

Regular Article

Social competence as a predictor of adult outcomes in autism spectrum disorder

Elaine B. Clarke  and Catherine Lord

Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

There is a wealth of literature characterizing social difficulties in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). However, little work has replicated longitudinal findings from typical development that adolescent social competence predicts positive adult outcomes in ASD. The current study examined social competence trajectories from 2 to 26 and the utility of three social competence measures collected in adolescence in predicting work, residential status, friendship, and romantic outcomes in a longitudinal cohort ($n = 253$) of ASD. Using group-based trajectory modeling, we identified two patterns of social competence development: a low trajectory characterized by slow linear gains throughout childhood and plateauing in adulthood, and a high trajectory characterized by steeper linear gains in childhood followed by decline in adulthood. Regression models indicated one social competence measure, Vineland Social-AE scores, significantly predicted employment, residential status, and friendships in adulthood. One other social competence measure, SSQ total scores, also significantly predicted friendship in adulthood. Only nonverbal IQ at 9 predicted the likelihood of having ever had a romantic relationship. These findings highlight the role of social competence in both atypical and typical development and suggest the social impairments associated with ASD do not necessarily impact all realms of social functioning equally.

Keywords: adolescence; adult outcomes; autism spectrum disorder; early adulthood; social competence

(Received 26 September 2022; revised 25 May 2023; accepted 29 May 2023; first published online 29 June 2023)

Introduction

Schools and workplaces are inherently social environments. It is well established that childhood and adolescent social skills and prosocial behaviors – often referred to broadly as social competence – are key predictors of positive objective outcomes in adulthood (Burt et al., 2008; Romppanen et al., 2021). Social competence in childhood and adolescence promotes positive developmental cascades that increase the likelihood of normative success (i.e., full-time employment, independent residential status, friends, and romantic relationships) in adulthood (Masten et al., 2010).

Social competence is not a static construct; rather, it changes across development (Masten & Cicchetti, 2010). Attachment forms the earliest foundation for social competence; secure attachment is associated with increased peer acceptance and social reasoning skills in childhood (Groh et al., 2014; Verissimo et al., 2014). In school-age children, social competence is typically conceptualized as encompassing friendship quantity and quality, peer relationships, and prosocial behavior (Eisenberg et al., 1995; Obradović & Hipwell, 2010), but the relative importance of each of these elements may shift with age (Masten et al., 2010). Many studies of social competence in childhood include peer nomination or classroom reputation measures (Masten et al., 2004); in contrast, studies of social competence in adolescence prioritize friendship

quality (Kamis & Copeland, 2020; Obradović & Hipwell, 2010), and studies of social competence in young adults often emphasize the presence and quality of romantic relationships (Schulenberg, Sameroff, et al., 2004). There is also recognition that competence is comprised of culturally specific skills, reflecting the norms of an individual's society and community (Arnold & Lindner-Müller, 2013). In other words, a holistic conceptualization of social competence should consider individual variation accounting for person-level factors. Developmental history is one such factor.

Efforts to define social competence in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) have been limited primarily to young children and specific contexts (e.g., the school-based intervention *Re-making Recess*; Kretzmann et al., 2015; Shih et al., 2019). These efforts have not provided much information about the relationships between social competence and adult outcomes in this population. Autism is characterized by major deficits in social communication as well as the presence of sensory or restricted, repetitive interests (American Psychiatric Association, 2014). Social communication impairments in ASD can include deficits in eye contact, limited use of facial expressions and gestures, and difficulty maintaining relationships (APA, 2014). Autism is typically diagnosed in childhood; however, it is a lifelong condition. Currently, about 1 in 36 children in the USA have a diagnosis of autism (Maenner, 2023), though the worldwide prevalence of the disorder is thought to be closer to 1 in 100 children (Zeidan et al., 2022). The behavioral phenotype of ASD is not static; symptoms of ASD may change considerably across development (Bal et al., 2019). In recognition of mixed preferences for person-first vs. identity-first

Corresponding author: Elaine Clarke; Email: eclarke@mednet.ucla.edu

Cite this article: Clarke, E. B., & Lord, C. (2024). Social competence as a predictor of adult outcomes in autism spectrum disorder. *Development and Psychopathology* 36: 1442–1457, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579423000664>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



terminology, we refer to this population interchangeably as “individuals with autism” and “autistic individuals.”

Though ASD is defined in large part by impairments in social development, an autism diagnosis does not preclude the ability to engage in meaningful, adaptive social behaviors. Importantly, the social impairments associated with ASD do not necessarily impact all realms of social functioning, or at least, do not impact all realms of social functioning equally (Anderson et al., 2009; McChesney & Toseeb, 2018). Though some socially-impairing aspects of ASD – such as narrative skills, prosody, and eye contact – may often be resistant to change, individuals with autism can display social competence through other behaviors such as sharing, cooperation, and use of emotional coping skills. Thus, some aspects of social competence could promote positive developmental cascades for autistic individuals.

Social competence & adult outcomes in ASD

Given the impressive body of work typifying social difficulties in ASD (Lord et al., 2022) and investigating methods for improving social skills in autistic individuals (Gates et al., 2017), the lack of literature on social competence in autism is surprising. Few studies characterize longitudinal change in social skills from childhood to adulthood in autism, and little work has attempted to replicate findings from typical development that adolescent social competence predicts positive adult outcomes in autistic samples. Many adults with ASD experience difficulty achieving normative outcomes (Howlin & Magiati, 2017). Specifically, autistic adults have difficulty securing employment and living independently, and experience increased rates of social isolation, including fewer friendships and romantic relationships, compared to typical peers (Orsmond et al., 2013; Taylor, Center, et al., 2014). Characterizing social competence in ASD and examining longitudinal relationships between social competence and adult outcomes has important implications for understanding and improving well-being in autistic adults.

Historically, empirical investigations of young adulthood in the general population have emphasized outcomes in five distinct areas: post-secondary education, employment, residential and/or financial independence, romantic relationships, and parenthood (Furstenberg et al., 2003; Settersten & Ray, 2010; see Schulenberg, Bryant, et al., 2004 for a somewhat different conceptualization of adult outcomes). Through this lens, finishing school and/or vocational training, obtaining full-time employment, leaving the family home, and finding a life partner are integral components of establishing oneself as an adult. Though many autistic young adults and their caregivers identify similar goals for adult success as their peers in the general population (Lord et al., 2022; McCauley, Pickles, et al., 2020; Umagami et al., 2022), the social-communication differences associated with autism may make achievement of such goals uniquely challenging. Additionally, many young adults with autism and other developmental conditions experience an abrupt loss of educational and therapeutic services immediately after completing high school (often referred to as the “services cliff”; Shattuck et al., 2011). This services cliff and the subsequent lack of adult services may exacerbate autistic youths’ challenges in obtaining positive adult outcomes (Anderson et al., 2018; Clarke, McCauley, et al., 2021).

Further, given the heterogeneity of the autism spectrum, traditional markers of adult achievement may be irrelevant or inapplicable for some individuals with ASD. For example, for autistic young adults who have comorbid intellectual disability, are

minimally/nonverbal, or both, graduating from college and having a full-time job may not be meaningful benchmarks of adult success. However, part-time employment in a supported setting or in the community with a job coach may be a useful benchmarks. In an effort to accurately reflect the heterogeneity of autism while attempting to replicate findings on the importance of social competence to adult outcomes in the general population, this study uses a modified version of the Vocational Index (VDI; Taylor & Seltzer, 2012), a rating scale specifically designed to assess employment and, for those capable, post-secondary education outcomes in adults with autism and other developmental conditions (Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021; Taylor, Center, et al., 2014).

Prior work examining normative outcomes in adults with ASD found relatively high IQ, low autism symptom severity, and few to no symptoms of psychopathology are positively associated with the likelihood of working, living independently, and having friends (Bal et al., 2019; Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021; McCauley, Pickles, et al., 2020; Taylor, Center, et al., 2014). However, in relation to adult outcomes, there have been few attempts to measure more detailed aspects of social functioning that may not be key features of autism. The current project strives to quantify social competence in ASD and replicate findings from typical development that adolescent social competence predicts adult outcomes, specifically employment, independent living, and the presence of friendships and romantic relationships.

Studies of social competence in the general population have found positive associations between adolescent social competence and many adult outcomes, including employment (Collins & van Dulmen, 2006; Masten et al., 2010), friendship and romantic relationships (Romppanen et al. 2021; Schulenberg, Bryant, et al., 2004), and good mental health (Kamis & Copeland, 2020; Obradović & Hipwell, 2010). Some similar associations appear to exist for young adults with ASD. One study of a separate longitudinal sample of ASD indicated that high adolescent internalizing was associated with increased adult social isolation (Lounds Taylor et al., 2017). Similarly, prior work in the sample considered in this paper indicated a trajectory of high depressive symptoms from mid-childhood through early adulthood was associated with greater autism symptom severity in mid-childhood and decreased likelihood of living independently, having friends, and being employed at age 26 (McCauley, Elias, et al., 2020).

In the general population, having a job is closely tied to success in many areas of adult life (Blustein, 2008); conversely, lack of employment is related to a variety of negative adult outcomes (Paul & Moser, 2009). Similarly, recent work in our sample found that participation in independent work activities was associated with greater subjective well-being for adults with autism (Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021). However, despite a sizeable increase in the number autistic individuals attending post-secondary education (Elias & White, 2018), adults with autism are employed at much a lower rate than their neurotypical peers (Burgess & Cimera, 2014; Schall et al., 2020).

In addition, autistic individuals report high rates of loneliness. Prior evidence from this sample (McCauley, Pickles, et al., 2020) and others (Kuo et al., 2013; Orsmond et al., 2013) suggests many adults with autism have few or no social contacts outside of immediate family and care workers/staff. Adults with autism are also less likely to be in a committed romantic relationship than same-aged typically developing peers (Dewinter et al., 2017). The current project focuses on associations between social competence in adolescence (as measured by the Vineland, SSQ, and SDQ) and

work, living status, friendship, and romantic outcomes in young adulthood in a longitudinal sample of individuals first referred for autism evaluations in early childhood, between ages 2 and 3 years.

Measuring social competence in ASD

In studies of typical development, there is consensus that social competence should be quantified via multiple measures and informants, both to capture as many aspects of the construct as possible (Burt et al., 2008), and because peer and teacher or caregiver perceptions of social ability are meaningful components of social competence (Nowicki, 2003). However, there is little consensus as to which measures are most effective in capturing social competence for various reporters and age groups (Arnold & Lindner-Müller, 2013). Though the use of different measures completed by different reporters across different periods of development allows for a broad conceptualization of social competence, the consistent use of a measure over many years allows for meaningful comparison of scores across distinct developmental periods.

The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (Sparrow et al., 2005) is the gold-standard measure of adaptive functioning, including social capabilities, in ASD and related developmental conditions. It is frequently used in clinical and research settings to assess an individual's capability for independent functioning and to monitor progress over time. As a clinician administered, caregiver-report interview, the Vineland integrates multiple perspectives on an individual's functioning. Vinelands were administered to participants in the present study at eight points from early childhood to adulthood: ages 2, 3, 5, 9, 14, 18, 21, and 26, allowing us to describe social competence in ASD across the life course. Additionally, we asked participants, caregivers, and teachers to complete two additional measures of social competence about the participants at approximately age 14, the Social Skills Questionnaire (SSQ) and the prosocial domain of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). Considered in tandem, these three measures provide a unique opportunity to examine concordance between differing social competence assessments and informants during adolescence.

Study aims

1. *Characterize Vineland social age equivalence (AE) trajectories in our sample from ages 2 to 26.*

Previous results in this sample from ages 2 to 9 years found social-AE scores followed a positive linear trajectory, with children with high verbal abilities and non-ASD developmental delays experiencing the steepest growth (Anderson et al., 2009). Given the increasingly sophisticated social norms of late childhood and adolescence, individuals with ASD may experience a slowing in Vineland Social-AE scores after age 9. We expected to replicate the trajectories described by Anderson and colleagues, and, in line with prior findings on Vineland daily living skills-AE trajectories (Clarke, McCauley, et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2012), anticipated a plateau in social-AE trajectories in early adulthood.

2. *Examine the convergence of our Vineland social-AE trajectories with two other measures of social competence from adolescence – the Social Skills Questionnaire (SSQ), and the prosocial domain of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).*

We predicted that, controlling for salient covariates (e.g. IQ, autism symptom severity), higher teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores

and SSQ caregiver or self-report scores in early adolescence would be associated with higher social-AE scores across development, as well as a steeper rate of growth in social-AE trajectories.

3. *Replicate findings from typical development (Burt et al., 2008; Masten et al., 2010) that adolescent social competence predicts positive outcomes in adulthood for autistic individuals.*

Controlling for salient covariates, we expected high Vineland social-AE, SSQ total, and SDQ prosocial scores collected in adolescence would predict normative friendship, romance, work, and living status outcomes in early adulthood.

Method

Participants

Two hundred and thirteen consecutive referrals under 37 months old at clinics in North Carolina and Chicago initially enrolled in a longitudinal study of ASD. One hundred and ninety-two participants were referred for autism and 21 participants were referred for nonspectrum developmental delays. Forty children of similar age and diagnostic characteristics from Michigan joined the study at age 9 and were subsequently seen at the same times, for a total of 253 participants. Of these 253 individuals, 55 participants in this cohort have never received an ASD diagnosis despite repeated blinded assessments as part of this project. These individuals were retained in the current analyses for two reasons. First, considerable evidence from this sample (Lord et al., 2020) as well as other longitudinal (DaWalt et al., 2019; Hong et al., 2003) and cross-sectional (Esbensen et al., 2010) samples of autism and other developmental conditions suggest there are more similarities than differences in the lifespan experiences of these groups. Second, though autism is unique in its diagnostic emphasis on social communication deficits, many developmental conditions, including intellectual disability, language delay, and specific learning disorders and ADHD are associated with increased social challenges compared to the general population (Kushki et al., 2019; Thapar et al., 2017; Thurm et al., 2019). In-person assessments were completed at ages 2, 3, 5, 9, 18, 21, and 26. Additional parent interviews were completed at age 14. Prior work has described this sample in detail (Anderson et al., 2014; Lord et al., 2006; Pickles et al., 2020). Descriptive characteristics of the total sample and the subsample analyzed for Aims 2 and 3 are displayed in Table 1.

Procedures

Questionnaires and direct testing, including the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2; Lord et al., 2012), IQ tests chosen from a standard hierarchy (Anderson et al., 2014) and parent interviews conducted by a trained research assistant, specifically the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, Second Edition (VABS-II; Sparrow et al., 2005), and the Social Emotional Functioning Interview (SEF-S & SEF-I; Rutter et al., 1988), were completed at participants' homes. For the teacher-report SDQ, parents were asked to identify and provide contact information for the teacher or teacher's aide who worked with their child most closely. Teachers were then contacted by study staff and mailed physical copies of assessments. Parents and participants over 18 who were their own legal guardians gave written consent as required by the relevant institutional review board(s) prior to all visits.

Table 1. Total sample and Aims 2 and 3 subsample demographic characteristics

		Social competence subsample		Total sample		χ^2 (df,n)	p
		n = 163		n = 253			
		ASD n = 134	DD ^a n = 29	ASD n = 198	DD ^a n = 55		
Gender	Male	107	22	159	40	.077(1,250)	0.782
	Female	25	7	36	15		
Race	White/Caucasian	92	24	137	44	8.97(3,252)	0.062
	Black/African American	42	3	56	8		
	Asian	0	1	3	1		
	Biracial	0	1	1	2		
Recruitment site	North Carolina	114	21	163	44	3.70(2,247)	0.447
	Illinois	12	1	18	1		
	Michigan	5	5	13	7		
Urbanicity	Urban	91	12	125	34	2.12(1,246)	0.144
	Rural	39	17	66	21		
Caregiver education	Graduate or professional degree	31	4	41	8	18.21(4,220)	<.001
	Four-year college degree	43	4	48	7		
	Associate degree/some college	28	6	52	11		
	High school diploma/GED	20	6	31	14		
	Less than high school diploma	2	1	6	2		
Diagnosis	ASD	134	0	198	0	4.40(1,252)	.036^c
	Non-spectrum	0	29	0	55		

Bolded results indicate $p \leq .05$.

^aNonspectrum developmental disabilities.

^cDoes not survive Bonferroni corrections.

Measures

Social competence

Vineland adaptive behavior scales. The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, First and Second Editions (VABS and VABS II; Sparrow et al., 2005) were used to assess adaptive social behavior during in-person visits and a phone interview at age 14. The VABS is a caregiver-interview assessment of adaptive behavior composed of three domains: communication, daily living skills, and socialization. Socialization is divided into three subdomains – play, interpersonal relationships, and coping skills – which each produce an age equivalence (AE) score approximating the chronological age of typical development that matches an individual's abilities. Similarly, daily living skills (DLS) is divided into three subdomains – personal, domestic, and community – which each produce an age equivalent (AE) score. For this study, subdomain AEs were averaged into overall social age equivalence (Social-AE) and daily living skills equivalence (DLS-AE) scores.

Social skills questionnaire. The SSQ (Gresham & Elliott, 1990) consists of 38 items in four skill areas: cooperation, assertion, responsibility, and self-control. Each item is rated by a caregiver on a scale from 0 to 2, with 0 indicating the item is *Never* true, 1 indicating it is *Sometimes* true, and 2 indicating the item is *Always* true. The SSQ was initially standardized using a sample of 4,000 typically developing students from preschool to Grade 12, with an equal number of boys and girls. The secondary-school version of

the SSQ has acceptable internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha = .83 for the total scale (Gresham & Elliott, 1990).

Strengths and difficulties questionnaire. The teacher-report SDQ (Goodman, 1997) prosocial subscale was used to measure prosocial in early adolescence. The teachers were asked to rate accuracy of five statements in relation to the student's behavior using a scale from 0 to 2, where 0 indicated the statement was *Not True*, 1 indicated the statement was *Somewhat True*, and 2 indicate the statement was *Certainly True*. Statements comprising the SDQ prosocial subscale include, "considerate of other peoples' feelings," "helpful if someone is hurt, upset, or feeling ill," and "kind to younger children." Prior work assessing prosocial skills in ASD in a community-based sample found the SDQ prosocial subscale had acceptable internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha = 0.79 (McChesney & Toseeb, 2018).

IQ

The Mullen Scales of Early Learning (Mullen, 1995) were administered at age 2. Later cognitive assessments were chosen from a standard hierarchy including the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC; Wechsler, 2003), Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; Wechsler, 2011), Differential Abilities Scale (DAS; Elliott, 2007), and Mullen (Anderson et al., 2014; Lord et al., 2006). Ratio IQs were calculated when raw scores fell outside deviation score ranges. Due to the wide range of our

participants' verbal abilities, nonverbal IQ was used as a covariate rather than verbal or full-scale IQ. To approximate participant IQ in early adolescence (i.e., when the social competence measures were collected), nonverbal IQ at age 9, the closest available timepoint, was used in all current analyses.

Adult outcomes

Vocational index. Data from caregiver-report demographic forms were used to determine participants' vocational activities in early adulthood ($m = 20.01$, $SD = 2.07$). Vocational data were coded using a measure of independence in employment and post-secondary education activities in adults with ASD and other developmental conditions developed by Taylor and Seltzer (2012), the Vocational Index (VDI). The VDI has been used previously to measure change in vocational trajectories both in the current sample (Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021) and in a separate longitudinal sample of adults with ASD (Taylor and Mailick, 2014; Taylor, Smith, et al., 2014). The VDI includes scores specifically for individuals pursuing post-secondary education to improve their employment prospects, as well as scores for individuals in volunteer, sheltered workshop and supported employment settings. These characteristics make the VDI a uniquely effective measure for understanding employment and post-secondary education outcomes in ASD amongst individuals of varying language and IQ levels. For the current analyses, a modified version of the VDI was used, with scores ranging from 1 to 10 (Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021). A VDI score of 1 indicates no participation in vocational activities, and a score of 10 indicates participation in competitive employment for 20 hr/week or more without supports.

Residential status. Data from caregiver-report demographic forms were used to determine participants' residential status when participants were approximately age 25. This information was collapsed into a binary variable, with 1 indicating the participant currently lived independently outside of the family home (this included living with roommates or romantic partners) and 0 indicating the participant currently lived in the family home or in a supported residential setting (e.g., group home, or a facility with designated residential support staff).

Friendships. The presence of friendships in adulthood was assessed via raw scores on item 65, Friendships, of the Autism Diagnostic Interview, Revised (ADI-R; Le Couteur et al., 2003) a clinician-administered caregiver interview. The ADI-R was administered when participants were approximately age 19 ($m = 18.93$, $SD = 1.33$). For the current analyses, a binary score on this item was generated, with 1 indicating one or more mutual, reciprocal friendships and 0 indicating no mutual, reciprocal friendships.

Romantic relationships. The Social Emotional Functioning Interview (SEF-S & SEF-I; Rutter et al., 1988) was used to determine whether participants had ever been involved in a romantic relationship. Caregivers and participants were completed during in-person interviews at approximate age 25 ($m = 24.97$, $SD = 3.23$). The interview consists of a series of open-ended questions about social and romantic relationships, living status, and interests that has been previously employed in samples of autistic adults (Howlin, 2000). For the current analyses, a binary score for the love relationships item of the SEF was created, with 1 indicating the individual was currently or had previously been involved in a

mutual romantic relationship and 0 indicating the individual had never been involved in a mutual romantic relationship.

Autism symptomology

Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS; Lord et al., 2012) clinical symptom severity (CSS) scores were used to measure autism symptomology. The ADOS is a semi-structured, clinician-administered observational measure and is widely considered the "gold standard" for autism diagnosis. To approximate participant autism symptom severity in early adolescence (i.e., when the social competence measures were collected), CSS scores at age 9, the closest available timepoint, were used as a covariate in all current analyses.

Clinicians made best-estimate diagnoses of ASD, other developmental disabilities, or typical development at each in-person visit, except age 3. Despite multiple assessments, 55 individuals in this sample have never received an ASD diagnosis. These individuals did not differ from participants with ASD in nonverbal IQ at age two ($t(1, 185) = .249$, $p = .63$), nonverbal IQ at age nine ($t(1, 190) = .09$, $p = .51$), VDI scores ($t(1, 148) = 2.18$, $p = .07$), or likelihood of ever working full time in adulthood ($X^2(1, 161) = .68$, $p = .40$). Given considerable overlap in the challenges faced during young adulthood for all individuals with developmental disabilities (Lord et al., 2020), participants with nonspectrum delays were retained in the current analyses.

Data analysis

Descriptive analyses and significance testing

For Aim 1, chi-square analyses were used to compare the composition of Vineland Social-AE trajectory groups by diagnostic status (Ever or Never ASD), gender, race, and caregiver education (Table 2). Independent t-tests were used to compare Social-AE trajectory groups by ADOS CSS scores, IQ scores, and VDI scores (Table 3). For all three aims, given the considerable number of analyses, Bonferroni corrections to adjust for multiple tests are reported throughout.

Missing data and attrition

For Aim 1, analyses included 1,206 assessments from all 253 individuals in the longitudinal cohort. On average, participants' caregivers completed the Vineland 4.8 times from ages 2 to 26, with a range of 1–9 Vineland assessments. For Aims 2 and 3, analyses were completed using participants with available scores on at least one social competence measure (the Vineland, SSQ, or SDQ) at age 14 ($n = 163$). Participants in the social competence subsample were more likely to have a caregiver with a college degree $X^2(4, 220) = 18.21$, $p = .001$ than excluded participants (Table 1). Thus, caregiver education was tested as a covariate in all Aim 2 and Aim analyses. Participants with social competence data were also more likely to have been diagnosed with ASD $X^2(1, 246) = 2.12$, $p = .03$. This diagnostic status difference did not survive Bonferroni corrections (Table 1), however, out of caution, we still tested participant diagnostic status as a covariate in all Aim 2 and Aim 3 analyses.

Attrition in this longitudinal cohort has been associated with both lower caregiver education and African American race. Importantly, attrition in this sample is not associated with gender or behavioral characteristics such as autism severity or IQ (Pickles et al., 2020). Participants in the Aims 2 and 3 subsample did not significantly differ from participants without social competence

Table 2. Demographic characteristics by social-AE trajectory groups

		Total	Trajectory 1		Trajectory 2		χ^2 (df,n)	<i>p</i>
		<i>n</i> = 253	<i>n</i> = 178		<i>n</i> = 75			
		<i>n</i> (%)	ASD <i>n</i> = 148	DD ^a <i>n</i> = 30	ASD <i>n</i> = 50	DD ^a <i>n</i> = 25		
Gender	Male	199 (78.6)	114	21	45	19	2.1691,250)	0.141
	Female	51 (21.4)	31	9	5	6		
Race	White/Caucasian	181 (71.8)	101	24	36	20	5.10(3,252)	0.277
	Black/African American	64 (25.3)	42	4	14	4		
	Asian	4 (1.5)	3	1	0	0		
	Biracial	3 (1.1)	1	1	0	1		
Recruitment site	North Carolina	207 (51.0)	122	22	41	22	7.60(2,247)	0.107
	Illinois	21 (35.7)	15	1	3	0		
	Michigan	19 (13.3)	8	4	5	3		
Urbanicity	Urban	159	95	18	30	16	.001(1,246)	0.974
	Rural	87	50	12	16	9		
Caregiver education	Graduate or professional degree	49 (22.3)	27	5	14	3	4.85(1,220)	0.302
	Four-year college degree	55 (25.0)	35	3	13	4		
	Associate degree/some college	63 (28.6)	39	8	13	3		
	High school diploma/GED	45 (20.4)	24	6	7	8		
	Less than high school diploma	8 (3.6)	6	2	0	0		
Diagnosis	ASD	197 (82.7)	147	0	50	0	8.28(1,252)	0.004
	Non-spectrum	55 (17.3)	0	30	0	25		
SSQ respondent	Self-report	47 (50.0)	18	5	16	10	20.80(1,98)	<.001
	Parent-report	48 (50.0)	40	4	4	1		
Friendships	One or more mutual friends	39 (26.0)	6	2	19	12	58.90(1, 150)	<.001
	No mutual friends	111 (74.0)	76	14	16	5		
Romantic relationships	Ever had romantic relationship	20 (31.7)	4	2	10	4	1.53(1,63)	0.168
	Never had romantic relationship	43 (68.3)	16	4	20	3		
Residential status	Living independently	23 (18.3)	6	0	14	3	24.29 (1, 126)	<.001
	Living with supports	103 (81.7)	68	13	17	4		

Bolded results indicate $p \leq .05$.

^aNonspectrum developmental disabilities.

data by gender $\chi^2(1, 250) = .07, p = .78$, race $\chi^2(1, 252) = 8.97, p = .06$, recruitment site $\chi^2(1, 247) = 3.70, p = .44$, or urbanicity $\chi^2(1, 246) = 2.12, p = .14$. See Table 5 for the sample size of each continuous predictor included in analyses for Aims 2 and 3.

Aim 1: Characterize Vineland social-AE trajectories from 2 to 26

To examine social AE trajectories and extend prior findings in this sample (Anderson et al., 2009), the traj plugin in Stata 16 was used to perform group-based trajectory modeling. This approach estimates developmental trajectories via maximum likelihood estimation using a general quasi-Newton procedure; missing data are handled by estimating the model using all available information. Eight timepoints of Vineland assessments were used as independent variables to analyze latent grouping of individuals in Social-AE trajectories. Unconditional 1, 2, and 3 class models were compared using Bayesian

Information Criterion (BIC) and the smallest group membership percentage (Figure 1). After classes were determined, higher order effects were tested to establish whether cubic, quadratic, linear, or intercept modeling best explained variation over time.

Aim 2: Examine convergence between social-AE trajectories and SSQ and SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence

The mixed procedure in Stata 16 was used to investigate rates of change in Vineland social AE scores from ages 2 to 26, as well as associations between social AE trajectories and teacher-report SDQ prosocial and caregiver- and self-report SSQ scores from age 14. A multilevel modeling approach was selected because participants were nested across time points, thus multilevel modeling allowed us to account for the nonindependence of assessment observations. This approach also enabled us to evaluate the influence of the inclusion of a random slope on

Table 3. IQ, autism severity, social competence, and vocational measures scores by social-AE trajectory groups

	Trajectory 1, <i>n</i> = 177				Trajectory 2, <i>n</i> = 75				<i>t</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>
	ASD		DD ^a		ASD		DD ^a				
	<i>n</i>	Mean (SD)	<i>n</i>	Mean (SD)	<i>n</i>	Mean (SD)	<i>n</i>	Mean (SD)			
Nonverbal IQ at 2	102	69.14 (21.52)	21	65.67 (25.56)	40	73.95 (23.83)	25	72.12 (20.43)	1.37	186	.170
Verbal IQ at 2	102	42.92 (29.10)	21	44.48 (29.30)	40	48.87 (29.18)	25	50.60 (27.21)	1.44	186	.151
ADOS CSS ^b at 2	136	7.84 (2.21)	22	2.95 (1.98)	37	6.78 (2.73)	12	2.00 (1.04)	3.29	205	.001
Nonverbal IQ at 9	110	50.65 (27.91)	19	49.89 (22.81)	47	97.49 (19.68)	16	98.44 (21.21)	3.55	190	<.001
Verbal IQ at 9	111	38.08 (29.23)	19	44.48 (29.30)	46	97.63 (25.15)	16	97.94 (16.08)	14.06	190	<.001
ADOS CSS ^b at 9	86	6.92 (2.48)	17	7.24 (2.94)	59	6.03 (2.94)	22	5.73 (2.97)	2.39	160	.018[†]
SSQ ^c	55	31.35 (14.29)	8	41.62 (9.78)	21	53.00 (11.02)	11	52.73 (12.10)	7.04	93	<.001
SDQ ^d	47	8.51 (2.40)	7	12.29 (2.49)	7	11.29 (1.70)	7	11.00 (2.94)	2.70	66	.009[†]
VDI ^e	80	3.05 (2.88)	13	4.92 (3.22)	40	8.48 (1.94)	17	7.65 (3.18)	10.54	148	<.001

Bolded results indicate $p \leq .05$.

^aNonspectrum developmental disabilities.


^bCalibrated severity score.

^cSocial skills questionnaire

^dStrengths and difficulties questionnaire – prosocial subscale.

^eVocational index

[†]Does not survive Bonferroni corrections.

**Figure 1.** Trajectories of Vineland social domain age equivalence scores from 2 to 26.

trajectory estimates and allowed for the differential examination of associations between the adolescent social competence measures and both the intercepts and slopes of Vineland social-AE trajectories.

There were three models of interest. The first and simplest model included fixed effects for trajectory group and an age \times trajectory group interaction term, as well as a random subject level slope. To analyze the effects of salient demographic covariates on Social-AE trajectories, the second model also included fixed effects for caregiver education and participant diagnostic status (ever or never diagnosed with ASD), nonverbal IQ at age 9, ADOS CSS score at age 9, Vineland DLS AE scores at age 14, as well as covariate \times age, covariate \times trajectory group, and covariate \times age \times trajectory group interaction terms. Nonsignificant covariate main effects were identified and omitted before testing interaction terms, and nonsignificant interaction terms were removed from the final model. The third and most complex model also included fixed

effects for SSQ score, SSQ respondent (parent- or participant-report), and SDQ prosocial score, as well as social competence measure \times age, social competence measure \times trajectory group, and social competence measure \times age \times trajectory group interaction terms. Again, nonsignificant covariates and interaction terms were identified and removed from the final model.

Aim 3: Examine the utility of Vineland social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence in predicting adult outcomes

Multiple hierarchical regression and binary logistic regression were used to examine whether Vineland social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ prosocial scores in adolescence predicted VDI scores and the presence of friendships at age 19, and the likelihood of living independently or having ever had a romantic relationship by age 26. First, separate regressions for demographic variables associated with attrition (participant diagnostic status, caregiver education) and inclusion in the High Social-AE trajectory group (nonverbal IQ at 9, ADOS CSS score at 9) were run for each of the four outcomes of interest: VDI scores, residential status, ADI-R friendship item scores, and SEF-I romance item scores. Demographic variables identified as significant predictors were then included as covariates in all social competence regression models.

Results

Aim 1: Characterize Vineland social-AE trajectories from 2 to 26

A two-group model (Figure 1) was determined the best fit, based on the percentage of variance explained. The first trajectory group, Low Social-AE, comprised 63.7% of the sample. The second group, High Social-AE, comprised 36.3% of the sample. The Low Social-AE group followed a quadratic slope, and the High Social-AE group followed a cubic slope. Social-AE scores of both trajectory groups were similar in early childhood but diverged by age 5 and continued to diverge with age. The Low Social-AE trajectory was

Table 4. Effects of demographic covariates and social competence measures on rates of change in social-AE trajectories

Main effects	Simple model (<i>n</i> = 253)				Covariate model (<i>n</i> = 117)				Social competence model (<i>n</i> = 51)				
	Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		
Social-AE trajectory group	-15.46***	3.48	-22.29	-8.62	-24.55**	5.92	-36.15	-12.94	-19.32**	6.97	-32.99	-5.65	
Age × trajectory group	1	.16***	0.01	0.14	0.18	0.03	0.02	-0.02	0.08	-.17***	0.03	-0.24	-0.1
	2	.80***	0.01	0.76	0.83	.41***	0.07	0.25	0.56	.66***	0.17	0.33	1
Childhood IQ × age × trajectory group	1	-	-	-	-	0.0002	0.0001	0.0003	0.0005	.001**	0.0005	0.0005	0.002
	2	-	-	-	-	.001**	0.0006	0.0002	0.002	.002*	0.0009	0.0002	0.003
DLS × age	-	-	-	-	.001***	0.0003	0.001	0.002	-	-	-	-	
SSQ × age × trajectory group	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.004***	0.0009	0.002	0.006
	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.004	0.003	-0.01	-0.001
SDQ × age	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.013***	0.003	0.006	0.02	
Random effects	Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		Coefficient	SE	95% confidence interval		
Participant	219.108*	29.56	168.17	285.41	157.19*	34.65	102.04	242.15	99.00*	34.53	4.02	198.19	
Age	51.74*	23.85	20.95	127.74	77.57*	36.97	30.48	197.44	28.25*	28.08	49.97	196.12	

For brevity, only covariates and social competence measures with significant main effects and/or interaction terms are included in the table. All nonsignificant covariate main effects and interaction terms were dropped from the final models. The first and simplest model included fixed effects for trajectory group, and an age × trajectory group interaction term, as well as a random subject level slope. The second covariate model also included fixed effects for caregiver education, diagnostic status, nonverbal IQ at age 9, ADOS CSS score at age 9, and Vineland DLS AE scores at age 14, as well as interaction terms. The third and most complex model also included fixed effects for SSQ score, SSQ respondent (parent- or participant-report), and SDQ prosocial score, as well as interaction terms. For all three models, nonsignificant main effects were identified and omitted before testing interaction terms, and nonsignificant interaction terms were removed from the final models.

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p \leq .001$.

Table 5. Correlations between continuous social competence and behavioral phenotype variables

Variable	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	1	2	3	4	5
1. Vineland social-AE score at 14	137	5.98	4.19	–				
2. SSQ score at 14 ^a	95	39.47	16.32	.699 [.56, .79]***	–			
3. SDQ prosocial score at 14 ^b	68	9.44	2.76	.609 [.41, .75]***	.481 [.25, .65]***	–		
4. Vineland DLS-AE score at 14	133	8.01	3.86	.860 [.80, .89]***	.669 [.52, .77]***	.501 [.27, .67]***	–	
5. ADOS total score at 9	162	6.59	2.73	–0.132 [–.33, .07]	–0.127 [–.36, .12]	–0.042 [–.31, .23]	–0.08 [–.28, .13]	–
6. Non-verbal IQ at 9	114	91.83	20.91	.277 [.04, .48]*†	0.277 [–.01, .52]	–0.191 [–.56, .25]	.367 [.14, .55]**†	–0.02 [–.23, .20]

Values in square brackets indicate the 95% confidence interval for each correlation.

^aSSQ data was either caregiver or self-report. At age 14, 48 participants had caregiver report SSQ data, and 47 had self-report data.

^bSDQ data was teacher-report.

†Does not survive Bonferroni corrections.

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p \leq .001$.

characterized by slow linear gains from early childhood through young adulthood, with a plateau in scores from ages 21 to 26 years. In contrast, the High Social-AE trajectory was characterized by steeper linear gains in Social-AE scores from early childhood through young adulthood, followed by a period of slower growth from ages 19 to 21 and a period of decline from ages 21 to 26.

T-tests and chi-squares indicated trajectory groups did not differ by race, gender, urbanicity, caregiver education, recruitment site, diagnostic status, nonverbal or verbal IQ at age 2, ADOS CSS scores at age 9, or SDQ prosocial scores. Participants in the High social-AE trajectory group were more likely to have completed the self-report version of the SSQ in adolescence ($p < .001$), had higher SSQ total scores ($p < .001$), lower ADOS CSS scores at age 2 ($p = .001$), and higher nonverbal and verbal IQ at age 9 (both $p < .001$). Participants in the High social-AE trajectory group were also more likely to have at least one mutual friendship at age 19 and were engaged in more independent vocational activities than participants in the Low social-AE trajectory group (both $p < .001$). However, participants in the two trajectory groups did not differ in their likelihood of ever having a romantic relationship in adulthood ($p = .168$). Descriptive characteristics of the Low and High trajectory groups are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

Aim 2: Examine convergence between social-AE trajectories and SSQ and SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence

Multilevel modeling was used to characterize the rate of change in social-AE trajectories, identify effects of demographic characteristics on trajectory change, and examine the convergence of SSQ and SDQ prosocial domain scores from early adolescence with participants' social-AE trajectories. The first and simplest model included fixed effects for trajectory group, an age \times trajectory group interaction term, and a subject-level random slope. There was a significant main effect of trajectory group ($p < .001$) and a significant age \times trajectory group interaction ($p < .001$). The inclusion of the subject-level random slope also significantly contributed to the model ($p < .05$; Table 4) indicating individual participants' Vineland Social-AE trajectories significantly differed from one another. The main effect of trajectory group, the trajectory group \times age interaction term, and the subject-level random slope remained significant in the covariate and social competence models reported below (Table 4).

Covariates model

The second model tested fixed effects for salient covariates, specifically nonverbal IQ at 9, ADOS CSS at 9, Vineland DLS-AE at 14, recruitment site, diagnostic status, gender, and caregiver education. First, nonsignificant covariate fixed effects were identified and removed. Next, interaction terms were tested for significant covariates only. Only significant covariate fixed effects and interaction terms were retained in the final covariate model.

The fixed effects for recruitment site, diagnostic status, gender, and caregiver education, were nonsignificant (all $p > .05$) and thus dropped from the covariate model. The fixed effects for nonverbal IQ at 9 and Vineland DLS-AE score at 14 were significant, thus interaction terms were tested. There was a significant nonverbal IQ at 9 \times age \times trajectory group interaction ($p = .019$) indicating participants within the High Social-AE trajectory group with relatively high nonverbal IQ at age 9 experienced significantly faster growth in their Vineland Social-AE scores than participants with relatively low nonverbal IQ at age 9 in the High Social-AE trajectory group. In contrast, nonverbal IQ at age 9 was not associated with growth in Social-AE scores for participants within the Low Social-AE trajectory group. There was also a significant Vineland DLS-AE score at 14 \times age interaction ($p < .001$; Table 4). For participants in both trajectory groups, having relatively high daily living skills, as measured by Vineland DLS-AE scores in adolescence, was associated with faster growth in Social-AE scores across development.

Social competence measures model

In addition to the significant fixed effects, interaction terms, and subject-level random slope identified in the simple model and the covariates model, the third and final model tested effects for SSQ scores, SSQ respondent, and SDQ prosocial scores. As in the covariate model, nonsignificant fixed effects for social competence measures were identified and removed prior to testing interaction terms.

As in the covariate model, there was also a significant nonverbal IQ at 9 \times age \times trajectory group ($p = .003$) interaction. However, after including social competence measures in the model, the DLS-AE score at 14 \times age interaction term identified in the covariates model was no longer significant and subsequently dropped. After accounting for variance explained by parent- and self-report SSQ and teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores, daily living skills at age

14 were no longer a significant predictor of growth in Vineland Social-AE trajectories.

There was no significant effect of SSQ respondent ($p > .05$), and this variable was dropped from the final social competence model. There were significant fixed effects for SDQ prosocial and SSQ scores thus, interaction terms for these measures were also tested. There was a significant SDQ prosocial score \times age ($p < .001$) interaction, indicating participants in both trajectory groups with high teacher-rated prosocial scores on the SDQ in early adolescence had faster growth in Social-AE scores. There was also a significant SSQ score \times age \times trajectory group ($p < .001$) interaction, signifying those participants in the High Social-AE trajectory group with high SSQ scores experienced faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores than participants in the High Social-AE trajectory group with low SSQ scores. In contrast, rates of growth did not significantly differ by SSQ scores in the Low Social-AE trajectory group.

In sum, not surprisingly, Vineland Social-AE scores increased with age. On average, participants in the high trajectory group had higher scores and experienced a faster rate of growth in Social-AE scores over time compared to participants in the low trajectory group. Individuals in the High Social-AE group with relatively high nonverbal IQs at age 9 experienced significantly faster growth in their Vineland Social-AE scores than participants in the High Social-AE group with relatively low nonverbal IQs at age 9. Similarly, those participants in the High Social-AE group with high SSQ scores experienced faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores than participants in the High Social-AE group with low SSQ scores. In contrast, rates of growth amongst participants in the Low Social-AE trajectory group did not significantly differ by SSQ scores or nonverbal IQ at age 9. Participants in both trajectory groups with high teacher-rated prosocial scores on the SDQ at age 14 had faster growth in Social-AE scores.

Aim 3: Examine the utility of Vineland social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence in predicting adult outcomes

Multiple hierarchical regressions and logistic regressions were performed to assess the ability of Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ prosocial scores from age 14 to predict adult vocational, residential, and social outcomes. To account for the fact that (1) participants not included in these analyses due to missing data were significantly more likely to have caregivers with less than a college degree ($p = .001$) and to not have an ASD diagnosis (though this difference did not survive Bonferroni corrections, $p = .03$) and (2) participants in the High Social-AE trajectory had significantly lower ADOS CSS and higher nonverbal IQ scores at 9 than participants in the Low social-AE trajectory, separate regressions for caregiver education, diagnostic status, ADOS CSS, and IQ were run for each of the outcomes of interest: VDI scores, residential status, ADI-R friendship item scores, and SEF-I love relationship item scores. After Bonferroni corrections, ASD diagnostic status was a significant predictor of ADI-R friendship scores ($p = .01$), but not VDI scores ($p = .03$), residential status or SEF-I romance scores (both $p > .05$). After Bonferroni corrections, nonverbal IQ was a significant predictor of VDI scores, residential status and SEF-I romance scores (all $p < .001$) but not ADI-R friendship scores ($p > .05$). Maternal education and ADOS CSS at 9 did not significantly predict VDI, residential status, ADI-R friendship, nor SEF-I romance scores (all $p > .05$). Thus, diagnostic status was included as a covariate

in all friendship models and nonverbal IQ at 9 was included in all VDI score, residential status, and romance models.

Adult work and residential status outcomes

Multiple hierarchical regressions were conducted to examine the utility of Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ scores from adolescence to predict participants' VDI scores in early adulthood. Nonverbal IQ at age 9 was entered in the first block of all vocational regression models. The social competence measure of interest (Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, SDQ) was entered in the second block of each hierarchical regression model.

Vineland Social-AE scores at age 14 were a significant predictor of VDI scores in early adulthood ($p = .010$). Nonverbal IQ at age 9 ($p = .011$) was also a significant predictor of VDI scores in the Vineland Social-AE vocational regression model. Having relatively high social competence in early adolescence as measured by the Vineland and childhood nonverbal IQ were both associated with an increased the likelihood of adult employment.

Despite being associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE trajectories, teacher SDQ prosocial scores were not a significant predictor of VDI scores in early adulthood ($p = .130$). Nonverbal IQ at age 9 was the only significant predictor ($p = .004$) of VDI scores in early adulthood in the SDQ model. Similarly, though parent- and self-report SSQ total scores were associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE trajectories, only SSQ respondent (parent- or self-report; $p < .001$) significantly predicted VDI scores in early adulthood. In contrast, SSQ total score ($p = .563$) and nonverbal IQ ($p = .241$) did not significantly contribute to the SSQ model. Completing the SSQ without assistance from a caregiver in adolescence was a better predictor of positive adult work outcomes than SSQ total score. Controlling for nonverbal IQ, participants who completed the SSQ themselves reported higher scores than participants whose caregiver completed the SSQ.

Binary logistic regressions were conducted to examine the predictive validity of adolescent Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, and SDQ scores on the likelihood of living independently by age 26. To control for salient covariates, nonverbal IQ at age 9 was included in the covariate block of all residential status models. The social competence measures of interest (Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, SDQ) were entered in the second block of each binary logistic regression model.

Vineland Social-AE scores at age 14 were a significant predictor of residential status at age 25 ($p = .016$). Nonverbal IQ at age 9 ($p = .012$) was also a significant predictor of residential status. Having relatively high social competence in early adolescence as measured by the Vineland and relatively high childhood nonverbal IQ were both associated with an increased likelihood of living independently at age 26.

Similar to employment outcomes, teacher SDQ prosocial scores were not a significant predictor of residential status ($p = .332$). In the SDQ prosocial residential status model, nonverbal IQ at age 9 was the only significant predictor of residential status at age 25 ($p < .001$). Likewise, nonverbal IQ at age 9 ($p = .004$) was the only significant predictor in the SSQ residential status model. Neither SSQ total score ($p = .578$) nor SSQ respondent ($p = .349$) significantly contributed to the SSQ model. After controlling for IQ, having relatively high social competence in early adolescence as measured by the SSQ and the SDQ prosocial domain was not predictive of residential status at age 25.

Adult friendship and romance outcomes

Binary logistic regressions were conducted to examine the predictive validity of adolescent Vineland Social-AE, SSQ, and

SDQ scores on (1) the likelihood of having at least one friendship in early adulthood and (2) the likelihood of having ever been in a romantic relationship. To control for salient covariates, diagnostic status was included in the covariate block of all friendship models, and nonverbal IQ at 9 was included in the covariate block of all romance models. Diagnostic status did not significantly contribute to the SDQ and Vineland Social-AE friendship regression models (both $p > .05$).

Vineland Social-AE scores at age 14 were a significant predictor of having at least one friendship in adulthood ($p = .004$; Table 5). Participants with significantly higher Vineland Social-AE scores in adolescence were more likely to have at least one friendship in early adulthood, regardless of diagnostic status. After Bonferroni corrections, SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence were not a significant predictor of having at least one friendship in adulthood ($p = .030$). SSQ scores from adolescence were a significant predictor of having at least one friendship in adulthood ($p = .010$). Diagnostic status was also a significant predictor in the SSQ model ($p = .007$) though SSQ respondent ($p = .647$) was not. Participants with relatively high SSQ total scores and participants that had never received an ASD diagnosis were significantly more likely than their peers to have at least one friendship in early adulthood.

In contrast to the results with friendship, adolescent Vineland Social-AE scores ($p = .823$), SSQ total scores ($p = .624$), and SDQ prosocial scores ($p = .322$) did not significantly predict the likelihood of having ever been in a romantic relationship by age 25 (Table 5). However, nonverbal IQ at age 9 significantly contributed to the Vineland ($p = .009$) model, indicating childhood IQ was a better predictor of having had at least one romantic relationship by age 25 than Vineland Social-AE score at age 14. After Bonferroni corrections, nonverbal IQ at age 9 did not significantly contribute to the SSQ ($p = .036$), and SDQ ($p = .030$) romance regression models,

Discussion

This study investigated social competence in ASD and other developmental conditions and examined how clinician-, teacher-, caregiver-, and self-reports of social competence from adolescence related to work, friendship, and romantic outcomes in early adulthood. We identified two trajectories of growth in our primary social competence measure – clinician-rated Vineland Social-AE scores – from ages 2 to 26, a High Social-AE group and a Low Social-AE group. Both groups experienced positive linear growth in Social-AE scores from ages 2 to 19, though the High Social-AE group had a faster rate of growth. Both groups experienced a plateau or decline in Vineland Social-AE scores in early adulthood, between ages 21 and 26. This slowing or loss of adaptive social behaviors in young adulthood is consistent with prior findings in this sample (Clarke, McCauley, et al., 2021) and in other longitudinal samples of autism (Smith et al., 2012) that many autistic individuals may stop making gains in adaptive behavior in the early years of adulthood. This stagnation in adaptive behavior growth appears to be tied to the transition out of the school system, which is often associated with considerable service loss for this population (Laxman et al., 2019; Shattuck et al., 2011).

Multilevel modeling indicated convergence between clinician-rated Vineland scores, teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores, and caregiver- and self-report SSQ scores. Specifically, amongst participants in the High Social-AE trajectory group, caregiver-report and self-report SSQ scores from adolescence and nonverbal

IQ at age 9 were associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores. In contrast, teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores from adolescence were associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores in all participants, regardless of trajectory group. After accounting for the variance explained by the SSQ and SDQ, nonverbal IQ at age 9 was the only participant characteristic that significantly contributed to growth in Vineland Social-AE scores across development. There were no significant effects of race, maternal education, autism symptom severity, daily living skills, gender, or recruitment site on growth in Vineland Social-AE trajectories.

Finally, regression models indicated Vineland Social-AE scores at age 14 were a significant predictor of participating in vocational activities, living independently, and of having at least one mutual and reciprocal friendship in early adulthood. SSQ scores from adolescence were also significant predictors of having at least one friendship in early adulthood but did not predict work or residential status outcomes. Teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores at age 14 did not predict any of the adult outcomes examined here. Nonverbal IQ at age 9 was the only significant predictor of having ever had a romantic relationship in this sample. Having relatively high nonverbal IQ at age 9 was also associated with an increased likelihood of employment and living independently.

We had data on romantic relationships for relatively few participants in our sample ($n = 63$). It is possible that we did not have sufficient power to detect whether any of our social competence measures could predict the likelihood of having ever been in a romantic relationship. Notably, by age 25, only 31% of those 63 participants had ever been in a romantic relationship. This reflects the continued struggle to form lasting and meaningful relationships that many adults with ASD experience. It is also indicative of the broad heterogeneity represented by this longitudinal cohort; for our more impacted participants, many of whom have high daily care needs and/or limited language, a romantic relationship may not be a realistic or meaningful positive outcome in adulthood. Though the present study focused on achievement of normative adult outcomes, additional work is needed to conceptualize positive and attainable adult outcomes for individuals with ASD with high support needs (McCauley, Pickles, et al., 2020).

In addition to the limited number of participants who had ever been in a romantic relationship, there were relatively few participants living independently at age 25. Of the subsample of participants with residential status data ($n = 126$), only 18.3% of those 126 participants were living independently at age 25. Anecdotally, only a handful of the participants in this sample who were living in their family home or in another supported residential setting at age 25 in this sample have ever lived independently. This is in contrast to young adults in the general population who may move back into their family home at intervals after periods of independence (Swartz, 2009).

Diagnostic status did not significantly contribute to growth in Vineland Social-AE trajectories; however, it was a significant predictor of having at least one friendship in early adulthood. Given the unique social challenges of ASD, it is not surprising that having a non-ASD developmental delay would be associated with more social connections in early adulthood. However, regardless of diagnostic category, adults with neurodevelopmental disorders encounter considerable difficulty, including social difficulties across the life course (Lord et al., 2020). These adults also experience the services cliff, also have difficulty attaining normative adult outcomes, and also are likely to have limited

and/or negative social experiences with peers in the general population in adolescence. This is reflected in our findings that having a non-ASD developmental delay was not predictive of adult work, residential status, or romantic outcomes. It is important to acknowledge that autism and other developmental conditions share important similarities to one another, frequently cooccur, and may impact young adult life in similar ways (Thapar et al., 2017).

Even after controlling for IQ, caregiver- and self-report total SSQ scores and teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores in adolescence explained a significant portion of variation in Vineland Social-AE trajectories across development. Though SSQ and SDQ prosocial subscale scores in adolescence were associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores across development, as well as an increased likelihood of having at least one reciprocal friendship in early adulthood, these measures were not significant predictors of work or residential status outcomes, and only the SSQ also predicted friendship outcomes. Nevertheless, given that Vineland Social-AE scores at age 14 predicted vocational activities in early adulthood, and that SSQ and SDQ prosocial scores were associated with growth in Vineland Social-AE scores, these two measures may be indirectly related to adult work outcomes. Unfortunately, our sample size did not allow us to test for possible mediation effects.

SSQ respondent – in other words, whether the participant completed the SSQ by themselves in adolescence or with assistance from a caregiver – predicted adult work outcomes. This also suggests that participants' capacity to complete basic daily tasks (like filling out a questionnaire) independently in adolescence was more important to adult work outcomes than competence in social skills as assessed by the SSQ. In a similar vein, relatively high daily living skills at 14 were associated with faster growth in Vineland Social-AE scores from ages 2 to 26 in our covariate multilevel model (Table 4). However, after accounting for variance explained by SSQ and SDQ scores, the effect of daily living skills at 14 on Vineland Social-AE trajectories was no longer significant and was subsequently dropped from the final multilevel model. Though descriptive analyses indicated SSQ respondent and daily living skills were significantly positively correlated in this sample (Table 5), these variables are not colinear. Considered as a whole, these findings underscore that no single personal characteristic can predict success across the life course.

Our ability to examine information on social competence in adolescence from a variety of raters (clinician, caregiver or self, and teacher) as well as measures is a strength of the current study. Notably, teacher-report SDQ prosocial scores did not predict any of the adult outcomes examined here, and caregiver and self-report SSQ scores predicted friendship outcomes only. In contrast, Vineland Social-AE scores predicted work, residential status, and friendship outcomes. For teacher ratings, experiences of the school context can be quite different for children with autism compared to their peers in the general population, particularly for autistic individuals who spend all or part of the school day in nonintegrated classroom settings. School experiences are no doubt critical to the later life success of children with ASD, but it remains unclear what the most critical elements of classroom experiences in predicting later life success are for autistic individuals. For example, placement in mainstream classrooms is associated with better outcomes of children with autism, but classroom placement is often confounded with IQ and language ability (Hess et al., 2008; Karanth & Chandhok, 2013). Our sample size did not allow us to compare the predictive utility of teacher-report SDQ prosocial

scores for adult outcomes by classroom type – this would be an interesting area of investigation for future studies.

The SDQ and SSQ are much shorter measures and have been validated much less extensively in autistic individuals than the Vineland. Though the Vineland is more time and labor-intensive, both due to its length and its format as a semi-structured clinician interview, our results suggest the additional efforts required to administer the Vineland are worthwhile for a thorough understanding of social competence in autistic individuals. Unfortunately, the design of this study does not allow us to disentangle rater effects from measurement effects amongst our social competence assessments. It is possible that the clinician perspective afforded by the Vineland, rather than the Vineland itself, increased the predictive utility of this measure. Teacher and caregiver-report questionnaire versions of the Vineland exist, though the predictive utility of these distinct Vineland versions for predicting adult outcomes in individuals with ASD and other developmental conditions have not been examined. Further work is needed to identify the utility of various measures and reporters for conceptualizing social competence in autism and other developmental conditions.

This study partially replicates findings from Project Competence, a seminal study of the impacts of social competence on typical development (Masten et al., 1995). Like Masten and colleagues, even after controlling for IQ, we found adolescent social competence predicted work success, residential status, and the likelihood of having friendships in adulthood for individuals with autism. Whereas childhood IQ was the only significant predictor of ever having had a romantic relationship by age 25 in this study, Masten and colleagues saw that childhood social competence also predicted romantic success in early adulthood for typically developing adults (Masten et al., 2004).

Studies of autism and other developmental conditions are sometimes criticized as too niche to provide insight into issues relevant to development in the general population. Yet the similarities between the results of the present study and the results of Project Competence illustrate that there are at least as many parallels between typical and atypical development as there are differences. By focusing research efforts on understanding and helping individuals who are at increased risk of experiencing poor outcomes – regardless of the etiology of that risk – we can gain insight into approaches for fostering healthy development in individuals of all abilities.

Limitations

This sample, initially evaluated in the early 1990's and followed longitudinally ever since, comprises a unique and relatively small group of adults with ASD. These participants' families sought help very early in childhood during an era in which ASD services were much less available than they are today. Thus, the participants in this sample may be meaningfully different from individuals diagnosed later in development. Attrition has reduced the number of African American participants and participants with lower caregiver education in this longitudinal cohort. The rate of attrition in this sample is comparable to that observed in other population-based (Gustavson et al., 2012) and autism specific (Song et al., 2023) longitudinal samples. Additionally, we have relatively few female participants in this sample. Though there were no significant gender differences identified in any of the current analyses, our limited number of female participants may have constrained our ability to test for gender differences analyses.

Our measure of work outcomes, the VDI, includes scores specifically for individuals in volunteer, sheltered workshop and supported employment settings, making it a uniquely effective measure for understanding vocational outcomes in ASD. However, it is important to note that high scores on the VDI confound work and post-secondary education. For example, an individual who is working independently for at least 10 hours/week and an individual who is actively enrolled in post-secondary education for at least 10 hours/week would both receive scores of 9 (see Taylor and Seltzer, 2012 for a detailed description of VDI scoring). Though the number of autistic adults pursuing post-secondary education has increased considerably in recent years, there has not been a corresponding increase in employment amongst autistic adults, and prior work in this sample suggests vocational activities are quite stable during the first decade of adulthood for individuals with autism and other developmental conditions (Clarke, Sterrett, et al., 2021). Thus, VDI scoring may obfuscate meaningful differences in adult work outcomes, particularly for individuals with average or better IQs who are intellectually capable of excelling in post-secondary education, but whose social struggles may limit opportunities for employment success.

Future directions

There is a relative lack of longitudinal samples, both in typical development and in autism, with sufficient data to examine both social competence in early life and outcomes in adulthood. Though expensive and time-consuming, continued longitudinal work is vital to understanding these developmental constructs and their importance across life trajectories. Understanding social competence at different stages of development could have particularly important implications for interventions to support social functioning in ASD. Developmental cascades theory would suggest that the timing of an intervention that improves social competence may be just as or even more important as its content in interrupting negative cascades in social development and promoting positive ones (Bradshaw et al., 2022; Cox et al., 2010).

Importantly, this study only examined adult outcomes in ASD up to age 26. Adulthood is a long and variable developmental stage. Though in the general population the first decade of adulthood is frequently associated with rapid and considerable change in vocational activities, residential status, and relationships, it is possible autistic adults experience comparable changes somewhat later in adulthood. It remains to be seen whether adults with ASD truly do not attain many traditional markers of adulthood, or rather, that they attain such markers on a delayed timeline compared to the general population. This possibility has not been adequately explored in the existing literature, in part because there is relatively little data, particularly longitudinal data, on autistic adults after the first decade of adulthood. Future work, including subsequent follow-up of the longitudinal cohort described here, should carefully examine outcome attainment in later decades of adult life for individuals with autism and other developmental conditions.

This study is not the first to find evidence that the core symptoms of ASD may be distinct from day-to-day social behavior. Earlier work in a sample of children with ASD and average or better IQ found weak associations between ASD symptomology and adaptive social behaviors (Klin et al., 2007). Further, a separate longitudinal study of adults with ASD found that the severity of autism symptoms decreased with increasing age; however, participants did not experience a corresponding increase in social

connectedness as autism symptoms decreased (Seltzer et al., 2003, 2004). Additional research is needed to fully explore the potential distinctions (or lack thereof) between core autism symptoms and day-to-day social behaviors, and to examine the relative strength of associations between these constructs during different stages of development.

Defining and characterizing social competence in ASD is one potential avenue for addressing a larger issue in autism research and the study of psychopathology generally: the need for strengths-based approaches (Motttron, 2017; Szatmari et al., 2021). Many studies have indicated higher autism symptom severity and lower IQs decrease the likelihood for positive outcomes in ASD (Lord et al., 2020; Pickles et al., 2020), but outside of the present study, few or no analyses have looked at the predictive strength of skills like sharing, being kind to peers, coping well with negative emotions, and similar aspects of social functioning (McChesney & Toseeb, 2018). This is somewhat surprising, as there are interventions for individuals with ASD that target these and related social skills – notably, for adolescents and adults, the Program for Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills (PEERS) program (Laugeson et al., 2012, 2015). Though PEERS is a notable exception, many interventions for young children with ASD focus on improving small, concrete aspects of social behavior (for example, joint attention) as opposed to improving social ability more broadly. This emphasis on concrete, molecular aspects of social behavior in many ASD interventions may contribute to the lack of prior work examining social competence as a broader construct in autism.

Arguably, strengths-based approaches – such as considering aspects of social ability that may be relative strengths as in the present study – are especially needed for studies of autism in adulthood (Lounds Taylor, 2017). Identifying characteristics relevant to quality of life and well-being for autistic adults is another strengths-based approach that has generated increased research interest in recent years (McCauley, Pickles, et al., 2020). There are innumerable ways to lead a happy, fulfilling life. Just like typical adults, autistic adults have diverse skill sets and abilities often honed over many years. It would be a disservice to this population not to quantify those strengths nor consider the potential utility of such strengths in promoting positive adult outcomes.

To increase replicability with the existing literature on typical development, this study focused on normative adult outcomes (work, residential status, friends, and romantic relationships). Some autistic self-advocates might argue that the achievement of one or more of these outcomes is not necessary to leading a fulfilling adult life (Pukki et al., 2022). There is some evidence that autistic adults do not derive as much satisfaction from employment as their typical peers (Black et al., 2019), and some autistic adults report little or no interest in having friends and/or romantic relationships. However, the financial stability associated with employment is an economic necessity for most adults, and many autistic individuals of all ages express a desire for more social connections (Bauminger & Kasari, 2000; Dewinter et al., 2017). Further, loneliness is a widespread concern for autistic individuals across the lifespan (Locke et al., 2010; Umagami et al., 2022). In short, though some members of the autism community might contest the validity of these outcome measures, employment and social connection are sensible benchmarks of success for the vast majority of adults – both with and without an ASD diagnosis.

Perhaps the largest challenge to future research in this area is conceptualizing what social competence means for individuals

diagnosed with a disorder of social communication. Even in the typical literature, conceptualizations and measures of social competence vary both by participant age group and from study to study. Some common measures of typical social competence, such as peer nominations and other indicators of classroom popularity, may be less meaningful for understanding social competence in ASD, particularly for autistic children or adults who spend all or part of their day in segregated classrooms or other segregated settings. Instead, measures of distinct aspects of social functioning that may be less impacted by core features of autism – such as prosocial behavior, emotional coping, and cooperation – may be more informative indicators of social competence in autistic individuals. Future research is needed to confirm this.

Conclusions

This study attempted to characterize social competence in ASD by employing a longitudinal sample of individuals with ASD and related developmental conditions to replicate well-established findings from typical development that adolescent social competence predicts success in normative adult outcomes. We saw that individuals with ASD followed either a high or low trajectory of social competence development from ages 2 to 26. Both trajectories increased from early childhood to early adulthood, though social skills in the high trajectory group increased at a significantly faster rate. We also found that relatively high scores in adolescence on two measures – Vineland Social domain age equivalence scores and Social Skills Questionnaire total scores – predicted the likelihood of having friendships in early adulthood, and one measure, Vineland Social-AE scores, also predicted the likelihood of achieving employment and living independently. None of our social competence measures from adolescence predicted the likelihood of ever having a romantic relationship by age 25, however. Future studies should continue to move towards a worthwhile definition of social competence in ASD and should leverage strengths-based approaches to define and examine meaningful adult outcomes in this population.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge the time participants and families have given to this project and thank them for their efforts. The authors would also like to acknowledge Dr. Julie Lounds Taylor for her thoughtful input on early versions of this manuscript. This study was funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development R01 HD081199 (PI: CL), the National Institute of Mental Health R01MH081873 (PI: CL), and Autism Speaks 12854 (PI: EC/CL)

Funding statement. This study was funded by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development R01 HD081199 (PI: C.L.), the National Institute of Mental Health R01MH081873 (PI: C.L.), and Autism Speaks 12854 (PI: E.B.C./C.L.).

Competing interests. CL acknowledges the receipt of royalties from the sale of the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-2 (ADOS-2) and the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R). Royalties generated from this study were donated to a not-for-profit agency, Have Dreams. E.B.C has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- American Psychiatric Association** (2014). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5* (Vol. 28, 5th ed.). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tr-10-2013-0256>
- Anderson, C., Lupfer, A., & Shattuck, P. T.** (2018). Barriers to receipt of services for young adults with autism. *Pediatrics*, *141*(Supplement 4), S300–S305. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-4300G>

- Anderson, D. K., Liang, J. W., & Lord, C.** (2014). Predicting young adult outcome among more and less cognitively able individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *55*(5), 485–494. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12178>
- Anderson, D. K., Oti, R. S., Lord, C., & Welch, K.** (2009). Patterns of growth in adaptive social abilities among children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *37*(7), 1019–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-009-9326-0>
- Arnold, K.-H., & Lindner-Müller, C.** (2013). Assessment and development of social competence: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal for Educational Research Online*, *4*(1), 7–19. <https://doi.org/10.25656/01:7049>
- Bal, V. H., Kim, S.-H., Fok, M., & Lord, C.** (2019). Autism spectrum disorder symptoms from ages 2 to 19 years: Implications for diagnosing adolescents and young adults. *Autism Research*, *12*(1), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2004>
- Bauminger, N., & Kasari, C.** (2000). Loneliness and friendship in high-functioning children with autism. *Child Development*, *71*(2), 447–456. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00156>
- Black, M. H., Mahdi, S., Milbourn, B., Thompson, C., D'Angelo, A., Ström, E., Falkmer, M., Falkmer, T., Lerner, M., Halladay, A., Gerber, A., Esposito, C., Girdler, S., & Bölte, S.** (2019). Perspectives of key stakeholders on employment of autistic adults across the united states, australia, and sweden. *Autism Research*, *12*(11), 1648–1662. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2167>
- Blustein, D. L.** (2008). The role of work in psychological health and well-being: A conceptual, historical, and public policy perspective. *American Psychologist*, *63*(4), 228–240. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.4.228>
- Bradshaw, J., Schwichtenberg, A. J., & Iverson, J. M.** (2022). Capturing the complexity of autism: Applying a developmental cascades framework. *Child Development Perspectives*, *16*(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12439>
- Burgess, S., & Cimera, R. E.** (2014). Employment outcomes of transition-aged adults with autism spectrum disorders: A state of the states report. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, *119*(1), 64–83. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-119.1.64>
- Burt, K. B., Obradović, J., Long, J. D., & Masten, A. S.** (2008). The interplay of social competence and psychopathology over 20 years: Testing transactional and cascade models. *Child Development*, *79*(2), 359–374. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01130.x>
- Clarke, E. B., McCauley, J. B., & Lord, C.** (2021). Post-high school daily living skills outcomes in autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *60*(8), 978–985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.11.008>
- Clarke, E. B., Sterrett, K., & Lord, C.** (2021). Work and well-being: Vocational activity trajectories in young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*, *14*(12), 2613–2624. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2606>
- Collins, A., & van Dulmen, M.** (2006). Friendships and romance in emerging adulthood: Assessing distinctiveness in close relationships. In J. J. Arnett & J. L. Tanner (Eds.), *Emerging Adults in America: Coming of Age in the 21st Century* (pp. 219–234). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/11381-009>
- Cox, M. J., Mills-Koonce, R., Propper, C., & Gariépy, J.-L.** (2010). Systems theory and cascades in developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, *22*(3), 497–506. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000234>
- DaWalt, L. S., Usher, L., Greenberg, J. S., & Mailick, M. R.** (2019). Friendships and social participation as markers of quality of life of adolescents and adults with fragile X syndrome and autism. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, *23*(2), 383–393. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317709202>
- Dewinter, J., De Graaf, H., & Begeer, S.** (2017). Sexual orientation, gender identity, and romantic relationships in adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *47*(9), 2927–2934. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3199-9>
- Eisenberg, N., Carlo, G., Murphy, B., & van Court, P.** (1995). Prosocial development in late adolescence: A longitudinal study. *Child Development*, *66*(4), 1179–1197. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1995.tb00930.x>
- Elias, R., & White, S. W.** (2018). Autism goes to college: Understanding the needs of a student population on the rise. *Journal of Autism and*

- Developmental Disorders*, 48(3), 732–746. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3075-7>
- Elliott, C. D.** (2007). *Differential Ability Scales* (2nd ed.). Harcourt Assessment.
- Esbensen, A. J., Bishop, S., Seltzer, M. M., Greenberg, J. S., & Taylor, J. L.** (2010). Comparisons between individuals with autism spectrum disorders and individuals with Down syndrome in adulthood. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 115(4), 277–290. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-115.4.277>
- Furstenberg, F., Kennedy, S., McCloyd, V., Rumbaut, R., & Settersten, R.** (2003). Between Adolescence and Adulthood: Expectations about the Timing of Adulthood. (Network on Transitions to Adulthood and Public Policy Working Paper No. 1). <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237234266>
- Gates, J. A., Kang, E., & Lerner, M. D.** (2017). Efficacy of group social skills interventions for youth with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 52, 164–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.01.006>
- Goodman, R.** (1997). *Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [Database record]*. PsycTESTS. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t00540-000>
- Gresham, F. M., & Elliott, S. N.** (1990). *Social skills rating system manual*. American Guidance Service.
- Groh, A. M., Fearon, R. P., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., van IJzendoorn, M. H., Steele, R. D., & Roisman, G. I.** (2014). The significance of attachment security for children's social competence with peers: A meta-analytic study. *Attachment & Human Development*, 16(2), 103–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616734.2014.883636>
- Gustavson, K., von Soest, T., Karevold, E., & Røysamb, E.** (2012). Attrition and generalizability in longitudinal studies: findings from a 15-year population-based study and a Monte Carlo simulation study. *BMC Public Health*, 12, 1–11.
- Hess, K., Morrier, M., Heflin, L., & Ivey, M.** (2008). Autism treatment survey: Services received by children with autism spectrum disorders in public school classrooms. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(5), 961–971. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0470-5>
- Hong, J., Greenberg, J. S., Floyd, F. J., Pettee, Y., & Mailick Seltzer, M.** (2003). Life course impacts of parenting a child with a disability. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 106(3), 265–265. [https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017\(2001\)106](https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017(2001)106)
- Howlin, P.** (2000). Outcome in adult life for more able individuals with autism or asperger syndrome. *Autism*, 4(1), 63–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361300004001005>
- Howlin, P., & Magiati, I.** (2017). Autism spectrum disorder: Outcomes in adulthood. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 30(2), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000308>
- Kamis, C., & Copeland, M.** (2020). The long arm of social integration: Gender, adolescent social networks, and adult depressive symptom trajectories. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 61(4), 437–452. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146520952769>
- Karant, P., & Chandhok, T. S.** (2013). Impact of early intervention on children with autism spectrum disorders as measured by inclusion and retention in mainstream schools. *The Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, 80(11), Article 11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-013-1014-y>
- Klin, A., Saulnier, C. A., Sparrow, S. S., Cicchetti, D. V., Volkmar, F. R., & Lord, C.** (2007). Social and communication abilities and disabilities in higher functioning individuals with autism spectrum disorders: The Vineland and the ADOS. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 37(4), 748–759. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0229-4>
- Kretzmann, M., Shih, W., & Kasari, C.** (2015). Improving peer engagement of children with autism on the school playground: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavior Therapy*, 46(1), 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2014.03.006>
- Kuo, M. H., Orsmond, G. I., Cohn, E. S., & Coster, W. J.** (2013). Friendship characteristics and activity patterns of adolescents with an autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 17(4), 481–500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361311416380>
- Kushki, A., Anagnostou, E., Hammill, C., Duez, P., Brian, J., Iaboni, A., Schachar, R., Crosbie, J., Arnold, P., & Lerch, J. P.** (2019). Examining overlap and homogeneity in ASD, ADHD, and OCD: A data-driven, diagnosis-agnostic approach. *Translational Psychiatry*, 9(1), 318. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-019-0631-2>
- Laugeson, E. A., Frankel, F., Gantman, A., Dillon, A. R., & Mogil, C.** (2012). Evidence-based social skills training for adolescents with autism spectrum disorders: The UCLA PEERS program. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(6), 1025–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1339-1>
- Laugeson, E. A., Gantman, A., Kapp, S. K., Orenski, K., & Ellingsen, R.** (2015). A randomized controlled trial to improve social skills in young adults with autism spectrum disorder: The UCLA PEERS® program. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45(12), 3978–3989. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-015-2504-8>
- Laxman, D. J., Taylor, J. L., DaWalt, L. S., Greenberg, J. S., & Mailick, M. R.** (2019). Loss in services precedes high school exit for teens with autism spectrum disorder: A longitudinal study. *Autism Research: Official Journal of the International Society for Autism Research*, 12(6), 911–921. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2113>
- Le Couteur, A., Lord, C., & Rutter, M.** (2003). *The autism diagnostic interview-revised (ADI-R)* (pp. 659–685). Western Psychological Services.
- Locke, J., Ishijima, E. H., Kasari, C., & London, N.** (2010). Loneliness, friendship quality and the social networks of adolescents with high-functioning autism in an inclusive school setting. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 10(2), 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-3802.2010.01148.x>
- Lord, C., Charman, T., Havdahl, A., Carbone, P., Anagnostou, E., Boyd, B., Carr, T., Vries, P. J., Dissanayake, C., Divan, G., Freitag, C. M., Gotelli, M. M., Kasari, C., Knapp, M., Mundy, P., Plank, A., Scahill, L., Servili, C., Shattuck, P., ... McCauley, J. B.** (2022). The Lancet Commission on the future of care and clinical research in autism. *The Lancet*, 399(10321), 271–334. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01541-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01541-5)
- Lord, C., McCauley, J. B., Peps, L. A., Huerta, M., & Pickles, A.** (2020). Work, living, and the pursuit of happiness: Vocational and psychosocial outcomes for young adults with autism. *Autism*, 24(7), 1691–1703. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320919246>
- Lord, C., Risi, S., DiLavore, P. S., Shulman, C., Thurm, A., & Pickles, A.** (2006). Autism from 2 to 9 years of age. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 63(6), 694–694. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.63.6.694>
- Lord, C., Rutter, M., DiLavore, P., Risi, S., Gotham, K., & Bishop, S.** (2012). *Autism diagnostic observation schedule-2nd edition (ADOS-2)*. Western Psychological Corporation.
- Lounds Taylor, J.** (2017). When is a good outcome actually good? *Autism*, 21(8), 918–919. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317728821>
- Lounds Taylor, J., Adams, R. E., & Bishop, S. L.** (2017). Social participation and its relation to internalizing symptoms among youth with autism spectrum disorder as they transition from high school. *Autism Research*, 10(4), 663–672. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1709>
- Maenner, M. J.** (2023). Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—Autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2020. *MMWR. Surveillance Summaries*, 72(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss7202a1>
- Masten, A. S., Burt, K. B., Roisman, G. I., Obradovic, J., Long, J. D., & Tellegen, A.** (2004). Resources and resilience in the transition to adulthood: Continuity and change. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579404040143>
- Masten, A. S., & Cicchetti, D.** (2010). Developmental cascades. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(3), 491–495. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000222>
- Masten, A. S., Coatsworth, J. D., Neemann, J., Gest, S. D., Tellegen, A., & Garmez, N.** (1995). The structure and coherence of competence from childhood through adolescence. *Child Development*, 66(6), 1635–1659. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131901>
- Masten, A. S., Desjardins, C. D., McCormick, C. M., Kuo, S. I.-C., & Long, J. D.** (2010). The significance of childhood competence and problems for adult success in work: A developmental cascade analysis. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(3), 679–694. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000362>
- McCauley, J. B., Elias, R., & Lord, C.** (2020). Trajectories of co-occurring psychopathology symptoms in autism from late childhood to adulthood.

- Development and Psychopathology*, 32(4), 1287–1302. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579420000826>
- McCauley, J. B., Pickles, A., Huerta, M., & Lord, C. (2020). Defining positive outcomes in more and less cognitively able autistic adults. *Autism Research*, 13(9), 1548–1560. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2359>
- McChesney, G., & Toseeb, U. (2018). Happiness, self-esteem, and prosociality in children with and without autism spectrum disorder: Evidence from a UK population cohort study. *Autism Research*, 11(7), 1011–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1957>
- Mottron, L. (2017). Should we change targets and methods of early intervention in autism, in favor of a strengths-based education? *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 26(7), 815–825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-017-0955-5>
- Mullen, E. M. (1995). *Mullen scales of early learning* (AGS ed.). American Guidance Service. https://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007%2F978-0-387-79948-3_1570
- Nowicki, E. A. (2003). A meta-analysis of the social competence of children with learning disabilities compared to classmates of low and average to high achievement. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 26(3), 171–188. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1593650>
- Obrodović, J., & Hipwell, A. (2010). Psychopathology and social competence during the transition to adolescence: The role of family adversity and pubertal development. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22(3), 621–634. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579410000325>
- Orsmond, G. I., Shattuck, P. T., Cooper, B. P., Sterzing, P. R., & Anderson, K. A. (2013). Social participation among young adults with an autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43(11), 2710–2719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1833-8>
- Paul, K. I., & Moser, K. (2009). Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 264–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001>
- Pickles, A., McCauley, J. B., Pepa, L. A., Huerta, M., & Lord, C. (2020). The adult outcome of children referred for autism: Typology and prediction from childhood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 61(7), 760–767. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13180>
- Pukki, H., Bettin, J., Outlaw, A. G., Hennessy, J., Brook, K., Dekker, M., Doherty, M., Shaw, S. C. K., Bervoets, J., Rudolph, S., Corneloup, T., Derwent, K., Lee, O., Rojas, Y. G., Lawson, W., Gutierrez, M. V., Petek, K., Tsiakkirou, M., Suoninen, A., . . . Yoon, W.-H. (2022). Autistic perspectives on the future of clinical autism research. *Autism in Adulthood*, 4(2), 93–101. <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2022.0017>
- Romppanen, E., Korhonen, M., Salmelin, R. K., Puura, K., & Luoma, I. (2021). The significance of adolescent social competence for mental health in young adulthood. *Mental Health & Prevention*, 21, 200198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2021.200198>
- Rutter, M., LeCouteur, A., Lord, C., Macdonald, H., Rios, P., & Folstein, S. (1988). Diagnosis and subclassification of autism: Concepts and instrument development. *Diagnosis and Assessment in Autism*, 239–259.
- Schall, C., Wehman, P., Avellone, L., & Taylor, J. P. (2020). Competitive integrated employment for youth and adults with autism: Findings from a scoping review. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 29(2), 373–397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2019.12.001>
- Schulenberg, J. E., Bryant, A. L., & O'Malley, P. M. (2004). Taking hold of some kind of life: How developmental tasks relate to trajectories of well-being during the transition to adulthood. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16(4). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579404040167>
- Schulenberg, J. E., Sameroff, A. J., & Cicchetti, D. (2004). The transition to adulthood as a critical juncture in the course of psychopathology and mental health. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16(4), 799–806. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579404040015>
- Seltzer, M. M., Krauss, M. W., Shattuck, P. T., Orsmond, G., Swe, A., & Lord, C. (2003). The symptoms of autism spectrum disorders in adolescence and adulthood. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 33(6), 565–581. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JADD.000005995.02453.0b>
- Seltzer, M. M., Shattuck, P., Abbeduto, L., & Greenberg, J. S. (2004). Trajectory of development in adolescents and adults with autism. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 10(4), 234–247. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrdd.20038>
- Settersten, R. A., & Ray, B. (2010). What's going on with young people today? The long and twisting path to adulthood. *The Future of Children*, 20(1), 19–41.
- Shattuck, P. T., Wagner, M., Narendorf, S., Sterzing, P., & Hensley, M. (2011). Post high school service use among young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 165(2), 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.279>
- Shih, W., Dean, M., Kretzmann, M., Locke, J., Senturk, D., Mandell, D. S., Smith, T., & Kasari, C. (2019). Remaking recess intervention for improving peer interactions at school for children with autism spectrum disorder: Multisite randomized trial. *School Psychology Review*, 48(2), 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.17105/SPR-2017-0113.V48-2>
- Smith, L. E., Maenner, M. J., & Seltzer, M. M. (2012). Developmental trajectories in adolescents and adults with autism: The case of daily living skills. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 51(6), 622–631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.03.001>
- Song, J., Dembo, R. S., Smith DaWalt, L., Ryff, C. D., & Mailick, M. R. (2023). Improving retention of diverse samples in longitudinal research on developmental disabilities. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 128(2), 164–175.
- Sparrow, S. S., Cicchetti, D. V., & Balla, D. A. (2005). *The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales* (2nd ed.). American Guidance Service.
- Swartz, T. T. (2009). Intergenerational family relations in adulthood: Patterns, variations, and implications in the contemporary United States. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 35(1), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.34.040507.134615>
- Szatmari, P., Cost, K. T., Duku, E., Bennett, T., Elsabbagh, M., Georgiades, S., Kerns, C. M., Mirenda, P., Smith, I. M., Ungar, W. J., Vaillancourt, T., Waddell, C., Zaidman-Zait, A., & Zwaigenbaum, L. (2021). Association of child and family attributes with outcomes in children with autism. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(3), e212530. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.2530>
- Taylor, J. L., Center, V. K., & Mailick, M. R. (2014). A longitudinal examination of 10-year change in vocational and educational activities for adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Developmental Psychology*, 50(3), 699–708. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034297>
- Taylor, J. L., & Mailick, M. R. (2014). A longitudinal examination of 10-year change in vocational and educational activities for adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Developmental Psychology*, 50(3), 699–708. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034297>
- Taylor, J. L., & Seltzer, M. M. (2012). Developing a vocational index for adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(12), 2669–2679. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1524-x>
- Taylor, J. L., Smith, L. E., & Mailick, M. R. (2014). Engagement in vocational activities promotes behavioral development for adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(6), 1447–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-2010-9>
- Thapar, A., Cooper, M., & Rutter, M. (2017). Neurodevelopmental disorders. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 4(4), 339–346. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(16\)30376-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30376-5)
- Thurm, A., Farmer, C., Salzman, E., Lord, C., & Bishop, S. (2019). State of the field: Differentiating intellectual disability from autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 10, 526.
- Umagami, K., Remington, A., Lloyd-Evans, B., Davies, J., & Crane, L. (2022). Loneliness in autistic adults: A systematic review. *Autism*, 26(8), 2117–2135. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613221077721>
- Verissimo, M., Santos, A. J., Fernandes, C., Shin, N., & Vaughn, B. E. (2014). Associations between attachment security and social competence in preschool children. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 60(1), 80–99. <https://doi.org/10.13110/merrpalmquar1982.60.1.0080>
- Wechsler, D. (2003). *Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—WISC-IV*. The Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (2011). *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, Second Edition (WASI-II)* (2nd ed.). Pearson Assessments. <https://pearsonclinical.in/solutions/wechsler-abbreviated-scale-of-intelligence-wasi/>
- Zeidan, J., Fombonne, E., Scolah, J., Ibrahim, A., Durkin, M. S., Saxena, S., Yusuf, A., Shih, A., & Elsabbagh, M. (2022). Global prevalence of autism: A systematic review update. *Autism Research*, 15(5), 778–790. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2696>