cambridge.org/par

Research Article

Cite this article: Arce LF *et al* (2025). Genetic diversity of *Dioctophyme renale* in Southern South America. *Parasitology* 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182024001379

Received: 28 August 2024 Revised: 8 October 2024 Accepted: 11 October 2024

Keywords:

COX1; *Dioctophyme*; kidney parasite nematode; mitochondrial; ND4; phylogeography; wild carnivores

Corresponding author: Laura Kamenetzky; Email: lauka@fbmc.fcen.uba.ar

Genetic diversity of *Dioctophyme renale* in Southern South America

Lucas F. Arce^{1,2}, Florencia Facelli Fernández³, Nahili Giorello², Marcos Butti⁴, Lucas L. Maldonado¹, Juan P. Arrabal⁵, María B. Natalini⁶, Martín Kowalewski⁶ (), Daniela Pedrassani⁷, Carolina Silveira Mascarenhas⁸, Josaine C. da Silva Rappeti⁹, Florencia Zilli³, Pablo M. Beldomenico¹⁰ (), Verónica Lia¹¹, Gisela R. Franchini² and Laura Kamenetzky¹ ()

¹Laboratorio de Genomica y Bioinformatica de Patogenos, Departamento de Fisiologia y Biologia Molecular y Celular, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Instituto de Biociencias, Biotecnologia y Biologia Traslacional (iB3), Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina; ²Laboratorio de Biofísica y Biología Celular de Proteínas que Unen Lípidos, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas, Instituto de Investigaciones Bioquímicas de La Plata 'Profesor Doctor Rodolfo R. Brenner' (INIBIOLP), Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina; ³Instituto Nacional de Limnología (INALICONICET-UNL), Ciudad Universitaria, Santa Fe, Argentina; ⁴Laboratorio de Parasitosis Humanas y Zoonosis Parasitarias, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP) - Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas (CIC), La Plata, Argentina; ⁵Instituto de Biología Subtropical IBS-CONICET, Universidad Nacional de Misiones-UNAM, Puerto Iguazú, Misiones, Argentina; ⁶Estación Biológica Corrientes, CECOAL-CONICET, San Cayetano, Corrientes, Argentina; ⁷Universidad do Contestado - Campus Canoinhas, Canoinhas, SC, Brazil; ⁸Instituto Federal Sul-rio-grandense (IFSul), Campus Pelotas, RS, Brazil; ⁹Universidad Federal de Pelotas, UFPEL PELOTAS-RS, Brazil; ¹⁰Laboratorio de Ecología de Enfermedades, Instituto de Ciencias Veterinarias del Litoral (ICIVET-CONICET-UNL), R.P. Kreder Esperanza, Santa Fe, Argentina and ¹¹Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Abstract

Dioctophyme renale, the giant kidney worm, is a nematode related to Trichuris sp and is distributed worldwide. These parasites locate in the kidney of their definitive hosts (mainly belonging to the order Carnivora) and have an indirect life cycle with an annelid as the main intermediate host. Humans are rarely affected, but in those that are, 1 or both kidneys are destroyed. In South America, D. renale is widespread in riparian regions where changing climatic conditions, environmental degradation, and compromised sanitation are increasing the risk of distribution of this parasite, including humans. Here, we provide the descriptions of the genetic diversity of the parasite in the region by analysing 73 adult D. renale samples collected from domestic and wild carnivores. The most common hosts were (Canis lupus familiaris) and maned wolf (Chrysocyon brachyurus Fam. Canidae) among domestic and wild carnivores, respectively. This work shows the descriptions of the genetic diversity of this parasite complementing molecular methods and classical and probabilistic phylogeography. Our results strongly suggest that this parasite has been present on the continent long enough to develop local genetic variants. Also, the phylogenies show transmission between localities and bidirectional transmission between domestic and wild species. We now have new tools to understand the ecological dynamics of this parasite such as molecular markers to study its genetic diversity as well as for identification and reporting in cryptic cases.

Introduction

Dioctophyme renale (Goeze, 1782) is a zoonotic parasitic nematode that infects the kidneys of mammals, mainly carnivores. This parasite has a worldwide distribution and has been observed in various species, both domestic and wild. In dogs, the infection usually involves the right kidney often causing unilateral loss of the organ, and in some cases bilateral involvement can result in total renal failure. In addition, blockage of the ureters or renal pelvis by adult worms may result in hydronephrosis. Adult worms can also be found in the abdominal cavity, subcutaneous tissue and other organs such as the uterus and ovary (Khullar et al., 2022). Humans may be incidental hosts and develop unspecific clinical symptoms including back pain, fever, weight loss, urinary retention, hematuria and pyuria. Fatalities are rare but have been reported in extreme cases due to renal failure, sepsis, or coexisting medical conditions (Li et al., 2010; Norouzi et al., 2017; Khullar et al., 2022). The life cycle described by Mace and Anderson (1975) involves an oligochaete (Lumbriculus variegatus) in which D. renale develops the infective stage. This invertebrate presents a Holarctic distribution, with only two reports in South America: one in Argentine Patagonia (Miserendino, 2007) and another in Minas Gerais, Brazil (Marchese et al., 2015), and no evidence of the presence of larval stages of D. renale. Therefore, the life cycle outside the definitive host is unknown for this region.

Different biogeographical units converge in the region spanning northeastern Argentina and southern Brazil, specifically the sub-Brazilian domain, Paranaense and Chaco domains. These domains, which encompass more than 16 biogeographical districts (Arana *et al.*,

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





2021) host a variety of ecosystems including swamps and marshes, fields and scrubland, pampas, gallery forests, espinal, Chaco forest and Parana jungle (Pereyra, 2003). Cities, rural villages and protected natural areas, all communities with different levels of anthropic influence coexist in this area and animals affected by dioctophymosis can be observed in all these systems. With regard to urban areas the most common domestic host is the dog with prevalences ranging between 0.03 and 35.3% (Radman et al., 2017). In the La Plata River riparian area, a prevalence of 42.1% is reported (Burgos et al., 2015). In addition, there are also reports of D. renale in cats (Pedrassani et al., 2014; Butti et al., 2019). Regarding wild ecosystems, we recently reported the first molecular characterization of D. renale in the pampas fox (Lycalopex gymnocercus) (Fernández et al., 2024). Also, there are reports of cases in other wild mammals including maned wolf (Chrysocyon brachyurus), Geoffroy's cat (Leopardus geoffroyi), Neotropical otter (Lontra longicaudis); bush dog (Speothos venaticus), crab-eating fox (Cerdocyon thous), little grison (Galictis cuja), coati (Nasua nasua), capuchin monkey (Cebus apella), twotoed sloth (Choloepus hoffmanni), among others (Measures, 2008; Pinto et al., 2011; Echenique et al., 2018; Trindade et al., 2018; Khullar et al., 2022). High prevalence of this parasitosis was observed in the species maned wolf, little grison and coati, with levels of 81.2, 36.6 and 72.4%, respectively (Eiras et al., 2021). In maned wolf, as in dogs, most parasites have been found in the right kidney in (85.7% of cases), followed by the abdominal cavity (28.6%). On the other hand, in coatis the most common site where the worms were found is the abdominal cavity, accounting for 66.7% of the cases (Milanelo et al., 2009). In general, there are no clinical signs associated with this infection (Mattos Varzone et al., 2008; Di Nucci et al., 2020). However, the actual impact of the parasite on natural populations remains poorly understood. Currently, there is no information on whether domestic and wild mammals in this region are infected by the same phylogentic lineages or whether there are host- and/or sitespecific genetic variants. In addition, there is very little sequence information about this parasite in databases. D. renale belongs to Clade I in accordance with the classification of the Phylum

Table 1. Total Dioctophyme renale samples analysed by molecular markers

Nematoda proposed by Blaxter and Koutsovoulos (2015), a group which is underrepresented in terms of molecular information. Clade I genome information is only available for a few species of the genera Trichinella, Trichuris, Romanomermis and Sobolophyme (Wormbase Parasite), with no genomic data for D. renale. In GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) there are only 27 entries for D. renale in the nucleotide database corresponding to 3 genes: small subunit ribosomal RNA, Dorylipophorin (a novel lipid binding protein) and mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COX1). The limited molecular knowledge about this parasite hampers its identification, genetic characterization, outbreak monitoring and studies of host species interactions. In this work, we utilize genomic data to develop new molecular markers for investigating the population dynamics of D. renale, a prevalent zoonotic parasite, whose life cycle remains unknown in southern South America.

Materials and methods

Biological sample

Adults of D. renale from 73 different hosts were sampled. One parasite by each host was selected for genetic analysis. Fifty-seven of them were collected from domestic mammal surgeries at veterinary institutions. Also, 16 samples were from necropsies of road-killed wild fauna. Surgeries and animal necropsies were carried out under approved protocols by the corresponding authority (National Parks Administration technical office NEA 423 Rnv ex DCM 483 Dispo 23/2015; Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, Province of Santa Fe, Exp 02101-022465-3, Res No 025). The localities from which samples were received include Buenos Aires, Santa Fe, Chaco, and Corrientes provinces in Argentina and the states of Parana, Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina in Brazil. Parasites were found in different host species including domestic dog (Canis lupus familiaris) and cat (Felis catus) and wild mammals, such as the lesser grison (G. cuja), the maned wolf (C. brachyurus) and the pampas fox (L. gymnocercus) (Table 1). Sampling locations are shown in Fig. 1.

| Host | Province/State | Country | Samples received | COX1 short | COX1-XL | ND4 |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|---------|-----|
| Canis lupus familiaris | Buenos Aires | Argentina | 28 | 19 | 17 | 12 |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Santa Fe | Argentina | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Chaco | Argentina | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Rio Grande do Sul | Brazil | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Santa Catarina | Brazil | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Canis lupus familiaris | Parana | Brazil | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Felis silvestris catus | Parana | Brazil | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Felis silvestris catus | Santa Catarina | Brazil | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Domestic | - | - | 57 | 41 | 37 | 30 |
| Chrysocyon brachyurus | Corrientes | Argentina | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Chrysocyon brachyurus | Santa Fe | Argentina | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Chrysocyon brachyurus | Chaco | Argentina | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Lycalopex gymnocercus | Santa Fe | Argentina | 1 | 1 ^a | 1 | 1 |
| Galictis cuja | Santa Catarina | Brazil | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Wild | - | - | 16 | 14 | 11 | 11 |
| TOTAL | - | - | 73 | 55 | 48 | 41 |

Number of samples received and sequenced grouped by host and location. ^aSequence from Fernández et al. (2024).



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of *Dioctophyme renale* samples Maps were plotted with Qgis version 3.16.3, OSGeo, layer (CRS) EPSG:4326 – WGS 84. Sample points (red circles).

DNA extraction and genotyping

For each parasite sample a transversal section was cut and 20 mg without cuticle were lysed using liquid nitrogen. Total genomic DNA was extracted using DNeasy® Blood & Tissue QIAGEN Kit. Two mitochondrial markers were selected from the draft assembly of D. renale mitogenome (Macchiaroli et al, in preparation) (Fig. 2). One of them was the barcode region of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (COX1), relevant in nematode taxonomy (Gonçalves et al., 2021). Primer pairs were designed encompassing 4 regions of COX1. Two of them (116 and 382 bp) correspond to those previously described in Koehler et al. (2009a) and Tokiwa et al. (2014), respectively. The third primer pair covered a 687 bp COX1 region not previously used in this species, and finally the fourth primer pair spanning all 3 regions (1133 bp). In order to select a second molecular marker, the draft mitogenome of D. renale was compared to the mitochondrial genomes of several Trichuris spp. (Genbank numbers: AP017703.1,

AP017704.1, GU070737.1, GU385218.1, KT449822.1, KT449823.1, KT449824.1, KT449825.1, KT449826.1, LC050561.1, NC 017747.1, NC 017750.1, NC 018596.1, NC 018597.1, NC 028621.1) using multiple sequence alignment. The nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase subunit 4 gene (ND4) was chosen as the second molecular marker because, when comparing these mitogenomes, it showed a greater mean distance from Tamura-Nei (0.53) than COX1 (0.30), which could result in a higher resolution in the phylogenies. ND4 also shows on average a higher percentage of variant sites (76%) than COX1 (39%) when comparing Trichuris spp. and D. renale. In addition, this gene is one of the most employed molecular markers in population genetics studies of parasitic nematodes (Blouin et al., 1998; Koehler et al., 2009b; Gharamah et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019). ND4 primers were designed to encompass a 354 bp target region within the gene coding sequence and tested in-silico for specificity using the NCBI database, WormBase and D. renale genome scaffolds. COX1 and ND4



Figure 2. Genomic map showing the markers designed for this study. Arrows indicate the coding sequences and bars correspond to the expected PCR products (below) using SnapGene v1.1 (Glick *et al.*, 2004). (A) COX1 gene. (B) ND4 gene.

amplifications were performed in a final 50 μ L volume per tube containing sample DNA (20–500 ng), 0.22 mM each dNTP (Pharmacia LKB, Uppsala, Sweden), 1.66 mM MgCl₂, 0.55 mM of each primer (Table 2) and 0.04 U μ L⁻¹ of Taq Pegasus DNA polymerase in reaction buffer (Productos Bio-Lógicos, Argentina). The PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step (95°C for 5 min) followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 60 s (denaturation), 50°C for 60 s (annealing), 72°C for 60 s (extension), and a final extension step (72°C for 10 min). The PCR products were quantified in 1% agarose gel using nucleic acid dye GelRed[®] and were revealed in an UV transilluminator. Amplicons with the expected size and concentration were Sanger sequenced for forward and reverse primers using the service provided by Macrogen (South Korea).

Sequence analysis

BioEdit software (Hall, 1999) was employed to perform sequence analysis. Primers and low-quality regions at the beginning and at the end of each sequence were removed. A consensus of forward and reverse reads per amplicon was made by using CAP Contig Assembly algorithm (Huang, 1992). All variable sites were confirmed by forward and reverse sequences. Consensus sequences are available in GenBank under accession numbers OP208282.1 to OP208330.1, and OP204915.1 to OP204944.1. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994) (Supplementary File). From each marker, variability measures were estimated including number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity (Hd) (Nei and Tajima, 1981), number of segregating sites, number of mutations, polymorphic sites proportion, nucleotide diversity through θ_{m} (Nei and Li, 1979) and θ_{W} (Watterson, 1975). To test for deviations from the neutral model and constant population size, Tajima's D' test (1989) and Fu Fs's (1997) were used for both COX1 and ND4. In addition, Ramos y Onzis R²'s test (2002) was also performed to evaluate population growth. In all cases significance was determined through 1000 coalescent simulations (Hudson, 1990). All these analyses were made by using DnaSP v6 (Rozas et al., 2017).

To assess the factors influencing the distribution of genetic variation, we performed an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA, Excoffier *et al.*, 1992) using sequences of adults of *D. renale* from wild *vs* domesticated host species and geographical regions (province/state) as clustering criteria in the knowledge that the ecosystem between these localities is not contiguous and that Santa Catarina and Parana were excluded from the analysis because they present only one sequence each. Genetic distances matrix for the AMOVA was computed under the Tamura-Nei model (1993) and 10 000 permutations were

performed to estimate significance using Arlequin v3.5 (Excoffier et al., 2005). With the objective of testing for isolation by distance a Mantel Test (Mantel, 1967) comparing between geographical distance matrix (obtained by the software QGIS v3.22, OSGeo) and genetic distances matrix (obtained by software MEGA) was performed using the software R 4.2.1 software with the graphical interface Rstudio v2022 (Allaire, 2012) as described in Banta et al. (2007). To investigate relationships among haplotypes, a phylogenetic tree was constructed by using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods as implemented in MEGA v11 (Tamura et al., 2021) and BEAST v2 (Bouckaert et al., 2019), respectively. First, the partition scheme and the evolutionary model that best fit our data were selected with Partition Finder 2 (Lanfear et al., 2017). The models employed were Tamura & Nei model (1993) for COX1 and General Time Reversible model (Tavare, 1984) for ND4. Multiple alignment of COX1 includes all the homologous sequences from D. renale available in GenBank (Accession numbers: AB854727.1, EU394733.1, MH178399.1, MH178400.1, MH178401.1, MH181826.1 and MT246537.1). The substitution rate of 0.0259×10^{-6} per site per year previously reported by Zarlenga et al. (2006) for the genus Trichinella, was used to estimate divergence times. For ND4, only sequences from this work were used because there are no other sequences available in Genbank. One thousand bootstrap replicates were performed to assess branch support for the ML tree. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) run for the BI phylogeny consisted of one chain with 10 million generations and sampled every 10 000 generations. Program Tracer v1.7 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2003) was employed to verify that the effective sample size (ESS) of every parameter was over 200. Median Joining haplotype networks (Bandelt et al., 1999) from individual and concatenated markers were constructed using PopArt Software (http://popart.otago.ac.nz). The concatenated data resulted in a matrix of 1198 characters and 41 taxa.

Results

Domestic and wild carnivores from Argentina and Brazil are definitive host of D. renale

A total of 73 adult *D. renale* samples were collected from domestic and wild carnivores from different regions of Argentina and Brazil (Table 1). The most common host was the domestic dog (*C. l. familiaris*), from which 54 adult parasites were collected. Three additional samples were isolated from cats (*F. catus*), totaling 57 adult parasites from domestic host species. Among wild

| Gene | Primer name | Primer sequence 5'-3' | Expected product size (pb) | Source |
|---------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| ND4 | DRND4F | AGAAGAGGATCATATCTTAT | 354 | This work |
| | DRND4R | GCTACGAATTTTTTAATGTCG | | |
| COX1-S | DRCOX1-SF | TGGTGTGCTTGGTTGTTTTG | 116 | Modified from Tokiwa et al. (2014) |
| | DRCOX1-SR | AACCTGCCCACCATACAAAG | _ | |
| COX1-M | DRCOX1-MF | CATCCWGAGGTTTATATTYTAGC | 382 | Modified from Koehler et al. (2009b) |
| | DRCOX1-MR | ASWAAGAACAWARTGRAAATGACC | _ | |
| COX1-L | DRCOX1-LF | CTTCAGTTATTGGTGGGTGT | 687 | This work |
| | DRCOX1-LR | GTTGGAATAGAACAGGGTCA | | |
| COX1-XL | DRCOX1-LF | CTTCAGTTATTGGTGGGTGT | 1133 | This work and modified from Koehler et al. (2009b) |
| | DRCOX1-MR | ASWAAGAACAWARTGRAAATGACC | | |

Table 2. Mitochondrial molecular marker amplification

Gene, primer name, sequence and expected PCR products size are shown.

carnivores, the maned wolf (C. brachyurus Fam. Canidae) was the most frequent host, with 13 samples isolated. Also, adult parasites were collected from lesser grison (G. cuja, Fam. Mustelidae) and pampas fox (L. gymnocercus, Fam. Canidae), totalling 16 adult parasites from wild species (Table 1). In Argentina, we collected samples from 4 Provinces where domestic and wild hosts of D. renale are present. In Brazil, we collected parasite samples from domestic animals from 3 different States but parasites from wild hosts were only collected in Santa Catarina (Fig. 1). A total of 73 adults of D. renale were collected, of which 44% were males and 56% females. Similar proportions were observed when analysing C.l. familiaris and C. brachyurus separately. The main anatomical site affected was the right kidney (58% of the cases) followed by the abdominal cavity (39%).

COX1 is a useful molecular marker for D. renale population genetics analysis

Four set of primers were applied in this work, named COX1-S, COX1-M, COX1-L and COX1-XL (Table 2). It is important to note that the region amplified by COX1-XL covers all the 3 smaller regions, therefore unless otherwise specified, the region used in all analyses is COX1-XL. A total of 55 samples were amplified with COX1-S set of primers and 48 with COX1-XL (Table 1). Notably, the 10 samples that could only be amplified with COX1-S primers allowed us to obtain sequences from new domestic (F. catus) and wild (G. cuja) hosts. By performing a BLAST search against the NCBI nucleotide database, these sequences showed high similarity with homologous sequences from other D. renale parasites, with identities ranging from 91.8 to 100% (accession number AB854727.1 and MN304733.1, respectively). On the other hand, a remarkable difference is observed with respect to the homologous sequences of related species such as Sobolophyme baturini and Trichuris muris, with identities ranging from 81.9 to 84.9% (accession number MZ675607.1 and EU394157.1, respectively) (Supplementary Files). Six genetic diversity metrics were determined for each mitochondrial marker analysed (Table 3). Even though the number of mutations and segregating sizes increases through different COX1 marker lengths, the proportion of polymorphic sites is higher in the region of COX1-M as expected due to being located in the variable region of the gene. Similarly, it has the greatest nucleotide diversity (θ_m and θ_w). The COX1-XL comprises all the variable sites contained within the shorter COX1 markers, as a consequence it has the greatest haplotype richness and allows the best resolution of phylogenetic relationships. This marker will be referred to as COX1 in the following sections.

ND4 a new mitochondrial marker for D. renale

The ND4 gene region was selected as a result of the in-silico analysis of mitogenomic DNA variation in Trichuris species, a genus that shares Clade I with D. renale (Blaxter and Koutsovoulos, 2015). Greater variation in the proportion of polymorphic sites and mean genetic distance within a section of the ND4 gene, compared to other mitochondrial genes, was observed in these genera (Supplementary Files). Therefore, the ND4 marker could provide a better comprehension of intraspecific differences. As expected, ND4 showed the highest proportion of polymorphic sites (0.0650). Consistent with expectations under mutation-drift equilibrium, COX1-XL shows negligible differences between θ_{π} and θ_w (0.00731 and 0.00854 respectively). Conversely, ND4 shows higher values of θ_w in comparison with θ_{π} (0.01519 and 0.01048 respectively), which is consistent with a process of population growth or purifying selection (Table 3).

The results obtained from the AMOVA revealed that no percentage of genetic diversity was explained by the differentiation between wild and domestic hosts, indicating a common source of infection between them. When individuals were categorized by locality (province or state), differentiation among groups accounted for approximately 4.4% of total variation. This percentage increased to 5.6% when combining Corrientes and Chaco provinces, where mammals share the same water resources from Paraná River (Supplementary file S6).

Concatenating COX1 and ND4 sequence information, Santa Fe had the highest number of haplotypes (N = 15) followed by Buenos Aires (N = 12). Buenos Aires showed higher nucleotide diversity than Santa Fe ($\theta_{\pi} = 0.00856$ and 0.00798 respectively) and the same is observed with haplotype diversity (Hd = 0.985)and 0.848 respectively). Only 2 haplotypes (H13 and H14) are shared between these 2 localities. This could point to the population of Buenos Aires as the oldest, but it would not be possible to establish any hypothesis as to how the dispersion between localities occurred and whether one population gave rise to the other. No differences of Hd were observed between countries nor domestic and wild host (Supplementary Files).

Phylogenetic analysis

The COX1-M marker was selected to perform phylogenetic analysis, since this region presents the highest number of homologues in the GenBank database (Fig. 3). The phylogenetic tree topology shows that the sequences from Argentina and Brazil obtained in this work cluster with a sample from Perú (Genbank number MT246537.1) forming a South American clade. In other node are grouped those from Canada (Genbank number EU394733.1) and

| Marker | Sample size | Sequence length (pb) | Number of Haplotypes | Eta | S | Ro | Hd | $	heta_{\pi}$ | $	heta_w$ |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|----|--------|-------|---------------|-----------|
| COX1-S | 55 | 74 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.0270 | 0.488 | 0.00732 | 0.00591 |
| COX1-M | 52 | 259 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 0.0463 | 0.869 | 0.00992 | 0.01029 |
| COX1-M ^b | 41 | 259 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 0.0463 | 0.889 | 0.01081 | 0.01087 |
| COX1-L | 49 | 586 | 16 | 21 | 20 | 0.0341 | 0.878 | 0.00598 | 0.00765 |
| COX1-XL | 48 | 987 | 20 | 34 | 32 | 0.0324 | 0.909 | 0.00731 | 0.00854 |
| ND4 | 41 | 354 | 13 | 23 | 23 | 0.0650 | 0.881 | 0.01048 | 0.01519 |
| Concatenated (COX1 + ND4) | 41 | 1343 | 23 | 56 | 54 | 0.0402 | 0.945 | 0.00850 | 0.01055 |

Table 3. Overall diversity measures across different mitochondrial markers employed^a

^aHeaders references: Eta, Number of mutations; S, Number of segregating sites; Ro, Proportion of polymorphic sites; Hd, Haplotype diversity; θ_{π} , nucleotide diversity (Nei, 1987); θ_{w} , nucleotide diversity (Watterson, 1975). Same sample set that has ND4 sequence.



Figure 3. Bayesian inference tree obtained with BEAST v2.6.7 software and plotted with the iTOL online tool. The haplotypes found in our study for the COX1-M gene and those available in GenBank are shown. The GenBank sequence of *Sobolophyme baturini* was included as an outgroup. The nodes show the time in Mya and in brackets the posterior probability.

Iran (Genbank number MH178300.1, MH181826.1, PP326859.1, MH178400.1 and MH178401.1). The *Trichinella* substitution rate employed allows us to propose the first hypothesis of the divergence between these clades, which is estimated to have occurred approximately 3 million years ago. In this clade there is no differentiation by host species nor location. The same pattern is observed in the COX1-S phylogeny with the addition of the Japanese sequence reported by Tokiwa *et al.* (2014) (Supplementary File).

The cladogram constructed using genetic information obtained from the concatenated matrix (COX1 + ND4) shows 21 haplotypes (Fig. 4). Four of them were observed both in wild and domestic hosts (Hap8, Hap13, Hap14 and Hap18). Hap13 and Hap8 showed the highest geographical dispersion (4 localities each) followed by Hap12 and Hap14 (2 localities each). In addition, every clade with high statistical support (posterior probability > 0.9) includes samples coming from different geographical regions and host species.

Genetic and geographical relationships

The results of the Mantel test showed that there is no significant correlation between genetic and geographical distances, with 100 000 permutations, Mantel's r = 0.007, Pr(r = 0) = 0.148. This result showed that there was no evidence of isolation of genetic flow by distance in the region studied. A great variation of distances within locality is observed (Fig. 5).

Three samples show high distance relative to the others: Dren40 and Dren41 coming from dogs located in Rio Grande do Sul and Dren58, from a maned wolf in Santa Fe. These samples are shown separated from the rest in haplotype networks, H9



Figure 4. Proportional branch transformed phylogenetic tree from concatenated marker (COX1 + ND4) genes by using Bayesian Inference method. The GenBank sequence of *Trichuris trichiura* was included as an outgroup. Colour gradient is related to branch posterior probability and values are displayed at the side of nodes. At the tips is indicated localities and host species of samples included in every haplotype. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in BEASTv10.

| Table 4. | D. | renale | population | growth |
|----------|----|--------|------------|--------|
|----------|----|--------|------------|--------|

| Marker | D | <i>α</i> = 0.025 | | F | α=0.05 | R ² | α=0.05 |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|
| | | 2.50% | 97.50% | | 5.00% | | 5.00% |
| COX1 | -0.700896 | -1.69456 | 1.92159 | -3.42948 | -4.63243 | 0.092026 | 0.062865 |
| ND4 | -1.21541 | -1.71761 | 1.82527 | -1.77377 | -3.98389 | 0.074853 | 0.0660138 |
| Concatenated (COX1-ND4) | -0.961274 | -1.75164 | 1.88549 | -3.38549 | -4.79482 | 0.086563 | 0.0673349 |

Values of D, F and R^2 statistics obtained for different markers in contrast with what is expected for $\alpha = 0.05$.

(Dren40 y Dren41) and H16 (Dren58) (Fig. 6). These same haplotypes form the most ancestral branch compared to the rest in the concatenated phylogeny (posterior probability = 1, Fig. 4). In order to test if there is a growth in *D. renale* population, 3 statistical analyses were performed (Table 4). Tajima's *D*, Fu's *F* and Ramos Onsis and Rozas' R^2 tests are consistent in that no deviation from what is expected under drift/mutation equilibrium is observed, and therefore no population growth is evident.

Haplotype networks obtained with concatenated marker (COX1 + ND4) do not show direct connection of haplotypes coming from the same node. There is no haplogroup differentiation by locality nor host species (Fig. 6). The haplotype network

obtained with ND4 marker shows 1 central haplotype (H2) with highest frequency, widely distributed and present in both *C. brachyurus* and *Canis lupus familiaris*. This could be an ancestral haplotype from which emerges the rest (Supplementary Files). Although more samples were received from Santa Fe, the highest number of haplotypes was observed in Buenos Aires (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Nematode parasites affecting wild animals are under-studied in South America. Frequently, the identification of these parasites is based on morphological determinations. Mitochondrial



Figure 5. Isolation by distance analysis. Matrix among samples grouped by locality (distance: Tamura and Nei, 1993). The data was obtained by using MEGAv11 software.



Figure 6. Haplotype network COX1-ND4 concatenating. A: Locations are indicated by different colours. B: Species are indicated by different colours. Networks build by Median Joining method (epsilon = 0). Every circle displays the haplotype marker ID and frequency (in brackets).

DNA-based markers employed in diagnosis offer the advantage of having thousands of mitochondria per cell and several mitochondrial genome copies per organelle, allowing the detection of parasites even when there is a limiting amount of DNA. Amplification and sequencing of COX1 gene is the barcoding approach currently and extensively used for high-throughput species delimitation and discovery (Hebert et al., 2003). However, the amplification of large fragments of COX1 and obtaining a good quality sequence is not always possible. Parasites collected from hosts that were road-killed and have spent several days decomposing, are often poorly preserved. In this case, PCRs with smaller targets are more sensitive than those with larger amplicons. Since both, specificity and sensitivity are required for species determination, we designed primers to amplify different sections of the COX1 gene. This approach allowed us to evaluate different alternatives. The COX1-L primers were designed to amplify the

COX1 region standardized for barcoding (Hebert et al., 2003). This marker showed a lower proportion of polymorphic sites than other markers evaluated. Nevertheless, it has still proven useful for the molecular analysis of D. renale (this work) and other nematode species (Poon et al., 2017). The COX1-M marker showed the highest genetic diversity and could be the marker of choice when sample status prevents sequencing of the larger markers. However, extremely difficult samples with degraded DNA, poor quality and/or low mass could be analysed by COX1-S marker since has high sensitivity and is still retain sufficient genetic information. Based on these results the molecular markers COX1-S and COX1-M developed in this work has the best performance, particularly when morphological determinations are challenging due to small or poorly preserved samples and accurate species identification is required. The higher mutation rate due to mitochondrial oxidative stress, which is about 10 times higher



Figure 7. Distribution of the different haplotypes COX1-ND4 concatenated across the locations from which samples were received.

than that of nuclear DNA, is an advantage for using mtDNA to study diversity. This increased mutation rate increases its potential for population studies without the bias of recombination events. The greatest variation is observed in the COX1-M section of the gene which, together with the ND4 marker, would be the best candidates for population genetics and phylogeography studies of this species. In order to evaluate the genetic diversity found in this work against genetic information from parasites sampled in other regions of the world, COX1-S was chosen as the marker since the available sequences from other countries are short. The phylogenetic trees obtained with COX1-S marker indicate that the South American samples, including the sample from Peru, are highly divergent from those found in other regions of the world (Canada and Iran). Furthermore, if this segregation would have occurred 3 million years ago, according to Trichinella mtDNA substitution rate, the variants found would have originated well before the arrival of domestic fauna in the region. This divergence time is consistent with Great American Biotic Interchange, a massive exchange of flora and fauna species between the North and South American landmasses resulting from the formation of the Isthmus of Panama. Then D. renale may have dispersed with its hosts between the north and south of the continent following the formation of the isthmus. The co-divergence of parasitic nematodes with the mastofauna they infect has already been proposed by Jimenez et al. (2017). Based on the limited sequence information available for this parasite, these preliminary results suggest that D. renale would have colonized the area in a gradual process dispersed with wildlife and would not be the result of an anthropogenic introduction. No genetic structuring by locality or host was observed in the studied area, as evidenced by data from Bayesian phylogenies and haplotype networks. D. renale does not seem to encounter geographical barriers that prevent its dispersal throughout the study area. However, the statistical analysis performed shows that geographical distribution is better at explaining genetic diversity than host species. This may indicate a small contribution of geographical distribution, which increases when the Chaco and Corrientes populations are considered as one, probably due to shared water resources from the Parana River but

still less than 6% of the genetic diversity (Supplementary file S6). Furthermore, the Mantel test revealed no evidence of isolation by distance. Establishing a source/sink relationship between the studied localities is challenging, but it is worth noting that Buenos Aires Province exhibited higher haplotype diversity, but lower nucleotide diversity compared to Santa Fe Province in Argentina. On the other hand, Santa Fe has haplotypes absent in Buenos Aires Province and in some cases shares haplotypes with Brazil. This suggests that Santa Fe Province population is a contact zone between 2 regions, Buenos Aires Province (more temperate areas) and northern Argentina/southern Brazil (more tropical areas). This would also explain the greater nucleotide diversity that is observed when populations are structured. No differences in haplotype diversities were observed between Brazil and Argentina, making it difficult to establish a clear direction of transmission between the two countries. This may be attributed to the distant evolutionary time during which this process occurred and the large scale of migrations. The observed pattern supports the idea of multiple transmissions of parasites between domestic and wild animals, with several haplotypes being shared among hosts. Since no significant differences were found in the haplotype diversities between domestic and wild species, it cannot be assumed that there is unidirectional transmission. Instead, this pattern is indicative of a high rate of transmission occurring in both directions between domestic and wild species. Spillover of parasites at the domestic animal - wildlife interface is a pervasive threat to animal and human health. This information is crucial for future studies aiming to understand the ecology of the parasite in South America and its impact on wild populations, as well as risk of transmission to humans. Population expansion was examined in this study using 2 commonly used marker genes in nematode population studies. Our results showed no significant evidence of population growth in the studied area. Considering the number of informative sites studied, results suggest that D. renale has been present in the region for a considerable period of time, enough to lose the genetic imprint of their colonization.

Prior to this work, very little information was available on the diversity of *D. renale*, a zoonotic parasite that is widespread in riparian regions of Argentina and southern Brazil. Here we provide the descriptions of the genetic diversity of the parasite in the region by complementing molecular methods and classical and probabilistic phylogeography. Our results strongly suggest that this parasite has been present on the continent long enough to develop local genetic variants, rather than being the product of an introduction from the countries where it is reported. Although it is widely distributed in the molecular data, there is no significant evidence of population expansion. Also, the phylogenies show transmission between localities and bidirectional transmission between domestic and wild species.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182024001379.

Data availability statement. All nucleotide sequences are available at GenBank under accession numbers OP208282.1 to OP208330.1, and OP204915.1 to OP204944.

Authors' contributions. K. L. and F. G. conceived and designed the study. A. L. F. and K. L. conducted molecular biology experiments. F. F. F., G. N., B. M., M. L. L., A. J., N. M. B., K. M., P. D., S. M. C., D. S. R. J. C., Z. F. and B. P. M. conducted sample and data gathering. A. L. F. and L. V. performed statistical analyses and revised the manuscript. K. L., F. G. R. and A. L. F. wrote the article. Daniela Pedrassani: Deceased.

Financial support. During 2023 the work have financial support by PNUD PGTF/INT/21/K09 (K. L.); and ANPCyT PICT 2020 02513, (K. L., A. L. .F). In 2024 the work did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests. None.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

References

- Allaire J (2012) RStudio: integrated development environment for R. *Boston* MA 779, 165–171.
- Arana MD, Natale ES, Ferretti NE, Romano GM, Oggero AJ, Martínez G, Posadas PE and Morrone JJ Esquema biogeográfico de la República Argentina, Vol. 56. Fundación Miguel Lillo; Opera Lilloana, pp. 3–2021, 1–240.
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P and Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16, 37–48.
- Banta JA, Dole J, Cruzan MB and Pigliucci M (2007) Evidence of local adaptation to coarse-grained environmental variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Evolution* 61, 2419–2432.
- Blaxter M and Koutsovoulos G (2015) The evolution of parasitism in Nematoda. *Parasitology* 142, S26–S39.
- Blouin MS, Yowell CA, Courtney CH and Dame JB (1998) Substitution bias, rapid saturation, and the use of mtDNA for nematode systematics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **15**, 1719–1727.
- Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchêne S, Fourment M, Gavryushkina A, Heled J, Jones G, Kühnert D, De Maio N, Matschiner M, Mendes FK, Müller NF, Ogilvie HA, Du Plessis L, Popinga A, Rambaut A, Rasmussen D, Siveroni I and Drummond AJ (2019) BEAST 2.5: an advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Computational Biology 15, 1–28.
- Burgos L, Acosta RM, Fonrouge RD, Archelli SM, Gamboa MI, Linzitto OR, Linzitto JP, Osen BA and Radman NE (2015) Prevalencia de un parásito zoonótico, *Dioctophyma renale* (Goeze, 1782), en caninos machos de una zona rivereña al Río de la Plata, Provincia de Buenos Aires, República Argentina. *Revista de Patologia Tropical* 43, 420–426. https://doi.org/10.5216/rpt.v43i4.33603.
- Butti MJ, Gamboa MI, Terminiello JD, Franchini GR, Giorello AN, Maldonado LL, Kamenetzky L, Luna MF, Lopez Merlo M and Radman NE (2019) Dioctophyme renale in a domestic cat (Felis catus): Renal location and nephrectomy. Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports 18, 100339. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr.2019.100339.
- Di Nucci DL, de las Guerisoli MM, Sciabarrasi Bagilet A, Tittarelli M, Natalini MB, Castillo Giraudo M and Orozco MM (2020) Nuevos hallazgos y localidades de *Dioctophyme renale* (Nematoda, Enoplida, Dioctophymatidae) en *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Carnivora: Canidae) para la República Argentina. *Notas Sobre Mamíferos Sudamericanos* 1, 001–011.
- Echenique JVZ, Soares MP, Mascarenhas CS, Bandarra PM, Quadros P, Driemeier D and Schild AL (2018) Lontra longicaudis infected with canine parvovirus and parasitized by Dioctophyma renale. Pesqui Veterinária Bras 38, 1844–1848.
- Eiras J, Zhu XQ, Yurlova N, Pedrassani D, Yoshikawa M and Nawa Y (2021) Dioctophyme renale (Goeze, 1782) (Nematoda, Dioctophymidae) parasitic in mammals other than humans: A comprehensive review. *Parasitology International*, *81*, 102269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102269.
- **Excoffier L, Smouse PE and Quattro JM** (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* **131**, 479–491.
- Excoffier L, Laval G and Schneider S (2005) Arlequin ver. 3.0: an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 1, 47–50.
- Fernández FF, Fernández CF, Arce LF, Marini R, Franchini GR, Kamenetzky L and Beldomenico PM (2024) First record of Dioctophyme renale (Goeze, 1782) in the pampas fox (Lycalopex gymnocercus, Fischer, 1814) (Carnivora: Canidae). Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports 49, 101001. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr. 2024.101001.
- Fu YX (1997) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics* 147, 915–925.
- Gharamah AA, Azizah MNS and Rahman WA (2012) Genetic variation of *Haemonchus contortus* (Trichostrongylidae) in sheep and goats from Malaysia and Yemen. *Veterinary Parasitology* **188**, 268–276.
- Gonçalves LT, Bianchi FM, Deprá M and Calegaro-Marques C (2021) Barcoding a can of worms: testing cox1 performance as a DNA barcode of nematoda. *Genome* 64, 705–717.

- Hall TA (1999) Bioedit: a user friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleid Acids Symposium Series 41, 95–98.
- Hebert PDN, Ratnasingham S and DeWaard JR (2003) Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **270**, S96–S99. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2003.0025.
- Huang X (1992) A contig assembly program based on sensitive detection of fragment overlaps. *Genomics* 14, 18–25.
- Hudson RR (1990) Gene genealogies and the coalescent process. Oxford Surveys in Evolutionary Biology 7, 1–44.
- Jimenez FA, Notarnicola J and Gardner SL (2017) Nematodes associated with mammals in the Great American Biotic Interchange (GABI). *Oecologia Australis* 21, 1–16.
- Khullar S, Verma N and Mirdha BR (2022) Dioctophymiasis. In Parija SC and Chaudhury A (eds), *Textbook of Parasitic Zoonoses*. Singapore: Springer, pp. 978–981. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7204-0_46.
- Koehler AVA, Hoberg EP, Torres-Pérez F and Cook JA (2009a) A molecular view of the superfamily dioctophymatoidea (Nematoda). *Comparative Parasitology* 76, 100–104.
- Koehler AVA, Hoberg EP, Dokuchaev NE, Tranbenkova NA, Whitman JS, Nagorsen DW and Cook JA (2009b) Phylogeography of a holarctic nematode, Soboliphyme baturini, among mustelids: climate change, episodic colonization, and diversification in a complex host-parasite system. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 96, 651–663.
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T and Calcott B (2017) Partitionfinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34, 772–773.
- Li G, Liu C, Li F, Zhou M, Liu X and Niu Y (2010) Fatal bilateral Dioctophymatosis. *Journal of Parasitology* **96**, 1152–1154.
- Mace TF and Anderson RC (1975) Development of the giant kidney worm, Dioctophyma renale (Goeze, 1782) (Nematoda: Dioctophymatoidea). Canadian Journal of Zoology 53, 1552–1568.
- Mantel N (1967) The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Research 27, 209–220.
- Marchese MR, Santos MD, Lima JDS and Pamplin PAZ (2015) First record of introduced species *Lumbriculus variegatus* Müller, 1774 (Lumbriculidae, Clitellata) in Brazil. *Bioinvasions Records* **4**, 81–85.
- Mattos Varzone JR, Cury Thomaz de Aquino LP and de Rodovalho MVT (2008) Macroscopic findings of lesions resulting from parasitism by Dioctophyma renale in Lobo-Guará (Chrysocyon brachyurus) – case report. Ensaios e Ciência: Ciências Biológicas, Agrárias e Da Saúde XII, 171–178.
- Measures LN (2008) Dioctophymatosis. In Samuel WM, Pybus MJ and Alan Kocan A (eds), *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Mammals*. Iowa: Iowa State University Press, pp. 357–364. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470377000.ch13.
- Milanelo L, Moreira MB, Fitorra LS, Petri BSS, Alves M and de Cássia dos Santos A (2009) Ocorrência de parasitismo por *Dioctophyma renale* em quati (*Nasua nasua*) do Parque Ecológico Tietê, São Paulo. *Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileira* 29, 959–962.
- Misenderino ML (2007) Macroinvertebrate functional organization and water quality in a large arid river from Patagonia (Argentina). *Annales de Limnologie – International Journal of Limnology* **43**, 133–145.
- **Nei M** (1987) *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Nei M and Li WH (1979) Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America **76**, 5269–5273.
- Nei M and Tajima F (1981) DNA polymorphism detectable by restriction endonucleases. *Genetics* 97, 145–163.
- Nguyen VC, Villate L, Gutierrez-Gutierrez C, Castillo P, Van Ghelder C, Plantard O and Esmenjaud D (2019) Phylogeography of the soil-borne vector nematode *Xiphinema index* highly suggests Eastern origin and dissemination with domesticated grapevine. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 7313.

- Norouzi R, Manochehri A and Hanifi M (2017) A case report of human infection with *Dioctophyma renale* from Iran. *Urology Journal* 14, 3043–3045.
- Pedrassani D, Wendt H, Rennau EA, Pereira ST and Wendt SBT (2014) Dioctophyme renale Goeze, 1782 in a cat with a supernumerary kidney. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária* 23, 109–111.
- **Pereyra FX** (2003) *Ecoregiones de la Argentina*. Anales 37. 208 p. y 1 mapa. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Servicio Geológico Minero Argentino.
- Pinto RM, Knoff M, Gomes DC and Noronha D (2011) Nematodes from mammals in Brazil: an updating. *Neotropical Helminthology* 5, 139–183.
- Poon RWS, Tam EWT, Lau SKP, Cheng VCC, Yuen KY, Schuster RK and Woo PCY (2017) Molecular identification of cestodes and nematodes by cox1 gene real-time PCR and sequencing. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 89, 185–190.
- Radman NE, Gamboa MI, Butti MJ, Blanco M, Rube A, Terminiello J, Osen BA, Burgos L, Corbalán VV, Paladini A, Acosta RM, Rodríguez Eugui JI, Borrelli SO, Brusa MC and Martino P (2017) Occurrence of dioctophymosis in canines within a riparian zone of the Río de La Plata watercourse, in Ensenada, Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. Veterinary Parasitology: Regional Studies and Reports 10, 43–50.
- Rambaut A and Drummond AJ (2003) Tracer, version 1.2. 1. Program distributed by the author. Available at http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer (Accessed 30 July 2024).
- Ramos-Onsins SE and Rozas J (2002) Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against population growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19, 2092–2100.
- Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE and Sanchez-Gracia A (2017) DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34, 3299–3302.
- Shen DD, Wang JF, Zhang DY, Peng ZW, Yang TY, Wang ZD, Bowman DD, Hou ZJ and Liu ZS (2017) Genetic diversity of *Haemonchus contortus* isolated from sympatric wild blue sheep (*Pseudois nayaur*) and sheep in Helan Mountains, China. *Parasites and Vectors* 10, 437. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13071-017-2377-0.
- Tajima F (1989) The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* 123, 597–601.
- Tamura K and Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 10, 512–526.
- Tamura K, Stecher G and Kumar S (2021) MEGA11: molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38, 3022–3027.
- Tavare S (1984) Line-of-descent and genealogical processes, and their applications in population genetics models. *Theoretical Population Biology* 26, 119–164.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG and Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTALW: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through weighing, position specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22, 4673–4680.
- Tokiwa T, Ueda W, Takatsuka S, Okawa K, Onodera M, Ohta N and Akao N (2014) The first genetically confirmed case of *Dioctophyme renale* (Nematoda: Dioctophymatida) in a patient with a subcutaneous nodule. *Parasitology International* **63**, 143–147.
- Trindade MAC, De Macedo MRP and Muller G (2018) Dioctophyme renale (Nematoda: Dioctophymatidae) in *Leopardus geoffroyi* (Carnivora: Felidae) in the Neotropical region. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Parasitology* 27, 223–225.
- Watterson GA (1975) On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination. *Theoretical Population Biology* 276, 256–276.
- Zarlenga DS, Rosenthal BM, La Rosa G, Pozio E and Hoberg EP (2006) Post-Miocene expansion, colonization, and host switching drove speciation among extant nematodes of the archaic genus Trichinella. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 7354–7359.