

NOTE ON U-CLOSED SEMIGROUP RINGS

RYŪKI MATSUDA

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K . If $\alpha^2 - \alpha \in D$ and $\alpha^3 - \alpha^2 \in D$ imply $\alpha \in D$ for all elements α of K , then D is called a u -closed domain. A submonoid S of a torsion-free Abelian group is called a grading monoid. We consider the semigroup ring $D[S]$ of a grading monoid S over a domain D . The main aim of this note is to determine conditions for $D[S]$ to be u -closed. We shall show the following Theorem: $D[S]$ is u -closed if and only if D is u -closed.

Let D be a domain with quotient field K . (The quotient field is denoted by $q(\cdot)$.) Let n be a natural number. If $\alpha^n \in D$ implies $\alpha \in D$ for all $\alpha \in K$, then D is called n -root closed. If D is n -root closed for every natural number n , then D is called root closed. If $\alpha^2 \in D$ and $\alpha^3 \in D$ imply $\alpha \in D$ for all $\alpha \in K$, then D is called seminormal. If $\alpha^2 - \alpha \in D$ and $\alpha^3 - \alpha^2 \in D$ imply $\alpha \in D$ for all $\alpha \in K$, then D is called u -closed. If $\alpha^2 - a\alpha \in D$ and $\alpha^3 - a\alpha^2 \in D$ imply $\alpha \in D$ for all $a \in D$ and $\alpha \in K$, then D is called t -closed.

A submonoid S of a torsion-free Abelian (additive) group is called a grading monoid (or a g -monoid). We consider the semigroup ring $D[S]$ of S over D . D.F. Anderson determined both conditions for $D[S]$ to be n -root closed and conditions for $D[S]$ to be root closed [1]. D.D. Anderson and D.F. Anderson determined conditions for $D[S]$ to be seminormal [2]. Throughout the paper, S denotes a g -monoid. The main aim of this note is to determine conditions for $D[S]$ to be u -closed.

Let G be the quotient group of S , that is, $G = \{s_1 - s_2 \mid s_1, s_2 \in S\}$. (The quotient group is denoted by $q(\cdot)$.) Let n be a natural number. If $n\alpha \in S$ implies $\alpha \in S$ for all $\alpha \in G$, then S is called n -root closed. If S is n -root closed for every n , then S is called integrally closed. Let T be a g -monoid with submonoid S . For $\alpha \in T$, if $n\alpha \in S$ for some natural number n , then α is called integral over S . The set of integral elements of T over S is called the integral closure of S in T . The integral closure \bar{S} of S in $q(S)$ is called the integral closure of S . S is integrally closed if and only if $\bar{S} = S$.

THEOREM 1. [1]

- (1) $D[S]$ is n -root closed if and only if D is n -root closed and S is n -root closed.

Received 11th November, 1999

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

(2) $D[S]$ is root closed if and only if D is root closed and S is integrally closed.

If $2\alpha \in S$ and $3\alpha \in S$ imply $\alpha \in S$ for all $\alpha \in q(S)$, then S is called seminormal.

THEOREM 2. [2] $D[S]$ is seminormal if and only if D is seminormal and S is seminormal.

LEMMA 1.

- (1) If $D[S]$ is t -closed, then D is t -closed.
- (2) If $D[S]$ is u -closed, then D is u -closed.

The proof of Lemma 1 is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 1. (See [5]).

- (1) If D is t -closed, then $D[X_1, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n, X_n^{-1}]$ is t -closed, where X_1, \dots, X_n are indeterminates.
- (2) If D is u -closed, then $D[X_1, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n, X_n^{-1}]$ is u -closed.

PROPOSITION 2. Let G be a torsion-free Abelian group. Then $D[G]$ is t -closed if and only if D is t -closed.

PROOF: The necessity follows from Lemma 1.

The sufficiency: Assume that $F^2 - fF \in D[G]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[G]$ for elements $f \in D[G]$ and $F \in q(D[G])$. There exists a finitely generated subgroup H of G such that $f \in D[H]$, $F \in q(D[H])$, $F^2 - fF \in D[H]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[H]$. $D[H]$ is isomorphic to $D[X_1, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n, X_n^{-1}]$ for some n . By Proposition 1, we have $F \in D[H]$. □

PROPOSITION 3. Let G be a torsion-free Abelian group. Then $D[G]$ is u -closed if and only if D is u -closed.

The proof of Proposition 3 is similar to that of Proposition 2.

The torsion-free rank of $q(S)$ is denoted by $\text{tfr}(S)$.

LEMMA 2. If $\text{tfr}(S) < \infty$, then S is isomorphic to a submonoid of the g -monoid \mathbf{R} of real numbers.

PROOF: Let $G = q(S)$, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a maximal independent subset of G . Let β_1, \dots, β_n be a set of real numbers which is linearly independent over \mathbf{Z} . Let $\alpha \in G$. Then we have $n\alpha = \sum n_i \alpha_i$ for integers n and n_i with $n \neq 0$. We set $\sigma(\alpha) = (1/n) \sum n_i \beta_i$. Then σ is an isomorphism of G into \mathbf{R} . □

EXAMPLE 1. [4, Proposition 3.4] Let k be a field and S a submonoid of the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} . If $k[S]$ is seminormal, then $k[S]$ is integrally closed.

LEMMA 3. For a domain D and a g -monoid S , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $D[S]$ is t -closed.
- (2) For every finitely generated submonoid S_0 of S and the integral closure T of S_0 in S , $D[T]$ is t -closed.

PROOF: (1) \implies (2): For elements $F \in \mathfrak{q}(D[T])$ and $f \in D[T]$, assume that $F^2 - fF \in D[T]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[T]$. By the assumption, we have $F \in D[S]$. The integral closure of $D[T]$ is $\overline{D}[\overline{T}]$, where \overline{D} is the integral closure of D and \overline{T} is the integral closure of T [3, Corollary 12.11]. It follows that $F \in D[T]$.

(2) \implies (1): Assume that $F^2 - fF \in D[S]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[S]$ for elements $f \in D[S]$ and $F \in \mathfrak{q}(D[S])$. There exists a finitely generated submonoid S_0 of S such that $f \in D[S_0]$, $F \in \mathfrak{q}(D[S_0])$, $F^2 - fF \in D[S_0]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[S_0]$. By the assumption, we have $F \in D[T]$ for the integral closure T of S_0 in S . \square

Lemmas 2, 3 and Proposition 2 imply that conditions for $D[S]$ to be t-closed reduce to the case where D is a field, S is a submonoid of \mathbf{R} with $S \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}(S)$ and $\text{tfr}(S) < \infty$.

LEMMA 4. *For a domain D and a g -monoid S , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $D[S]$ is u-closed.
- (2) For every finitely generated submonoid S_0 of S and the integral closure T of S_0 in S , $D[T]$ is u-closed.

The proof of Lemma 4 is similar to that of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 and Proposition 3 reduce conditions for $D[S]$ to be u-closed to the case where S is a submonoid of \mathbf{R} with $S \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}(S)$ and $\text{tfr}(S) < \infty$.

EXAMPLE 2. Assume that $\text{tfr}(S) = 1$. Then $D[S]$ is t-closed if and only if D is t-closed and S is isomorphic to an integrally closed submonoid of \mathbf{Q} .

PROOF: By Lemma 2, we may assume that S is a submonoid of \mathbf{Q} .

The necessity: We see that $K[S]$ is t-closed, and hence seminormal, where $K = \mathfrak{q}(D)$. By Example 1, $K[S]$ is integrally closed. Hence S is integrally closed.

The sufficiency: Then $K[S]$ is integrally closed. Assume that $F^2 - fF \in D[S]$ and $F^3 - fF^2 \in D[S]$ for elements $f \in D[S]$ and $F \in \mathfrak{q}(D[S])$. Then we have $F \in K[S]$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2, we have $F \in D[G]$ for $G = \mathfrak{q}(S)$. Hence $F \in D[S]$. \square

An element of $D[S]$ is denoted by $\sum_{finite} a_s X^s$ for elements $a_s \in D$ and $s \in S$ with X a symbol.

LEMMA 5. *Assume that D is u-closed with characteristic $p > 0$, and S a submonoid of \mathbf{R} . Then $D[S]$ is u-closed.*

PROOF: Assume that $F^2 - fF \in D[S]$ and $F^3 - F^2 \in D[S]$ for some element $F \in \mathfrak{q}(D[S])$. We must show that $F \in D[S]$. We may assume that $F \neq 0$. By Proposition 3, we have $F \in D[G]$, where $G = \mathfrak{q}(S)$. Put $F = a_1 X^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_l X^{\alpha_l}$, where each a_i is non-zero and $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_l$. Suppose that $\alpha_k \notin S$ for some k . For every natural number n larger than 1, we have $F^n - F \in D[S]$. Specifically, we have $F^{p^i} - F \in D[S]$ for every natural number i . Since $\alpha_k \notin S$, the coefficient of X^{α_k} in $F^{p^i} - F$ is zero. It follows that $\alpha_k = p^i \alpha_{l(i)}$ for some number $l(i)$. There exist natural numbers $i < j$ such that

$\alpha_{l(i)} = \alpha_{l(j)}$. Then we have $(p^j - p^i)\alpha_{l(i)} = 0$, and hence $\alpha_{l(i)} = 0$. It follows that $\alpha_k = 0$, and hence $\alpha_k \in S$, a contradiction. \square

LEMMA 6. *Assume that D is of characteristic 0, p a prime number and X_1, \dots, X_l indeterminates. Let M be a monomial appearing in $(X_1 + \dots + X_l)^p$. Then M is either of the form X_i^p for some i or $cX_{l(1)}^{e_1} \dots X_{l(n)}^{e_n}$, where $n > 1$, each e_i is a natural number, c is a multiple of p and $l(i) \neq l(j)$ for $i \neq j$.*

The proof of Lemma 6 is elementary. The case of $l = 2$: we have $(X_1 + X_2)^p = \sum_i {}_pC_i X_1^{p-i} X_2^i$. And, if $1 < i < p$, then ${}_pC_i$ is a multiple of p .

LEMMA 7. *Assume that D is u -closed with characteristic 0. Then $D[S]$ is u -closed.*

PROOF: Assume that $F^2 - F \in D[S]$ and $F^3 - F^2 \in D[S]$ for some element $F \in q(D[S])$. We must show that $F \in D[S]$. We may assume that $F \neq 0$. By Proposition 3, we have $F \in D[G]$, where $G = q(S)$. Put $F = a_1 X^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_l X^{\alpha_l}$, where each a_i is non-zero and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$. Suppose that $\alpha_k \notin S$ for some k . Suppose that there exists an infinite number of prime numbers p such that $\alpha_k = p\alpha_{l(p)}$ for some number $l(p)$. Then there are prime numbers $p < q$ such that $l(p) = l(q)$. It follows that $\alpha_k = 0$, and hence $\alpha_k \in S$, a contradiction.

We may assume that $D \supset \mathbf{Z}$. We may assume that a_1, \dots, a_m is a transcendence basis of the field $\mathbf{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ over \mathbf{Q} . There exists an element $\theta \in \mathbf{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ which is integral over $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_l) = (\mathbf{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_m))(\theta)$. Let n be the degree of θ over $\mathbf{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. Then, there exists a non-zero element f of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ so that each a_i is of the form

$$\frac{f_{i0}}{f} + \frac{f_{i1}}{f}\theta + \dots + \frac{f_{i,n-1}}{f}\theta^{n-1},$$

where every f_{ij} is an element of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$. Therefore each element of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_l]$ is of the form

$$\frac{f_0}{f^d} + \frac{f_1}{f^d}\theta + \dots + \frac{f_{n-1}}{f^d}\theta^{n-1}$$

with $f_i \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ and a natural number d . There exists a number M so that if p is a prime number larger than M , then $\alpha_k \neq p\alpha_i$ for each i and $f \notin p\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$. Let p be a prime number larger than M . By Lemma 6, the coefficient of X^{α_k} in F^p is of the form pa for some element $a \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_l]$. Since $F^p - F \in D[S]$, we have $pa = a_k$.

Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ be the prime numbers larger than M . We show that there exists an element $b(n) \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_l]$ such that $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n b(n) = a_k$ for every n . For the proof, we rely on induction on n . Thus suppose that there exists an element $b(n)$ of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_l]$ such that $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n b(n) = a_k$. There exist integers l_1 and l_2 such that $l_1 p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + l_2 p_{n+1} = 1$. Then we have $l_1 a_k + l_2 p_{n+1} b(n) = l_1 p_1 p_2 \dots p_n b(n) + l_2 p_{n+1} b(n) = b(n)$. Since $p_{n+1} a' = a_k$ for some element a' of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_l]$, it follows that $p_{n+1} (l_1 a' + l_2 b(n)) = l_1 p_{n+1} a' + l_2 p_{n+1} b(n) = b(n)$.

Therefore $p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n+1} (l_1 a' + l_2 b(\pi)) = a_k$.

We have an increasing chain of principal ideals of $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_i]$: $(b(1)) \subset (b(2)) \subset \dots$. Since $\mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_i]$ is a Noetherian ring, we have $(b(h)) = (b(h+1))$ for some h . Then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{p_{h+1}} \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_i].$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{p_{h+1}} = \frac{f_0}{f^d} + \frac{f_1}{f^d} \theta + \dots + \frac{f_{n-1}}{f^d} \theta^{n-1}$$

with $f_i \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$ and a natural number d . It follows that $f \in p_{h+1} \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_m]$, a contradiction. \square

THEOREM 3. $D[S]$ is u-closed if and only if D is u-closed.

PROOF: The necessity follows from Lemma 1.

The sufficiency: We may assume that S is a submonoid of \mathbf{R} . If D is of characteristic $p > 0$, Lemma 5 implies that $D[S]$ is u-closed. If D is of characteristic 0, Lemma 7 implies that $D[S]$ is u-closed. \square

QUESTION [4] What are conditions for $D[S]$ to be t-closed?

REFERENCES

- [1] D.F. Anderson, 'Root closure in integral domains', *J. Algebra* **79** (1982), 51–59.
- [2] D.D. Anderson and D.F. Anderson, 'Divisorial ideals and invertible ideals', *J. Algebra* **76** (1982), 549–569.
- [3] R. Gilmer, *Commutative semigroup rings*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1984).
- [4] M. Kanemitsu and R. Matsuda, 'Note on seminormal overrings', *Houston J. Math.* **22** (1996), 217–224.
- [5] N. Onoda, T. Sugatani and K. Yoshida, 'Local quasinormality and closedness type criteria', *Houston J. Math.* **11** (1985), 247–256.

Department of Mathematics
Ibaraki University
Mito, Ibaraki 310
Japan