

THE LEBESGUE CONSTANTS FOR (γ, r) SUMMATION
OF FOURIER SERIES

Lee Lorch¹ and Donald J. Newman

(received June 20, 1962)

The (γ, r) summation method, $0 < r < 1$, is the "circle method" employed by G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. It is also known as the Taylor method. Its Lebesgue constants, say $L(T_r, n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, were studied by K. Ishiguro [1] in the notation $L^*(n; 1-r)$. He noted that

$$(1) \quad L(T_r, n) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} \frac{1}{\sin t} \left| \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left(\frac{1-r}{1-re^{2it}} \right)^{n+1} e^{(2n+1)it} \right\} \right| dt,$$

where $\operatorname{Im}\{z\}$ denotes the imaginary part of the complex number z , and proved that

$$(2) \quad L(T_r, n) = \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{2n}{r} + \alpha + o(1), \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Here

$$(3) \quad \alpha = -\frac{2}{\pi} C + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin t}{t} dt - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} - |\sin t| \right\} dt,$$

where $C = .577 \dots$ is Euler's constant.

In this note, we provide an alternative derivation of (2), relating it to the computation of an asymptotic expression for

1 The participation of the first-named author was supported by the National Science Foundation through Research Grant NSF-G18650 to the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University.

the Lebesgue constants, $L(F, d_n)$, for the $[F, d_n]$ means of Fourier series [2]. This is done by representing (1), with error $\underline{O}(1)$, in terms of an expression [2, (5.2)] which yields also an asymptotic evaluation of $L(F, d_n)$ [2, (5.4)].

First, using the expansion for $\log(1-x)$ and exponentiating, we note

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-r}{1-re^{2it}} &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r}{1-r}(1-e^{2it})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{1-r}(2it - 2t^2) + \underline{O}(t^3)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2r}{1-r}(it - t^2) + \frac{4r^2}{(1-r)^2}(it - t^2)^2 + \underline{O}(t^3) \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{2r}{1-r}(it - t^2) + \frac{2r^2}{(1-r)^2}(it - t^2)^2\right\} + \underline{O}(t^3) \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{2ir}{1-r}t - \frac{2r}{(1-r)^2}t^2\right\} + \underline{O}(t^3). \end{aligned}$$

And so, by the Lemma of [2, §2],

$$(4) \quad \left(\frac{1-r}{1-re^{2it}}\right)^{n+1} = \exp\left\{(n+1)\left[\frac{2ir}{1-r}t - \frac{2r}{(1-r)^2}t^2\right]\right\} + \underline{O}(nt^3).$$

As for $L(F, d_n)$, we need also an estimate particularly suited for t outside a neighbourhood of the origin. This is

$$(5) \quad \left(\frac{1-r}{1-re^{2it}}\right)^{n+1} = \underline{O}(e^{-\delta nt^2}), \text{ for some } \delta > 0, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi.$$

Proof of (5): Now, $\cos 2t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}t^2$, $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1-r}{1-re^{2it}} \right|^2 &= \frac{(1-r)^2}{1-2r \cos 2t + r^2} \leq \frac{(1-r)^2}{(1-r)^2 + rt^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+r(1-r)^{-2}t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and this is clearly $\leq e^{-2\delta t^2}$ for some $\delta > 0$, since $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

Analogously to what was done [2, § 3] in connection with $L(F, d_n)$, we decompose $L(T_r, n)$ and then introduce simplifying estimates. As there, we write, with $\xi = n^{-3/8}$

$$L(T_r, n) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\xi + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_\xi^{\frac{1}{2}\pi}$$

and infer, as in [2, § 3], that the integral from $n^{-3/8}$ to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ is $\underline{o}(1)$, from (5). Likewise, the remainder term in (4) contributes only $\underline{o}(1)$ to the first integral. Moreover, $\sin t$ can be replaced by t with an error of $\underline{o}(1)$. Finally, the upper limit of integration, $\xi = n^{-3/8}$, can be replaced by $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ with an error of $\underline{o}(1)$.

This done, we have

$$(6) \quad L(T_r, n) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} \exp\{-S_n^! t^2\} \left| \frac{\sin U_n^! t}{t} \right| dt + \underline{o}(1),$$

where

$$(7) \quad S_n^! = \frac{2r(n+1)}{(1-r)^2} \text{ and } U_n^! = \frac{2n+1+r}{1-r}.$$

Now, putting $S_n^! = s_n$, $U_n^! = u_n$, formula (6), with the error term $\underline{o}(1)$ disregarded, becomes $\lambda(n)$ defined by

[2, (5.2)]. This identification is permissible since (7) clearly implies that the restrictions [2, (5.3)] placed on s_n and u_n are satisfied also by S'_n and U'_n .

This done, formula (5.4) of [2] for $\lambda(n)$ becomes Ishiguro's formula (2) above and the derivation is complete.

REFERENCES

1. K. Ishiguro, The Lebesgue Constants for (γ, r) summation of Fourier series, Proc. Japan Acad., vol. 36(1960), pp. 470-474.
2. Lee Lorch and Donald J. Newman, On the $[F, d_n]$ summation of Fourier series, Comm. on Pure and Applied Math., vol. 15(1962), pp. 109-118.

University of Alberta
Edmonton, Canada

Yeshiva University
New York, N. Y.