

Use of the Dietary Guideline Index to assess cardiometabolic risk in adolescents

Wendy L. Chan She Ping-Delfos^{1,2*}, Lawrence J. Beilin¹, Wendy H. Oddy², Sally Burrows¹ and Trevor A. Mori¹

¹School of Medicine and Pharmacology, Royal Perth Hospital Unit, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, 6000, Australia

²Telethon Kids Institute, The University of Western Australia, PO Box 85, West Perth, WA 6872, Australia

(Submitted 7 January 2014 – Final revision received 31 January 2015 – Accepted 4 March 2015 – First published online 15 April 2015)

Abstract

The long-term adherence to the dietary guidelines has not been evaluated against emergence of cardiometabolic risks in adolescents with increasing rates of obesity. The present study aimed to (1) determine the level of adherence to the guidelines using the Australian Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) in adolescents of age 14 and 17 years and to (2) examine the relationship between their assessed diet quality and concurrently measured cardiometabolic risk factors over time. Data were analysed from the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study. The DGI-CA was determined from a FFQ. Anthropometry and fasting biochemical measures were taken using standard procedures. Hierarchical linear mixed models examined associations between cardiometabolic risk factors and DGI-CA, adjusting for socio-economic status, physical activity, BMI, and sex, and examining for interactions. The mean DGI-CA scores were 47.1 (SD 10.2) at 14 years (*n* 1419) and 47.7 (SD 11.0) at 17 years (*n* 843), and were not different between sex. There was a significant inverse association between DGI-CA and insulin, homeostasis model assessment score and heart rate. The DGI-CA was positively associated with BMI ($P=0.029$) but negatively with waist:hip ratio ($P=0.026$). It was not associated with lipids or blood pressure, with the exception of a negative association with TAG ($P=0.011$). The degree of adherence in the Raine Study adolescents was suboptimal but similar to the Australian Children's Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey. The present study shows that, at any particular time, better diet quality was associated with better insulin sensitivity and TAG levels and decreased abdominal fatness.

Key words: Raine Study; Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents; Cardiometabolic risk; Adolescents

Risk factors compromised at birth and in early childhood may exacerbate the progression of CVD in adulthood. A high birth weight with exposure to maternal obesity and/or diabetes has been strongly correlated with increased risks of developing chronic diseases later in life^(1–4). Similarly, rapid weight gain before 6 months of age has been associated with obesity in adolescence⁽¹⁾. In the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study, a birth cohort study, we showed the detrimental effects of accelerated adiposity gain and sustained high adiposity levels from birth to age 14 years on insulin levels and homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) for insulin resistance at 14 years⁽⁵⁾. Furthermore, prenatal, postnatal and early childhood environment influences cardiovascular risk factors at a later age⁽⁶⁾.

Nutrition during early childhood and adolescence plays a crucial role in the development of CVD in later life.

Late adolescence, in particular, is a period of transition from established to self-dependent food and physical activity choices. Prolonged periods of skipping breakfast⁽⁷⁾ or increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages⁽⁸⁾ during childhood have been associated with an increased waist circumference. The rapid rise in the prevalence and magnitude of obesity in children results in earlier impaired glucose metabolism, high blood pressure and TAG levels, and reduced HDL-cholesterol in pre-pubertal and pubertal children⁽⁹⁾, a cluster of risk factors termed the metabolic syndrome. In the Raine Study, a higher Western dietary pattern at the age of 14 years has been associated with greater odds of adolescents being in a 'high-risk metabolic cluster'⁽¹⁰⁾. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure are inversely related to intakes of polyunsaturated *n*-3, *n*-6 and long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids⁽¹¹⁾.

Abbreviations: DGI, Dietary Guideline Index; DGI-CA, Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; HR, heart rate; IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage.

* **Corresponding author:** Dr W. L. Chan She Ping-Delfos, fax: +61 8 9224 0246, email wendy.chansheping-delfos@uwa.edu.au

Although derived dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology have been used to explore the potential relationships with chronic disease^(10,12–14), they give no indication as to whether the prescribed dietary guidelines are achieved. In this regard, the Dietary Guideline Index (DGI) established to reflect the level of adherence to the Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults has been validated using nutrient intakes estimated from 24 h recalls of the latest (1995) National Nutrition Survey⁽¹⁵⁾. The DGI uses indicators based on each dietary guideline with cut-off values and food groupings guided by the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating. Golley *et al.*⁽¹⁶⁾ established the Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) using the 2003 Australian Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents and the DGI developed by McNaughton *et al.*⁽¹⁵⁾. The DGI-CA has been validated using the 2007 Australian National Children's Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey and comprises eleven indicators, as opposed to the DGI with fifteen indicators. It excludes alcohol and lean meat, and combines salt and sugars. Both the DGI and the DGI-CA correlate with lower energy intake, lower fat intake and energy density^(15,16).

The aim of the present study was to employ the DGI-CA to assess the degree of adherence to the Dietary Guidelines for Australian Children and Adolescents in 14- and 17-year adolescents in the Raine Study and to examine its relationship with cardiometabolic risk factors over time.

Methods

Study participants

Between 1989 and 1991, 2900 pregnant women (16 and 20 weeks of gestation) were recruited serially to the Raine Study at the King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and nearby private practices in Perth and gave birth to 2868 live babies. Full details of the Raine Study have been published elsewhere⁽¹⁷⁾. Briefly, children were followed at regular intervals, and a range of information was collected at each follow-up, including sociodemographic characteristics, cardiometabolic parameters and physical assessments. Dietary information during adolescence was collected at the age of 14 and 17 years. Details of the contact maintained with the cohort at each follow-up have been previously published⁽¹⁸⁾. Socially disadvantaged families made up a larger proportion of the original cohort; however, these families were less likely to remain in the study beyond year 3 of the follow-ups. Consequently, those remaining were more representative of the general Western Australian population⁽¹⁸⁾. The 14- and 17-year cohort follow-ups were attended by 1860 and 1754 participants, respectively. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the human research ethics committees of King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and the Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Perth, Western Australia. Written informed consent was obtained from the mother or legal guardian at the 14-year and 17-year follow-ups, as well as from the adolescent at the 17-year follow-up.

Dietary assessment

All participating adolescents were sent a semi-quantitative, 212-item FFQ (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), Adelaide, SA, Australia)⁽¹⁹⁾. The FFQ was completed at the same visit when cardiometabolic risk factors were measured and consisted of individual foods, as well as mixed dishes and beverages, and was designed to assess usual dietary intake over the previous year. The CSIRO FFQ has been validated in adults⁽²⁰⁾, and its reliability has been tested in this cohort⁽²¹⁾. At the 14-year follow-up, the primary caregiver was asked to complete the FFQ along with the study participant due to the difficulty associated in recollecting the frequency of food consumption and the increased likelihood of food choices being made by the primary caregiver. At the 17-year follow-up, only the study participants were asked to complete the FFQ, but were free to consult their parents if needed. All FFQ were checked by a research nurse to clarify missing responses. Data entry, data verification and the estimation of average daily food and nutrient intakes were carried out by the CSIRO. FoodWorks[®] Professional version 7 (Xyris Software) was used to convert grams of food consumed into standard serves.

Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents

The DGI-CA comprises eleven indicators (Table 1) with a maximum score indicating the optimal intake or dietary recommendations being met. Of the eleven indicators, six are scored on a scale of 0–10; dairy and cereal are further divided into two categories, one indicating frequency and the other quality of the respective food consumed. Each of the sub-categories is scored on a scale of 0–5. The dietary guidelines for children and adolescents state that care should be taken to: (1) limit saturated fat and moderate total fat intake with low-fat diets being unsuitable for infants; (2) choose foods low in salt; (3) consume only moderate amount of sugars and foods containing added sugars. In the DGI-CA, these three guidelines have been combined into an 'extra foods' indicator and were given a scale of 0–20. Alcohol consumption is not recommended below the age of 18 years, and was, thus, not included as an indicator in the DGI-CA. Intermediate scores were proportional on a continuous scale, in line with the dietary guidelines for the age groups of interest⁽²²⁾, and a total DGI-CA score closer to the maximum of 100 reflects better compliance to the dietary guidelines.

Biochemical and clinical measures

At each follow-up, weight and height were measured using standard calibrated equipment to the nearest 100 g and 0.1 cm, respectively. BMI was calculated as weight divided by the square of height (kg/m). Waist measurements were taken at the level of the umbilicus to the nearest 0.1 cm until two readings were within a centimetre of each other. Hip girth measurement was taken over the widest part of the buttocks and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm.

Table 1. Indicators of the Dietary Guideline Index (DGI) and Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA)

Dietary guideline	DGI		DGI-CA			
	Description and indicators	Max score	Description and indicators	Max score	Criteria at 14 and 17 years*	
					Max score	Min score
Enjoy a wide variety of nutritious foods	Proportion of foods for each core food group consumed at least once per week	10	Sum of food types within core food groups over 2 d (variety score)	10	100 %	0 %
Eat plenty of vegetables, legumes and fruits	Serves of fruit per day	10	Serves of fruit per day (max 125 ml of 100 % fruit juice; fruit score)	10	≥ 3	0
	Serves of vegetables and legumes per day	10	Serves of vegetables and legumes per day (vegetable score)	10	≥ 4	0
Eat plenty of cereals, preferably wholegrain	Serves of breads and cereals per day	10	Servings of breads and cereals per day (cereal score)	5	≥ 5	0
	Proportion of wholemeal/wholegrain bread relative to total amount consumed	10	Proportion of wholegrain bread relative to total amount consumed (cereal quality score)	5	100 %	0 %
Include lean meat, fish, poultry and/or alternatives	Serves of lean meat and alternatives per day	10	Serves of meat and alternatives per day (excluding processed meat; meat score)	10	≥ 1	0
	Proportion of lean meat and alternative relative to total amount consumed	10				
Include milk, yoghurt, cheese and/or alternatives	Serves of dairy products per day	10	Serves of dairy products per day (dairy score)	5	≥ 3	0
Reduced-fat varieties should be chosen, where possible	Type of milk usually consumed	10	Serves of reduced- or low-fat dairy as a proportion to total dairy products consumed (dairy quality score)	5	Low fat	Full cream
Drink plenty of water	Frequency of consumption of beverages	5	Grams of water as a beverage as a proportion of total grams of beverages (water score)	10	100 %	0 %
	Proportion of water consumed relative to total beverages	5				
Limit saturated fat and moderate total fat intake	Trimming of fat from meat	10	kJ from healthy fats as a proportion of total fats and oils (saturated fat score)	10	100 %	0 %
Choose foods low in salt	Salt used in cooking	5	Servings of extra foods per day (extras score)	20	< 3	> 3
	Salt used at the table	5				
Consume only moderate amounts of sugars and foods containing added sugars	Frequency of consumption of soft drink, cordial, fruit juice, jam, chocolate, confectionery per day	10				
Prevent weight gain: be physically active and eat according to your energy needs	Frequency of consumption of 'extra foods' per day	10				
Limit your alcohol intake if you choose to drink	Frequency of consumption of all alcoholic beverages per day	10				

Dietary index and CVD risk in adolescents

Max, maximum; Min, minimum.

*Based on the Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in Australia⁽¹⁴⁾.

Participants rested for 5 min in the supine position before having their blood pressure and heart rate (HR) measured using an oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Dinamap vital signs monitor 8100, Dinamap XL vital signs monitor, Dinamap ProCare 100 DPC100X-EN or Dinamap Procare 100 DPC100-EN; Soma Technology), set to automatically record six readings every 2 min with subjects remaining in the supine position and with the appropriate cuff size. The average of the last five readings for systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and HR was used.

Fasting blood samples were analysed in the PathWest Laboratory at the Royal Perth Hospital for determining the levels of serum glucose, insulin, cholesterol, TAG, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, as described previously⁽²³⁾. HOMA score was used as a measure of insulin resistance, and was derived using the following equation:

$$\text{HOMA-IR} = (\text{fasting insulin (mU/l)} \times \text{fasting glucose (mmol/l)}) / 22.5.$$

Confounding variables

Physical activity was assessed using a self-reported questionnaire based on exercise performed outside of school hours, with exercise defined in five categories as activity causing breathlessness or sweating (category 0: once a month or less; category 1: once a week; category 2: 2–3 times a week; category 3: 4–6 times a week; category 4: every day). The Australian Bureau of Statistics' Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD) was used to assess socio-economic status of the participants⁽²⁴⁾. IRSAD derives variables related to both socio-economic advantage and disadvantage from the Census, and presents the combined results on a continuous scale, with higher values reflecting greater advantage and a relative lack of disadvantage.

Statistics analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the STATA Data Analysis and Statistical Software, version 11 (StataCorp LP). Misreporting was identified as individuals with energy consumption <3000 kJ/d or >20 000 kJ/d as described previously⁽²⁵⁾, and were omitted from the analyses. All variables were tested for normality. BMI, insulin, TAG and HOMA were log-transformed as they did not have a normal distribution. A DGI-CA score was calculated for each year (14 and 17), and a hierarchical linear mixed model using maximum likelihood estimation was used to test the difference between the scores of the two time points. The maximum likelihood estimation uses both complete and incomplete data to obtain the value of a parameter that is most likely to have resulted from the observed data, producing unbiased estimates when the missing mechanism is missing at random. The hierarchical structure, with random intercepts for both family and subject, was specified to adjust for correlation between siblings who comprised <10% of the sample. Time was treated as a factor variable with two levels. Sex effects

on the DGI-CA score and the change in DGI-CA over time were tested in this model by including sex in the model as well as the interaction between sex and time. The same statistical analysis was employed to test the difference in the scores achieved for each DGI-CA indicator over time.

Hierarchical linear mixed modelling using maximum likelihood estimation was also employed to examine the relationship between DGI-CA and cardiometabolic risk factors over time. All models of cardiometabolic risk were adjusted for the potential influence of sex on the outcomes. Change in the relationship between DGI-CA and the cardiometabolic risk factors over time was assessed via an interaction between DGI-CA and time in each model.

The models for every cardiometabolic outcome were constructed by first testing the individual influence of each of the four covariates (IRSAD, physical activity, BMI and sex) and subsequently their interactions with DGI-CA (both three and two ways). Covariates and interactions, significantly associated with the outcome, were retained in the model. The DGI-CA coefficients reported are after adjusting for the covariates that remained significant in the model. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons as guided by Rothman's recommendations; no adjustment leads to 'fewer errors of interpretation when data under evaluation are not random numbers but actual observations in nature'⁽²⁶⁾.

Results

Eighteen participants at the 14-year follow-up and eighteen participants at the 17-year follow-up were excluded from the analyses for misreporting. One participant, who had a DGI-CA score but did not fast as instructed before blood collection at the 14-year follow-up, had blood glucose level >7 mmol/l and was also excluded from all the analyses. In addition, fifteen participants at the 14-year follow-up and twelve at the 17-year follow-up who had high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level >10 mg/l, which reflects an acute state of inflammation, were excluded before the C-reactive protein analyses.

A total of 1608 adolescents attended the physical examination at the 14-year follow-up, of whom 1419 (689 females and 730 males) completed all components required to compute the DGI-CA scores (DGI-CA14) and were not excluded for misreporting or not fasting. From the 17-year follow-up, 843 DGI-CA17 scores (451 females and 392 males) were available from the 1255 adolescents who attended the 17-year physical examination (Fig. 1). Adolescents who attended the physical examination and had a DGI-CA score were classed as 'participants'. Those who did attend the examination but did not have a DGI-CA score, or were excluded for misreporting or not fasting, were classed as 'non-participants'. Participants at 14-year follow-up did not differ from non-participants (online Supplementary Table S1). At 17-year follow-up, participants had lower BMI and smaller waist circumference than non-participants, but did not differ in socio-economic status (denoted by the IRSAD).

Within the participants' category, a subset of 1143 participants (570 females and 573 males) attended both follow-ups.






Fig. 1. Consort Flow Diagram: number of patients at each follow-up. ‘Deferred’ or ‘Lost’ patients at any particular follow-up were eligible to participate in subsequent follow-ups. Withdrawn patients are those who have permanently retracted their consent to participate in the study. Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) scores were only computed from complete FFQ. Those with energy intakes <3000 and >20 000 kJ were excluded from the analysis.

The subgroup that had the DGI-CA scores at both time points consisted of 724 participants (385 females and 339 males). The physical and biochemical profiles of those with a DGI-CA score are summarised in Table 2. A one-unit change in DGI-CA was positively associated with a change in IRSAD of 0.022 ($P<0.001$) at 14-year follow-up and 0.03 at 17-year follow-up ($P<0.001$), and with a 1.22 change in physical activity score ($P<0.001$) at 14-year follow-up and 2.09 at 17-year follow-up ($P<0.001$).

Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents and adherence to the Australian Dietary Guidelines

The mean DGI-CA14 and DGI-CA17 scores were 47.1 (SD 10.2) and 47.7 (SD 11.0), respectively, and not significantly different between sexes. Table 3 shows the percentage of adolescents meeting the dietary guidelines, i.e. achieving maximum score for each indicator. The scores for all indicators of the DGI-CA changed over time; however, the 0.11 change in overall DGI-CA score for every unit change in time was not significant ($P=0.33$). The meat score (indicator for ‘serves of meat and alternatives per day’) was the only indicator with more than 50% of the participants achieving maximum score at 14-year and 17-year follow-ups. After adjusting for time, sex, time × sex interaction, socio-economic status and physical activity, a one-unit change in DGI-CA was positively associated with a change in total energy intake of 0.001 kJ ($P<0.001$).

Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents and cardiometabolic risk factors

None of the cardiometabolic models showed a significant interaction between DGI-CA and time, indicating that the relationships between DGI-CA and the cardiometabolic outcome did not vary between 14- and 17-year follow-ups. BMI changed over time differently between the sexes. After adjusting for time, sex and time × sex interaction, as well as IRSAD

score and physical activity, DGI-CA was positively associated with BMI ($P=0.029$, $\beta = 0.0007$) (Table 4). In other words, an increase in DGI-CA of one unit was significantly associated with an increase in BMI of 0.0007 kg/m² across both time periods. This equates to an increase in BMI of 0.021 kg/m² following greater adherence to the dietary guidelines as would be observed for a change in the DGI-CA score of 30 points. The association between energy intake and BMI was investigated, and despite its association with DGI-CA, energy intake was not identified as a significant predictor of BMI in multivariable models ($P=0.89$). Conversely, one-unit change in DGI-CA was significantly associated with a change in waist:

Table 2. Physical and biochemical profile of participants with a Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) score at each follow-up

(Mean values and standard deviations)

	14-Year follow-up (n 1419)		17-Year follow-up (n 843)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age at assessment (years)	14	0.2	17	0.2
Sex				
Male				
n	730		392	
%	51.44		46.5	
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.26	4.12	22.6	4.11
Waist circumference (cm)	75.49	10.78	78.25	10.65
Waist:hip ratio	0.83	0.06	0.81	0.06
Glucose (mmol/l)	4.80	0.51	4.69	0.46
Insulin (pmol/l)	86.88	80.56	65.77	77.51
HOMA-IR	2.74	2.96	1.95	2.62
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.18	0.72	4.12	0.75
TAG (mmol/l)	1.01	0.55	1.02	0.51
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.39	0.32	1.33	0.29
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	2.32	0.63	2.33	0.65
Systolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)	111.39	10.19	112.63	10.35
Diastolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)	58.91	6.75	58.97	6.3
HR (beats/min)	80.02	10.69	65.72	9.98
hs-CRP (mg/l)	0.75	1.25	1.17	1.65

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; HR, heart rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Table 3. Dietary profile of adolescents in the Raine Study based on the Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA)

	DGI-CA14 (n 1419)						DGI-CA17 (n 843)						β Coefficient*	P
	Median	Min:Max	IQR	% Participants achieving max score	Max score achieved	% Participants	Median	Min:Max	IQR	% Participants achieving max score	Max score achieved	% Participants		
Variety score	5.30	0.8-4.1	1.92	0	8.41	0.07	4.81	0.8-9	2.02	0	8.9	0.12	-0.16	<0.001
Fruit score	7.14	0:10	6.21	30.44	10	30.44	6.1	0:10	6.35	23.61	10	23.61	-0.23	<0.001
Vegetable score	9.59	0.09:10	3.4	46.65	10	46.65	9.07	0:10	3.86	42.59	10	42.59	-0.09	0.001
Cereal score†	3.35	0.21:5	1.94	15.93	5	15.93	2.96	0.27:5	2.04	14.47	5	14.47	-0.48	<0.001
Cereal quality score†	0	0.5	2.5	16.70	5	16.70	2.5	0.5	5	34.05	5	34.05	1.17	<0.001
Dairy score†	3.33	0.5	2.81	23.61	5	23.61	2.76	0.5	2.61	17.08	5	17.08	-0.11	<0.001
Dairy quality score†	0	0.5	5	41.93	5	41.93	2.5	0.5	5	47.92	5	47.92	0.34	0.002
Meat score	10	0:10	0	78.51	10	78.51	10	0:10	0.53	71.41	10	71.41	-0.13	<0.001
Water score	7.38	0:10	3.91	1.34	10	1.34	8.07	0:10	3.00	5.10	10	5.10	0.23	<0.001
Saturated fat score	0.23	0:9.34	1.89	0	9.34	0.07	0.85	0:10	3.35	0.36	10	0.36	0.23	<0.001
Extra score‡	0	0:0	0	0	0	100	0	0:3.72	0	0	3.72	0.12	0.002	0.252
Total DGI-CA	Median 48.1	Min:Max 9.7:74.3	IQR 13.9	Mean 47.1	SD 10.2		Median 48.5	Min:Max 8.6:72.9	IQR 15.3	Mean 47.7	SD 11.0		β Coefficient* 0.11	P 0.33

DGI-CA14, Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents at 14-year follow-up; DGI-CA17, Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents at 17-year follow-up; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; IQR, interquartile ranges.

* Mean change over time.

† Max score of 5.

‡ Max score of 20.

Table 4. Final hierarchical linear mixed models (using maximum likelihood estimation) depicting the associations between Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) and cardiometabolic risk factors (BMI, waist:hip ratio and waist) from the 14- to 17-year follow-up

(β Coefficients and 95 % confidence intervals)

Terms	Outcomes								
	BMI (n 1703)			Waist:hip ratio (n 1510)			Waist (n 1673)		
	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P
DGI-CA	0.0007	0.00007, 0.0012	0.029	-0.0003	-0.0006, -0.00004	0.026	0.009	-0.033, 0.051	0.680
Time (year 17)	0.07	0.061, 0.079	<0.001	-0.006	-0.008, -0.005	<0.001	3.336	2.656, 4.016	<0.001
Sex (male)	-0.028	-0.049, -0.007	0.009	0.047	0.04, 0.053	<0.001	1.700	0.395, 3.005	0.011
IRSAD	-0.0004	-0.0005, -0.0002	<0.001	-0.0001	-0.0002, -0.00007	<0.001	-0.023	-0.328, -0.014	<0.001
PA†									
Cat1	0.005	-0.01, 0.021	0.48				0.696	-0.424, 1.816	0.223
Cat 2	-0.0014	-0.017, 0.014	0.86				0.574	-0.544, 1.692	0.315
Cat 3	0.0033	-0.014, 0.02	0.71				0.132	-1.126, 1.390	0.837
Cat 4	-0.0055	-0.026, 0.015	0.6				-0.82	-2.299, 0.659	0.277
BMI									
Time x sex interaction	0.013	0.0005, 0.026	0.041				1.086	0.112, 2.060	0.029

IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage; PA, physical activity; Cat, category.

* Change in outcome variable per one-unit change in DGI-CA or other listed terms across the 2 years.

† Cat 0 is the reference level and comparison is made between each of the physical activity categories and Cat 0.

hip ratio of -0.0003 ($P=0.026$) across both time periods (Table 4).

A unit change in DGI-CA was inversely associated with a change in insulin levels of -0.028 pmol/l ($P=0.01$) and a change in HOMA score of -0.004 ($P=0.005$; Table 5). However, changes in DGI-CA were not significantly associated with changes in glucose levels before ($P=0.39$) or after ($P=0.40$) adjusting for IRSAD score, physical activity and BMI (Table 5). No interactions were observed between insulin, HOMA, glucose and the aforementioned covariates (Table 5).

Total cholesterol, TAG and HDL-cholesterol followed different patterns for males and females from the 14-year to the 17-year follow-ups (Tables 6 and 7). A unit increase in DGI-CA was significantly associated with a decrease in TAG of 0.003 mmol/l ($P=0.011$; Table 7) across both time periods. Changes in DGI-CA were not associated with changes in the levels of cholesterol ($P=0.095$; Table 6), LDL-cholesterol ($P=0.071$; Table 6), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein ($P=0.51$; Table 8) or HDL-cholesterol ($P=0.51$; Table 6).

A unit change in DGI-CA associated with a change in HR of -0.08 beats/min ($P=0.001$; Table 7). However, there were no significant associations between changes in DGI-CA and changes in systolic blood pressure ($P=0.11$; Table 7) or diastolic blood pressure ($P=0.09$; Table 8).

Discussion

The relationships between cardiometabolic risk factors and single dietary facets, such as intake of *n*-3 fatty acids, dietary Ca, SFA and the adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern, have been extensively tested. However, to our knowledge, the relationships between cardiometabolic risk factors and the prescribed Australian Dietary Guidelines in adolescents have not been assessed. We tested these relationships by using the only available Australian dietary index for this age group: the DGI-CA.

Based on the DGI-CA, the overall level of adherence among the Raine Study adolescents is comparable to the Australian Children's Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey⁽¹⁶⁾ (National DGI-CA score of 48.6 (SD 0.5) for ages 12–16 years). Other international longitudinal studies examining the changes in diet quality in children and adolescents have observed that reported intakes are well below the recommended levels^(27–29). We expected to see a marked decline in diet quality at 17-year follow-up as it falls within the transition period to adulthood, during which food independence and nutritional problems are common. Research has shown that adolescents, who have an increased consumption of fast food and less family meals, have lower diet quality^(30–32). However, based on the DGI-CA score, our data showed no change in diet quality with age. One possible reason for not having similar findings as described by Golley *et al.*⁽¹⁶⁾ is that the latter conducted separate cross-sectional analyses for their three independent age groups while our analysis assessed a within-group change in diet quality longitudinally. Thus, from their study, the within-group change of DGI-CA over time cannot be determined. Another reason is the

Table 5. Final hierarchical linear mixed models (using maximum likelihood estimation) depicting the associations between Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) and cardiometabolic risk factors (insulin level, homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) score and glucose level) from the 14- to 17-year follow-up (β Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals)

Terms	Insulin (n 1479)			HOMA (n 1464)			Glucose (n 1478)		
	β Coefficient*	95% CI	P	β Coefficient*	95% CI	P	β Coefficient*	95% CI	P
DGI-CA	-0.028	-0.042, -0.006	0.01	-0.004	-0.007, -0.001	0.005	-0.001	-0.003, 0.001	0.404
Time (year 17)	-0.958	-1.07, -0.847	<0.001	-0.15	-0.167, -0.133	<0.001	-0.036	-0.049, -0.024	<0.001
Sex (male)	-0.625	-1.007, -0.208	0.002	-0.045	-0.105, 0.015	0.14	0.184	0.127, 0.241	<0.001
IRSAD	-0.0028	-0.0056, 0.0002	0.063	-0.0003	-0.0008, 0.0001	0.18	0.0002	-0.0002, 0.0006	0.353
PAT									
Cat1	-0.118	-0.722, 0.486	0.724	-0.005	-0.098, 0.088	0.91	0.031	-0.041, 0.104	0.399
Cat 2	-0.486	-1.056, 0.083	0.093	-0.07	-0.155, 0.017	0.12	-0.002	-0.071, 0.067	0.950
Cat 3	-0.625	-1.271, 0.001	0.045	-0.105	-0.202, -0.01	0.03	-0.002	-0.08, 0.076	0.956
Cat 4	-0.556	-1.313, 0.208	0.158	-0.084	-0.198, 0.03	0.15	0.054	-0.038, 0.147	0.252
BMI	0.417	0.375, 0.472	<0.001	0.064	0.057, 0.071	<0.001	0.015	0.008, 0.022	<0.001
Time \times sex interaction									

IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage; PAT, physical activity; Cat, category.

* Change in outcome variable per one-unit change in DGI-CA or other listed terms across the 2 years.

† Cat 0 is the reference level and comparison is made between each of the physical activity categories and Cat 0.

Table 6. Final hierarchical linear mixed models (using maximum likelihood estimation) depicting the associations between Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) and cardiometabolic risk factors (cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol) from the 14- to 17-year follow-up (β Coefficients and 95 % confidence intervals)

Terms	Outcomes								
	Cholesterol (n 1479)			HDL-cholesterol (n 1479)			LDL-cholesterol (n 1547)		
	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P
DGI-CA	-0.002	-0.006, 0.001	0.230	0.0005	-0.001, 0.002	0.510	-0.003	-0.006, 0.0002	0.068
Time (year 17)	-0.003	-0.072, 0.067	0.940	0.015	-0.013, 0.044	0.300	-0.008	-0.022, 0.006	0.261
Sex (male)	-0.222	-0.315, -0.129	<0.001	-0.10	-0.137, -0.061	<0.001	-0.131	-0.206, -0.056	0.001
IRSAD	-0.0005	-0.001, 0.0002	0.156	3.08×10^{-06}	-0.0003, 0.0003	0.982			
PA†									
Cat 1	0.120	0.016, 0.224	0.023	0.034	-0.009, 0.076	0.119			
Cat 2	0.068	-0.032, 0.167	0.183	0.039	-0.001, 0.08	0.058			
Cat 3	0.108	-0.005, 0.220	0.060	0.05	0.004, 0.096	0.035			
Cat 4	0.026	-0.108, 0.16	0.703	0.036	-0.018, 0.091	0.193			
BMI	0.007	-0.002, 0.017	0.141	-0.023	-0.027, -0.019	<0.001	0.017	0.009, 0.026	<0.001
Time \times sex interaction	-0.13	-0.227, -0.033	0.009	-0.12	-0.157, -0.077	<0.001			

IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage; PA, physical activity; Cat, category.
 * Change in outcome variable per one-unit change in DGI-CA or other listed terms across the 2 years.
 † Cat 0 is the reference level and comparison is made between each of the physical activity categories and Cat 0.

Table 7. Final hierarchical linear mixed models (using maximum likelihood estimation) depicting the associations between Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) and cardiometabolic risk factors (TAG, heart rate (HR) and systolic blood pressure (SBP)) from the 14- to 17-year follow-up (β Coefficients and 95 % confidence intervals)

Terms	Outcomes								
	TAG (n 1512)			HR (n 1701)			SBP (n 1701)		
	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P	β Coefficient*	95 % CI	P
DGI-CA	-0.003	-0.005, -0.0006	0.011	-0.08	-0.129, -0.034	0.001	0.037	-0.008, 0.083	0.111
Time (year 17)	-0.061	-0.106, -0.017	0.007	-4.961	-5.228, -4.695	<0.001	-1.031	-2.023, -0.039	0.042
Sex (male)	-0.088	-0.139, -0.036	0.001	-2.61	-3.69, -1.52	<0.001	5.758	4.572, 6.943	<0.001
IRSAD	-0.0001	-0.0004, 0.0003	0.650				-0.0008	-0.009, 0.007	0.848
PA†									
Cat 1				-1.23	-2.75, 0.295	0.114	0.464	-0.954, 1.881	0.521
Cat 2				-2.26	-3.71, -0.812	0.002	0.305	-1.055, 1.664	0.661
Cat 3				-4.35	-5.98, -2.72	<0.001	0.963	-0.558, 2.484	0.215
Cat 4				-5.19	-7.10, -3.27	<0.001	-1.307	-3.097, 0.482	0.152
BMI	0.024	0.018, 0.029	<0.001	0.11	-0.02, 0.237	0.087	0.596	0.473, 0.719	<0.001
Time \times sex interaction	0.112	0.049, 0.176	0.001				3.196	1.787, 4.606	<0.001

IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage; PA, physical activity; Cat, category.
 * Change in outcome variable per one-unit change in DGI-CA or other listed terms across the 2 years.
 † Cat 0 is the reference level and comparison is made between each of the physical activity categories and Cat 0.

Table 8. Final hierarchical linear mixed models (using maximum likelihood estimation) depicting the associations between Dietary Guideline Index for Children and Adolescents (DGI-CA) and cardiometabolic risk factors (diastolic blood pressure and C-reactive protein) from the 14- to 17-year follow-up (β Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals)

Terms	Outcomes					
	Diastolic blood pressure (n 1701)			C-reactive protein (n 1458)		
	β Coefficient*	95% CI	P	β Coefficient*	95% CI	P
DGI-CA	-0.028	-0.06, 0.004	0.085	-0.002	-0.007, 0.003	0.507
Time (year 17)	0.292	-0.388, 0.972	0.400	0.501	0.375, 0.627	<0.001
Sex (male)	-0.043	-0.869, 0.782	0.918	0.186	0.055, 0.318	0.006
IRSAD	0.0009	-0.005, 0.006	0.762	0.0003	-0.0006, 0.001	0.529
PA†						
Cat 1	-0.126	-1.104, 0.852	0.801	-0.099	-0.268, 0.069	0.248
Cat 2	-0.303	-1.243, 0.637	0.528	-0.092	-0.250, 0.065	0.251
Cat 3	-0.645	-1.697, 0.407	0.229	-0.113	-0.289, 0.063	0.210
Cat 4	-1.525	-2.762, -0.287	0.016	-0.243	-0.454, -0.032	0.024
BMI	0.042	-0.045, 0.128	0.344	0.125	0.111, 0.138	<0.001
Time \times sex interaction	-1.103	-2.068, -0.138	0.025	-0.532	-0.709, -0.355	<0.001

IRSAD, Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage; PA, physical activity; Cat, category.

* Change in outcome variable per one-unit change in DGI-CA or other listed terms across the 2 years.

† Cat 0 is the reference level and comparison is made between each of the physical activity categories and Cat 0.

potential inadequacy of the score itself. Despite observing that the majority of indicators changed over time (the variety, fruit, vegetable, cereal, dairy and meat score showing a decrease in score, whereas the cereal quality, meat quality, water and saturated fat showing an increase in score over time, and a no change with the 'extras' indicator), the overall score did not change.

The relationship between DGI-CA and adiposity is not clear. We showed a positive association between DGI-CA and BMI but a negative association with waist:hip ratio. Although the magnitude of the associations is small, they are in accordance with previous findings^(15,16). In 4- to 10-year-old children and in 12- to 16-year-old adolescents, Golley *et al.*⁽¹⁶⁾ showed a weak positive association between BMI and DGI-CA. McNaughton *et al.*⁽¹⁵⁾ showed a similar association in women but also a negative association with waist:hip ratio in both men and women. In contrast, only one study has shown better adherence to the Australian dietary guidelines, assessed by the DGI, associated with lower BMI gain in male participants, but is unrelated with adiposity measures in females⁽³³⁾. We did not observe a sex \times DGI-CA interaction, which is in contrast to the findings of Arabshahi *et al.*⁽³³⁾ in an adult population. The poor associations between DGI-CA and measures of adiposity could be due to the fact that the index structure does not penalise excess consumption of the five main food groups (cereals, fruits, vegetables, meat and dairy) and focuses only on controlling the intake of high-fat and high-sugar foods. Consumption of the recommended 1 serving of nuts, for example, scores the maximum DGI-CA points (10 points) for the category of lean meat, nuts and legumes, but so does the consumption of 3 servings of nuts. Thus, while the two DGI-CA scores may reflect adherence to the dietary guidelines, energy intake of the two diets would be markedly different with the latter being higher. This, in turn, contributes to a positive energy balance and supports

the positive association we observed between DGI-CA and energy intake.

DGI-CA was negatively associated with TAG levels but not with total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol. The lack of association between DGI-CA and the other lipids was surprising, given that the index structure is highly focused on the intake of high-fat foods. In view of the fact that the present study is the first to examine the associations between cardiometabolic risk factors and an index that reflects the Australian dietary guidelines, these findings will need to be confirmed in other studies. However, it is possible that either the FFQ does not provide sufficiently accurate dietary information to compute the DGI-CA or that the DGI-CA is not adequately refined to detect changes in cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels based on dietary intake. Similar to BMI, TAG levels were different over time for males and females; however, sex differences with regard to DGI-CA were not significant, and were, thus, not analysed separately. A number of studies^(9,34-36) have demonstrated that the onset of lipidaemia can occur at prepubertal age, and is often a reflection of diet and lifestyle^(10,28,37-39). The present study shows that, at any particular time, the DGI-CA score can be used to provide a non-invasive snapshot of the likelihood of higher TAG levels through dietary practice.

The occurrence of dyslipidaemia often presents itself parallel to insulinaemia, and eating behaviour is known to affect both^(7,37,40,41). We observed a negative association between DGI-CA and insulin levels and HOMA scores. In accordance with these findings, Pereira *et al.*^(42,43) showed that adults from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study, who had increased fast food habits, also had increased risks of insulin resistance but those with higher dairy intake showed negative associations.

We found no association between DGI-CA and blood pressure or the inflammatory marker high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. However, HR was negatively associated with DGI-CA

after adjusting for physical activity and BMI. In adults, McNaughton *et al.*⁽¹⁵⁾ showed that the DGI was inversely associated with systolic blood pressure, but in males only. Using data from 3-d dietary records, O'Sullivan *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾ showed in the Raine Study cohort that blood pressure is inversely associated with PUFA, *n*-3, *n*-6 and long-chain *n*-3 fatty acids in boys but not in girls. In the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) study, a diet high in dairy products and fruits and vegetables has been associated with reduced risks of elevated blood pressure⁽⁴⁴⁾. In the present study, we did not observe any DGI-CA × sex interaction. One possible reason for the lack of associations with blood pressure in the present study is the fact that DGI-CA combined the salt indicator with the 'extras' indicator, consequently reducing the accuracy of the scoring system.

The potential limitations of the present study include the use of the FFQ to compute the DGI-CA score. Additionally, FFQ were completed by the parents at the 14-year follow-up and by the adolescents at the 17-year follow-up. At 17-years of age, many adolescents would have already become food independent and even if they were allowed to seek help from their parents with filling in the questionnaire, it would have not been appropriate for their parents to solely complete the FFQ. Although the FFQ ranks less favourably in terms of accuracy when compared with food records, especially in adolescents⁽²¹⁾, it provides a more feasible means to assess habitual food intake from a large cohort. Another potential limitation is that alcohol is not included in the DGI-CA scoring due to the fact that alcohol consumption is not recommended below the age of 18 years (Table 1)⁽¹⁶⁾. However, in the 17-year Raine Study cohort, we showed that 49.2% of girls and 52% of boys consumed alcohol the week before the follow-up session⁽⁴⁵⁾. The lack of sensitivity of the DGI-CA as a predictor of change poses an additional limitation to this study. The fact that DGI-CA did not change over time, despite the observed change in its individual components, suggests that the scale is not sensitive enough to reflect change in dietary intake that may be associated with changes in the outcome.

The present study is the first assessing the level of adherence to the dietary guidelines longitudinally in a large adolescent population and the first to determine the association of the dietary guidelines with cardiometabolic risk factors. However, because DGI-CA in its current form does not penalise overconsumption of the five main food groups, we cannot extrapolate whether adherence to the current dietary guidelines prevents adverse effects of the cardiometabolic risk factors. Future research is, thus, needed to address this question after first revising and revalidating the DGI-CA. Although we have an adequate sample size, our range of the DGI-CA scores is not extensive enough to postulate a level of adherence to the guidelines that associates with a reduction in cardiometabolic risk factors. This can be addressed by future meta-analysis of DGI-CA studies, gathering enough participants with a wider range of the DGI-CA scores.

Based on the current DGI-CA, the level of adherence to the dietary guidelines in the 14- and 17-year-old adolescents participating in the Raine Study is suboptimal, but comparable to

the Australian Children's Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey⁽¹⁶⁾. We showed a positive, albeit weak, association between the DGI-CA and BMI, as well as a negative association with waist:hip ratio, a measure of abdominal obesity. The DGI-CA was inversely associated with TAG, insulin, HOMA score and HR. Further longitudinal studies, particularly with better dietary assessment tools and higher retention rates, are needed to support our findings. However, refinement of the index is needed to permit linkage of the impact of diet quality on adiposity.

Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114515001026>

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Raine Study participants and their families, and the Raine Study Team for cohort coordination and data collection. We also thank Lynette McCahon for technical assistance.

Core funding for the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study is provided by the University of Western Australia; the Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences at the University of Western Australia; the Telethon Kids Institute (formerly known as the Telethon Institute for Child Health Research); the Women and Infants Research Foundation; Curtin University; and the Raine Medical Research Foundation. Specific data collection for the 14-year follow-up was funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council (project grant ID 211912) and the Telstra Research Fund (2003–6). Data collection and biological specimens at the 17-year follow-up were funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council (program grant ID 353514 and project grant ID 403981). The above-mentioned funders had no role in the design and analysis of the study or in the writing of the manuscript. W. L. C. S. P.-D. is the recipient of an A & A Saw Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Faculty of Dentistry, Medicine and Health Sciences and the University of Western Australia.

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

The authors' contributions are as follows: W. L. C. S. P.-D. provided the idea for the analysis, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript; L. J. B., T. A. M. and W. H. O. designed and conducted the original research; S. B. provided statistical guidance. All authors were responsible for critical reviews and final approval of the manuscript.

References

1. Botton J, Heude B, Maccario J, *et al.* (2008) Postnatal weight and height growth velocities at different ages between birth and 5 y and body composition in adolescent boys and girls. *Am J Clin Nutr* **87**, 1760–1768.
2. Boney CM, Verma A, Tucker R, *et al.* (2005) Metabolic syndrome in childhood: association with birth weight, maternal obesity, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* **115**, 290–296.

3. Gunnarsdottir I, Birgisdottir BE, Benediktsson R, *et al.* (2004) Association between size at birth, truncal fat and obesity in adult life and its contribution to blood pressure and coronary heart disease; study in a high birth weight population. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **58**, 812–818.
4. Blake KV, Gurrin LC, Evans SF, *et al.* (2000) Adjustment for current weight and the relationship between birth weight and blood pressure in childhood. *J Hypertens* **18**, 1007–1012.
5. Huang R-C, de Klerk NH, Smith A, *et al.* (2011) Lifecourse childhood adiposity trajectories associated with adolescent insulin resistance. *Diabetes Care* **34**, 1019–1025.
6. Beilin L & Huang R-C (2007) Perinatal and childhood precursors of adult cardiovascular disease. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* **34**, S2–S4.
7. Smith KJ, Gall SL, McNaughton SA, *et al.* (2010) Skipping breakfast: longitudinal associations with cardiometabolic risk factors in the Childhood Determinants of Adult Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **92**, 1316–1325.
8. Duffey KJ, Gordon-Larsen P, Steffen LM, *et al.* (2010) Drinking caloric beverages increases the risk of adverse cardiometabolic outcomes in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **92**, 954–959.
9. Olza J, Gil-Campos M, Leis R, *et al.* (2011) Presence of the metabolic syndrome in obese children at prepubertal age. *Ann Nutr Metab* **58**, 343–350.
10. Ambrosini GL, Huang RC, Mori TA, *et al.* (2010) Dietary patterns and markers for the metabolic syndrome in Australian adolescents. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **20**, 274–283.
11. O'Sullivan TA, Bremner AP, Beilin LJ, *et al.* (2012) Polyunsaturated fatty acid intake and blood pressure in adolescents. *J Hum Hypertens* **26**, 178–187.
12. Ambrosini GL, Emmett PM, Northstone K, *et al.* (2012) Identification of a dietary pattern prospectively associated with increased adiposity during childhood and adolescence. *Int J Obes* **36**, 1299–1305.
13. Odegaard AO, Koh W-P, Butler LM, *et al.* (2011) Dietary patterns and incident type 2 diabetes in Chinese men and women: the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Diabetes Care* **34**, 880–885.
14. Robinson S, Marriott L, Poole J, *et al.* (2007) Dietary patterns in infancy: the importance of maternal and family influences on feeding practice. *Br J Nutr* **98**, 1029–1037.
15. McNaughton SA, Ball K, Crawford D, *et al.* (2008) An index of diet and eating patterns is a valid measure of diet quality in an Australian population. *J Nutr* **138**, 86–93.
16. Golley RK, Hendrie GA & McNaughton SA (2011) Scores on the dietary guideline index for children and adolescents are associated with nutrient intake and socio-economic position but not adiposity. *J Nutr* **141**, 1340–1347.
17. Newnham JP, Evans SF, Michael CA, *et al.* (1993) Effects of frequent ultrasound during pregnancy: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **342**, 887–891.
18. Huang R-C, Mori TA, Burrows S, *et al.* (2012) Sex dimorphism in the relation between early adiposity and cardiometabolic risk in adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **97**, E1014–E1022.
19. Baghurst KI & Record SJ (1984) A computerised dietary analysis system for use with diet diaries or food frequency questionnaires. *Community Health Stud* **8**, 11–18.
20. Lassale C, Guilbert C, Keogh J, *et al.* (2009) Estimating food intakes in Australia: validation of the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) food frequency questionnaire against weighed dietary intakes. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **22**, 559–566.
21. Ambrosini GL, de Klerk NH, O'Sullivan TA, *et al.* (2009) The reliability of a food frequency questionnaire for use among adolescents. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **63**, 1251–1259.
22. National Health and Medical Research Council (2003) *Dietary Guidelines for Children and Adolescents in Australia* [Department of Health and Ageing, editor]. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.
23. Huang R-C, Mori TA, Burke V, *et al.* (2009) Synergy between adiposity, insulin resistance, metabolic risk factors, and inflammation in adolescents. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 695–701.
24. Pink B (2008) *Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) – Technical Paper 2006* [Australian Bureau of Statistics, editor]. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.
25. Ambrosini GL, Oddy WH, Robinson M, *et al.* (2009) Adolescent dietary patterns are associated with lifestyle and family psycho-social factors. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 1807–1815.
26. Rothman KJ (1990) No adjustments are needed for multiple comparisons. *Epidemiology* **1**, 43–46.
27. Mariscal-Arcas M, Rivas A, Velasco J, *et al.* (2009) Evaluation of the Mediterranean Diet Quality Index (KIDMED) in children and adolescents in Southern Spain. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 1408–1412.
28. Mikkila V, Rasanen L, Raitakari OT, *et al.* (2004) Longitudinal changes in diet from childhood into adulthood with respect to risk of cardiovascular diseases: The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **58**, 1038–1045.
29. Serra-Majem L, Ribas L, Ngo J, *et al.* (2004) Food, youth and the Mediterranean diet in Spain. Development of KIDMED, Mediterranean Diet Quality Index in children and adolescents. *Public Health Nutr* **7**, 931–935.
30. Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, *et al.* (2007) Family meals during adolescence are associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. *J Am Diet Assoc* **107**, 1502–1510.
31. Schmidt M, Affenito SG, Striegel-Moore R, *et al.* (2005) Fast-food intake and diet quality in black and white girls: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* **159**, 626–631.
32. Hammons AJ & Fiese BH (2011) Is frequency of shared family meals related to the nutritional health of children and adolescents? *Pediatrics* **127**, e1565–e1574.
33. Arabshahi S, van der Pols JC, Williams GM, *et al.* (2012) Diet quality and change in anthropometric measures: 15-year longitudinal study in Australian adults. *Br J Nutr* **107**, 1376–1385.
34. González AS, Guerrero DB, Soto MB, *et al.* (2006) Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance and the inflammation markers C-reactive protein and ferritin. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **60**, 802–809.
35. Nicklas TA, von Duvillard SP & Berenson GS (2002) Tracking of serum lipids and lipoproteins from childhood to dyslipidemia in adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Int J Sports Med* **23**, Suppl. S1, S39–S43.
36. Weiss R, Dziura J, Burgert TS, *et al.* (2004) Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med* **350**, 2362–2374.
37. Heyman E, Berthon P, Youssef H, *et al.* (2012) Metabolic dysfunction in late-puberty adolescent girls with type 1 diabetes: relationship to physical activity and dietary intakes. *Diabetes Metab* **38**, 337–342.
38. Meyerkort CE, Oddy WH, O'Sullivan TA, *et al.* (2012) Early diet quality in a longitudinal study of Australian children: associations with nutrition and body mass index later in childhood and adolescence. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* **3**, 21–31.
39. Taveras EM, Berkey CS, Rifas-Shiman SL, *et al.* (2005) Association of consumption of fried food away from home with

- body mass index and diet quality in older children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* **116**, e518–e524.
40. Azadbakht L, Haghighatdoost F, Feizi A, *et al.* (2013) Breakfast eating pattern and its association with dietary quality indices and anthropometric measurements in young women in Isfahan. *Nutrition* **29**, 420–425.
 41. Sesé MA, Jiménez-Pavón D, Gilbert CC, *et al.* (2012) Eating behaviour, insulin resistance and cluster of metabolic risk factors in European adolescents. The HELENA Study. *Appetite* **59**, 140–147.
 42. Pereira MA, Jacobs DR, van Horn L, *et al.* (2002) Dairy consumption, obesity, and the insulin resistance syndrome in young adults. The CARDIA study. *JAMA* **287**, 2081–2089.
 43. Pereira MA, Kartashov AI, Ebbeling CB, *et al.* (2005) Fast-food habits, weight gain, and insulin resistance (the CARDIA study): 15-year prospective analysis. *Lancet* **365**, 36–42.
 44. Moore LL, Bradlee ML, Singer MR, *et al.* (2012) Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) eating pattern and risk of elevated blood pressure in adolescent girls. *Br J Nutr* **108**, 1678–1685.
 45. Le-Ha C, Beilin LJ, Burrows S, *et al.* (2013) Gender difference in the relationship between passive smoking exposure and HDL-cholesterol levels in late adolescence. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **98**, 2126–2135.