

THE CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM AND THE INVARIANT BASIS PROPERTY

BY
DAVID F. ANDERSON

ABSTRACT. The Chinese Remainder Theorem states that if I and J are comaximal ideals of a ring R , then $A/(I \cap J)A$ is isomorphic to $A/IA \times A/JA$ for any left R -module A . In this paper we study the converse; when does $A/(I \cap J)A$ and $A/IA \times A/JA$ isomorphic imply that I and J are comaximal?

One of the most useful tools in ring theory is the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT): if I and J are ideals of a ring R (with 1) which are comaximal ($I+J=R$), then the natural homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/I \times R/J$ induces an isomorphism $f: R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I \times R/J$. f is both a ring and R -module isomorphism. More generally, if A is any left R -module, the natural homomorphism $A/(I \cap J)A \rightarrow A/IA \times A/JA$ is an isomorphism. We remark that CRT fails if I and J are only assumed to be comaximal left ideals.

A natural question arises: if $A/(I \cap J)A$ and $A/IA \times A/JA$ are isomorphic (not necessarily by the natural homomorphism), does $I+J=R$? We say that a R -module A satisfies CC1 if whenever $A/(I \cap J)A$ and $A/IA \times A/JA$ are isomorphic, then $I+J=R$. A module need not satisfy CC1; for example, if F is a free R -module of infinite rank, then $F \approx F \times F$, so CC1 fails for F with $I=J=0$. Also, the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ does not satisfy CC1 with $I=J=3\mathbb{Z}$.

We first consider the case when R is commutative. Recall that a R -module A is locally finitely generated if A_M is a finitely generated R_M -module for all maximal ideals M of R . $J(R)$ will denote the Jacobson radical of R .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and A a R -module.*

(1) *If A satisfies CC1, then A/MA is a finitely generated R -module for all maximal ideals M .*

(2) *Assume that A is locally finitely generated, then A satisfies CC1 iff $A_M \neq 0$ for all maximal ideals M .*

(3) *If A is locally finitely generated, then A satisfies CC1 implies $\text{ann}(A) \subset J(R)$. If A is finitely generated, then A satisfies CC1 iff $\text{ann}(A) \subset J(R)$.*

Proof. (1) If some $V = A/MA$ is not finitely generated, then V is an infinite dimensional vector space over $k = R/M$. Thus $V \approx V \times V$ as k -modules, and hence as R -modules. Thus CC1 fails for A with $I=J=M$.

Received by the editors August 3, 1977.

AMS(MOS) subject classification (1970). Primary 13A99, 16A48.

(2) Suppose there is an isomorphism $f: A/(I \cap J)A \rightarrow A/IA \times A/JA$ with $I+J \neq R$; then $I+J$ is contained in some maximal ideal M . Let $N = A_M/M_M A_M$, then f induces an isomorphism $\bar{f}: N \rightarrow N \times N$. Since N is a finitely generated R_M/M_M vector space, necessarily $N = 0$. But thus $A_M = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma. Conversely, if some $A_M = 0$, then $A/MA = 0$, so $A/MA \approx A/MA \times A/MA$. Thus CC1 fails for A with $I = J = M$.

(3) This follows from (2) because $A_M \neq 0$ implies $\text{ann}(A) \subset M$. If A is finitely generated then $A_M \neq 0$ iff $\text{ann}(A) \subset M$. ■

(3) shows that the converse of (1) need not hold. Let P be the set of prime numbers, then $A = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \sum_{p \in P} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is not locally finitely generated, but A satisfies CC1. Over a local ring any finitely generated module satisfies CC1. Any free R -module of finite rank satisfies CC1. Let Q be the set of odd prime numbers, then $A = \sum_{q \in Q} \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is locally finitely generated, has $\text{ann}(A) = 0$, but does not satisfy CC1. Hence the converse of the first part of (3) does not hold.

A related question is: which rings R satisfy CC1 for all finitely generated free R -modules? Thus we say that a ring R satisfies CC2 if all finitely generated free left R -modules satisfy CC1. Proposition 1 shows that any commutative ring satisfies CC2.

We recall that a ring R satisfies the invariant basis property or invariant basis number (IBN) if $R^m \approx R^n$ implies $m = n$. Rings which satisfy IBN include commutative rings, division rings, and (left) noetherian rings. Let k be a field and V an infinite dimensional k vector space, then $R = \text{Hom}_k(V, V)$ does not satisfy IBN. An excellent reference on the invariant basis property is [1].

PROPOSITION 2. *A ring R satisfies CC2 iff every homomorphic image of R satisfies IBN.*

Proof. Suppose that some $\bar{R} = R/L$ does not satisfy IBN; then $\bar{R}^m \approx \bar{R}^n$ for some $m < n$. Choose $i, j \geq 0$ so that $i(n - m) = m + j$, then $\bar{R}^{m+i} \approx \bar{R}^{m+i(n-m)+j} = \bar{R}^{2(m+i)}$. Let $l = m + j$, then $\bar{R}^l \approx \bar{R}^l \times \bar{R}^l$; so CC1 fails for R^l with $I = J = L$.

Conversely, suppose CC2 fails. Then there are ideals I and J with $I+J \neq R$ and a finitely generated free R -module F such that $F/(I \cap J)F$ and $F/IF \times F/JF$ are isomorphic, by say f . Let $L = I+J$, then f induces an isomorphism $\bar{f}: F/LF \rightarrow F/LF \times F/LF$. Thus $\bar{R} = R/L$ does not satisfy IBN. ■

Thus any ring which satisfies CC2 also satisfies IBN. However, the converse is not true. For there exists a ring R which satisfies IBN, but not all of its homomorphic images satisfy IBN [1, p. 221]. Thus the class of rings which satisfy CC2 lies strictly between the class of commutative rings and the class of rings which satisfy IBN.

REFERENCES

1. P. M. Cohn, *Some remarks on the invariant basis property*, *Topology*, **5** (1966), 215–228.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37916