Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness

www.cambridge.org/dmp

Original Research

Cite this article: Rickless DS, Wilt GE, Sharpe JD, Molinari N, Stephens W, LeBlanc TT. Social vulnerability and access of local medical care during hurricane harvey: A spatial analysis. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* doi: https:// doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.421.

Keywords:

emergency medical services; geographic mapping; natural disasters; social vulnerability; spatial statistics

Corresponding author:

David Rickless, Email: opv1@cdc.gov. Social Vulnerability and Access of Local Medical Care During Hurricane Harvey: A Spatial Analysis

David S. Rickless MS¹, Grete E. Wilt MPH^{1,2}, J. Danielle Sharpe MS^{1,3}, Noelle Molinari PhD⁴, William Stephens MS⁵ and Tanya Telfair LeBlanc PhD⁴

¹Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Office of Innovation and Analytics, Geospatial Research, Analysis, and Services Program, Atlanta, GA, USA; ²Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Department of Environmental Health, Boston, MA, USA; ³Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Atlanta, GA, USA; ⁴Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Center for Preparedness and Response, Division of State and Local Readiness, Applied Science and Evaluation Branch, Atlanta, GA, USA and ⁵Texas Informatics, Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, USA

Abstract

Objectives: When Hurricane Harvey struck the coastline of Texas in 2017, it caused 88 fatalities and over US \$125 billion in damage, along with increased emergency department visits in Houston and in cities receiving hurricane evacuees, such as the Dallas-Fort Worth metroplex (DFW).

This study explored demographic indicators of vulnerability for patients from the Hurricane Harvey impact area who sought medical care in Houston and in DFW. The objectives were to characterize the vulnerability of affected populations presenting locally, as well as those presenting away from home, and to determine whether more vulnerable communities were more likely to seek medical care locally or elsewhere.

Methods: We used syndromic surveillance data alongside the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Social Vulnerability Index to calculate the percentage of patients seeking care locally by zip code tabulation area. We used this variable to fit a spatial lag regression model, control-ling for population density and flood extent.

Results: Communities with more patients presenting for medical care locally were significantly clustered and tended to have greater socioeconomic vulnerability, lower household composition vulnerability, and more extensive flooding.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that populations remaining in place during a natural disaster event may have needs related to income, education, and employment, while evacuees may have more needs related to age, disability, and single-parent household status.

Introduction

A growing body of scientific evidence demonstrates that social determinants, such as one's position in a social or economic hierarchy, can influence health outcomes and access to health care.¹⁻³ In the past 2 decades, place has emerged as a social determinant of health, with notable disparities in education, income, and wealth associated with specific geographic regions.^{4,5} Likewise, geographic inequalities undergirded a plethora of adverse health outcomes attributable to poverty, insufficient social support networks, presence of food deserts, proximity to toxic environmental hazards, and crime proliferation. Where a person lives, works, and socializes determines access to medical care services, usage of those services, and quality of care received.⁵

Moreover, during a disaster event, socioeconomic status can influence survival because the effects of a disaster event on a population are unevenly distributed. Children, the elderly, and individuals with disabilities have greater sensitivity to adverse impacts, and marginalized groups, such as lower-income communities and some communities of color, are disproportion-ately affected by adverse events during disasters.⁶ The role of socioeconomic inequality in determining health outcomes was clearly evident with the increased rate of adverse health outcomes, death, and dislocation of vulnerable and marginalized populations in the aftermath of hurricanes Katrina and Rita along the US Gulf Coast in 2005.⁷ Thus, the impacts of natural disasters can be understood as a product of both physical exposure to an environmental hazard and social processes that shape human impacts.⁸

Hurricane Harvey made landfall near Corpus Christi, Texas, on August 25, 2017, as a Category 4 storm. In the following days, much of the Houston metropolitan area experienced over 30 inches of rainfall, with some locations experiencing over 50 inches.⁹ The resulting flooding covered nearly one third of the city and affected more than 200 000 homes. Thousands of Houston residents evacuated, many to the DFW metroplex.^{10,11} Harvey ultimately caused 88 deaths and an estimated US \$125 billion of damage, making it the second-costliest tropical cyclone in US history, following Hurricane Katrina.¹²

© Society for Disaster Medicine and Public Health, Inc. 2021.



During disasters of Hurricane Harvey's scale, hospitals are among the first institutions impacted, and, as such, they are often faced with a surge in demand for medical services that can overwhelm their resources.¹³⁻¹⁵ Medical surge affects not only medical services in the impact zone but also those in cities that receive evacuees.^{16,17} Careful planning and coordination are required for a health care system to meet this increased demand.¹⁸ Previous research has examined the strategies used by hospitals to prepare for a hurricane-related surge,¹³ modeled the operations of a hospital during a disaster-related surge,¹⁹ and considered the capacity to provide health services during a long-term recovery.²⁰

Few studies have characterized patient populations seeking medical care during a disaster based on social vulnerability. Research on social vulnerability has identified specific sociodemographic indicators that influence differential sensitivity, adaptive capacity, and the ability to recover from disasters.²¹ The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Social Vulnerability Index (CDC SVI) takes a combination of contextual demographic and socioeconomic factors into account, allowing for the comparison of relative levels of disaster-related social vulnerability within a given area.²² For example, Flanagan et al. applied this index to explore the impact of Hurricane Katrina on local populations.²³ In keeping with this line of research, this study's objective was to determine the spatial and statistical relationship between social vulnerability, as estimated by CDC SVI, and the locations where residents sought emergency medical care related to Hurricane Harvey. The results of this study have implications for disaster preparedness research and practice.

Methods

Data Sources

Medical Visits Used for Outcome Measures

Medical visit data from the North Texas Syndromic Surveillance System were extracted using Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-based Epidemics (ESSENCE),²⁴ a tool for collecting, analyzing, and storing syndromic surveillance data. The data set included records from Texas Health Services Region for two-thirds of the time period surrounding the 2017 Hurricane Harvey event. The data set included all 109 contributing emergency departments (EDs), 55 in the DFW metroplex, and 3 in the Houston area, as well as records from 7 disaster medical assistant teams (DMATs). DMATs are multidisciplinary teams comprising physicians, nurses, paramedics, and emergency medical technicians who are deployed to augment communities' medical resources in response to federally declared disasters.²⁵

Medical visit data were included for patients who reported residing in zip codes within the 60 counties with governor-declared emergency declarations due to Hurricane Harvey or whose accompanying chief complaint or triage notes included the terms *Harvey*, *hurricane*, *evacuee*, or *evacuate*. Figure 1 displays the resulting study area of interest for the analysis. The complete data set was sorted into 3 categories: patients presenting at EDs in the DFW area, patients presenting at EDs in Houston, and patients presenting at DMAT clinics in Houston. All surveillance data were deidentified and exempted from an institutional review board (IRB) review.

The data were examined for 2 time periods: a short-term period (STP) from August 24, 2017, to September 8, 2017, and

a long-term period (LTP) from August 24, 2017, to September 29, 2017. The start date for both time periods was determined by the switching detection algorithm in ESSENCE.²⁶ This algorithm is designed to detect the beginning of an epidemic or event (in this case, the medical surge event). The end date for the STP reflects the end date of the DMATs deployment, representing the end of the core response for Hurricane Harvey. The end date for the LTP was determined by an interrupted time series (ITS) analysis,²⁷⁻²⁹ as reported by Stephens et al.¹⁵ The LTP coincides with longer response and recovery from Hurricane Harvey and the closure of the last shelter in DFW.

Predictor Measures

As one of the major disaster-related social vulnerability indices, CDC SVI comprises 4 themes that describe social vulnerability: Socioeconomic Status, Household Composition and Disability, Minority Status and Language, and Housing Type and Transportation. CDC SVI also provides a ranking of overall social vulnerability.^{22,23} Figure 2 presents CDC SVI and its 4 themes, as well as the variables that make up each theme. CDC SVI values are available in the form of percentile rankings, based on aggregated census tract-level data from the 2012–2016 American Community Survey, 5-year estimates.

In addition to CDC SVI data, this study included data on population density (persons per square mile) from the US Census Bureau³⁰ and data on Hurricane Harvey-related flooding from the Dartmouth Flood Observatory (DFO). DFO used earth observation imagery to map the extent of inundation during Hurricane Harvey.³¹ Using a georeferenced image of the extent, we assigned a value to each zip code tabulation area (ZCTA) in our study area, indicating the percentage of the zip code's total area inundated during the flood event.

Data Management

ESSENCE provided medical visit data with zip codes as the geographic units, and CDC SVI rankings were associated with census tracts, which do not exactly correspond with the areas covered by zip codes. Therefore, it was necessary to spatially connect the zip codes with tracts in order to link CDC SVI with the ESSENCE data. We selected a subset of the visit data that included the patients' zip codes. This subset included all the visits from Houston and DFW EDs and approximately 53% of the DMAT visits. We then joined the zip codes to their ZCTA units.

Although zip codes do not closely align with census tracts, several methods exist for relating the 2 geographic units. In this study, we geocoded ED visits to the population weighted centroid of their ZCTA and assigned to each visit the CDC SVI values of the tract containing its centroid. Previous research has found that geocoding to population weighted centroids improves accuracy as compared to geographic centroids.³² While stochastic geo-imputation methods can further improve accuracy, the difference is negligible when detailed demographic information is unavailable, as was the case in this study.^{32,33}

Statistical Methods

For each ZCTA, we calculated the proportion of total patients observed to seek care who presented at DMATs, Houston EDs, and DFW EDs. The sum of the proportion that presented at DMATs or at Houston EDs was considered the proportion of patients who stayed in place, or were not displaced from



Figure 1. Study area: Texas counties with state disaster declarations due to Hurricane Harvey.



Figure 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Social Vulnerability Index themes and variables.

Houston by Hurricane Harvey, and represented the main response variable of interest (PSTAY). Note that total patients seeking care were observed with error; it did not include patients who were unable to seek care, chose not to seek care, or sought care outside of the study area. We calculated this proportion for both the STP and LTP. Where visit data were missing for some ZCTAs, we employed empirical Bayesian kriging to impute values. (See Online Supplement for details.)

We used global Moran's I tests to detect spatial autocorrelation in PSTAY values and to identify the presence of significant clusters or "hotspots." In order to assess the relationship between the location at which patients presented for care and the explanatory variables, we built spatial lag regression models using R.³⁴ (See Online Supplement for details.) In a spatial lag model, a change in 1 observation of the explanatory variable cascades globally throughout the response variable. Because of this, the marginal effect is interpreted from the "total impact" value, which includes both direct (local) and indirect (cascading) effects. In our case, the marginal effect of a change in an explanatory variable on PSTAY includes the direct impact of a change in the explanatory variable on PSTAY for that observation plus the indirect impact of a change in the explanatory variable on PSTAY for all other observations summed. The impacts were estimated using the R function impacts with 500 iterations, which simulate a multivariate normal distribution to create a distribution of impacts.³⁵ The final impact estimates reported are the empirical mean of the simulated distribution with accompanying simulated P values.

 Table 1. Descriptive statistics for daily emergency medical care visits during and after Hurricane Harvey in Houston and Metropolitan Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, August 24–September 29, 2017, by zip code tabulation area

		Short Time Period ¹ (August 24–September 8, 2017)					Lor (Augu	ng Time Per st 24–Septe 29, 2017)			
Variable	N^4	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Мах	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Мах
Proportion Stayed in Place ²	652	0.36	0.37	0.27	0.00	1.00	0.39	0.37	0.27	0.00	1.00
Proportion DMAT	652	0.14	0.27	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.00	1.00
Proportion Houston Emergency Department	652	0.19	0.34	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.20	0.32	0.00	0.00	1.00
Proportion DFW Emergency Department ³	652	0.34	0.43	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.33	0.40	0.08	0.00	1.00
Total Visits per ZCTA	652	12.63	39.99	1.00	0.00	439.00	23.80	84.60	2.00	0.00	1071.00
DMAT Visits per ZCTA	652	3.99	19.00	0.00	0.00	261.00	3.99	19.00	0.00	0.00	261.00
Houston Emergency Department Visits per ZCTA	652	1.62	3.03	0.00	0.00	42.00	2.57	4.78	1.00	0.00	73.00
DFW Emergency Department Visits per ZCTA	652	7.01	32.53	0.00	0.00	424.00	17.24	79.75	0.00	0.00	1056.00
SVI Overall	652	0.50	0.24	0.51	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.24	0.51	0.00	1.00
Theme 1: Socioeconomic Status	652	0.49	0.25	0.49	0.00	1.00	0.49	0.25	0.49	0.00	1.00
Theme 2: Household Composition & Disability	652	0.54	0.26	0.56	0.00	1.00	0.54	0.26	0.56	0.00	1.00
Theme 3: Minority Status & Language	652	0.43	0.26	0.42	0.00	0.99	0.43	0.26	0.42	0.00	0.99
Theme 4: Housing Type & Transportation	652	0.53	0.27	0.54	0.00	1.00	0.53	0.27	0.54	0.00	1.00
Population Density per Square Mile	652	1354.64	2073.01	173.29	0.00	15122.04	1354.64	2073.01	173.29	0.00	15122.04
Proportion Flood Waters Inundated	652	0.09	0.14	0.02	0.00	0.75	0.09	0.14	0.02	0.00	0.75

¹Hurricane Harvey made landfall in Texas on August 25, 2017.

²Imputed using empirical Bayesian kriging.

³DFW (Metropolitan Dallas-Fort Worth in Texas).

⁴Total zip code tabulation areas included.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1 for the variables used in the statistical analyses. In the STP, there were 1058 visits to DFW EDs, 4573 visits to Houston EDS, and 2602 visits to DMATs, for a total of 8233 visits, with zip code information available. In the LTP, we observed 1674 DFW ED visits, 11 243 Houston ED visits, and 2602 DMAT visits, for a total of 15 519 visits with zip code information available. The mean PSTAY increased from 0.36 in the STP to 0.39 in the LTP, despite DMATs only remaining open during the STP. This is likely because, as Figure 3 illustrates, the number of Houston ED visits, part of the numerator in PSTAY, was relatively low in the immediate aftermath of Hurricane Harvey and increased several days after the storm.

Figure 4 illustrates the spatial distribution of all the variables used in the analysis. For Percent of Patients Staying in Place, we see an apparent cluster of high values in the eastern region of the study area, in some ZCTAs near Houston. Farther west, near San Antonio, is a cluster of lower values. Population density and flood extent are also concentrated in those particular areas. CDC SVI themes are more evenly distributed, though their highest values overlap somewhat with those of population density. It is important to note that values for PSTAY presented here and used in the analysis include the estimates generated by empirical Bayesian kriging. (See the Online Supplement for more details.)

Spatial Regression Impact Estimates

In each model and for both time periods, the estimated coefficient for the spatial lag was positive, indicating that if a neighboring ZCTA had high PSTAY, the ZCTA observed also tended to have high PSTAY. Two CDC SVI themes were significant: Socioeconomic Status as well as Household Composition and Disability. Table 2 presents the estimated direct, indirect, and total impacts for both time periods, as follows:

In the STP, a 1-unit increase in socioeconomic vulnerability yielded a 0.205-unit increase in PSTAY from the direct impact and a 0.496-unit increase in PSTAY from the indirect impact for an estimated total impact of a 0.701-unit increase in PSTAY. Similarly, but with smaller absolute magnitude, in the short-time period, a 1-unit increase in housing composition and disability vulnerability yielded an estimated total impact of a 0.348-unit decrease in PSTAY. Flooding inundation also had substantial positive impact on PSTAY, with estimated total impact of a 0.639-unit increase in PSTAY when flooding inundation increased by 1 unit. In the LTP, estimated direct impacts of vulnerability were somewhat larger while estimated indirect impacts were smaller, yielding smaller estimated total impacts with improved precision, as evidenced by smaller P-values. The estimated impact of flooding inundation was still positive and somewhat larger with improved precision.

Discussion

Key Findings

Using a social vulnerability framework, we conducted a statistically robust study to examine the spatial associations between social vulnerability and the site of emergency health care for a total of 8233 medical visits in the STP and 15 519 visits in the LTP, originating from 652 zip codes in southeastern Texas. First, we determined that higher levels of socioeconomic vulnerability were related with a greater proportion of patients seeking emergency care in the



Visits of Emergency-Declared County Residents by Location Presenting

Figure 3. Visits of emergency-declared county residents by location of presentation.

Hurricane Harvey-affected region. This finding is consistent with work identifying that socioeconomic status plays a major role in the health outcomes reported in the aftermath of disaster events.³⁶⁻³⁸ Populations of low socioeconomic status may have experienced economic barriers and financially related transportation barriers to evacuating from the region that was most impacted by Hurricane Harvey, forcing them to seek care in the disaster area when encountering health issues. We also found that physical barriers due to increased flooding were associated with seeking medical care in the hurricane-affected region as opposed to in an unaffected area. This is in accordance with research demonstrating that severe flooding contributes to loss of infrastructure, which disrupts standard means of mobility, transportation, and, consequently, seeking health care.³⁹

Living in a zip code with greater household composition vulnerability, such as one with many elderly residents or many residents with disabilities, was associated with seeking health care in an unaffected area during the Hurricane Harvey disaster. This finding is in contrast with previous studies finding that evacuation from a disaster-affected area decreased with age,^{40,41} as well as research finding that usage of EDs significantly increased for older persons in areas most impacted by the 2012 Hurricane Sandy disaster event.⁴² This finding was also inconsistent with studies finding that persons with disabilities and other impairments had increased vulnerability to disasters and were not well prepared for disaster events.43-45 Contemporary disaster research acknowledges the disaster vulnerability of persons living with disabilities and chronic illnesses and advocates for targeted interventions for such vulnerable persons.⁴⁶ Our findings suggest that, in this particular case, this population was able to seek medical care outside of the disaster impact area.

Based on our analysis, socioeconomic vulnerability had the greatest impact on whether persons seeking emergency medical care during a disaster did so in a disaster-impacted area or evacuated and sought care in unaffected locales. This finding is similar to work that has found that aspects of socioeconomic status explain the greatest proportion of geographic variation in social vulnerability to hazards and disasters.^{21,47} In the short term, flooding also contributed to more persons staying and seeking health care near their homes. This is a plausible finding considering that Hurricane Harvey led to over 500 000 vehicles and 300 000 buildings and other structures being flooded, as well as over 42 000 persons being forced into evacuation shelters due to extensive flooding.⁴ Flooding caused by Hurricane Harvey severely disrupted transportation infrastructure and mobility in southeastern Texas, potentially preventing many persons who intended to evacuate from actually doing so once water levels began to rise. The overall finding that socioeconomic vulnerability and flooding had the largest impacts on locations of health care seeking can be explained by the global phenomenon of impoverished persons residing in lowincome communities with poor infrastructure to handle inundation.49

These findings have implications for public health practice, preparedness, and response. Our results indicate that low-income communities with high poverty and unemployment rates and low educational attainment may be less likely to evacuate. Improved flood protection infrastructure also remains an important consideration, as flooding continues to be a barrier to evacuation in some neighborhoods. Meanwhile, this study found positive outcomes for persons who are vulnerable based on age, household composition, and disability status, which may be indicative of successful evacuation and preparedness efforts among these groups of people.

Such contrasting findings between areas with high socioeconomic vulnerability and household composition vulnerability regarding the capability and location of health–care-seeking behaviors may also be indicative of the Medicare and Medicaid landscape in the state of Texas. As a federal insurance program that primarily supports elderly persons and younger persons living with



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of variables by zip code tabulation area.

disabilities, Medicare is a well-supported program in Texas and parallels private health insurance in regard to per capita expenditures and inpatient utilization,⁵⁰ highlighting the comparable coverage of the 2 insurance modalities across the state. However, Medicaid, a federal-state assistance program supporting lowincome persons, has yet to be expanded in Texas, which also is the US state with the largest uninsured population.⁵¹ Considering this study found that persons with vulnerabilities

 Table 2.
 Estimated impact of social vulnerability, population density, and flooding inundation on location of medical care access during Hurricane Harvey, 2017, Houston and Metropolitan Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, by ZCTA

	Short	Short Time Period (August 24-September 8, 2017)							Long Time Period (August 24-September 29, 2017)						
Variable	Direct	Р	Indirect	Р	Total	Р	Direct	Р	Indirect	Р	Total	Р			
Theme 1: Socioeconomic Status	0.205	0.003	0.496	0.009	0.701	0.006	0.215	0.002	0.437	0.005	0.652	0.003			
Theme 2: Household Composition & Disability	-0.102	0.055	-0.246	0.071	-0.348	0.064	-0.113	0.046	-0.228	0.051	-0.341	0.047			
Theme 3: Minority Status & Language	-0.063	0.287	-0.153	0.297	-0.216	0.292	-0.081	0.157	-0.165	0.181	-0.246	0.171			
Theme 4: Housing Type & Transportation	-0.023	0.600	-0.056	0.611	-0.079	0.607	0.010	0.760	0.019	0.755	0.029	0.756			
Population Density per Square Mile	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001			
Flooding Inundation	0.187	0.018	0.452	0.021	0.639	0.019	0.219	0.009	0.445	0.011	0.664	0.010			
ZCTA = zip code tabulation area															
Theme 1: Socioeconomic Status	0.167	0.019	0.404	0.030	0.571	0.025	0.211	0.004	0.430	0.007	0.641	0.005			
Theme 2: Household Composition & Disability	-0.100	0.076	-0.242	0.091	-0.343	0.084	-0.113	0.029	-0.231	0.037	-0.344	0.032			
Theme 3: Minority Status & Language	-0.017	0.767	-0.040	0.764	-0.057	0.764	-0.076	0.236	-0.154	0.246	-0.230	0.241			
Theme 4: Housing Type & Transportation	0.017	0.743	-0.041	0.751	-0.057	0.748	0.011	0.779	0.021	0.783	0.032	0.781			
Population Density per Square Mile	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.002			
Flooding Inundation	0.181	0.026	0.437	0.032	0.619	0.028	0.221	0.005	0.449	0.009	0.669	0.007			
Standard Error from EBK	0.002	0.025	0.005	0.032	0.007	0.028	0.000	0.682	0.001	0.684	0.001	0.683			

ZCTA = zip code tabulation area; EBK = empirical Bayesian kriging.

related to old age or disabilities were able to seek medical care beyond the Hurricane Harvey impact zone and persons with socioeconomic vulnerability were not able to do so, the limited coverage of Medicaid in the state of Texas may likely be contributing to the limited ability of low-income persons to seek care in safer, lessimpacted localities in the aftermath of a major hurricane disaster.

Additionally, these findings may be useful for health care providers during a disaster event. Those serving in disaster-affected areas may expect to encounter an overflow of socioeconomically underprivileged persons in their clinics and EDs and may need to anticipate the health care needs that are characteristic of extreme flood events.^{39,52} Providers in nearby but unaffected areas receiving evacuees may expect to see a greater number of patients with disabilities and age-related vulnerabilities in their practices.

Limitations

This study is subject to a number of limitations. First, the findings from this work were generated from a specific region (southeastern Texas) during a specific event (the 2017 Hurricane Harvey disaster) and may not be generalizable to areas elsewhere in the United States or for other disaster events. Second, we incorporated ED data from only 3 hospitals in Houston, Texas, to represent EDs in the Hurricane Harvey-impacted area and imputed values for ZCTAs that were missing outcome data using empirical Bayesian kriging. The results may not represent the scope or scale of medical care access among all EDs in areas directly affected by Hurricane Harvey. Third, we geocoded our data based on ZCTA centroids, which is less precise than geocoding based on full addresses and assumes that the correct zip code was recorded in the ED data. Fourth, 47% of the DMAT records were missing zip code information and therefore excluded from the analyses. For the subset of records with zip codes, age was slightly lower (M = 44.9, SD = 18.7) than for the DMAT data as a whole, t(5741) = -2.2, P = 0.03. A slightly higher percentage identified as female (47%) than in the full data set (43%), whereas a lower

percentage identified as male (52%) than in the full data set (55%). Fifth, our analyses only include data for persons who presented for medical care during the Hurricane Harvey impact period. The results may therefore underrepresent the full scope and scale of medical issues that required care or treatment. This limitation hinders us from extrapolating our findings to the most socially vulnerable populations in the Hurricane Harvey-impacted region whose vulnerability may have prevented their access to medical care during the disaster, which is of concern. Finally, there are factors beyond the sociodemographic characteristics of race, ethnicity, age, disability status, socioeconomic status, transportation vulnerability, to name a few, that influence evacuation decision-making and the capability to evacuate for a storm. Risk awareness and perception, pet ownership, and social networks are among a host of other determinants that could also guide evacuation decisions. We do not examine such additional factors in this study, but future studies should take them into consideration.

Future Research

Future research that would be a natural progression from the present study may involve assessing social vulnerability at the patient scale as opposed to the population scale, as well as conducting an accessibility analysis of essential health care facilities from neighborhoods of varying social vulnerability levels. While population or area-scale social vulnerability may be useful for identifying health care facilities that are more inclined to be inundated with medical needs from persons residing in socially vulnerable places, evaluating social vulnerability at the individual scale may provide additional insight into the magnitude of vulnerability and particular medical needs with which these persons present. In addition, understanding geographic access to essential medical facilities, such as hospitals, DMATs, and pharmacies, during disaster events can provide insight on the extent that accessibility may differ by area-level vulnerability status. Examining the travel time and distance to hospitals, DMATs, and pharmacies during the

Hurricane Harvey event may further clarify the contributions of space and place to the inaccessibility of these essential health care facilities for areas of high social vulnerability.

Conclusions

In the aftermath of the 2017 Hurricane Harvey disaster event, a medical surge was encountered in EDs and DMATs of areas in southeastern Texas directly impacted by the storm, as well as areas receiving evacuating patients. In the present study, we determined that socioeconomic vulnerability and flooding were significantly associated with persons seeking emergency medical care in Hurricane Harvey-impacted areas, while vulnerability related to household composition and disability was significantly predictive of persons evacuating to unaffected areas to receive medical care. Both social vulnerability and physical exposure to flooding were significant predictors of patients' locations when presenting for care during Hurricane Harvey and may have influenced evacuation. This study contributes to the literature by applying geospatial methods to examine the impact of disaster-related social vulnerability on the locations of health care receipt. Overall, our findings support an approach to disaster preparedness research that integrates aspects of the physical environment and the socioeconomic situation of the population, with particular attention paid to differences among communities within the disaster area.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Marissa Grossman, PhD, for consultation on statistical methods and the 2 anonymous reviewers for their constructive feedback.

Funding Statement. NIH Grant T32 ES 007069 supported the development of this manuscript.

Conflict(s) of Interest. The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this paper.

Disclaimer. The findings and conclusions in this study have not been formally disseminated by the CDC or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.421

References

- 1. Marmot M. The health gap: the challenge of an unequal world. *Lancet*. 2015;386(10011):12–18. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00150-6.
- Marmot M. The health gap: the challenge of an unequal world: the argument. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2017;46(4):1312–1318. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx163.
- Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the causes of the causes. *Public Health Rep.* 2014;129(2):19–31. doi: 10. 1177/00333549141291S206.
- Nunn R, Parsons J, Shambaugh J. The geography of prosperity. In: Shambaugh J, Nunn R, eds. Place Based Policies for Shared Economic Growth. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution; 2018.
- Padilla CM, Kihal-Talantikit W, Perez S, Deguen S. Use of geographic indicators of healthcare, environment and socioeconomic factors to characterize environmental health disparities. *Environ Health.* 2016;15:79. doi: 10.1186/s12940-016-0163-7.
- Gamble JL, Balbus J, Berger M, et al. Populations of concern. In: Crimmins A, Balbus J, Gamble JL, et al., eds. The Impacts of Climate Change on Human Health in the United States: A Scientific Assessment. Washington, DC: US Global Change Research Program; 2016:247–286. doi: 10.7930/J0Q81B0T.

- 7. **Crouse-Quinn S.** Hurricane Katrina: a social and public health disaster. *Am J Public Health.* 2006;96(2):204.
- 8. Wisner B, Blaikie P, Cannon T, Davis I. At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability, and disasters. New York: Routledge; 2004.
- 9. United States National Weather Service. Hurricane Harvey and its impacts on Southeast Texas. August 25-29, 2017. https://www.weather.gov/hgx/hurricaneharvey. Accessed November 19, 2019.
- 10. **Pines JM**. Freestanding emergency department visits and disasters: the case of Hurricane Harvey. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2018;36:1513–1514.
- Liu EL, Morhsedi B, Miller BL, et al. Dallas mega shelter medical operations response to Hurricane Harvey. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2017;13(1):90–93. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2017.123.
- United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Hurricane Center. Costliest U.S. tropical cyclones tables updated. January 26, 2018. https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/news/Updated Costliest.pdf. Accessed November 19, 2019.
- 13. Mehta S. Disaster and mass casualty management in a hospital: how well are we prepared? *J Postgrad Med.* 2006;52(2):89–90.
- Yi P, George SK, Paul JA, Lin L. Hospital capacity planning for disaster emergency management. *Socio Econ Plan Sci.* 2010;44(3):151–160. doi: 10. 1016/j.seps.2009.11.002.
- Stephens WS, Wilt GE, Molinari N, *et al.* A spatial and temporal investigation of medical surge in Dallas-Fort Worth during Hurricane Harvey, Texas 2017. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2020;14(1):111–118. doi: 10. 1017/dmp.2019.143.
- Edwards TD, Young RA, Lowe AF. Caring for a surge of Hurricane Katrina evacuees in primary care clinics. *Ann Fam Med.* 2007;5(2): 170–174. doi: 10.1370/afm.646.
- Eastman AL, Rinnert KJ, Nemeth IR, *et al.* Alternate site surge capacity in times of public health disaster maintains trauma center and emergency department integrity: Hurricane Katrina. *J Trauma*. 2007;63(2):253–257. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3180d0a70e.
- Gage LS, Burch CC. Hospital staffing and surge capacity during a disaster event. National Association of Public Hospitals and Health Systems: Research Brief. May 2007. https://essentialhospitals.org/wp-content/ uploads/2014/10/May2007_Research_Brief.pdf. Accessed November 19, 2019.
- Adalja AA, Watson M, Bouri N, *et al.* Absorbing citywide patient surge during Hurricane Sandy: a case study in accommodating multiple hospital evacuations. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2014;64(1):66–73. doi: 10.1016/j. annemergmed.2013.12.010.
- Runkle JD, Brock-Martin A, Karmaus W, Svendsen ER. Secondary surge capacity: a framework for understanding long-term access to primary care for medically vulnerable populations in disaster recovery. *Am J Public Health.* 2012;102(12):e24–e32. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2012.301027.
- Cutter SL, Boruff BJ, Shirley WL. Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. Soc Sci Quart. 2003;84(2):242–261.
- 22. Flanagan BE, Gregory EW, Hallisey EJ, et al. A social vulnerability index for disaster management. J Homel Secur Emerg Manag. 2011;8(1):3. doi: 10. 2202/1547-7355.1792.
- Flanagan BE, Hallisey E, Adams E, Lavery A. Measuring community vulnerability to natural and anthropogenic hazards: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Social Vulnerability Index. *J Environ Health.* 2018;80(10):34–36.
- Burkom HS, Elbert Y, Magruder SF, et al. Developments in the roles, features, and evaluation of alerting algorithms for disease outbreak monitoring. Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest. 2008;27:313.
- Mace SE, Jones JT, Bern AI. An analysis of disaster medical assistant team (DMAT) deployments in the United States. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2007;11(1):30–35. doi: 10.1080/10903120601023396.
- Chretien J, Burkom HS, Sedyaningsih ER, et al. Syndromic surveillance: adapting innovations to developing settings. *PLoS Med.* 2008;5(3):e72. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050072.
- Jandoc R, Burden AM, Mamdani M, et al. Interrupted time series analysis in drug utilization research is increasing: systematic review and recommendations. J Clin Epidemiol. 2015;68:950–956.
- Ekperi L, Thomas E, LeBlanc TT, et al. The impact of Hurricane Sandy on HIV testing rates: an interrupted time series analysis, January 1, 2011 to

December 31, 2013. *PLoS Curr Disasters*. 2018;10, epub. doi: 10.1371/currents.dis.ea09f9573dc292951b7eb0cf9f395003.

- Wilt G, Adams E, Thomas E, et al. A space time analysis evaluating the impact of Hurricane Sandy on HIV testing rates. Int J Disaster Risk Reduct. 2018;28:839–844.
- U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey, 2012–2016 5-year estimates, Table B01003. 2016. http://data.census.gov. Accessed November 19, 2019.
- Brakenridge GR, Kettner AJ. DFO flood event 4510. Dartmouth Flood Observatory. 2017. https://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/Events/2017USA4510/ 2017USA4510.html. Accessed September 10, 2019.
- 32. Henry KA, Boscoe FP. Estimating the accuracy of geographical imputation. *Int J Health Geogr.* 2008;7(1):3. doi: 10.1186/1476-072X-7-3.
- Hibbett JD, Liese AD, Lawson A, et al. Evaluating geographic imputation approaches for zip code level data: an application to a study of pediatric diabetes. Int J Health Geogr. 2009;8:54. doi: 10.1186/1476-072X-8-54.
- R [Computer Program]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.
- 35. Bivand RS, Pebesma E, Gomez-Rubio V. Applied spatial data analysis with R. 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2013.
- Nomura S, Blangiardo M, Tsubokura M, et al. Post-nuclear disaster evacuation and survival amongst elderly people in Fukushima: a comparative analysis between evacuees and non-evacuees. *Prev Med.* 2016;82:77–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.11.014.
- Zoraster RM. Vulnerable populations: Hurricane Katrina as a case study. Prehosp Disaster Med. 2010;25(1):74–78. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X00007718.
- Horner MW, Downs JA. Analysis of effects of socioeconomic status on hurricane disaster relief plans. *Transp Res Rec.* 2008;2067(1):1–10. doi: 10.3141/2067-01.
- Du W, FitzGerald GJ, Clark M, Hou X. Health impacts of floods. Prehosp Disaster Med. 2010;25(3):265–272. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X00008141.
- Lazo JK, Bostrom A, Morss RE, et al. Factors affecting hurricane evacuations intentions. *Risk Anal.* 2015;35:1837–1857. doi: 10.1111/risa. 12407.
- Dostal PJ. Vulnerability of urban homebound older adults in disasters: a survey of evacuation preparedness. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2015;9(3):301–306. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2015.50.

- Malik S, Lee DC, Doran KM, et al. Vulnerability of older adults in disasters: emergency department utilization by geriatric patients after Hurricane Sandy. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2018;12(2):184–193. doi: 10.1017/ dmp.2017.44.
- Miller AC, Arquilla B. Chronic diseases and natural hazards: impact of disasters on diabetic, renal, and cardiac patients. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2008;23(2):185–194. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X00005835.
- Tomio J, Sato H, Mizumura H. Disparity in disaster preparedness among rheumatoid arthritis patients with various general health, functional, and disability conditions. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2012;17(4):322–331. doi: 10.1007/s12199-011-0257-3.
- Strine TW, Neff LJ, Crawford S. Health-related quality of life domains and household preparedness for public health emergencies: behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2006–2010. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2013;7(2):191–200. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2013.23.
- Davis KJ, O'Shea G, Beach M. Assessment of risks posed to VAD patients during disasters. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2017;32(4):457–461. doi: 10.1017/ \$1049023X17006379.
- Cutter SL, Finch C. Temporal and spatial changes in social vulnerability to natural hazards. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105(7):2301–2306. doi: 10. 1073/pnas.0710375105.
- Blake ES. The 2017 Atlantic hurricane season: catastrophic losses and costs. Weatherwise. 2018;71(3):28–37. doi: 10.1080/00431672.2018.1448147.
- Winsemius HC, Jongman B, Veldkamp TIE, et al. Disaster risk, climate change, and poverty: assessing the global exposure of poor people to floods and droughts. Environ Dev Econ. 2018;23(3):328–348. doi: 10.1017/ \$1355770X17000444.
- Franzini L, Mikhail OI, Zezza M, et al. Comparing variation in Medicare and private insurance spending in Texas. Am J Manag Care. 2011;17(12): e488–e495.
- Buettgens M, Blumberg LJ, Pan C. The uninsured in Texas: statewide and local area views [Brief Report]. Urban Institute. December 12, 2018. https:// www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/99498/uninsured_in_texas_ 2.pdf. Accessed June 29, 2020.
- Paterson DL, Wright H, Harris PNA. Health risks of flood disasters. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;67(9):1450–1454. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciy227.