

SAMELSON PRODUCTS IN p -REGULAR $SO(2n)$ AND ITS HOMOTOPY NORMALITY

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
e-mail: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

and MITSUNOBU TSUTAYA

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan
e-mail: tsutaya@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

(Received 14 July 2015; revised 6 January 2016; accepted 2 June 2016;
 first published online 7 February 2017)

Abstract. A Lie group is called p -regular if it has the p -local homotopy type of a product of spheres. (Non)triviality of the Samelson products of the inclusions of the factor spheres into p -regular $SO(2n)_{(p)}$ is determined, which completes the list of (non)triviality of such Samelson products in p -regular simple Lie groups. As an application, we determine the homotopy normality of the inclusion $SO(2n - 1) \rightarrow SO(2n)$ in the sense of James at any prime p .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55Q15.

1. Introduction and statement of the results. Let G be a compact connected Lie group. By the classical result of Hopf, it is well known that there is a rational homotopy equivalence

$$G \simeq_{(0)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1},$$

where $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$. The sequence $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$ is called the type of G . Here is the list of the types of simple Lie groups.

SU(n)	$2, 3, \dots, n$	G ₂	$2, 6$
SO($2n + 1$)	$2, 4, \dots, 2n$	F ₄	$2, 6, 8, 12$
Sp(n)	$2, 4, \dots, 2n$	E ₆	$2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$
SO($2n$)	$2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2, n$	E ₇	$2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$
		E ₈	$2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$

Serre generalizes the above rational homotopy equivalence to a p -local homotopy equivalence such that when G is semi-simple and $G_{(p)}$ is simply connected, there is a p -local homotopy equivalence

$$G \simeq_{(p)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \tag{1}$$

if and only if $p \geq n_\ell$, in which case G is called p -regular. In this paper, we are interested in the standard multiplicative structure of the p -localization $G_{(p)}$ when G is p -regular, and then we assume that G is a simple Lie group in the above table and is p -regular

throughout this section. Recall that for a homotopy associative H-space X with inverse and maps $\alpha : A \rightarrow X, \beta : B \rightarrow X$, the correspondence

$$A \wedge B \rightarrow X, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \alpha(x)\beta(y)\alpha(x)^{-1}\beta(y)^{-1}$$

is called the Samelson product of α, β in X and is denoted by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$. One easily sees that in investigating the multiplicative structure of $G_{(p)}$, the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ play the fundamental role as in [9], where ϵ_i is the inclusion $S^{2n_i-1} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{2n_\ell-1} \simeq G_{(p)}$ into the i th factor. So, it is our task to determine (non)triviality of these Samelson products. In this direction, Bott [2] studied the order of a certain class of Samelson products in $SU(n)$ and $Sp(n)$, for example.

We here make a remark on the choice of ϵ_i which depends on the p -local homotopy equivalence (1). Recall from [14, Theorem 13.4] that

$$\pi_*(S_{(p)}^{2m-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 2m - 1 < * < 2m + 2p - 4. \tag{2}$$

Then, we see that $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module for all i , and so $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for all i and $G \neq SO(2n)$ since the entries of the type are distinct for $G \neq SO(2n)$ as in the above table. Hence, for $G \neq SO(2n)$, we may choose any generator of $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ as ϵ_i . For $G = SO(2n)$, we will make an explicit choice of ϵ_i below.

We first consider the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $G_{(p)}$ when G is the classical group except for $SO(2n)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let G be the p -regular classical group except for $SO(2n)$, and let ϵ_i be a generator of $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for the type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$ of G . Then,*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if } n_i + n_j > p.$$

Proof. If $G = SU(n), Sp(n)$, non-triviality of the Samelson products follows from the result of Bott [2] and triviality follows from the fact that $\pi_{2*}(G_{(p)}) = 0$ for $* < p$ which is deduced from (2). Since there is a homotopy equivalence as loop spaces $Sp(n)_{(p)} \simeq SO(2n + 1)_{(p)}$ due to Friedlander [3], the case of $SO(2n + 1)_{(p)}$ is the same as $Sp(n)_{(p)}$. □

We next consider the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $G_{(p)}$ when G is the exceptional Lie group. Some of these Samelson products are calculated in [5, 9], and (non)triviality of all these Samelson products is determined in [6] as follows.

THEOREM 1.2 ([6]). *Let G be a p -regular compact connected exceptional simple Lie group, and let ϵ_i be a generator of $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for the type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$ of G . Then,*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if } n_i + n_j = n_k + p - 1 \text{ for some } k.$$

Thus, the only remaining case is $SO(2n)$. The purpose of this paper is to show that a sufficient condition for non-triviality of the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $G_{(p)}$ (Lemma 2.1) used in [4–6, 10] is actually a necessary and sufficient condition, and to apply it to determination of (non)triviality of all the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $SO(2n)_{(p)}$. The difficulty of this case is caused by the middle dimensional sphere $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ in $SO(2n)_{(p)}$ which vanishes by the inclusion $SO(2n) \rightarrow SO(2n + 1)$. We choose the maps ϵ_i . Let ϵ_i

be the composite

$$S^{4i-1} \rightarrow SO(2n-1)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} SO(2n)_{(p)},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where the first arrow is a generator of $\pi_{4i-1}(SO(2n-1)_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let $\theta: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow SO(2n)_{(p)}$ be the map corresponding to the adjoint of the fibre inclusion of the canonical homotopy fibre sequence

$$S^{2n} \rightarrow BSO(2n) \rightarrow BSO(2n+1).$$

There are only two results on Samelson products in $SO(2n)$ involving θ : Mahowald [12] showed that the Samelson product $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle \in \pi_{4n-2}(SO(2n))$ has order $(2n-1)!/8$ or $(2n-1)!/4$ according as n is even or odd. Hamanaka and Kono [4] showed that the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle \in \pi_{4n-2}(SO(2n)_{(p)})$ is non-trivial when $p \leq 2n-1$. Our main result determines (non)triviality of all Samelson products of ϵ_i and θ in p -regular $SO(2n)$.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let ϵ_i, θ be the above maps into $SO(2n)_{(p)}$ for p -regular $SO(2n)$. All non-trivial Samelson products of ϵ_i, θ in $SO(2n)_{(p)}$ are*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \text{ for } 2i+2j > p \text{ and } \langle \epsilon_{n-1}, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_{n-1} \rangle, \langle \theta, \theta \rangle \text{ for } p = 2n-1.$$

Recall that an H-map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between homotopy associative H-spaces with inverse is homotopy normal in the sense of James [7] if the Samelson product $\langle f, 1_Y \rangle$ can be compressed to X through f up to homotopy. This is a generalization of the inclusion of a normal subgroup. James proved that $O(n)$ is not homotopy normal in $O(n+1)$ when $n \geq 2$ using the mod 2 cohomology. His proof implies that the 2-localization $SO(n)_{(2)}$ is not homotopy normal in $SO(n+1)_{(2)}$ when $n \geq 2$. As an application of Theorem 1.3 we will prove:

THEOREM 1.4. *The inclusion $\iota_{(p)}: SO(2n-1)_{(p)} \rightarrow SO(2n)_{(p)}$ is homotopy normal if and only if $p > 2n-1$.*

For $p > 2n-1$, we can prove the following stronger result.

THEOREM 1.5. *For $p > 2n-1$, the map $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta: SO(2n-1)_{(p)} \times S_{(p)}^{2n-1} \rightarrow SO(2n)_{(p)}$ is an H-equivalence, where $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ is a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H-space.*

Note that we do not need to assume that $SO(2n-1)$ is p -regular in the last two theorems.

2. Detecting Samelson products by the Steenrod operations. Let G be a p -torsion free connected finite loop space of type $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_\ell$ throughout this section where the type of a finite loop space is similarly defined. We set notation for G . Since G is p -torsion free, we have

$$H^*(BG_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[x_1, \dots, x_\ell], \quad |x_i| = 2n_i.$$

We fix this presentation of the mod p co-homology of $BG_{(p)}$. Note that

$$H^*(G_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \Lambda(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$$

for the suspension e_i of x_i . For each i , we take a non-trivial element $\epsilon_i \in \pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)})$ which is not divisible by non-units in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ such that

$$(\Sigma \epsilon_i)^* \circ \iota_1^*(x_j) = \begin{cases} h_i \Sigma u_{2n_i-1} & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

for some $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, where $\iota_1: \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ is the canonical map and u_k is a generator of $H^k(S^k; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We note that $G_{(p)}$ is a product of spheres if and only if h_1, \dots, h_ℓ are units. The following lemma is first used in [10] and is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.2 given in [6]. Here, we reproduce the proof for completeness of the present paper.

LEMMA 2.1 ([10, Proof of Theorem 1.1]). *Suppose that h_i and h_j are units in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. If $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ is decomposable and includes the term $cx_i x_j$ ($c \neq 0$), the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. Suppose $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = 0$ under the assumption that $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ includes the term $cx_i x_j$ ($c \neq 0$). Let $\bar{\epsilon}_m: S^{2n_m} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ be the adjoint of ϵ_m . Then, by (3), we have $\bar{\epsilon}_m^*(x_m) = h_m u_{2n_m}$. By adjointness of Samelson products and Whitehead products, the Whitehead product $[\bar{\epsilon}_i, \bar{\epsilon}_j]$ in $BG_{(p)}$ is trivial, and then there is a map $\mu: S^{2n_i} \times S^{2n_j} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ satisfying $\mu|_{S^{2n_i} \vee S^{2n_j}} = \bar{\epsilon}_i \vee \bar{\epsilon}_j$. So we get $\mu^*(x_i) = h_i(u_{2n_i} \otimes 1)$ and $\mu^*(x_j) = h_j(1 \otimes u_{2n_j})$, and hence

$$ch_i h_j u_{2n_i} \otimes u_{2n_j} = \mu^*(cx_i x_j) = \mu^*(\mathcal{P}^1 x_k) = \mathcal{P}^1 \mu^*(x_k) = 0,$$

where the second and the last equality follows from the decomposability of $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ and triviality of \mathcal{P}^1 on $H^*(S^{2n_i} \times S^{2n_j}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, respectively. This is a contradiction to $ch_i h_j \neq 0$. □

In this lemma, the assumption on the decomposability of $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ cannot be removed. Here is a counterexample.

EXAMPLE 2.2. We consider $SU(4)$ at the prime 3. Recall that $H^*(BSU(4); \mathbb{Z}/3) = \mathbb{Z}/3[c_2, c_3, c_4]$, where c_i denotes the i th universal Chern class. By inspection, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^1 c_2 = c_2^2 + c_4.$$

For a degree reason, the inclusion $\epsilon_1: S^3 = SU(2) \rightarrow SU(4)$ satisfies $(\Sigma \epsilon_1)^* \circ \iota_1^*(c_2) = \Sigma u_3$ as in (3), but the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1 \rangle$ is trivial since $SU(2)$ commutes up to homotopy with itself in $SU(4)$.

We elaborate Lemma 2.1 to prove that its converse is true when $G_{(p)}$ is a product of spheres. The following lemma is useful to detect the non-triviality of a Samelson product when $G_{(p)}$ (not necessarily p -regular) is decomposed into a product of a sphere and some space. The proof is independent of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.3. *For integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \ell$, suppose that there is a map $\pi_k: G_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_k-1}$ such that $\pi_k^*(u_{2n_k-1}) = e_k$, h_i and h_j are units in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and $n_i + n_j = n_k + p - 1$. Then, $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ includes the term $cx_i x_j$ with $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. We prove both implications simultaneously. We may suppose that $h_i = h_j = h_k = 1$. Let $P^2G_{(p)}$ be the projective plane of $G_{(p)}$, i.e. there is a cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{H} \Sigma G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\rho_1} P^2G_{(p)}, \tag{4}$$

where H is the Hopf construction. By [11, Section 4], the canonical map $\iota_1 : \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG$ extends to a map $\iota_2 : P^2G \rightarrow BG$, i.e. $\iota_2 \circ \rho_1 = \iota_1$. Put $\bar{x}_i = \iota_2^*(x_i)$. Then, we have $\rho_1^*(\bar{x}_i) = \Sigma e_i$. By [11, Section 3], we also have $\delta_1^*(\Sigma^2 e_i \otimes e_j) = \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j$ for the connecting map $\delta_1 : P^2G_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma^2 G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)}$ of the cofibre sequence (4). Consider the map

$$\Phi = \Sigma \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle - [\Sigma \epsilon_i, \Sigma \epsilon_j] : \Sigma S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow \Sigma G_{(p)},$$

where $[-, -]$ denotes the Whitehead product. Note that Φ induces a trivial map on mod p cohomology since $H^*(G_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is primitively generated and the Whitehead product becomes trivial after suspending. The map Φ is connected with the Hopf construction H through the map constructed by Morisugi [13, Theorem 5.1] such that there is a map $\xi : S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)}$ satisfying

$$\Phi = H \circ \Sigma \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^*(e_s \otimes e_t) = \begin{cases} u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1} & (s, t) = (i, j), (j, i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we get a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & C_\Phi & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \lambda_1 & & \downarrow \Sigma^2 \xi \\ \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & P^2G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \Sigma^2 G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)} \end{array}$$

whose rows are homotopy cofibrations, implying that

$$\rho_2^* \circ \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k) = \Sigma e_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_s \bar{x}_t) = \begin{cases} \delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}) & (s, t) = (i, j), (j, i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1})$ is non-trivial element since Φ is trivial on mod p cohomology. We have

$$\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = c\alpha_1 \quad (c \in \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

where α_1 is a generator of $\pi_{2n_k+2p-4}(S^{2n_k-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ [14, Proposition 13.6]. Note that $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ is nontrivial if and only if $c \neq 0$. Then, for the map

$$\widehat{\Phi} = c\Sigma\alpha_1 - [\Sigma\pi_k \circ \epsilon_i, \Sigma\pi_k \circ \epsilon_j] : \Sigma S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow \Sigma S_{(p)}^{2n_k-1},$$

there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & C_\Phi & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \\ \downarrow \Sigma\pi_k & & \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \parallel \\ \Sigma S_{(p)}^{2n_k-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho_3} & C_{\widehat{\Phi}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \end{array}$$

whose rows are homotopy cofibrations. Since α_1 is detected by the Steenrod operation \mathcal{P}^1 and $\Sigma\hat{\Phi} = c\Sigma^2\alpha_1$, the mod p cohomology of $C_{\hat{\Phi}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{H}^*(C_{\hat{\Phi}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \langle a_{2n_k}, a_{2n_i+2n_j} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}^1 a_{2n_k} = ca_{2n_i+2n_j}$$

such that $\delta_3^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}) = a_{2n_i+2n_j}$ and $\rho_3^*(a_{2n_k}) = \Sigma u_{2n_k-1}$. Then, by (5), we get $\rho_2^* \circ \lambda_2^*(a_{2n_k}) = \Sigma e_k = \rho_2^* \circ \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k)$. By the homotopy cofibre sequence $\Sigma G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\rho_2} C_{\hat{\Phi}} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1}$, one can see that the inclusion $\rho_2: \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow C_{\hat{\Phi}}$ is injective in the mod p cohomology of dimension $2n_k$, and then we obtain $\lambda_2^*(a_{2n_k}) = \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k)$. Now consider an element $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ in $H^*(BG_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, which is expressed as a polynomial of x_1, \dots, x_ℓ . Denote the coefficient of the term $x_i x_j$ in $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ by d . Then, we have

$$\lambda_1^*(\mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_k) = d\delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}) + \text{a linear combination of } \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_1), \dots, \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_\ell)$$

by (5). On the other hand, we also have

$$\lambda_1^*(\mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_k) = \mathcal{P}^1 \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k) = \mathcal{P}^1 \lambda_2^*(a_{2n_k}) = c\delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}).$$

Since $\delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1})$ is non-trivial and is not contained in the span of $\lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_1), \dots, \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_\ell)$, we have $c = d$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ must include the term $cx_i x_j$. Therefore, we have established the lemma. \square

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose $p \geq n_\ell - n_1 + 2$. Then, the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $G_{(p)}$ is non-trivial if and only if for some k , $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ includes the term $cx_i x_j$ with $c \neq 0$.*

Proof. By the result of Kumpel [8], we can choose each ϵ_i such as $h_i = 1$. Then, the composite

$$S^{2n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1 \times \dots \times \epsilon_\ell} G_{(p)} \times \dots \times G_{(p)} \rightarrow G_{(p)}$$

induces a p -local homotopy equivalence where the second map is the multiplication, and we identify $G_{(p)}$ with $S_{(p)}^{2n_1-1} \times \dots \times S_{(p)}^{2n_\ell-1}$ by this p -local homotopy equivalence. Under this assumption, h_i is a unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for any i . By this decomposition, we can find a projection $\pi_k: G_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_i-1}$ such that $\pi_k^* u_{2n_i-1} = e_i$ for each i . By Lemma 2.3, if $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ includes the term $cx_i x_j$ with $c \neq 0$, then the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ in $G_{(p)}$ is non-trivial. As in [9], if $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ is non-trivial, then for some $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ we have $n_k + p - 1 = n_i + n_j$ and $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ is non-trivial. Again by Lemma 2.3, this implies that $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$ includes the term $cx_i x_j$ with $c \neq 0$. \square

3. Proofs of the results. Let p be an odd prime and $p_i, e_n \in H^*(BSO(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ be the mod p reduction of the i th universal Pontrjagin class for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and the Euler class, respectively. Then,

$$H^*(BSO(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, e_n]$$

and the maps ϵ_i and θ correspond to p_i and e_n , respectively, in the sense of (3). In particular, we take ϵ_i so that $h_i = 1$ for $i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ and θ so that $(\Sigma\theta)^* \circ \iota_1^*(e_n) = \Sigma u_{2n-1}$ and $(\Sigma\theta)^* \circ \iota_1^*(p_i) = 0$ for any i .

LEMMA 3.1. *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *The element $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$ does not include the quadratic term $ce_n p_j$ ($c \neq 0$) for any i and j .*
- (2) *If $p = 2n - 1$, the element $\mathcal{P}^1 p_1$ is decomposable and includes the term $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e_n^2$.*

Proof. Since $p_i \in H^*(\text{BSO}(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is contained in the image from $H^*(\text{BSO}(2n+1)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, if a quadratic term of $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$ includes e_n , it must be a multiple of e_n^2 and $i = n - \frac{p-1}{2} \geq 1$. Thus, the first statement holds. Recall that for a maximal torus T of $\text{SO}(2n)$ and the natural map $\iota: BT_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{BSO}(2n)_{(p)}$, we have

$$H^*(BT_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[t_1, \dots, t_n], \quad |t_i| = 2$$

such that $\iota^*(p_i)$ is the i th elementary symmetric polynomial in t_1^2, \dots, t_n^2 and $\iota^*(e_n) = t_1 \cdots t_n$. In particular, ι is injective in the mod p cohomology. Suppose $p = 2n - 1$. We have

$$\iota^*(\mathcal{P}^1 p_1) = \mathcal{P}^1(t_1^2 + \dots + t_n^2) = 2((t_1^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \dots + (t_n^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}).$$

Then, we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}^1 p_1 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e_n^2 \pmod{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})^2}$$

by the Newton formula. Therefore, the second statement holds. □

LEMMA 3.2. *The element $\mathcal{P}^1 e_n$ is decomposable and the following congruence hold:*

$$\mathcal{P}^1 e_n \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{p-1}{2} e_n p^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})^2}.$$

Proof. We set $\iota: BT_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{BSO}(2n)_{(p)}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\iota^*(\mathcal{P}^1 e_n) = \mathcal{P}^1 \iota^*(e_n) = \mathcal{P}^1(t_1 \cdots t_n) = t_1 \cdots t_n((t_1^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \dots + (t_n^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}).$$

Then, the proof is completed by the Newton formula. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Assume $p > 2n - 2$. Since the inclusion $\text{SO}(2n - 1)_{(p)} \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$ has a left homotopy inverse, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$ is non-trivial if and only if $2i + 2j > p$. To detect the Samelson products $\langle \epsilon_i, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_i \rangle$ and $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle$ by Theorem 2.4, we need the information about the quadratic terms of $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$ and $\mathcal{P}^1 e_n$ including e_n . Now these informations have already been obtained in Lemma 3.1 and 3.2. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed. □

Lemma 3.2 implies non-triviality of the Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle$ not only when $\text{SO}(2n)$ is p -regular but also when $\text{SO}(2n)$ is not p -regular as follows.

COROLLARY 3.3. *The Samelson product $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \rangle$ in $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(\text{SO}(2n)_{(p)})$ is non-trivial for any odd prime p . More precisely, the image of $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle$ under the homomorphism induced by the projection $\text{SO}(2n)_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ generates $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$.*

Proof. Note that, for the projection $\pi: \text{SO}(2n)_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$, we have $(\Sigma\pi)^* \Sigma u_{2n-1} = \iota_1^*(e_n)$. Then, the corollary follows from Lemma 2.3 and 3.2. □

We next prove Theorem 1.4. Let X be a homotopy associative H-space with inverse. For maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: B \rightarrow X$, let $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ denote the composite

$$A \times B \xrightarrow{\alpha \times \beta} X \times X \rightarrow X,$$

where the last arrow is the commutator map. Then, for the projection $q: A \times B \rightarrow A \wedge B$, we have $q^*(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and the induced map $q^*: [A \wedge B, X] \rightarrow [A \times B, X]$ is injective. In particular, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is trivial if and only if so is $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

LEMMA 3.4 (cf. [9, Proposition 1]). *For maps $\varphi_i: A_i \rightarrow X$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\beta: B \rightarrow X$, if $\{\varphi_2, \beta\}$ is trivial, then*

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \beta\} = \{\varphi_1, \beta\} \circ \rho_2,$$

where $\rho_2: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow A_1 \times B$ denotes the projection.

Proof. In the group of the homotopy set $[A_1 \times A_2 \times B, X]$, we have

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \beta\} = [(\varphi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\varphi_2 \circ \pi_2), \beta \circ \pi],$$

where $\pi_i: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\pi: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow B$ denote the projections and $[-, -]$ means the commutator. In a group G , we have

$$[xy, z] = x[y, z]x^{-1}[x, z],$$

for $x, y, z \in G$. Then, the proof is completed by $[\varphi_1 \circ \pi_1, \beta \circ \pi] = \{\varphi_1, \beta\} \circ \rho_2$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Let $\iota: \text{SO}(2n - 1) \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n)$ denote the inclusion and $\pi: \text{SO}(2n) \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$ the projection. For $p = 2$ and $n \geq 2$, as remarked in Section 1, the 2-localization $\iota_{(2)}: \text{SO}(2n - 1)_{(2)} \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n)_{(2)}$ is not homotopy normal by the argument by James [7, Proof of Theorem (3.1)].

If $2 < p \leq 2n - 1$, then the Samelson product

$$\pi_{(p)} \circ \langle \iota_{(p)}, 1_{\text{SO}(2n)_{(p)}} \rangle \circ (\epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \wedge \theta) = \pi_{(p)} \circ (\epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta)$$

is non-trivial in $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1})$ by Corollary 3.3. This implies that $\iota_{(p)}$ is not homotopy normal.

Suppose $p > 2n - 1$. Note that the identity map of $\text{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$ is identified with the map $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta: \text{SO}(2n - 1)_{(p)} \times S_{(p)}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\iota_{(p)}$ is homotopy normal if the Samelson product $\langle \iota_{(p)}, \theta \rangle$ is trivial. Note also that $\iota_{(p)}$ is identified with the map $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1}: S_{(p)}^3 \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{4n-5} \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n - 1)_{(p)}$. Then, it is sufficient to show that $\langle \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1}, \theta \rangle$ is trivial. By Lemma 3.4, this is equivalent to that $\langle \epsilon_i, \theta \rangle$ are trivial for all i . Thus, $\iota_{(p)}$ is homotopy normal by Theorem 1.3. □

We finally prove Theorem 1.5. Let X, Y be homotopy associative H-spaces with inverse. Recall that the H-deviation $d(f)$ of a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$d(f): X \wedge X \rightarrow Y, \quad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto f(x_1 x_2) f(x_2)^{-1} f(x_1)^{-1}.$$

By definition, f is an H-map if and only if the H-deviation $d(f)$ is trivial.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let X_1, X_2, Y be homotopy associative H -spaces with inverse, and $\lambda_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$ be H -maps for $i = 1, 2$. Then, the map $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y$ is an H -map if and only if the Samelson product $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ is trivial.*

Proof. For $x_i, x'_i \in X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)(x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2) &\simeq \lambda_1(x_1 x'_1) \lambda_2(x_2 x'_2) \lambda_2(x'_2)^{-1} \lambda_1(x'_1)^{-1} \lambda_2(x_2)^{-1} \lambda_1(x_1)^{-1} \\ &\simeq \lambda_1(x_1) (\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle (x'_1, x_2)) \lambda_1(x_1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

since λ_1, λ_2 are H -maps. Then, since λ_1 is an H -map, $d(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$ is trivial if and only if so is $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$, completing the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Obviously, the map $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta$ is a homotopy equivalence, so it remains to show that it is an H -map. By definition, we have $d(\theta) \in \pi_{4n-2}(SO(2n)_{(p)})$, and then by [14, Proposition 13.6] and $p > 2n - 1$, $d(\theta)$ is trivial, implying that θ is an H -map. The inclusion $\iota_{(p)}$ is clearly an H -map, and in the proof of Theorem 1.4 the Samelson product $\langle \iota_{(p)}, \theta \rangle$ is shown to be trivial for $p > 2n - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 3.5, $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta$ is an H -map. Note that we have not fixed an H -structure of $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$. There is a one to one correspondence between H -structures on $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ and $\pi_{4n-2}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1})$. By [14, Proposition 13.6] and $p > 2n - 1$, $\pi_{4n-2}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1}) = 0$, so there is only one H -structure on $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$. By [1], $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ has a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H -structure. Then, $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ must be a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H -space. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. D.K. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No. 25400087).

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, The sphere, considered as an H -space mod p , *Quart. J. Math.* **12** (1961), 52–60.
2. R. Bott, A note on the Samelson products in the classical groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **34** (1960), 249–256.
3. E. M. Friedlander, Exceptional isogenies and the classifying spaces of simple Lie groups, *Ann. Math.* **101** (1975), 510–520.
4. H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, A note on the Samelson products in $\pi_*(SO(2n))$ and the group $[SO(2n), SO(2n)]$, *Topology Appl.* **154**(3) (2007), 567–572.
5. H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, A note on Samelson products and mod p cohomology of classifying spaces of the exceptional Lie groups, *Topology Appl.* **157**(2) (2010), 393–400.
6. S. Hasui, D. Kishimoto and A. Ohsita, Samelson products in p -regular exceptional Lie groups, *Topology Appl.* **178**(1) (2014), 17–29.
7. I. M. James, On homotopy theory of classical groups, *Ann. Acad. Brasil. Cienc.* **39** (1967), 39–44.
8. P. G. Kumpel, Mod p -equivalences of mod p H -spaces, *Quart. J. Math.* **23** (1972), 173–178.
9. S. Kaji and D. Kishimoto, Homotopy nilpotency in p -regular loop spaces, *Math. Z.* **264**(1) (2010), 209–224.
10. A. Kono and H. Ōshima, Commutativity of the group of self homotopy classes of Lie groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004), 37–52.
11. J. Lin, H -spaces with finiteness conditions, in *Handbook of algebraic topology* (James I. M., Editors) (Elsevier, North-Holland, 1995), 1095–1141, Chapter 22.
12. M. Mahowald, A Samelson product in $SO(2n)$, *Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana* **10** (1965), 80–83.

13. K. Morisugi, Hopf construction, Samelson products and suspension maps, *Contemporary Math.* **239** (1999), 225–238.

14. H. Toda, *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 49 (Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J., 1962).