received 17 August 1984; revised 16 October 1984)

Communicated by G. Brown

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a group acting faithfully on a homogeneous tree of order  $p + 1$ ,  $p > 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}^0$  be the space of functions on the Poisson boundary  $\Omega$ , of zero mean on  $\Omega$ . When  $p$  is a prime,  $G$  is a discrete subgroup of  $PGL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  of finite covolume. The representations of the special series of  $PGL_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , which are irreducible and unitary in an appropriate completion of  $\mathcal{X}^0$ , are shown to be reducible when restricted to  $G$ . It is proved that these representations of  $G$  are algebraically reducible on  $\mathcal{X}^0$  and topologically irreducible on  $\mathcal{X}^0$  endowed with the weak topology.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 22 D 10, 20 E 05, 43 D 90.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a group acting isometrically and simply transitively on a homogeneous tree of order  $p + 1$ ,  $p > 1$ . Every such group is isomorphic to the free product  $G_{r,s}$  of  $r$  copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $s$  copies of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ , with  $2r + s = p + 1$  [1]. Following [3], we denote by  $\Omega$  the Poisson boundary of  $G$  with respect to an isotropic nearest neighbour random walk, by  $\nu$  the corresponding Poisson measure on  $\Omega$  and by  $P(x, \omega) = d\nu(x^{-1}\omega)/d\nu(\omega)$  the associated Poisson kernel.

Let  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$  be the space of continuous simple functions on  $\Omega$ , endowed with the weak topology defined by the functionals

$$F(\xi) = (\xi, \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega).$$

For each  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ , we consider the representation  $\pi_z$  of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ , defined by

$$\pi_z(x)\xi(\omega) = p^z(x, \omega)\xi(x^{-1}\omega), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega), x \in G.$$

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = h\pi i / \log p, h \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . In the case when  $G$  is a free group, then  $\pi_z$  is topologically irreducible on  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$ , whenever  $z, 1 - z \notin \mathbb{T}$  [4, Proposition 3.2]. On the other hand, this representation is algebraically reducible on  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$  [4, Proposition 3.3].

The purpose of this note is to extend these results to the representation  $\pi_z$  of  $G$  for  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$  (the remaining case  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  is trivial). The argument of the proof works in exactly the same way for all groups  $G_{r_s}$ . For the sake of simplicity of notation, from now on we restrict attention to the case  $G = G_{0,s}$ ; all the results that we prove also hold in the general case.

The subspace  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  of  $\mathcal{X}(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega) = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{X}(\Omega), (\xi, \mathbf{1}) = 0 \}$$

is invariant under the representation  $\pi_z, 1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ ; we endow  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  with the weak topology defined by the functionals

$$F(\xi) = (\xi, \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega),$$

and call it the  $\mathcal{X}^0$ -topology. Then we prove that the representation  $\pi_z, 1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , is topologically irreducible on  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ , but algebraically reducible.

A preliminary step in the irreducibility proof consists in finding a finite set of functions  $\psi_j, j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , in  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ , such that the linear span of  $\{ \pi_z(x)\psi_j : x \in G, j = 1, \dots, p + 1 \}$  is the whole of  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ . Then the argument proceeds by constructing operators  $T_n^{(j)}, n \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , such that for any  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1, \xi \in \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega), T_n^{(j)}\xi$  converges weakly in  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  to  $(\xi, \psi_j)\psi_j$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

By way of contrast, we show that the representation  $\pi_z, 1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , is topologically reducible on the Hilbert space where it acts unitarily.

### 2. Principal results

Let  $G$  be the free product  $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \dots * \mathbb{Z}_2, (p + 1)$ -times, with generators  $a_j, a_j^2 = 1, j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ . Given any  $x \in G$ , let  $E(x) = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \omega^{(n)} = x \}$ , where  $\omega^{(n)}$  denotes the first  $n$  letters of the infinite reduced word  $\omega$ .

We define, for  $1 \leq j \leq p + 1$ ,

$$\psi_j(\omega) = \psi_{a_j}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in E(a_j), \\ -1/p, & \omega \notin E(a_j), \end{cases}$$

and for any  $x \in G, |x| = n > 1$ ,

$$\psi_x(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in E(x), \\ -1/(p - 1), & \omega \in E(x^{(n-1)}) \setminus E(x), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Linear combinations of  $\psi_x$ ,  $x \in G$ , exhaust  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ ; moreover we have the following result.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $1 - z \in \Upsilon$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  is the linear span of  $\{\pi_z(x)\psi_j; x \in G, j = 1, \dots, p + 1\}$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to show that for every  $x \in G$ ,  $\psi_x$  is a linear combination of functions of the type  $\pi_z(y)\psi_j$ , for some  $y \in G, j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ . Let  $x$  be the reduced word  $x = x_1 \cdots x_n, n > 1$ . It follows by explicit calculations that

$$\psi_x = (p^2/p^2 - 1)p^{(1-z)n}p^{-n} [ p^z\pi_z(x^{(n-1)})\psi_{x_n} - \pi_z(x^{(n-2)})\psi_{x_{n-1}} ].$$

Let  $N(\omega, \omega')$  be the largest integer  $n$  such that  $\omega^{(n)} = \omega'^{(n)}$ . The representation  $\pi_z, 1 - z \in \Upsilon$ , acts unitarily on  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  with respect to the inner product defined by

$$(\xi, \eta)_1 = 2 \log p \int_{\Omega} d\omega \int_{\Omega} d\omega' N(\omega, \omega') \xi(\omega) \overline{\eta(\omega')}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega).$$

Moreover the following fact holds.

**LEMMA 2.** *For any  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  and  $x \in G$ , we have*

$$(\xi, \psi_x)_1 = c_x(\xi, \psi_x)$$

where  $c_x = \log p \cdot p^{2-|x|}/(p + 1)^2$ .

**PROOF.** This is obvious from the definitions.

To prove that the representation  $\pi_z$  is topologically irreducible on  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\mathcal{X}^0$ -topology, we build, for any generator  $a_j$ , a sequence  $\{\nu_n^{(j)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of measures on  $G$  such that  $(\pi_z(\nu_n^{(j)})\psi_x, \psi_y)$  tends to  $(\psi_x, \psi_j)(\psi_j, \psi_y)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $x, y \in G$ .

For any fixed  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$  and any large integer  $n$ , let  $\nu_n^{(j)}$  be the measure supported on the words of length  $n$ , defined by

$$\nu_n^{(j)}(x_1 \cdots x_n) = [ p^{(z-1)n} (p + 1) / p \Gamma ] \begin{cases} \gamma_{11}, & x_1 = x_n = a_j, \\ \gamma_{10}, & x_1 = a_j, x_n \neq a_j, \\ \gamma_{01}, & x_1 \neq a_j, x_n = a_j, \\ \gamma_{00}, & x_1 \neq a_j, x_n \neq a_j, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma = \gamma_{11} - \gamma_{10} - \gamma_{01} + \gamma_{00} \neq 0$ , and  $\gamma_{11}, \gamma_{10}, \gamma_{01}$  and  $\gamma_{00}$  are fixed.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $1 - z \in \Upsilon$ . For any  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$  and for every  $x, y \in G$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi_z(\nu_n^{(j)})\psi_x, \psi_y) = (\psi_x, \psi_j)(\psi_j, \psi_y).$$

**PROOF.** The proof is based on direct calculations, which require some precision but follow straight from the definitions. We give only the end results of these calculations.

Let  $x, y \in G, n > |x| + |y|$ . For  $|x| = |y| = 1$  we have

$$(\pi_z(v_n^{(j)})\psi_x, \psi_y) = \begin{cases} p^{-2} + O(p^{-n}), & x = y = a_j, \\ p^{-4} + O(p^{-n}), & x \neq a_j, y \neq a_j, \\ -p^{-3} + O(p^{-n}), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\psi_x, \psi_j)(\psi_j, \psi_y) = \begin{cases} p^{-2}, & x = y = a_j, \\ p^{-4}, & x \neq a_j, y \neq a_j, \\ -p^{-3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the other cases ( $|x||y| > 1$ ) we have

$$(\pi_z(v_n^{(j)})\psi_x, \psi_y) = O(p^{-n})$$

and

$$(\psi_x, \psi_j)(\psi_j, \psi_y) = 0.$$

Using the above lemmas we can prove that for  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  has no nontrivial invariant subspace with respect to  $\pi_z(x)$ , which is closed in the  $\mathcal{X}^0$ -topology.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ .*

(i) *For  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$  and  $n$  a large integer, let*

$$T_n^{(j)} = \pi_z(v_n^{(j)});$$

*then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n^{(j)}\xi, \eta) = (\xi, \psi_j)(\psi_j, \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega);$$

(ii) *if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a subspace invariant with respect to  $\pi_z(x)$ , and closed in the  $\mathcal{X}^0$ -topology, then either  $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ .*

**PROOF.** (i) This follows from Lemma 3. (ii) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace with respect to  $\pi_z(x)$  and closed in the  $\mathcal{X}^0$ -topology. If  $(\xi, \psi_j) = 0$  for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$  and all  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , then for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}, x \in G$ , and all  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , we have  $(\pi_z(x)\xi, \psi_j) = 0$ . This implies, by Lemma 2, that

$$(\pi_z(x)\xi, \psi_j)_1 = (\xi, \pi_z(x^{-1})\psi_j)_1 = 0,$$

for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $x \in G$  and all  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ . So  $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$ , by Proposition 1. Otherwise take  $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\psi_k$  with  $(\xi, \psi_k) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed, we deduce from (i) that  $\psi_k \in \mathcal{M}$ . But  $(\psi_k, \psi_j) \neq 0$  for every  $j$ , and therefore  $\psi_j \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $j$ . So  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ .

Finally we prove that the representation  $\pi_z$ , for  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , is algebraically reducible on  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$ . For any  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_j$  the linear span of  $\{\pi_z(x)\psi_j; x \in G\}$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ . For any  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_j$  is a nontrivial proper invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  with respect to the representation  $\pi_z(x)$ ,  $x \in G$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix  $j = 1, \dots, p + 1$ . It is enough to prove that, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\psi_i \notin \mathcal{M}_j$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an element of  $\mathcal{M}_j$ . Without loss of generality  $\varphi$  can be written as

$$(*) \quad \varphi = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\substack{|x|=n \\ x_n=a_j}} C_x \pi_z(x) \psi_j,$$

where  $x = x_1 \cdots x_n$  and  $C_x$  depends only on  $x \in G$ . If  $N = 1$ , it is obvious that  $\varphi \neq \psi_i$ , whenever  $i \neq j$ . Indeed in this case  $\varphi = C_{a_j} \pi_z(a_j) \psi_j = -C_{a_j} \psi_j$ , which cannot be equal to  $\psi_i$ , if  $i \neq j$ . Suppose now there exists a function  $\varphi$  of type (\*) where  $N > 1$ , and such that  $\varphi = \psi_i$ . Since  $\varphi$  is of type (\*), then for any  $y \in G$ ,  $|y| = N$  and  $y = y_1 \cdots y_{N-1} a_j$ , there exists a constant  $K_y$  such that, for  $\omega \in E(y^{(N-1)})$ , we have

$$\varphi(\omega) = \begin{cases} -p^{N-1} C_y + K_y, & \omega \in E(y), \\ p^{N-2} C_y + K_y, & \omega \in E(y^{(N-1)}) \setminus E(y). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,  $\varphi = \psi_i$ , and  $\varphi$  must be constant on  $E(y^{(N-1)})$ . So necessarily  $C_y = 0$ , and  $\varphi$  reduces to

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{|x|=n \\ x_n=a_j}} C_x \pi_z(x) \psi_j.$$

By the same argument we prove that  $C_x = 0$  for all  $x$  such that  $|x| > 1$ , and this contradicts the assumption that  $N > 1$ .

### 3. Concluding remarks

Let  $H$  be the isometry group of the tree associated with  $G$  [7, 6]. Let  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega)$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{X}^0(\Omega)$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$  defined in Section 2. The representations of the special series of  $H$  are unitaries on  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega)$

and their restrictions to  $G$  coincide with  $\pi_z$ ,  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ . In particular, if  $p$  is a prime, then the representations  $\pi_z$ ,  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , are restrictions to  $G$  of the special series of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  [8]. The topological reducibility of  $\pi_z$  on  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega)$  is now immediate, as the following argument shows. Indeed each representation of the special series of  $H$  is a subrepresentation of the regular representation of  $H$  [8]; therefore the representations  $\pi_z$ ,  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , are subrepresentations of the regular representation of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is a discrete group, it is in particular a non-compact SIN group [2]. Hence it has no minimal projections in  $L^2(G)$  [2, Corollary 4.2]. In view of the correspondence between minimal projections in  $L^2(G)$  and topologically irreducible subrepresentations of the regular representations of  $G$ , this result implies that the representations  $\pi_z$ ,  $1 - z \in \mathbb{T}$ , are topologically reducible on  $\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega)$ .

It would be interesting now, in view of [5], to characterize all the discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  of  $H$  of finite covolume, which have the property that the spherical representations restrict irreducibly to  $\Gamma$ .

### References

- [1] W. Betori and M. Pagliacci, 'Harmonic analysis for groups acting on trees', *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **6 3-B** (1984), 333–349.
- [2] C. Cecchini and A. Figà-Talamanca, 'Projections of uniqueness for  $L^p(G)$ ', *Pacific J. Math.* **51** (1974), 37–47.
- [3] A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello, 'Spherical functions and harmonic analysis on free groups', *J. Funct. Anal.* **47** (1982), 281–304.
- [4] A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello, *Harmonic analysis on free groups* (Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 87, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983).
- [5] A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello, 'Restriction of spherical representations of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to a discrete subgroup', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91** (1984), 405–408.
- [6] G. I. Ol'shanskii, 'Classification of irreducible representations of groups of automorphisms of Bruhat-Tits trees', *Functional Anal. Appl.* **11** (1977), 26–34.
- [7] J. P. Serre, 'Arbres, amalgames,  $SL_2$ ', *Astérisque* **46** (1977).
- [8] A. J. Silberberger,  *$PGL_2$  over the  $p$ -adics: its representations, spherical functions, and Fourier analysis* (Lecture Notes in Math. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970).

Istituto di Matematica  
Via L. B. Alberti, 4  
16132 Genova  
Italy