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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. Let } w(z) \text { be regular in the unit disc } U:|z|<1 \text {, with } \\
& w(0)=0 \text { and let } h(r, s, t) \text { be a complex function defined in a domain } \\
& D \text { of } C^{3} \text {. The author determines conditions on } h \text { such that if } \\
& \qquad\left|h\left(D^{n} w(z), D^{n+1} w(z),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)\right)\right|<1 \\
& z \in U \text {, then }|w(z)|<1 \text { for } z \in U \text { and } n=0,1,2, \ldots \text { Here } D^{n} w(z)= \\
& \left(z /(1-z)^{n+1} * w(z) \text {, where } *\right. \text { stands for the Hadamard product } \\
& \text { (convolution). Some applications of the results to certain differential } \\
& \text { equations are given. }
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Introduction. Let $A$ be the family of functions $w(z)$ regular in the unit disc $U:|z|<1$, with $w(0)=0$. For $w(z) \in A$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} w(z)=z\left(z^{n-1} w(z)\right)^{(n)} / n! \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in N_{0}=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $z \in U$.
Ruscheweyh [4] observed that (1) can also be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} w(z)=\left(z /(1-z)^{n+1}\right) * w(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (*) stands for the Hadamard product, that is, if

$$
f(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad g(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad \text { then } \quad f(z) * g(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

Recently, Miller [2], and Miller and Mocanu [3] have determined the complex valued functions $h(r, s, t)$ defined in a domain of $C^{3}$ such that if $w(z) \in A$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\left|h\left(w(z), z w^{\prime}(z), z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right|<1 \quad \text { for } \quad z \in U, \text { then }|w(z)|<1 \quad \text { for } \quad z \in U .
$$

The purpose of this note is to extend and to generalize the above results. To be specific we shall determine the class of complex valued functions $H_{n}$ defined in some domain $D$ of $C^{3}$ such that for $w(z) \in A$ and $h \in H_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\left|h\left(D^{n} w(z), D^{n+1} w(z),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)\right)\right|<1
$$

for $z \in U$ and $n \in N_{0}$, then $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$.
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Applications of this result in the theory of differential equations are also given.
2. Results and applications. We need the following lemma of Miller and Mocanu [3].

Lemma. Let $w(z) \in A$ with $w(z) \not \equiv 0$ in $U$. If $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}, 0<r_{0}<1$ and $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max _{|z| \leq r_{0}}|w(z)|$, then
(i) $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) / w\left(z_{0}\right)=m$, and
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{z_{0} w^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right) / w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+1\right\} \geq m$,
where $m \geq 1$.
Part (i) of the Lemma can also be found in [1].
Defintion. For $n \in N_{0}$, let $H_{n}$ be the set of functions $h(r, s, t): C^{3} \rightarrow C$ such that
(i) $h(r, s, t)$ is continuous in a domain $D$ of $C^{3}$,
(ii) $(0,0,0) \in D$ and $|h(0,0,0)|<1$,
(iii)

$$
\left|h\left(e^{i \theta}, \frac{n+m}{n+1} e^{i \theta}, \frac{n(m-1) e^{i \theta}+L}{n+1}\right)\right|>1
$$

when

$$
\left(e^{i \theta}, \frac{n+m}{n+1} e^{i \theta}, \frac{n(m-1) e^{i \theta}+L}{n+1}\right) \in D
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} e^{-i \theta} L \geq m(m-1)$ for $\theta$ and real $m \geq 1$.
We now state and prove our main result.
Theorem 1. Let $w \in A$ and $n \in N_{0}$ satisfying:
(i) $\left(D^{n} w(z), D^{n+1} w(z),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)\right) \in D$,
(ii) $\left|h\left(D^{n} w(z), D^{n+1} w(z),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)\right)\right|<1$, when $z \in U$. Then $\left|D^{n} w(z)\right|<1$ for $z \in U$.

Proof. Using (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{k} w(z)\right)^{\prime}=(k+1) D^{k+1} w(z)-k D^{k} w(z), \quad k \in N_{0} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(z)=D^{n} w(z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4) with $k=n$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) D^{n+1} w(z)=n \ell(z)+z \ell^{\prime}(z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation of (5) and using (3) with $k=n+1$ lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)=\left(-n \ell(z)+n z \ell^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} \ell^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) /(n+1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose there exists $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta}{ }_{o}, 0<r_{0}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{n} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max _{|z| \leq r_{0}}\left|D^{n} w(z)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D^{n} w(0)=0$, the proof of Theorem 1 would be completed if (7) is shown to be impossible for any $z_{0} \in U$. Applying the Lemma to (4), (5) and (7) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{n} w\left(z_{0}\right)=\ell\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}  \tag{8}\\
& D^{n+1} w\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{m+n}{n+1} e^{i \theta} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z_{0}^{2} \ell^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right) / z_{0} \ell^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+1\right\}=\operatorname{Re} z_{0}^{2} \ell^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot e^{-i \theta} \geq m(m-1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus substitution of (8), (9) and (10) in (6) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w\left(z_{0}\right)-D^{n+1} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\left(n(m-1) e^{i \theta}+L\right) /(n+1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=z_{0}^{2} \ell^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and therefore $\operatorname{Re}^{-i \theta} \geq m(m-1), m \geq 1$.
Finally from (8), (9), (11) and part (iii) of the Definition assuming part (i) of the hypothesis we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|h\left(D^{n} w\left(z_{0}\right), D^{n+1} w\left(z_{0}\right),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w\left(z_{0}\right)-D^{n+1} w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|h\left(e^{i \theta},\left(\frac{m+n}{n+1}\right) e^{i \theta}, \frac{n(m-1) e^{i \theta}+L}{n+1}\right)\right|>1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{ReLe}^{-i \theta} \geq m(m-1)$. This contradicts part (ii) of the hypothesis. Thus the proof is completed.

Remarks 1. The condition on $L$ may be replaced with $\mathrm{Re}^{-i \theta} \geq 0$.
2. For $n=0$, Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem 2 of [3] for the class, $A$, and also includes Theorem 2 of [2].

Let $h_{0}(r, s, t)=s$. It is obvious that $h_{0} \in H_{n}$. By iteration of Theorem 1 applied to $h_{0}$ we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. $\| D^{n+1} w(z)|<1 \Rightarrow| w(z) \mid<1$ for $z \in U$ and $n \in N_{0}$. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 give the following.

Corollary 2. If $h(r, s, t)$ and $w(z)$ are as in Theorem 1 , then $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$.

Corollary 2 can be used to show that certain $n$th order differential equations have bounded solutions.

Theorem 2. Let $h(r, s, t)$ and $w(z)$ be as in Theorem 1 and let $b(z)$ be a regular function in $U$ with $|b(z)|<1, z \in U$. If the differential equation

$$
h\left(D^{n} w(z), D^{n+1} w(z),(n+2)\left(D^{n+2} w(z)-D^{n+1} w(z)\right)\right)=b(z)
$$

has a solution $w(z)$ regular in the unit disc $U$ then $|w(z)|<1$.
We close this brief note with some examples and applications, see [2] and [3] for further details.

Examples. It is easy to check that each of the following functions are in $H_{n}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{1}(r, s, t)=\alpha r+s, & \operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0, D=C^{3}, n \in N_{0} . \\
h_{2}(r, s, t)=2 s /(1-r), & D=(C-\{1\}) \times C^{2}, n \in N_{0} . \\
h_{3}(r, s, t)=r^{i} s^{j}\left(t^{k}+r^{\ell}\right), & D=C^{3}, i, j, k \in N_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \ell \in N . \\
h_{4}(r, s, t)=r+s+t, & D=C^{3}, n \in N_{0} . \\
h_{5}(r, s, t)=-r+2 s+2 t, & D=C^{3}, n \in N .
\end{array}
$$

Applying Corollary 2 to $h_{4}$ with $n=0$ we obtain the Euler equation

$$
z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(z)+z w^{\prime}(z)+w(z)=b(z)
$$

If $|b(z)|<1$ then we must have $|w(z)|<1$.
Similarly applying the same Corollary to $h_{5}$ with $n=1$ we get

$$
z^{3} w^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)+4 z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(z)+z w^{\prime}(z)=b(z)
$$

And if $|b(z)|<1$ and the above differential equation has a solution $w(z)$ regular in $U$ then $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$.

If we apply same corollary to $h_{3}, r=j=k=\ell=1, n=2$, then the resulting nonlinear differential equation

$$
z w^{\prime}\left(2 z w^{\prime}+z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(2 z w^{\prime}+3 z^{2} w^{\prime \prime}+z^{3} w^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=4 b(z)
$$

would have a bounded solution $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$ provided that there exists a solution $w(z)$ regular in the unit disc $U$ when $|b(z)|<1$.

Problem. A natural problem arises from the results and examples of this note; namely does there exist a function $h\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ defined in some suitable domain $D$ of $C^{n}$ such that if

$$
\left|h\left(w(z), z w^{\prime}(z), \ldots, z^{n} w^{(n)}(z)\right)\right|<1 \quad \text { for } \quad z \in U
$$

then $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$.
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