

week. Dr. McBryde suggested that effective control might mean releasing only sufficient money as "pocket money" leaving all legitimate bills to be paid by a Receiver.'

The Chief Clerk replied:

'When an originating application, accompanied by medical evidence of incapacity, is issued, it normally asks for the appointment of a Receiver, and such an order is usually made. The Receiver, when appointed, is authorized to receive all dividends, interest and income (including Social Security benefits, if any), and there follow maintenance directions appropriate to the particular circumstances of that case, e.g. allowing the patient's net income for his maintenance. It is then for the Receiver to make suitable arrangements for the patient's maintenance within the directions given. The Court itself does not receive income or capital. In the case of capital, express directions are, if necessary, given to the Receiver from time to time for dealing with any assets which require to be dealt with.

'If then a Receiver is appointed, he can normally prevent a patient from having access to large sums of money, and in many instances he can exercise a very tight control. There are cases where a Receiver does in fact do this. You will appreciate that the extent of the control depends on where the patient is living and what arrangements can be made in the circumstances of that case, and that it may be difficult for the Receiver to counter all the subterfuges to which an alcoholic may resort to obtain drink. However, the appointment of a Receiver, after medical evidence has been produced to the effect that the alcoholic is through mental disorder incapable of managing his affairs, is frequently found to be an effective method of restricting the patient's consumption of alcohol.'

This is of course a controversial subject: many psychiatrists will not use the Mental Health Act for detaining an alcoholic unless he has a separate, fairly identifiable disease, like manic depression, as well. I take the view that, provided the alcoholic by virtue of alcoholism or other illness is clearly mentally ill, the Mental Health Act should be used in his interests. My justification has been the views of the alcoholic when he regains his liberty: to date the 6 patients I have been instrumental in detaining have not subsequently felt that I acted incorrectly. Two of them were most grateful.

I. G. THOMSON.

*Whitcroft Hospital,
Newport,
Isle of Wight.*

STUDENT HEALTH SERVICES

DEAR SIR,

In his rejoinder to Dr. John Payne's letter (*Journal*, September 1974, 125, 330-331), Dr. Myre Sim's confusion of 'psychotherapy' with 'medicine' leads his argument to a biased and misleading conclusion. Anyone who has read a general textbook on community psychiatry would appreciate the importance of the support given to the patients by 'psychologists, social workers, welfare officers etc.'. Without their assistance, one would seriously doubt whether the psychiatrist alone could deliver care effectively and extensively.

Secondly, Dr. Sim questions the psychiatrist's participation in the training of lay therapists because once 'trained', 'one has precious little control over them'. His fear gives us an impression that he is advocating a secret cult which most forward-looking professions would avoid adopting. Medically qualified practitioners have been involved in the training of speech therapists, occupational therapists, physiotherapists etc., and *vice versa* (I deliberately choose these paramedical professions called 'therapists', for illustration). I find it difficult to accept Dr. Sim's singular exclusion of psychotherapists. In my opinion, it is only through joint consultation between professions that control could be judicially exercised. It is for this purpose that the Trethowan Committee was set up.

Thirdly, as regards the recent psychopharmacological advances, non-medically qualified pharmacologists, biochemists etc., have made an equally substantial contribution, although their involvement in the treatment of patients is indirect.

Lastly, Dr. Sim has rightly pointed out that lay therapists are created out of the public's demand. Does he imply that the psychiatric profession has failed the public, who therefore have to look elsewhere to seek consultations? If that is unfortunately the case, are these lay therapists fulfilling a role complementary to that of the psychiatrist? Perhaps, Dr. Sim, or other members of the psychiatric profession should examine the *modus operandi* of their profession in order to make a valid diagnosis and treat the disorder accordingly.

FRANKIE LEUNG.

*Keble College,
Oxford, OX1 3PG.*

DEAR SIR,

Mr. Leung's letter illustrates some of the difficulties facing the layman in his appreciation of psychiatry as a branch of medicine. The professions of speech

therapy, physiotherapy and occupational therapy have a long tradition in their association with the practice of medicine. They all have standards of training and a code of ethics which is acceptable to the medical profession and which permits them to function within a medical milieu to the advantage of patients.

As with any branch of medical practice, their functions are under constant scrutiny, not only in their ethical and professional aspects, but in their efficacy. In pharmacotherapy, there are rigid standards for clinical trials, and even when a 'break-through' in treatment is apparent, such as the use of lithium salts in manic-depressive psychosis, a report on its prophylactic value by Baastrup *et al.* (1967), which many would have regarded as reasonable, was severely attacked by Blackwell and Shepherd (1968) and Lader (1968) mainly on the methodology of the trial. The original authors came back with a more rigorous trial (Baastrup *et al.*, 1970; Angst *et al.*, 1970) and vindicated their earlier claims.

Psychotherapy, which can mean anything from a friendly chat to a full-scale analysis, is also under scrutiny, and the recent work by Candy *et al.* (1972) and Cawley *et al.* (1973), while not proving its ineffectiveness, has cast doubts on a generally held belief that there are very large numbers of people who would benefit from psychotherapy but do not receive it. These authors' studies would suggest that, on the contrary, there are many people receiving psychotherapy who do not require it. As I have said in my previous letter, medicine does not accept the *status quo*, but questions, modifies and discards even the most sacred cults.

I do not accept that what the public wants must necessarily be for the public good. There is too much evidence to the contrary; alcohol, drugs, gambling and promiscuity are obvious examples. Medicine does not cater for public demand; it is frequently its duty to resist such demands.

One last point. I agree that at present there are a host of agencies which are used in community psychiatry, but the fact that they are used does not necessarily mean that they are essential or even of value. More and more psychiatrists support the view that the community nurse model is more relevant to the present needs of the patient in the community than the existing models. One day there will be a reckoning; the medical practitioner, if he is true to his profession, will anticipate that day.

MYRE SIM.

*The Queen Elizabeth Hospital,
Queen Elizabeth Medical Centre,
Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TH.*

REFERENCES

- ANGST, J., WEIS, P., GROF, P., BAASTRUP, P. C. & SCHOU, M. (1970) Lithium prophylaxis in recurring affective disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **116**, 604-14.
- BAASTRUP, P. C. & SCHOU, M. (1967) Lithium as a prophylactic agent; its effect against recurrent depression and manic depressive psychosis. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **16**, 162-72.
- BLACKWELL, B. & SHEPHERD, M. (1968) Prophylactic lithium; another therapeutic myth? An examination of the evidence to date. *Lancet*, **i**, 968-71.
- CANDY, J., BALFOOR, H. G., CAWLEY, R. H., HILDEBAND, H. P., MALAN, D. H., MARKS, I. M. & WILSON, J. (1972) A feasibility study for a controlled trial of formal psychotherapy. *Lancet*, **i**, 968-71.
- CAWLEY, R., CANDY, J., MALAN, D. & MARKS, I. (1973) Dynamic psychotherapy: can it be evaluated? *Psychological Medicine*, **2**, 345-62.
- LADER, M. H. (1968) Prophylactic lithium? *Lancet*, **ii**, 103.

BURDEN RESEARCH MEDAL AND PRIZE

DEAR SIR,

Entry for the Burden Research Medal and Prize is open to all registered medical practitioners who are working in the field of mental subnormality in the United Kingdom or Republic of Ireland.

The award for 1975, total value £250, may be presented at Stoke Park Hospital on or about 1 April 1975, for outstanding research work which has been published, accepted for publication or presented as a paper to a learned society during the three-year period ending 31 December 1974.

Five copies of the paper or papers, with application form, should be submitted to the Secretary of the Burden Trust by 10 January 1975.

Further information and application forms are available from the Secretary, Burden Trust, 16 Orchard Street, Bristol, BS1 5EA.

W. A. HEATON-WARD.

A COMPILATION OF PAPERS FOR THE USE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS OF PSYCHIATRY

DEAR SIR,

The Clinical Tutors' Sub-Committee, through the courtesy of John Wyeth and Brother, has prepared a fourth printing of the compilation of selected papers in psychiatry for postgraduate students, the previous printings now being exhausted. A limited number are available; those wanting copies should write to John Wyeth and Brother Ltd., Huntercombe Lane South, Taplow, Maidenhead, Berks.

BRIAN BARRACLOUGH.
BERNARD HEINE.
ANDREW SMITH.