

14. Weinreb, S. *Astrophys. J.*, **136**, 1149, 1962.
15. Morris, D., Clark, B. G., Wilson, R. W. *Astrophys. J.*, **138**, 889, 1963.
16. Wentzel, D. G. *Ann. Rev. Astr. Astroph.*, **1**, 195, 1963.
17. Hall, J. S., Serkowski, K. *Basic Astronomical Data (Stars and Stellar Systems, Vol. III)*, ed. Strand K. A., University of Chicago Press, 1963, p. 293.
18. Woltjer, L. *The Distribution and Motion of Interstellar Matter in Galaxies*, Benjamin, New York, 1962.
19. IAU Symposium no. 20: *The Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds*, 1963, (In press). The Australian Academy of Sciences and CSIRO.

## ORIGIN AND PROPAGATION OF COSMIC RAYS

(Prepared by S. B. Pikelner)

Three concepts of the origin of cosmic rays have been developed during these years—the metagalactic, the galactic, and the local generation.

(1) According to the metagalactic concept, cosmic rays come into the Galaxy from metagalactic space, in which they are distributed uniformly with a density similar to the density near the Earth (2). There are here difficulties with energy (1) and also other difficulties (3). Now some modifications of this hypothesis have been developed, which connect the cosmic rays with the local group of galaxies (4) and with the local supergalaxy (5). In both cases, the systems are considered as ideal traps completely confining the cosmic rays.

In (4), it is suggested that cosmic rays conserve the adiabatic invariant during the whole life of the system. In that case the density of the particles changes as  $\sqrt{B}$ . The chemical composition of cosmic rays puts definite restrictions to the density of gas in the system.

Critical discussion of the fundamental assumptions of these papers is given in (1). Besides there are difficulties with respect to energy. The idea that cosmic rays are kept rigorously in the systems is interesting in connection with magnetic configuration and magneto-hydrodynamics in general. The question arises if the trap might be closed when galaxies, gas and a system as a whole move. Moreover, the constancy of the adiabatic invariant causes a strong anisotropy of cosmic-ray pressure in the regions of weak magnetic field. This anisotropy may lead to instability.

The balance of kinetic, magnetic and cosmic-ray energy is interesting from the magneto-hydrodynamic point of view. Usually it is accepted that these three kinds of energy are equal. If this applies to the metagalactic medium, and if  $\rho \cong 10^{-29}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $V \cong 1$  to  $5 \cdot 10^7$  cm/sec, then the density of cosmic-ray energy is about  $10^{-14}$  erg/cm<sup>3</sup> (1). This is considerably less than that in our Galaxy. The possibility of considerable deviations from the equipartition is not clear yet.

An electron component of cosmic rays and cosmic  $\gamma$  and X-rays attract great attention now.

Besides radioastronomical data, direct measurement (6, 7) proved the presence of 1 to 3% electrons in cosmic rays. Calculations show (8) that electrons which appear in the encounters of cosmic rays with nuclei of atoms of interstellar gas and in the following desintegration of  $\pi \pm$  mesons are not enough to explain the observation. Consequently electrons should be original and in the frame of metagalactic theory they should be present in the Metagalaxy with the same density. In this case the radio emission of the Metagalaxy should be much stronger than the observed upper limit of it.

Encounters of relativistic electrons with photons of stellar light should create  $\gamma$ -quanta in metagalactic space (9). As calculations show (8), this process is principal. To explain the observed upper limit of intensity of  $\gamma$  rays (10), it is necessary that the density of relativistic electrons be less than 3% of their galactic density.

(2) According to the concept of galactic origin the cosmic rays are associated with processes

taking place inside galaxies, including ours. Cosmic rays come into metagalactic space from galaxies. The density of cosmic rays in the Metagalaxy should be three orders of magnitude less than that in the galaxy.

Supernovae explosions are considered as the origin of cosmic rays in galaxies. They inject cosmic rays into the Galaxy in a quasi-stationary way. The observed frequency of supernovae explosions is enough to maintain the density of cosmic rays taking into account their diffusion out of the Galaxy. This hypothesis is developed now more completely than others (see (1) and a bibliography there). This allows co-ordination of all data of observations except in the very high energy region. The origin of the highest-energy particles should be connected with other more extended sources of radiogalaxy type.

In opposition to such a stationary model there was suggested recently a non-stationary model supposing that enormous explosions took place in the nuclear regions of galaxies (11). In our Galaxy such explosion might have taken place  $5 \cdot 10^7$  years, or more, ago. The origin of the galactic halo is connected with this explosion (12). Similar explosions are really observed in other galaxies (M82 and especially some distant radiogalaxies). In our Galaxy we may observe several indirect results of such explosions, for instance, a movement of inner spiral arm ( $R = 3$  kpc).

It can be shown (8) that such explosions hardly can give cosmic rays with the observed concentration, as the energy of cosmic rays decreases when the gas expands. However, such explosions can be important for the theory of origin of cosmic rays as they change considerably the conditions of diffusion of particles and the model gets non-stationary.

Concerning the question about the diffusion of cosmic rays in galactic magnetic fields it is important to clear up if particles move strictly along magnetic lines conserving the adiabatic invariant or if there are some processes facilitating the guiding of cosmic rays inside the Galaxy. The second possibility seems more realistic both according to the observational data (isotropy of cosmic rays) and according to some theoretical suggestions connected with the inverse influence of relativistic gas upon the magnetic field. This influence is connected with the possible instability when the distribution is non-uniform and velocities are not isotropic (1). This very important and interesting question requires more complete theoretical analysis.

(3) Local generation. Varying magnetic fields in space are likely to produce acceleration of particles under very general conditions. Besides the acceleration due to variations in metagalactic fields and galactic fields we should also consider variations in fields in our close environment as possible sources of cosmic rays. We know that the interplanetary magnetic field is varying, and we may infer that the ejection of plasma beams into interstellar space around us is likely to drive acceleration mechanisms also in the local environment of the solar system. A local generation of cosmic radiation would be an analogy to the generation of the van Allen radiation close to the Earth (13, 14).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Ginzburg, V. L., Syrovatsky, S. I. *Origin of Cosmic Rays*. (in Russian). Moskva, Akad. N. SSSR, 1963.
2. Hoyle, F. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **120**, 338, 1960.
3. Shklovsky, I. S., Pikelner, S. B. *Astr. Zh.*, **38**, 196, 1961.
4. Sciama, D. W. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **123**, 317, 1962.
5. Burbidge, G. R. *Progr. theor. Phys.*, **27**, 999, 1962.
6. Meyer, P., Vogt, R. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **6**, 193, 1961.
7. Earl, J. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **6**, 125, 1961.
8. Ginzburg, V. L., Syrovatsky, S. I. Report on the Cosmic Rays Conference in India, 1963.

9. Felton, J. E., Morrison, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **10**, 453, 1963.
10. Kraushaar, W. L., Clark, G. W. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **8**, 106, 1962.
11. Hoyle, F., Fowler, W. *Nature, Lond.*, **197**, 533, 1963; *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **125**, 169, 1962.
12. Burbidge, G. R., Hoyle, F. *Astrophys. J.*, **138**, 57, 1963.
13. H. Alfvén, Max-Planck-Festschrift 1958, Ed. B. Kockel et al., Berlin, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1959, p. 83; *Tellus* **11**, 106, 1959.
14. Fälthammar, C.-G. *Rendiconti della Scuola Internazionale di Fisica 'Enrico Fermi'*, Course XIX, N.Y.-London, Academic Press, 1963, p. 99.