

## DERIVATIONS OF FRÉCHET NUCLEAR $GB^*$ -ALGEBRAS

M. WEIGT and I. ZARAKAS✉

(Received 24 January 2015; accepted 15 February 2015; first published online 4 June 2015)

### Abstract

It is an open question whether every derivation of a Fréchet  $GB^*$ -algebra  $A[\tau]$  is continuous. We give an affirmative answer for the case where  $A[\tau]$  is a smooth Fréchet nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebra. Motivated by this result, we give examples of smooth Fréchet nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebras which are not pro- $C^*$ -algebras.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46H35; secondary 46H05, 46H25, 46H40, 46K05, 46L05, 46L10.

*Keywords and phrases*:  $GB^*$ -algebra, topological algebra, derivation, locally convex bimodule, smooth locally convex bimodule.

### 1. Introduction

The algebras known as  $GB^*$ -algebras (that is, generalised  $B^*$ -algebras) are locally convex  $*$ -algebras which are generalisations of  $C^*$ -algebras. They were introduced in [4] by Allan in 1967, and the concept was later extended by Dixon in [11] to incorporate nonlocally convex algebras.  $GB^*$ -algebras are also abstract algebras of unbounded operators in the sense that the well-known Gelfand–Naimark representation theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras extends to  $GB^*$ -algebras [11, Theorems 7.6 and 7.11].

Algebras of unbounded operators are important in quantum physics and quantum statistical mechanics, in that the observables of a quantum mechanical system are regarded as unbounded self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space and the time evolution of the system can be modelled by one-parameter automorphism groups of the latter algebras. Furthermore, derivations are the generators of these groups [8].

If  $X$  is a bimodule over an algebra  $A$ , then we call a linear map  $\delta : A \rightarrow X$  a *derivation* if  $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . The derivation  $\delta$  is said to be *inner* if there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $\delta(a) = ax - xa$  for all  $a \in A$ . It is well known that the zero derivation is the only derivation of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra [23, Corollary 2.2.8]

---

This material is based upon work for which the first author was financially supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and therefore the NRF does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

© 2015 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2015 \$16.00

and that all derivations of a  $C^*$ -algebra are continuous [23, Theorem 2.3.1]. Also, all derivations of a von Neumann algebra are inner [23, Theorem 2.5.3]. An abundance of automatic continuity results for derivations of Banach algebras can be found in [10].

The article of Brödel and Lassner [9] is the first article about derivations of unbounded operator algebras to appear in the literature. Much later, in 1992, Becker proved that every derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  of a pro- $C^*$ -algebra  $A[\tau_\Gamma]$  is continuous [5, Proposition 2]. By a pro- $C^*$ -algebra, we mean a complete topological  $*$ -algebra  $A[\tau_\Gamma]$  for which there exists a directed family of  $C^*$ -seminorms defining the topology  $\tau_\Gamma$  [13].

Becker also proved that commutative pro- $C^*$ -algebras have no nonzero derivations [5, Corollary 3]. Other results concerning derivations of nonnormed topological  $*$ -algebras and unbounded operator algebras can be found in [1, 2, 6, 18, 26, 28, 30]. For a detailed survey of derivations of locally convex  $*$ -algebras, see [17].

All of the above, together with [17, discussion after Theorem 5.2], provide motivation for a general investigation of derivations of  $GB^*$ -algebras. In [28], we proved that a complete commutative  $GB^*$ -algebra having jointly continuous multiplication has no nonzero derivations [28, Theorem 3.3]. In particular, every Fréchet commutative  $GB^*$ -algebra has no nonzero derivations [28, Corollary 3.4].

A *nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebra* is a  $GB^*$ -algebra for which  $A[B_0]$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra [16]. Clearly, every commutative  $GB^*$ -algebra is a nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebra. Some basic properties and examples of nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebras can be found in [16]. For instance, [16, Theorem 6.8] is a characterisation of nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebras.

If  $A[\tau]$  is a locally convex algebra with a defining family of seminorms  $\Gamma$  and  $X[\tau']$  is a locally convex  $A$ -bimodule, then we say that  $X[\tau']$  is a  $\tau - \tau'$  *smooth  $A$ -bimodule* if there exist defining families of seminorms  $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  and  $\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$  for  $A[\tau]$  and  $X[\tau']$ , respectively, such that  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  are directed and such that, for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $q_\alpha(ax) \leq p_\lambda(a)q_\alpha(x)$  and  $q_\alpha(xa) \leq p_\lambda(a)q_\alpha(x)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ .

We say that the locally convex algebra  $A[\tau]$  is *smooth* if there exists a defining family of seminorms  $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  for  $A[\tau]$  such that, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ , there exists  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $p_\lambda(ab) \leq p_\mu(a)p_\lambda(b)$  and  $p_\lambda(ba) \leq p_\mu(a)p_\lambda(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . We say that this family of seminorms is smooth. It is clear that every smooth locally convex algebra is a smooth locally convex bimodule over itself.

Smooth modules were introduced in an attempt to generalise a result of Sheinberg concerning the flatness of cyclic Banach modules over Banach algebras to locally convex modules over locally convex algebras. This leads to a characterisation of the notion of amenability in the context of Fréchet algebras (see [20] in this regard). Some general information concerning smooth modules can be found in [21].

It is an open question whether every derivation of a Fréchet  $GB^*$ -algebra is continuous. The purpose of this article is to give a partial answer to this question. To be more specific, we prove in Section 4 that every derivation of a smooth Fréchet nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebra is continuous. In [29], we proved that every derivation of a Fréchet  $GB^*$ -algebra  $A[\tau]$  is continuous, for which  $A[B_0]$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. It is clear that every pro- $C^*$ -algebra is a smooth  $GB^*$ -algebra and, in Section 3, motivated by the main result

in Section 4, we give examples of smooth Fréchet nuclear  $GB^*$ -algebras which are not pro- $C^*$ -algebras in general. Section 2 consists of all the necessary background for understanding and proving the main results of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

All vector spaces in the paper are over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers and all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Moreover, all algebras are assumed to have an identity element denoted by 1.

A *topological algebra* is an algebra which is also a topological vector space such that the multiplication is separately continuous in both variables [13]. A *topological \*-algebra* is a topological algebra endowed with a continuous involution. A topological \*-algebra which is also a locally convex space is called a *locally convex \*-algebra*. The symbol  $A[\tau]$  will stand for a topological \*-algebra  $A$  endowed with given topology  $\tau$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1** [4]. Let  $A[\tau]$  be a topological \*-algebra and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  a collection of subsets  $B$  of  $A$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $B$  is absolutely convex, closed and bounded;
- (ii)  $1 \in B$ ,  $B^2 \subset B$  and  $B^* = B$ .

For every  $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$ , denote by  $A[B]$  the linear span of  $B$ , which is a normed algebra under the gauge function  $\|\cdot\|_B$  of  $B$ . If  $A[B]$  is complete for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$ , then  $A[\tau]$  is called *pseudo-complete*.

An element  $x \in A$  is called (*Allan*) *bounded* if, for some nonzero complex number  $\lambda$ , the set  $\{(\lambda x)^n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is bounded in  $A$ . We denote by  $A_0$  the set of all bounded elements in  $A$ .

A topological \*-algebra  $A[\tau]$  is called *symmetric* if, for every  $x \in A$ , the element  $(1 + x^*x)^{-1}$  exists and belongs to  $A_0$ .

In [11], the collection  $\mathcal{B}^*$  in the definition above is defined to be the same as above, except that  $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$  is no longer assumed to be absolutely convex. The notion of a bounded element is a generalisation of the concept of a bounded operator on a Banach space and was introduced by Allan in [3] in order to develop a spectral theory for general locally convex \*-algebras.

**DEFINITION 2.2** [4]. A symmetric pseudo-complete locally convex \*-algebra  $A[\tau]$  such that the collection  $\mathcal{B}^*$  has a greatest member denoted by  $B_0$  is called a *GB\**-algebra over  $B_0$ .

Every sequentially complete locally convex algebra is pseudo-complete [3, Proposition 2.6]. In [11], Dixon extended the notion of  $GB^*$ -algebras to include topological \*-algebras which are not locally convex. In this definition,  $GB^*$ -algebras are not assumed to be pseudo-complete,  $B_0$  is the only element in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  which is necessarily absolutely convex (see the paragraph before Definition 2.2) and only  $A[B_0]$  is assumed to be complete with respect to the gauge function  $\|\cdot\|_{B_0}$ . For a survey of  $GB^*$ -algebras, see [15].

**PROPOSITION 2.3** ([4, Theorem 2.6] and [7, Theorem 2]). *If  $A[\tau]$  is a GB\*-algebra, then the Banach \*-algebra  $A[B_0]$  is a C\*-algebra sequentially dense in  $A$  and  $(1 + x^*x)^{-1} \in A[B_0]$  for every  $x \in A$ . Furthermore,  $B_0$  is the unit ball of  $A[B_0]$ .*

If  $A$  is commutative, then  $A_0 = A[B_0]$  [4, page 94]. In general,  $A_0$  is not a \*-subalgebra of  $A$  and  $A[B_0]$  contains all normal elements of  $A_0$  [4, page 94].

It is well known that every commutative C\*-algebra is topologically and algebraically \*-isomorphic to  $C(X)$  for some compact Hausdorff space (in fact,  $X$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A$ ). More generally, any commutative GB\*-algebra is algebraically \*-isomorphic to an algebra of functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  which are allowed to take the value infinity on at most a nowhere dense subset of  $X$  [4, Theorem 3.9]. This algebraic \*-isomorphism extends the Gelfand isomorphism of  $A[B_0]$  onto the corresponding  $C(X)$ .

Recall that every C\*-algebra is topologically-algebraically \*-isomorphic to a norm closed \*-subalgebra of  $B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$ . In general, for every GB\*-algebra  $A[\tau]$ , there exists a faithful \*-representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow \pi(A)$  such that  $\pi(A)$  is an algebra of closed densely defined operators on a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $B_0$  being identified with  $\{x \in \pi(A) \cap B(H) : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  [11, Theorem 7.11]. Therefore, for every  $a \in A$ , it follows that  $\|(1 + a^*a)^{-1}\| \leq 1$  (see also [4, Proposition 2.6]) and that  $a(1 + a^*a)^{-1} \in A[B_0]$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.4** [19, Proposition 3.4]. *If  $A[\tau]$  is a pro-C\*-algebra and  $X[\tau']$  is a complete locally convex A-bimodule having  $\tau \times \tau' - \tau'$  jointly continuous module actions, then  $X[\tau']$  is a  $\tau - \tau'$  smooth A-bimodule.*

If, in particular,  $A[\|\cdot\|]$  is a C\*-algebra and  $X[\tau']$  is a complete locally convex A-bimodule having  $\|\cdot\| \times \tau' - \tau'$  jointly continuous module actions, then  $X[\tau']$  is a  $\|\cdot\| - \tau'$  smooth A-bimodule.

### 3. Examples of smooth Fréchet GB\*-algebras

In this section, we give an example of a smooth Fréchet GB\*-algebra (Example 3.4) and use it to construct an example of a smooth Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra (Example 3.5). We begin by first outlining an example of a GB\*-algebra given in [12].

**DEFINITION 3.1** [12, Definition 1.1]. A set  $\mathcal{R}$  of bounded self-adjoint linear operators on a Hilbert space  $H$  is called a generating family if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ ;
- (iii) for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $c \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ ;
- (iv) for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $b \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $a \leq b^2$ .

Observe that 1 need not be in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In what follows, we equip the set  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{R}} aH$  with the inductive limit topology [12, Definition 1.3]. Let  $x$  be a densely defined linear operator on  $H$  such that its domain contains  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then  $x$  is called  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded if  $xa$  is

a bounded linear operator for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  [12, Definition 2.1]. The vector space of all  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded linear operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{RB}(H)$ . For every  $x \in \mathcal{RB}(H)$ , the restriction of  $x$  to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is a continuous linear operator into  $H$  and, conversely, every continuous linear operator from  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$  into  $H$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded [12, remark, page 112]. Clearly,  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{RB}(H)$ .

On  $\mathcal{RB}(H)$ , we define the seminorms  $p_a$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , by  $p_a(x) = \|xa\|$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{RB}(H)$ . From the previous paragraph, it follows that if  $p_a(x) = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $x = 0$  [12, page 112], implying that  $\mathcal{RB}(H)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex space.

If  $A \subset \mathcal{RB}(H)$ , then we define  $A' \subset \mathcal{RB}(H)$  as follows [12, Definition 2.4]:

$$A' = \{y \in \mathcal{RB}(H) : yx \in \mathcal{RB}(H), xy \in \mathcal{RB}(H) \text{ and } yxa = xya \text{ for all } x \in A \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

The map  $x \mapsto x^+ := x^*|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}}$  defines an involution on  $\mathcal{R}'$  [12, Definition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4], and  $p_a(x) = p_a(x^+)$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{R}'$  [12, Lemma 3.6(ii)].

**THEOREM 3.2** [12, Theorem 3.10, Lemma 3.6(i) and Corollary 3.8]. *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a generating family of bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a sequentially complete  $GB^*$ -algebra with respect to the locally convex topology defined by the family of seminorms  $p_a$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , restricted to  $\mathcal{R}'$ , and with respect to the involution defined above. Furthermore, for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $b \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $p_a(xy) \leq p_b(x)p_b(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}'$ .*

The last statement of Theorem 3.2 implies that  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a  $GB^*$ -algebra having jointly continuous multiplication. Observe in Theorem 3.2 that the seminorms  $p_a$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , are generally not smooth on  $\mathcal{R}'$ . However, if  $\mathcal{R}$  has the additional property that for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $q \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $a = qa$ , then, for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$p_a(xy) = \|xya\| = \|xyqa\| = \|xq \cdot ya\| \leq \|xq\| \|ya\| = p_q(x)p_a(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}'$ .

In Example 3.4 below, we exhibit a countable generating family  $\mathcal{R}_2$  having the additional property mentioned above, thereby ensuring that  $(\mathcal{R}_2)'$  is a smooth Fréchet  $GB^*$ -algebra. For this example, we require Lemma 3.3 below.

In what follows, let  $0 < a < 1$  be a bounded (self-adjoint) linear operator on  $H$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{a^{1/n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $M$  denotes the commutative von Neumann algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , then  $r(x)$  denotes the support of  $x \in M$  relative to  $M$ , that is, for every  $x \in M$ ,  $r(x)$  is the least projection in  $M$  such that  $xr(x) = r(x)x = x$ . It is easily seen that  $r(r(x)) = r(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.**

- (i) *If  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1$  are such that  $b_1 \leq b_2$ , then  $r(b_1) \leq r(b_2)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $x \in M$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , then  $x \leq r(x)$ .*

**PROOF.** (i) Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1$  be such that  $b_1 \leq b_2$ . We consider the three cases below.

*Case 1.*  $b_1 = a^n$  and  $b_2 = a^k$  for some  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and therefore  $k \leq n$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset M$  and  $M$  is commutative, it follows that

$$r(b_1) = r(a^n) = r(a^k a^{n-k}) \leq r(a^k) r(a^{n-k}) \leq r(a^k) = r(b_2).$$

*Case 2.*  $b_1 = a^{1/k}$  and  $b_2 = a^{1/m}$  for some  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and therefore  $k \leq m$ . By using a similar argument to that of Case 1, we get  $r(b_1) \leq r(b_2)$ .

*Case 3.*  $b_1 = a^n$  and  $b_2 = a^{1/k}$  for some  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Once again, by using a similar argument to that of Case 1 above, we get  $r(b_1) \leq r(b_2)$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in M$  with  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then  $1 - x \geq 0$  and, therefore, since  $r(x)x = x$ , it follows for every  $\xi \in H$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (r(x) - x)\xi, \xi \rangle &= \langle r(x)(1 - x)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle r(x)^* r(x)(1 - x)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle (1 - x)r(x)\xi, r(x)\xi \rangle \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $x \leq r(x)$ . □

**EXAMPLE 3.4.** Let  $a$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1$  be as above. Then  $\mathcal{R}_1$  clearly satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1. For any  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ , it is clear that we either have  $b_1 \leq b_2$  or  $b_2 \leq b_1$ , so that property (iii) of Definition 3.1 holds. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $a^n \leq a = (a^{1/2})^2$ . Observe that  $a^{1/2} \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \leq 2r$  and therefore  $a^{1/n} \leq a^{1/2r} = (a^{1/4r})^2$ . Note also that  $a^{1/4r} \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . Hence, property (iv) of Definition 3.1 holds.

Now let  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \{r(b) : b \in \mathcal{R}_1\}$ . Clearly, properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1 still remain valid. Since  $r(b) = r(b)^2$  for every  $b \in \mathcal{R}_1$ , it is clear that property (iv) of Definition 3.1 also remains valid. We now show that property (iii) of Definition 3.1 still holds. Consider  $r(b_1)$  and  $r(b_2)$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . As noted above, we have either  $b_1 \leq b_2$  or  $b_2 \leq b_1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $b_1 \leq b_2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.3(i) that  $r(b_1) \leq r(b_2)$ .

Now let  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $a_2 = r(b_2)$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . Once again, we have either  $b_1 \leq b_2$  or  $b_2 \leq b_1$ . If  $b_1 \leq b_2$ , then it follows from Lemma 3.3(i) that  $r(b_1) \leq r(b_2)$ . By Lemma 3.3(ii),  $b_1 \leq r(b_1)$ . Therefore,  $a_1 = b_1 \leq r(b_2) = a_2$ . If  $b_2 \leq b_1$  instead, then, by Lemma 3.3(i),  $r(b_2) \leq r(b_1)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.3(ii) that  $b_1 \leq r(b_1)$  and hence  $a_1 \leq r(b_1)$  and  $a_2 \leq r(b_1)$ . Therefore, property (iii) of Definition 3.1 remains valid.

We conclude from the discussion preceding Lemma 3.3 that  $(\mathcal{R}_2)'$  is a smooth Fréchet GB\*-algebra.

We now use Example 3.4 to construct an example of a smooth Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra from a nuclear C\*-algebra which is also a von Neumann algebra.

**EXAMPLE 3.5.** Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra which is also a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $Z(A)$ , the centre of  $A$ , is a commutative von Neumann algebra. Let  $0 < a < 1$  be a bounded (self-adjoint) linear operator in  $Z(A)$  and construct from this the generating family  $\mathcal{R}_2$  of Example 3.4, that is,

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \{a^{1/n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \{r(b) : b \in \mathcal{R}_1\},$$

where, as before, for any  $x$  in the von Neumann algebra  $M$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}_1$ ,  $r(x)$  denotes the support of  $x$  in  $M$ . Now  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset Z(A)$  and so  $M \subset Z(A)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset Z(A)$ .

It now follows that  $A \subset (\mathcal{R}_2)'$ : if  $x \in A$ , then  $cx$  and  $xc$  are in  $\mathcal{R}_2 B(H)$  and  $xc b = cxb$  for all  $c, b \in \mathcal{R}_2$ . Therefore,  $A \subset (\mathcal{R}_2)'$ .

This allows one to restrict the seminorms  $p_c, c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , on  $(\mathcal{R}_2)'$  to  $A$ , thereby obtaining a family of smooth seminorms on  $A$  (in Example 3.4, we have, from the discussion preceding Lemma 3.3, that the family of seminorms  $p_c, c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , on  $(\mathcal{R}_2)'$  is smooth). We use the symbol  $\tau$  to denote the locally convex topology on  $A$  defined by the above family of (smooth) seminorms.

It is easily verified that the topology  $\tau$  on  $A$  is weaker than the given norm topology on  $A$ . Therefore, the completion  $\tilde{A}[\tau]$  of  $A[\tau]$  is a  $GB^*$ -algebra over the  $\tau$ -closure  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  in  $A$  of the unit ball  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  of  $A$  [14, Theorem 2.1].

We prove that  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$ . Let  $\|x\|_{bd} = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|xc\|$  for all  $x \in A$ . We first show that  $\|\cdot\|_{bd}$  is a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A$ . For every  $x \in A$  and  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , we have that  $\|xc\|^2 \leq \|x^+xc\|$  (see the proof of [12, Theorem 3.10]). Therefore, for every  $x \in A$ ,

$$\|x\|_{bd}^2 = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|xc\|^2 \leq \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|x^+xc\| = \|x^+x\|_{bd}.$$

From Theorem 3.2, we have that for every  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , there exists  $b \in \mathcal{R}_2$  such that  $p_c(xy) \leq p_b(x)p_b(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^+x\|_{bd} &= \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} p_c(x^+x) \\ &\leq \sup_{b \in \mathcal{R}_2} p_b(x)^2 = \|x\|_{bd}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $\|x\|_{bd}^2 = \|x^+x\|_{bd}$  for all  $x \in A$ .

We now show that  $\|x^*x\|_{bd} = \|x^+x\|_{bd}$  for all  $x \in A$ . For any  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^*xc\| &= \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x^*xc\xi\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|(x^*x)^*c\xi\| \\ &= \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|(x^*x)^+c\xi\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x^+(x^*)^+c\xi\| \\ &= \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x^+(x^*)^*c\xi\| = \sup_{\|\xi\|=1} \|x^+xc\xi\| \\ &= \|x^+xc\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|x^*x\|_{bd} = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|x^*xc\| = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|x^+xc\| = \|x^+x\|_{bd}$  for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows that  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{bd}}$  is a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A$  and, therefore, by [25, Corollary I.5.4],  $\|x\| = \|x\|_{\text{bd}}$  for every  $x \in A$ . Finally, to show that  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$ , we consider a net  $(x_\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  with  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} x \in A$ . Therefore,  $\|x_\alpha c\| \leq \|x_\alpha\| \|c\| \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ . Since  $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} x \in A$ , we have  $\|x_\alpha c\| \rightarrow \|xc\|$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , implying that  $\|xc\| \leq 1$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ . Therefore,  $\|x\| = \|x\|_{\text{bd}} = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{R}_2} \|xc\| \leq 1$ . Hence,  $x \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  is  $\tau$ -closed in  $A$ .

It follows that  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$  is a GB\*-algebra over  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ , which yields  $(\widetilde{A}[\tau])[B_0] = A$ , and therefore  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$  is a Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra. Since  $A[\tau]$  is smooth (with respect to the seminorms  $p_c, c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ ) and  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$  has jointly continuous multiplication, it follows that  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$  is a smooth Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra (the extensions of the seminorms  $p_c, c \in \mathcal{R}_2$ , of  $A[\tau]$  to  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$  are seminorms which define the topology  $\tau$  on  $\widetilde{A}[\tau]$ ).

### 4. Main results

For the proof of the following theorem, we establish the convention that if  $X[\|\cdot\|]$  is a Banach space, then  $\|\cdot\|$  will also be used to denote the norms on  $X^*$  and  $X^{**}$ .

Let  $X[\|\cdot\|_0]$  be a normed space which is also a bimodule over a Banach algebra  $A[\|\cdot\|]$ . If the module actions of  $X$  over  $A$  are  $\|\cdot\| \times \|\cdot\|_0 - \|\cdot\|_0$  jointly continuous, then it is well known that there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_0$  (that is, defining the same topology on  $X$  as  $\|\cdot\|_0$ ) such that  $\|ax\|_1 \leq \|a\| \|x\|_1$  and  $\|xa\|_1 \leq \|a\| \|x\|_1$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a normed  $A$ -bimodule. This will also be needed in the proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $A[\|\cdot\|]$  be a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\tau$  a locally convex topology on  $A$  such that  $\tau$  is weaker than the topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|$ . If  $X[\tau']$  is a complete  $(\tau - \tau')$  smooth locally convex  $A$ -bimodule and  $\delta : A \rightarrow X$  is a derivation, then  $\delta$  is  $\tau - \tau'$  continuous.*

**PROOF.** By hypothesis, there exist defining families of seminorms  $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_0\}$  and  $\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$  for  $A[\tau]$  and  $X[\tau']$ , respectively, such that, for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $q_\alpha(ax) \leq p_\lambda(a)q_\alpha(x)$  and  $q_\alpha(xa) \leq p_\lambda(a)q_\alpha(x)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Furthermore,  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  are directed. It follows, for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ , that  $X_\alpha \equiv X/N_\alpha$  is an  $A$ -bimodule with respect to the following (well-defined) module actions:

$$a \cdot (x + N_\alpha) = ax + N_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad (x + N_\alpha) \cdot a = xa + N_\alpha,$$

where  $N_\alpha = \{x \in X : q_\alpha(x) = 0\}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ . Then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\varprojlim \widetilde{X}_\alpha$  as locally convex spaces, where  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  is the completion of  $X_\alpha = X/N_\alpha$  with respect to the topology induced by the norm  $\bar{q}_\alpha(x + N_\alpha) = q_\alpha(x), x \in X$ , on  $X_\alpha$ .

Note that  $X_\alpha$  is a normed  $A$ -bimodule with respect to the above module actions: let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in  $A$  such that  $a_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} a \in A$ , and let  $(x_n + N_\alpha)$  be a sequence in  $X_\alpha$  such that  $x_n + N_\alpha \rightarrow x + N_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  with respect to  $\bar{q}_\alpha$ . Then  $a_n \xrightarrow{\tau} a$  (since  $\tau$  is weaker



than the topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $A$ ) and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{q}_\alpha(a_n(x_n + N_\alpha) - a(x + N_\alpha)) \\ &\leq p_\lambda(a_n)\bar{q}_\alpha((x_n + N_\alpha) - (x + N_\alpha)) + p_\lambda(a_n - a)\bar{q}_\alpha(x + N_\alpha) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, the module actions of  $X_\alpha$  over  $A$  are jointly continuous. Therefore,  $X_\alpha$  is a normed  $A$ -bimodule for every  $\alpha$ .

The normed  $A$ -bimodule  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  is therefore a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. For every  $\alpha$ , let  $\rho_\alpha : X \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_\alpha$  be the  $\alpha$ th projection map, and let  $c_\alpha : \widetilde{X}_\alpha \rightarrow (\widetilde{X}_\alpha)^{**}$  be the embedding into the second dual of  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$ , which is a module map. Let  $\delta_\alpha$  be defined as

$$c_\alpha \circ \rho_\alpha \circ \delta : A \rightarrow (\widetilde{X}_\alpha)^{**}$$

for every  $\alpha$ . Then  $\delta_\alpha$  is a derivation. This follows from the definitions of the  $A$ -module actions with respect to which  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  and  $(\widetilde{X}_\alpha)^{**}$  turn into Banach  $A$ -bimodules. From [22, Theorem 2],  $\delta_\alpha$  is  $\|\cdot\| - \bar{q}_\alpha$  continuous for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ . Since  $A$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and therefore amenable, it follows that, for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$ ,  $\delta_\alpha$  is inner, that is, there is an element  $z_\alpha \in (\widetilde{X}_\alpha)^{**}$  such that  $\delta_\alpha(b) = z_\alpha b - b z_\alpha$  for all  $b \in A$ . It follows easily from the hypothesis that, for every  $\alpha$ , the module actions of  $X_\alpha$  over  $A$  are  $\tau - \bar{q}_\alpha$  smooth. Therefore, the module actions of  $\widetilde{X}_\alpha$  over  $A$  are  $\tau - \bar{q}_\alpha$  smooth, that is, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\bar{q}_\alpha(ax_\alpha) \leq p_\lambda(a)\bar{q}_\alpha(x_\alpha)$  and  $\bar{q}_\alpha(x_\alpha a) \leq p_\lambda(a)\bar{q}_\alpha(x_\alpha)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x_\alpha \in \widetilde{X}_\alpha$ . As can be easily seen, these inequalities extend to the case of the module actions of  $(\widetilde{X}_\alpha)^{**}$  over  $A$ , which are proven therefore to be  $\tau \times \bar{q}_\alpha - \bar{q}_\alpha$  continuous. Hence,  $\delta_\alpha$  is  $\tau - \bar{q}_\alpha$  continuous for all  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $\delta$  is  $\tau - \tau'$  continuous.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $A[\tau]$  be a complete  $GB^*$ -algebra having jointly continuous multiplication. If  $(a_\alpha)$  is a net in  $A$  with  $a_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ , then  $(1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{p_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of seminorms defining the topology  $\tau$  on  $A$ . Since  $\tau$  is weaker than the topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $A[B_0]$ ,  $A$  is an  $A[B_0]$ -bimodule with  $\|\cdot\| \times \tau - \tau$  jointly continuous module actions (the module actions being the multiplication defined on  $A$ ). By Proposition 2.4,  $A$  is a  $\|\cdot\| - \tau$  smooth  $A[B_0]$ -bimodule. Therefore, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq p_\lambda((1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1} - 1) \\ &= p_\lambda(-(1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1} a_\alpha^* a_\alpha) \\ &= p_\lambda((1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1} a_\alpha^* a_\alpha) \\ &\leq \|(1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1}\| p_\lambda(a_\alpha^* a_\alpha) \\ &\leq p_\lambda(a_\alpha^* a_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that  $\|(1 + a_\alpha^* a_\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . Since  $a_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ , it follows that  $p_\lambda(a_\alpha^* a_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, the result follows.  $\square$

The proof of the following proposition is similar to that of [27, Proposition 3.1]. We give the proof for completeness, for which we need Lemma 4.2.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Let  $A[\tau]$  be a Fréchet GB\*-algebra. Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  be a derivation such that  $\delta|_{A[B_0]}$  is  $\tau - \tau$  closable. Then  $\delta$  is  $\tau - \tau$  continuous. Conversely, if  $\delta$  is  $\tau - \tau$  continuous, then  $\delta|_{A[B_0]}$  is  $\tau - \tau$  closable.*

**PROOF.** The converse statement is trivial. Therefore, consider the case where  $\delta|_{A[B_0]}$  is  $\tau - \tau$  closable. Since  $\delta = \delta_1 + i\delta_2$ , where  $\delta_i$  are \*-derivations, that is,  $\delta_i(a^*) = \delta_i(a)^*$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $\delta$  is a \*-derivation. Take a sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $A$  such that  $a_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  and  $\delta(a_n) \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ .

Observe that  $a_n(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \in A[B_0]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $A[\tau]$  is a Fréchet GB\*-algebra, multiplication of  $A$  is jointly continuous. By Lemma 4.2, it follows that  $(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} 1$  and therefore  $a_n(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ . If  $b \in A$  is invertible, then it follows easily that  $\delta(b^{-1}) = -b^{-1}\delta(b)b^{-1}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}) &= -(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}(\delta(1 + a_n^*a_n))(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \\ &= -(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}(\delta(a_n^*a_n))(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \\ &= -(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}(a_n^*\delta(a_n) + \delta(a_n)^*a_n)(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tau} -1(0 \cdot y + y^* \cdot 0)1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\delta(a_n(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ . Let  $b_n = a_n(1 + a_n^*a_n)^{-1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(b_n)$  is a sequence in  $A[B_0]$  with  $b_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  and  $\delta(b_n) \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ . Since  $\delta|_{A[B_0]}$  is  $\tau - \tau$  closable,  $y = 0$ . Therefore, by the closed graph theorem,  $\delta$  is  $\tau - \tau$  continuous. □

A consequence of Theorem 4.1 is that if  $A[\tau]$  is a smooth Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra, then every derivation  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  has the property that  $\delta|_{A[B_0]}$  is  $\tau - \tau$  closable. One therefore obtains the following result from Proposition 4.3, which is a partial answer to the question of whether every derivation of a Fréchet GB\*-algebra is continuous.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Every derivation of a smooth Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra is continuous.*

If  $A[\tau]$  is a commutative Fréchet GB\*-algebra, then it is a Fréchet nuclear GB\*-algebra with no nonzero derivations [28, Corollary 3.4], implying that  $A[\tau]$  has only continuous derivations. Therefore, the assumption that  $A[\tau]$  is smooth can be dropped from the hypothesis of Corollary 4.4 if  $A[\tau]$  is, in addition, commutative.

A type I C\*-algebra is a C\*-algebra  $A$  such that for every representation  $\pi$  of  $A$ , the weak operator closure of  $\pi(A)$  is a type I von Neumann algebra. Every type I C\*-algebra is nuclear [24, Theorem 3].

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *If  $A[\tau]$  is a smooth Fréchet GB\*-algebra such that  $A[B_0]$  is a type I C\*-algebra, then every derivation of  $A$  is continuous.*

## Acknowledgement

In January 2013, the first author visited the Department of Mathematics of the University of Athens, Greece, where this work was completed. He wishes to thank the department for its warm hospitality.

## References

- [1] S. Albeverio, Sh. A. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, 'Non commutative Arens algebras and their derivations', *J. Funct. Anal.* **253** (2007), 287–302.
- [2] S. Albeverio, Sh. A. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, 'Structure of derivations on various algebras of measurable operators for type I von Neumann algebras', *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 2917–2943.
- [3] G. R. Allan, 'A spectral theory for locally convex algebras', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **15** (1965), 399–421.
- [4] G. R. Allan, 'On a class of locally convex algebras', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **17** (1967), 91–114.
- [5] R. Becker, 'Derivations on LMC\*-algebras', *Math. Nachr.* **155** (1992), 141–149.
- [6] A. F. Ber, V. I. Chilin and F. A. Sukochev, 'Non-trivial derivations on commutative regular algebras', *Extracta Math.* **21** (2006), 107–147.
- [7] S. J. Bhatt, 'A note on generalized B\*-algebras', *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **43** (1979), 253–257.
- [8] O. Bratelli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I* (Springer, New York, 1979).
- [9] C. Brödel and G. Lassner, 'Derivationen auf gewissen Op\*-algebren', *Math. Nachr.* **67** (1975), 53–58.
- [10] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000).
- [11] P. G. Dixon, 'Generalized B\*-algebras', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **21** (1970), 693–715.
- [12] S. J. L. van Eijndhoven and P. Kruszynski, 'GB\*-algebras associated with inductive limits of Hilbert spaces', *Studia Math.* **85** (1987), 107–123.
- [13] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Topological Algebras with Involution* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2005).
- [14] M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and K.-D. Kürsten, 'On the completion of a C\*-normed algebra under a locally convex algebra topology', *Contemp. Math.* **427** (2007), 155–166.
- [15] M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and K.-D. Kürsten, 'Old and new results on Allan's GB\*-algebras', *Banach Center Publ.* **91** (2010), 169–178.
- [16] M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Inoue and M. Weigt, 'Tensor products of GB\*-algebras', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **420** (2014), 1787–1802.
- [17] M. Fragoulopoulou, M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, 'Derivations of locally convex \*-algebras', *Extracta Math.* **26** (2011), 45–60.
- [18] A. Inoue and S. Ota, 'Derivations on algebras of unbounded operators', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **261** (1980), 567–577.
- [19] A. Yu. Pirkovskii, 'Arens–Michael envelopes, homological epimorphisms, and relatively quasi-free algebras', *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **69** (2008), 27–104.
- [20] A. Yu. Pirkovskii, 'Flat cyclic Fréchet modules, amenable Fréchet algebras, and approximate identities', *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **11** (2009), 81–114.
- [21] C. Podara, 'On smooth modules', *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **105** (2011), 173–179.
- [22] J. R. Ringrose, 'Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1972), 432–438.
- [23] S. Sakai, *Operator Algebras in Dynamical Systems: The Theory of Unbounded Derivations in C\*-Algebras* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).

- [24] M. Takesaki, 'On the crossnorm of the direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras', *Tohoku Math. J.* **16** (1964), 111–122.
- [25] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I* (Springer, New York, 1979).
- [26] M. Weigt, 'Derivations of  $\tau$ -measurable operators', in: *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 195 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009), 273–286.
- [27] M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, 'A note on derivations of pro- $C^*$ -algebras into complete locally convex bimodules', *New Zealand J. Math.* **41** (2011), 143–152.
- [28] M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, 'Derivations of generalized  $B^*$ -algebras', *Extracta Math.* **28** (2013), 77–94.
- [29] M. Weigt and I. Zarakas, 'Unbounded derivations of generalized  $B^*$ -algebras', in: *Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics*, T. Bhattacharyya and M. A. Dritschel (eds.), *Operator Theory: Adv. and Appl.* **47** (Springer, Basel AG, Basel, 2015), to appear.
- [30] I. Zarakas, 'Hilbert pro- $C^*$ -bimodules and applications', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **17** (2012), 289–310.

M. WEIGT, Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,  
Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Summerstrand Campus (South),  
Port Elizabeth 6031, South Africa  
e-mail: [weigt.martin@gmail.com](mailto:weigt.martin@gmail.com), [Martin.Weigt@nmmu.ac.za](mailto:Martin.Weigt@nmmu.ac.za)

I. ZARAKAS, Department of Mathematics,  
University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis,  
Athens 15784, Greece  
e-mail: [gzarak@hotmail.gr](mailto:gzarak@hotmail.gr)