

ON A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM III

P. Erdős

(received October 16, 1968)

A family of sets $\{A_\alpha\}$ is said by Miller [3] to have property B if there exists a set S which meets all the sets A_α and contains none of them. Property B has been extensively studied in several recent papers (see the references in [2] and the last chapter of P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On chromatic number of graphs and set systems, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 17 (1966) 61-99). Hajnal and I define $m(n)$ as the smallest integer for which there is a family of $m(n)$ sets A_k , $|A_k| = n$, $1 \leq k \leq m(n)$, which do not have property B [1]. Trivially $m(n) \leq \binom{2n-1}{n}$ (take all subsets taken n at a time of a set of $2n-1$ elements), $m(2) = 3$, $m(3) = 7$, $m(4)$ is not known. It is known [2], [4] that for $n > n_0(\epsilon)$

$$(1) \quad 2^n \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right)^{-1} \leq m(n) < (1 + \epsilon) e \log_2 n \cdot 2^{n-2}$$

$m_N(n)$ is the smallest integer for which there are $m_N(n)$ sets A_k , $|A_k| = n$, $1 \leq k \leq m_N(n)$ which are all subsets of a set S, $|S| = N$ and which do not have property B. I conjectured in [2] that for $N < c_1 n$, $m_N(n) > (2 + c_2)^n$. In this note we prove this conjecture and get fairly good upper and lower bounds for $m_N(n)$. In fact we prove that if $N = (c + o(1))n$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_N(n)^{1/n} = 2(c-2)^{\frac{1}{2}(c-2)}(c-1)^{1-c} c^{\frac{1}{2}c} \text{ for } c > 2 \text{ and} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_N(n)^{1/n} = 4 \text{ if } N = (2 + o(1))n. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1.

$$(3) \quad m_{2N-1}(n) \geq m_{2N}(n) \geq 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right).$$

Let $|S| = 2N$ and $|A_k| = n, 1 \leq k \leq m_{2N}(n)$ where $\{A_k\}$ is a family of subsets of S which does not have property B. Clearly S can be split in $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$ ways as the union of two disjoint sets $S_1^{(t)}$ and $S_2^{(t)}, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$ for every $t, |S_1^{(t)}| = |S_2^{(t)}| = N$. By assumption the family $\{A_k\}, 1 \leq k \leq m_{2N}(n)$ does not have property B. Thus for every $t, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$, at least one of the sets $S_i^{(t)}, i = 1$ or 2 , contains one of our A_k 's. A fixed A_k can clearly be contained for only $\binom{2N-n}{N-n}$ values of t in one of the sets $S_i^{(t)}, i = 1$ or 2 (i.e. there are $\binom{2N-n}{N-n}$ subsets of S having N elements which contains a given A_k). Thus clearly

$$m_{2N}(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N} / \binom{2N-n}{N-n} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2N-i}{N-i} = 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right).$$

Thus since $m_{k+1}(n) \leq m_k(n)$ is obvious, Theorem 1 is proved.

THEOREM 2.

$$(4) \quad m_{2N+1}(n) \leq m_{2N}(n) \leq \left[N 2^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i}\right)^{-1} \right]$$

$$= N 2^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{2N-2i}\right) = f(N, n)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows very closely the proof in [2]. Let $|S| = 2N$. We shall construct our $f(N, n)$ sets $A_k, 1 \leq k \leq f(N, n), A_k \subset S, |A_k| = n$, not having property B by induction. Suppose I have already chosen ℓ of the sets $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell < f(N, n)$ and suppose that there are u_ℓ pairs of subsets of $S \{K_i, \overline{K}_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$ so that no set $A_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell$ is contained either in K_i or in \overline{K}_i .

If $u_\ell = 0$ Theorem 2 is proved. Assume henceforth $u_\ell > 0$. We shall prove that we can find a set $A_{\ell+1}$ so that

$$(5) \quad u_{\ell+1} \leq u_\ell \left(1 - \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i} \right) / 2^{n-1} \right).$$

For each i , $1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$, consider all subsets of n elements of K_i and \bar{K}_i . For fixed i the number of these subsets is clearly

$$\binom{|K_i|}{n} + \binom{|\bar{K}_i|}{n} \geq 2 \binom{N}{n} \quad (|K_i| + |\bar{K}_i| = |S| = 2N).$$

Thus the total number of subsets under consideration ($1 \leq i \leq u_\ell$) is at least $2u_\ell \binom{N}{n}$. The total number of subsets of S taken n at a time is $\binom{2N}{n}$. Hence at least one of those sets say $A_{\ell+1}$ occurs either in K_i or in \bar{K}_i for at least

$$\frac{2u_\ell \binom{N}{n}}{\binom{2N}{n}} = 2u_\ell \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (N-i) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2N-i) \right)^{-1} = \frac{u_\ell}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i} \right)$$

values of i , which proves (5).

Clearly $u_0 = 2^{2N-1}$ (since S has 2^{2N} subsets). Hence from (5)

$$(6) \quad u_r \leq 2^{2N-1} / \left(1 - \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2N-i} \right)}{2^{n-1}} \right)^r$$

Thus by (6) if $r = f(N, n)$, $u_r < 1$ and our sets A_j , $1 \leq j \leq f(N, n)$, do not have property B , which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

(2) follows easily from Theorems 1 and 2 by Stirling's formula.

For large values of N instead of $m_N(n)$ it seems more appropriate to consider $m'_N(n)$ where $m'_N(n)$ is the smallest integer for which there is a family $\{A_k\}$ $1 \leq k \leq m'_N(n)$ not having property B and satisfying $A_k \subset S$, $|S| = N$ and the further property that the set of A'_k 's contained in any proper subset of S has property B . For $n = 2$, $m'_{2N+1}(n) = 2N+1$, and, for even N , $m'_N(n)$ is not defined; this is just a restatement of the fact that the only critical three chromatic graphs are the odd circuits.

It is easy to see that $m_{2n-1}(n) = m_{2n}(n) = \binom{2n-1}{n}$. I can not compute $m_{2n+1}(n)$ and in fact do not know the value of $m_9(4)$.

It would be interesting to find an asymptotic formula for $m_N(n)$ and $m'_N(n)$, but I have not been able to do so. The upper and lower bounds for $m_N(n)$ given by Theorems 1 and 2 differ by $2N$; I could not even decrease this to $o(N)$.

I wish to thank the referee for some very useful remarks.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On a property of families of sets. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 12 (1961) 87-123 (see in particular problem 12 on p.179)
2. P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem II. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 15 (1964) 445-447.
3. E.W. Miller, On a property of families of sets. Comp. Rend. Varsovie (1937) 31-38.
4. W.M. Schmidt, Ein Kombinatorisches Problem von P. Erdős und A. Hajnal. Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 15 (1964) 373-374.

McGill University