

ON 3-MANIFOLDS WITH SUFFICIENTLY LARGE DECOMPOSITIONS*: CORRIGENDUM

WOLFGANG HEIL

I would like to thank G. A. Swarup for pointing out that our proof in the above paper does not yield the theorem as stated, but rather gives the following.

THEOREM. *Let M, N be compact, orientable, boundary irreducible 3-manifolds. Suppose that $\partial M, \partial N$ contain no 2-spheres. Suppose that M has sufficiently large decomposition,*

$$M \approx M_1 \# M_2 \# \dots \# M_n.$$

If there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ which respects the peripheral structure, then N has a decomposition $N \approx N_1 \# \dots \# N_n \# H$, where $N_i \approx M_i$ and H is a homotopy 3-sphere.

The original theorem follows from this only if we assume in addition that (at least) $n - 1$ of the summands of M admit orientation reversing homeomorphisms (or if $n - 1$ summands of M are non-orientable and satisfy the conditions of Remark 1).

In general the original theorem is false: Let F_1, F_2 be irreducible, closed, orientable, and sufficiently large Seifert fiber spaces such that $\pi_1(F_1) \cong \pi_1(F_2)$ and F_1, F_2 do not admit orientation reversing homeomorphisms. (F_i exists, e.g., $F_i = (0, 0; p|b_i; \alpha_{i1}, \beta_{i1}; \dots; \alpha_{ir_i}, \beta_{ir_i})$ with $p \geq 2, b_i \neq -r_i - b_i$. Then (see [2]) F_i admits no orientation reversing fiber preserving homeomorphisms and therefore, by Walhausen's result [1], no orientation reversing homeomorphisms.) Let M and N be the two possible connected sums of F_1 and F_2 . Then $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$, but $M \neq N$.

REFERENCES

1. F. Waldhausen, *Eine Klasse von 3-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten. II*, Invent. Math. 4 (1967), 87-117.
2. H. Seifert, *Topologie 3-dimensionaler gefaserner Raume*, Acta Math. 60 (1933), 147-238.

*Florida State University,
Tallahassee, Florida*

Received August 1, 1972.

*Published in Can. J. Math. 23 (1971), 746-748.