

FINITE NETS, I. NUMERICAL INVARIANTS

R. H. BRUCK

Introduction. A finite net N of degree k , order n , is a geometrical object of which the precise definition will be given in §1. The geometrical language of the paper proves convenient, but other terminologies are perhaps more familiar. A finite affine (or Euclidean) plane with n points on each line ($n \geq 2$) is simply a net of degree $n + 1$, order n (Marshall Hall [1]). A loop of order n is essentially a net of degree 3, order n (Baer [1], Bates [1]). More generally, for $3 \leq k \leq n + 1$, a set of $k - 2$ mutually orthogonal $n \times n$ latin squares may be used to define a net of degree k , order n (and conversely) by paralleling Bose's correspondence (Bose [1]) between affine planes and complete sets of orthogonal latin squares.

In the language of latin squares, the problem (explained in §1) of imbedding a net of N of degree k , order n in a net N' of degree $k + 1$, order n becomes the problem of finding an $n \times n$ latin square orthogonal to each of $k - 2$ given mutually orthogonal $n \times n$ latin squares. Similarly, adjunction of a line corresponds to the determination of a common "transversal" (in the terminology of Euler [1]) to the $k - 2$ orthogonal squares. Further details of a historical nature will be found in the bibliography.

On each finite net N we define an integer $\phi(N)$, which may be regarded as an invariant in several ways. A necessary condition that a line can be adjoined to N is that $\phi(N) = 1$. (A necessary and sufficient condition is given in Theorem 1 (i).) We define a direct product $N_1 \times N_2$ of nets N_i of the same degree and study the relation between $\phi(N_1 \times N_2)$ and the $\phi(N_i)$ (Theorem 4). From these considerations we deduce the existence of nets of every order n to which no line can be adjoined (Theorem 5). Next we study the relation between the ϕ 's of homomorphic nets (Theorem 6) and we conclude the paper with an explicit evaluation of ϕ for nets of degree 3 (Theorem 7).

1. Nets and the imbedding problem. Let k, n be positive integers, with $k \geq 3$. A (finite) net N of degree k , order n , is a system of undefined objects called "points" and "lines" together with an incidence relationship ("point is on line" or "line passes through point") such that: (i) N contains k (non-empty) classes of lines. (ii) Two lines a, b of N , belonging to distinct classes, have a unique common point P . (iii) Each point P of N is on exactly one line of each class. (iv) Some line of N has exactly n distinct points. It is easy to show that every line of N has exactly n distinct points, that every class of lines contains exactly n distinct lines and that N consists of n^2 distinct points, kn distinct lines. Moreover, either $n = 1$ or $n \geq k - 1$.

Received October 8, 1949.

If S is a subset of the points of the net N (of degree k , order n) such that each line of N contains exactly one point of S , we shall say that S can be adjoined as a line to N . Considering the n lines of each class, we see that S must consist of exactly n distinct points, no two collinear. If the n^2 points of N can be partitioned into n disjoint sets S_1, \dots, S_n , each of which can be adjoined as a line to N , then the S_j may be regarded as constituting the n lines of an additional class. In this way N can be imbedded in a net N' of degree $k + 1$, order n , consisting of the points and lines of N (with the same incidence relations) plus one additional class of "parallels". Conversely, if the net N of order n , degree k is a subnet of net N' of order n , degree $k + 1$ (a subnet in the sense that a point and line of N are incident in N if and only if they are incident in N') then N, N' must have the same points, and one of the line-classes of N' may be regarded as consisting of n disjoint point-sets S_j , each of which can be adjoined as a line to N . The present paper will be concerned primarily with necessary conditions that a line may be adjoined to a net.

2. The integers represented by a net. Let N be a finite net and let f be a single-valued function from the points of N to the rational integers. We shall say that the rational integer m is represented on N by f if f sums to m over the points of each line of N , and represented positively if, in addition, f takes on only non-negative values. Again, if u is a positive integer, we shall say that m is represented mod u on N by f if f sums to $m \pmod{u}$ on each line of N . The least positive integer represented on N will be denoted by $\phi(N)$. Clearly $\phi(N)$ is an invariant of N . Moreover, $\phi(N)$ is the (positive) greatest common divisor of the integers represented on N .

THEOREM 1. Let N be a finite net of degree k , order n . Then: (i) A necessary and sufficient condition that a line can be adjoined to N is that 1 be positively represented on N . (ii) n is positively represented on N . (iii) $k - 1$ is represented on N . (iv) $\phi(N) \mid (n, k - 1)$. (v) If n is an affine plane (i.e., if $k = n + 1$), $\phi(N) = n$. (vi) With at most a finite number of exceptions, every positive integer divisible by $\phi(N)$ is positively represented on N .

COROLLARY. A necessary condition that a line can be adjoined to N is that $\phi(N) = 1$.

Proof. (i) If S can be adjoined as a line to N , define $f(P) = 1$ or 0 according as P is or is not in S . Then 1 is positively represented on N by f . Conversely, if 1 is positively represented on N by some f , let S be the set of points P for which $f(P) \neq 0$. Then each line of N contains exactly one point P of S (and, incidentally, $f(P) = 1$). Hence S can be adjoined to N as a line.

(ii) If $f'(P) = 1$ for every point P of N , then f' represents n positively on N .

(iii) Select an arbitrary point C of N and define h as follows: $h(C) = k - n$; $h(P) = 1$ if P is distinct from but collinear with C ; $h(P) = 0$ otherwise. If a is a line through C , h sums, over a , to $k - n + n - 1 = k - 1$. If a is a line not through C , the $k - 1$ lines through C which are not in the same class as

a meet a in $k - 1$ distinct points; hence h sums to $k - 1$ over a in this case also. Therefore h represents $k - 1$ on N .

(iv) By (ii) and (iii), $\phi(N)$ divides $n, k - 1$ and their greatest common divisor $(n, k - 1)$.

(v) Let $\phi(N)$ be represented by f on the affine plane N , and let s be the sum of f over the n^2 points of N . Considering the sum of the sums of f over the n lines of some class, we find $n\phi(N) = s$. On the other hand, if C is a point of N , every point of N (other than C) lies on exactly one of the $n + 1$ lines through C . Considering the sum of the sums over the $n + 1$ lines through C , we find $nf(C) + s = (n + 1)\phi(N)$. Since $s = n\phi(N)$, $nf(C) = \phi(N)$. Therefore $n|\phi(N)|n$, so $\phi(N) = n$. And, incidentally, $f(C) = 1$ for every point C of N .

(vi) In view of (ii), every positive integral multiple of n is positively represented on N . Next let r be an integer divisible by $\phi(N)$, in the range $0 < r < n$. Certainly r is represented on N by some function f . Let m' be the least value assumed by f . Then, if f' is the function defined in (ii) and if m is any integer satisfying $m \geq -m'$, the integer $r + mn$ is positively represented on N by $f + mf'$. Therefore, in every congruence class of integers mod n divisible by $\phi(N)$, there is at most a finite number of positive integers not represented positively on N .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. The Corollary follows from (i).

3. A characterization of ϕ . If N is a net of degree k , order n , we shall assume henceforth that the k classes of "parallel" lines have been numbered (arbitrarily, but once and for all) from 1 to k . Thus, if $1 \leq i \leq k$, an i -line of N is a line of class i . In terms of an arbitrary "centre" C (C a point of N) we introduce a coordinate system as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq k$, the n lines of class i are numbered from 1 to n , the i -line through C being assigned the number 1. The i -line numbered x is designated by (i, x) . We also introduce k point-functions I_i , the indicators, by defining $I_i(P) = x$ if (i, x) is the i -line through the point P .

If f is a single-valued function from the integer-range $1 \leq x \leq n$ to the integers, we shall designate by $f(*)$ the sum $f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n)$. In terms of these notations we may prove two theorems.

THEOREM 2. *Let N be a net of degree k , order n . Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the integer m be represented on N is that m be represented mod n on N .*

THEOREM 3. *Let N be a net of degree k , order n . Then $\phi(N)$ is the smallest positive integer s with the following property: If f_1, \dots, f_k are single-valued functions from the integer-range $1 \leq x \leq n$ to the integers, such that*

$$(1) \quad f_i(1) \equiv 0 \pmod n \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(I_i(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod n$$

for each point P of N , then

$$sf_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod n.$$

Proof. If a_1, \dots, a_{kn} are the kn lines and P_1, \dots, P_{n^2} are the n^2 points of N , in arbitrary arrangements, define the *line-point incidence matrix* A of N by putting 1 or 0 in the u th row, v th column of A according as P_v does or does not lie on a_u . Also define U to be the column vector of order kn with every element 1. Let X be a column vector of order n^2 and let m be an arbitrary integer. Then m is represented on N if and only if

$$(3) \quad AX = mU$$

for an integral X . In view of Theorem 1 (ii), (3) has a *rational* solution X with every component equal to m/n . If $r = \text{rank } A$, there exist unimodular matrices T, Q (with rational integral components) such that

$$(4) \quad TAQ = \begin{pmatrix} D_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_r = \text{diag}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r),$$

where the positive integers e_j are the *invariant divisors* of A ; thus $e_j | e_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$. Setting $TU = V, X = QY$, we see that (3) may be reduced to

$$(5) \quad e_j y_j = m v_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

A necessary and sufficient condition that (3) have an integral solution X is that (5) yield integral values for y_1, \dots, y_r . In particular, by the definition of $\phi(N)$, if

$$(6) \quad d_j = (e_j, v_j), \quad e_j = h_j d_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

then $\phi(N)$ is the least common multiple

$$(7) \quad \phi(N) = [h_1, \dots, h_r].$$

Next let u be any integer divisible by e_r (and hence by each e_j). Clearly m is represented mod u on N if and only if $AX = mU \pmod{u}$ for an integral X , or, equivalently, if and only if $e_j y_j \equiv m v_j \pmod{u}$ for integral y_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$). Since $e_j | u$, the latter congruences imply $e_j | m v_j, h_j | m, \phi(N) | m$. However, if $\phi(N) | m, m$ is certainly represented on N . Thus Theorem 2 will be proved when we show that $e_r | n$.

For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let the row-vector R_i denote the sum of the n rows of A corresponding to the lines of class i . Since each point lies on exactly one i -line R_i has each component equal to 1; thus $R_1 = R_2 = \dots = R_k$. Let B be the matrix of $1 + k(n-1)$ rows obtained by deleting from A the rows corresponding to the 2-line, 3-line, \dots, k -line through the centre C . Clearly, since $R_i = R_1, T'A = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for a unimodular matrix T' ; hence B has the same rank and invariant divisors as A . There is therefore no loss of generality in assuming that, in (4), the first r rows of T have zeros in the columns matching with the $k-1$ rows of A omitted in B . With this understanding, let V_j be the j th row of T ($j = 1, \dots, r$); by (4), since Q is unimodular, e_j is the greatest

common divisor of the components of V_jA . For any fixed j , let $g_i(x)$ denote the component of V_j in the column corresponding to the line (i, x) of N ; thus $g_i(1) = 0$ for $i > 1$. In V_jA , the column corresponding to point the P has component

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k g_i(I_i(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod{e_j}.$$

When $P = C$, (8) reduces to $g_1(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{e_j}$; hence

$$(9) \quad g_i(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{e_j} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Selecting a fixed line (i, x) and summing the congruence (8) over the n points P of (i, x) , we derive

$$(10) \quad \sum_{u \neq i} g_u(*) + ng_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{e_j}.$$

From (10), (9), $ng_i(x) \equiv ng_i(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{e_j}$. Thus, if $d = (n, e_j)$ and $e_j = de'$, we have $g_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{e'}$ for all i, x . Since T is unimodular, the greatest common divisor of the components of V_j is 1; therefore $e' = 1$ and $e_j | n$. In particular $e_r | n$, proving Theorem 2.

In similar fashion, letting the g_i be arbitrary rational-valued functions such that $g_i(1) = 0$ for $i > 1$, and replacing the congruences (8) by equations, we may deduce that $g_i(x) = 0$ for all i, x . This shows that the rows of B are linearly independent, so that

$$(11) \quad r = 1 + k(n - 1).$$

To prove Theorem 3, let V_j have (integer-valued) components $g_i(x)$, as above, and let $f_i(x) = n_j g_i(x)$ where $n = n_j e_j$. Then (9) and (8) become (1) and (2) respectively. On the other hand, $V_j U = v_j$, in the notation of (5), and hence

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(*) = n_j v_j.$$

Multiplying (5) by n_j , we get $ny_j = m.n_j v_j$. Therefore m is represented on N if and only if $m.n_j v_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. To replace (10) we have $\sum_{u \neq i} f_u(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, whence, by (12), $n_j v_j \equiv f_i(*)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. In particular, $n_j v_j \equiv f_1(*) \pmod{n}$. Thus, by the definition of s , $s.n_j v_j \equiv s f_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Hence s is represented on N , $\phi(N) | s$.

We must prove the converse. Certainly $AX = \phi(N)U$ for an integral X . Let f_1, \dots, f_k be integer-valued functions satisfying (1) and (2), and let V be the row-vector with $f_i(x)$ in the column corresponding to line (i, x) . Then VA has component $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(I_i(P))$ in the column corresponding to point P , while $VU = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(*)$. Thus the equation $VAX = \phi(N)VU$, together with the congruences (2), implies that

$$(13) \quad \phi(N) \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

By the same methods as before, we deduce from (1) and (2) that (13) is equivalent to $\phi(N)f_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod n$. Since s is the least positive integer such that $sf_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod n$ for all such functions $f_i, s|\phi(N)$. Therefore $\phi(N) = s$. This completes the proofs of Theorems 2, 3.

3. Direct products of nets. Let N_1, N_2 be nets of orders n_1, n_2 respectively, and of the same degree k . The *direct product* $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is defined as follows: (i) The points of N are the ordered pairs (P_1, P_2) , with P_j a point of N_j . (ii) For $i = 1, \dots, k$, the i -lines of N are the ordered pairs (a_1, a_2) , with a_j an i -line of N_j . (iii) (P_1, P_2) lies on (a_1, a_2) in N if and only if P_j lies on a_j in N_j for $j = 1, 2$. It is easy to verify that N is a net of degree k , order n_1n_2 . Making the obvious identifications one may establish the commutative and associative laws for direct products.

If N_1 has a coordinate system centered about C_1 , with indicators I_i , and N_2 has a coordinate system centered about C_2 , with indicators J_i , we introduce a natural coordinate system for $N = N_1 \times N_2$ as follows: Take $C = (C_1, C_2)$ as centre. If a_j is the i -line (i, x_j) of N_j ($j = 1, 2$), denote by $(i; x_1, x_2)$ the i -line (a_1, a_2) of N . Define the indicators I_i of N by $I_i(P_1, P_2) = (x_1, x_2)$ where $(i; x_1, x_2)$ is the i -line of N through (P_1, P_2) . Moreover, if $f(x_1, x_2)$ is a function from the integer-domain $1 \leq x_1 \leq n_1, 1 \leq x_2 \leq n_2$ to the integers, denote by $f(*, x_2)$ the sum $f(1, x_2) + f(2, x_2) + \dots + f(n_1, x_2)$. Similar meanings are assigned to $f(x_1, *)$ and $f(*, *)$.

THEOREM 4. Let N_j be a net of order n_j and degree k , for $j = 1, 2$, and let $N = N_1 \times N_2$. Write

$$(14) \quad d = (n_1, n_2), \quad n_1 = dq_1, \quad n_2 = dq_2.$$

Then there exist positive integers a, b such that

$$(15) \quad (q_1, \phi(N_1)) \cdot (q_2, \phi(N_2)) = a \cdot \phi(N),$$

$$(16) \quad (d, k - 1) \cdot \phi(N) = b [\phi(N_1), \phi(N_2)],$$

$$(17) \quad ab \mid (d, k - 1).$$

COROLLARY 1. If $(n_1, n_2, k - 1) = 1$, then $\phi(N_1 \times N_2) = \phi(N_1)\phi(N_2)$.

COROLLARY 2. If $(q_1q_2, k - 1) = 1$, then $\phi(N_1 \times N_2) = 1$.

COROLLARY 3. For any finite net N , $\phi(N \times N) = 1$.

Proof. In the present notation the content of Theorem 3 may be expressed as follows: $\phi(N)$ is the least positive integer such that, for integer-valued functions f_i , the congruences

$$(18) \quad f_i(1, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2},$$

$$(19) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(I_i(P_1), J_i(P_2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$$

for all points (P_1, P_2) of N , imply

$$(20) \quad \phi(N)f_1(*, *) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}.$$

Keeping P_1 fixed in (19), select a line (i, x_2) of N_2 and sum over all points P_2 of (i, x_2) . Then

$$(21) \quad \sum_{j \neq i} f_j(I_j(P_1), *) + n_2f_i(I_i(P_1), x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}.$$

Since the sum in (21) is independent of x_2 , we have

$$n_2f_i(I_i(P_1), x_2) \equiv n_2f_i(I_i(P_1), 1) \pmod{n_1n_2},$$

i.e.

$$(22) \quad f_i(x_1, x_2) \equiv f_i(x_1, 1) \pmod{n_1}$$

for all i, x_1, x_2 in their respective ranges. Similarly,

$$(23) \quad f_i(x_1, x_2) \equiv f(1, x_2) \pmod{n_2}.$$

Since d divides n_1, n_2 , we deduce from (22), (23) and (18) that

$$(24) \quad f_i(x_1, x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

Returning to (21), choose any line (j, x_1) of N_1 , with $j \neq i$, and sum over all points P_1 of (j, x_1) . There results

$$(25) \quad \sum_{p \neq i, j} f_p(*, *) + n_1f_j(x_1, *) + n_2f_i(*, x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$$

for all i, j ($i \neq j$) and x_1, x_2 . As in the proof of Theorem 3, $f_p(*, *) \equiv f_1(*, *) \pmod{n_1n_2}$. And since, by Theorem 1, $\phi(N)$ divides $k - 1$, $(k - 1)f_1(*, *) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$. Therefore (25) is equivalent to

$$(26) \quad f_1(*, *) \equiv n_1f_j(x_1, *) + n_2f_i(*, x_2) \pmod{n_1n_2}.$$

Since $k \geq 3$, and since in (26) the only restriction is $i \neq j$, (26) is equivalent to

$$(27) \quad f_1(*, *) \equiv n_1f_1(x_1, *) + n_2f_1(*, x_2) \pmod{n_1n_2}.$$

Define t_1, t_2 as the least positive integers such that

$$(28) \quad t_1n_2f_1(*, x_2) \equiv 0, \quad t_2n_1f_1(x_1, *) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$$

for all f_i satisfying (18), (19). By (27), $t_1t_2f_1(*, *) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$. Hence, by the property (20) of $\phi(N)$,

$$(29) \quad \phi(N) \mid t_1t_2.$$

Since $q_1d = n_1$, (24) implies $q_1n_2f_1(*, x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$. Thus $t_1 \mid q_1$. Similarly,

$$(30) \quad t_j \mid q_j \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Since the q_j are relatively prime, so are the t_j . Next choose any fixed value

for x_2 and define functions $F_i(x_1) = f_i(x_1, x_2)$. From (22), $F_i(x_1) \equiv f_i(x_1, 1) \pmod{n_1}$. Thus, from (18), $F_i(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1}$. Moreover, by (19),

$$\sum_{i=1}^k F_i(I_i(P_1)) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(I_i(P_1), J_i(C_2)) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3, $0 \equiv \phi(N_1)F_1(*) \equiv \phi(N_1)f_1(*, x_2) \pmod{n_1}$, and so $\phi(N_1)n_2f_1(*, x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$. Hence (and similarly)

$$(31) \quad t_j \mid \phi(N_j) \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

By (30), (31), t_j divides the greatest common divisor of q_j and $\phi(N_j)$. Hence (29) implies (15) for some positive integer a .

To obtain (16), let $g_i(x_1)$ be any set of integer-valued functions satisfying equations analogous to (1), (2) for N_1 , and set $f_i(x_1, x_2) = n_2g_i(x_1)$. Then the f_i will satisfy (18), (19). Therefore $\phi(N)f_1(*, *) = \phi(N)(n_2)^2g_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1n_2}$, $\phi(N)n_2g_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1}$, $\phi(N_1) \mid \phi(N)n_2$. Since $\phi(N_1) \mid (n_1, k - 1)$ and since $(n_1, n_2) = d$, we may improve the last statement to $\phi(N_1) \mid (d, k - 1)\phi(N)$. Similarly for $\phi(N_2)$. Hence the least common multiple $[\phi(N_1), \phi(N_2)]$ divides $(d, k - 1)\phi(N)$, proving (16) for some positive integer b .

Eliminating $\phi(N)$ from (15), (16), we derive $ab[\phi(N_1), \phi(N_2)] = (d, k - 1)(q_1, \phi(N_1))(q_2, \phi(N_2))$. Since the integers $(q_j, \phi(N_j))$ are relatively prime divisors of $[\phi(N_1), \phi(N_2)]$, we have (17). This completes the proof of Theorem 4. In the case of Corollary 1, $a = b = 1$, by (17), and then $\phi(N) = \phi(N_1)\phi(N_2)$ by (16) and the fact that $(\phi(N_1), \phi(N_2))$ is a divisor of $(n_1, n_2, k - 1) = 1$.

In the case of Corollary 2, the left-hand side of (15) is 1, since, for example, $(q_1, \phi(N_1))$ divides $(q_1, k - 1) = 1$. Thus $\phi(N) = 1$. And Corollary 3 corresponds to the special case $q_1 = 1 = q_2$ of Corollary 2.

THEOREM 5. *Let $n > 1$ be a positive integer with factorization $n = \prod p(i)^{m(i)}$ where the $p(i)$ are distinct primes and the $m(i)$ are positive integers. Let $r = \min(p(1)^{m(1)}, p(2)^{m(2)}, \dots)$. Then there exists a net N of order n , degree $r + 1$, such that $\phi(N) = r > 1$. In particular, no line can be adjoined to N .*

COROLLARY. *If k is any integer such that $3 \leq k \leq r + 1$, there exists a net N of order n , degree k .*

Proof. For any prime p and positive integer m , let $E(p, m)$ be an affine plane of order p^m (and degree $p^m + 1$). Such a plane exists, for example, the plane obtained by using coordinates (in the familiar manner of elementary plane geometry) from the field $GF(p^m)$. For each i , we may define a net N_i of degree $r + 1$, order $p(i)^{m(i)}$ from an $E(p(i), m(i))$ by deleting some $(p(i)^{m(i)} + 1) - (r + 1)$ classes of lines. Set $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots$. By an obvious extension of Corollary 1 to Theorem 4, $\phi(N) = \phi(N_1)\phi(N_2)\dots$. For exactly one i , $N_i = E(p(i), m(i))$ and $\phi(N_i) = p(i)^{m(i)} = r$. For all other i , lines can be adjoined to N_i , so $\phi(N_i) = 1$. Therefore $\phi(N) = r > 1$. As for the Corollary we need merely delete some $r + 1 - k$ classes of lines from N .

4. Homomorphic nets. Let N, N' be nets of the same degree k . A *homomorphism* θ of N upon N' is a single-valued, exhaustive mapping of N upon N' which maps points upon points, i -lines upon i -lines (for $i = 1, \dots, k$) and preserves incidence. The requirement that i -lines be mapped upon i -lines may seem artificial. The obvious generalization, however, is no more necessary than the little used concept of "anti-homomorphism" in group theory, and adds complications to the proofs. (See Bates [1] for a similar restriction in regard to 3-nets.)

A homomorphism θ of N upon N' is called an *isomorphism* if it is one-to-one, and a *zero homomorphism* if N' has order one. A net N is *simple* if its only homomorphisms upon nets are isomorphisms and zero homomorphisms.

LEMMA 1. Let N, N' be nets of respective orders n, n' and of the same degree k . Let θ be a homomorphism of N upon N' . For each point P' of N' , let $M(P')$ be the subset of N consisting of all points P of N such that $P\theta = P'$ and of all lines a of N such that $a\theta$ passes through P' . Then $n = mn'$ for a positive integer m , and each $M(P')$ is a subnet of N , of order m , degree k .

COROLLARY. Every finite affine plane is a simple net.

Proof. Consider one of the sets $M = M(P')$. Then M contains lines of each of the k classes in N , since the k lines through P' are images under θ . If a, b are lines of distinct classes in N , such that $a\theta, b\theta$ pass through P' , the intersection point $P = a \cdot b$ satisfies $P\theta = P'$, and hence is in M . If Q is in M , each of the k lines through Q is in M . Hence M is a net of degree k and of some order m . In particular, for each i , M has exactly m i -lines, and these are precisely the i -lines of N which map into the i -lines through P' . If Q' is a point of N' , distinct from P' , the i -line through P' and the j -line through Q' ($j \neq i$) must meet in a point R' of N' . Then $M(R'), M(P')$ have the same i -lines, hence the same order m ; and $M(Q'), M(R')$ have the same j -lines, hence the same order m . Therefore each of the $(n')^2$ subnets $M(P')$ has order m , showing that $(n')^2 m^2 = n^2$ or $n = mn'$.

As for the Corollary, if the net N has order $n = k - 1$, then $k - 1 = mn'$. But either $n' = 1$ or $n' \geq k - 1$; and the second alternative gives $n' = k - 1, m = 1$. Hence every homomorphism of N upon a net is either a zero homomorphism or an isomorphism. Thus N is simple. This Corollary offers a partial explanation of the lack of success in attempting to define homomorphisms of projective planes (Marshall Hall [1]).

With the notation of Lemma 1, define D to be the greatest common divisor of all the integers $\phi(P') = \phi(M(P'))$. Also write

$$(32) \quad d = (m, n'), \quad m = du, \quad n' = dv.$$

THEOREM 6. Let N be a net of degree k , order $n = mn'$, possessing a proper homomorphism θ upon a net N' of order n' . (Thus $m, n' \geq k - 1$.) Then

$$(33) \quad \phi(N) \mid [\phi(N'), (u, D)], \quad \phi(N') \mid (d, k - 1)\phi(N).$$

Proof. If $\phi(N)$ is represented on N by the point function $f(P)$, define $g(P') = \sum f(P)$ where the sum is taken over the m^2 points P such that $P\theta = P'$. Then it is easy to see that g represents $m\phi(N)$ on N' . Hence $\phi(N') \mid m\phi(N)$. By (32) and the fact that $\phi(N') \mid (n', k - 1)$, we deduce the second relation of (33). If a, b, c are integers, one readily verifies the identity $([a, b], [a, c]) = [a, (b, c)]$. Thus the relation

$$(34) \quad \phi(N) \mid [\phi(N'), (u, \phi(C'))],$$

holding for every point C' of N' , implies the first relation of (33). We complete the proof by establishing (34).

Let θ' be any one-to-one mapping of the points of N' into the points of N , such that $P'\theta'\theta = P'$. Thus $P'\theta'$ is in $M(P')$ for each P' of N' . Choose any point C' as centre in N' and take $C = C'\theta'$ as centre in N . Let I_i, J_i be the indicator functions for N, N' respectively. As an additional notation, define

$$(35) \quad I_i(P') = I_i(P'\theta'), \quad P' \text{ in } N'.$$

If $f(x)$ is a function from the integer-range $1 \leq x \leq n$ to the integers, define $f(*)$ as before. Also define

$$(36) \quad f(i, P') = \sum' f(x),$$

where the sum in (36) is taken over all x such that (i, x) is a line of $M(P')$. Now let f_i be functions satisfying (1), (2) of Theorem 3. For any point P' of N' , and any line (i, x) of $M(P')$, sum (2) over all points P common to (i, x) and $M(P')$. Thus

$$(37) \quad \sum_{j \neq i} f_j(j, P') + mf_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod n.$$

Since the second term of (37) is independent of the choice of (i, x) in $M(P')$,

$$(38) \quad f_i(x) \equiv f_i(I_i(P')) \pmod{n'}, \quad (i, x) \text{ in } M(P').$$

By (38), $f_i(x)$ is determined mod n' by the line $(i, x') = (i, x)\theta$ of N' . Thus (mod n') we may define a set of integer-valued functions $F_i(x')$, on the range $1 \leq x' \leq n'$, by

$$(39) \quad F_i(x') \equiv f_i(x) \pmod{n'} \quad \text{if } (i, x)\theta = (i, x').$$

Clearly the F_i satisfy the conditions corresponding to (1), (2) for N' . Therefore, by Theorem 3,

$$(40) \quad \phi(N')F_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n'}.$$

Next pick $j \neq i$ and consider the line $(j, 1)$ of N' . By (39), (38),

$$(41) \quad F_i(*) \equiv \sum' f_i(I_i(P')) \pmod{n'}$$

where the sum in (41) is over the points P' of $(j, 1)$. Moreover $f_j(j, P') = f_j(j, C')$ for P' on $(j, 1)$, since, for each P' of $(j, 1)$, the j -lines of $M(P')$ are

those lines (j, x) such that $(j, x)\theta = (j, 1)$. Hence, if in (37) we sum over all points P' of $(j, 1)$, there results

$$(42) \quad \sum_{p \neq i, j} f_p(*) + n'f_j(j, C') + mF_i(*) \equiv 0 \pmod n.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5, (42) is equivalent to

$$(43) \quad f_1(*) \equiv n'f_1(1, C') + mF_1(*) \pmod n.$$

If t is the least positive integer such that

$$(44) \quad tn'f_1(1, C') \equiv 0 \pmod n$$

for all functions f_i satisfying (1), (2), then (40), (43) imply $[\phi(N'), t]f_1(*) \equiv 0 \pmod n$. Hence

$$(45) \quad \phi(N) \mid [\phi(N'), t].$$

Since C is the centre for $M(C')$ as well as for N , and since $m \mid n$, the f_i satisfy conditions analogous to (1), (2) for the net $M(C')$. And since $f_1(1, C')$ denotes for $M(C')$ the sum analogous to $f_1(*)$ for N , $\phi(C')f_1(1, C') \equiv 0 \pmod m$. Inasmuch as $n = mn'$, this and (44) imply $t \mid \phi(C')$. Again, from (38), if $(1, x)$ is in $M(C')$, $f_1(x) \equiv f_1(I_1(C')) \equiv f_1(1) \equiv 0 \pmod n'$ and therefore $f_1(1, C') \equiv 0 \pmod n'$. Moreover, $un' \cdot n' = nv$, by (32), so that $un'f(1, C') \equiv 0 \pmod n$. Hence $t \mid u$. Therefore

$$(46) \quad t \mid (u, \phi(C')).$$

And (45), (46) combine to give (34). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5. Explicit evaluation of ϕ for nets of degree 3. A set G together with an operation $(.)$ is called a *loop* provided: (i) if a, b are in G , $a \cdot b$ is a uniquely determined element of G ; (ii) if a, b are in G there exists a unique x in G such that $x \cdot a = b$ and a unique y in G such that $a \cdot y = b$; (iii) there exists a (unique) element 1 of G such that $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$ for every a in G . A loop is a group if and only if it obeys the associative law $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$. The concepts of homomorphism, normal subloop and quotient loop are quite similar to the corresponding concepts in group theory (Albert [1], Baer [2], Bruck [1]). For our purposes the essential facts are these: If the loop G of order n possesses a homomorphism θ upon a loop G' of order n' , then the kernel H of θ is a normal subloop of G and G/H is isomorphic to G' . Moreover H has order m where $n = mn'$, and each element of G' is the image under θ of precisely m distinct elements of G . Finally, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the normal subloops of G and the homomorphisms of G upon loops.

From a loop G of order n , we form a net $N = N(G)$ of order n , degree 3, as follows: The points of N are the n^2 ordered pairs (x, y) of elements of G . Each a of G determines: (i) a 1-line $x = a$ whose points are the n points (a, y) ; (ii) a 2-line $y = a$ whose points are the n points (x, a) ; (iii) a 3-line $x \cdot y = a$

whose points are the n points (x, y) with $x \cdot y = a$. As shown in Bates [1], every net of order n , degree 3 may be so defined in terms of a suitable loop G of order n . We define $\phi(G) = \phi(N(G))$.

THEOREM 7. *Let G be a finite loop of order n . If G contains a normal subloop H of odd order such that the quotient loop G/H is a cyclic group of even order, then $\phi(G) = 2$. In all other cases, $\phi(G) = 1$.*

COROLLARY 1. *Necessary and sufficient conditions that $\phi(G) = 2$ are that $n = m \cdot 2^t$ for m odd, $t \geq 1$, that G contain a normal subloop K of order m , and that G/K be the cyclic group of order 2^t .*

COROLLARY 2. *If $n = 4m + 2$, then $\phi(G) = 2$ if and only if G contains a subloop of order $2m + 1$.*

COROLLARY 3. *If G is a group of order $n = 4m + 2$, then $\phi(G) = 2$.*

Proof. Take $C = (1, 1)$ as the centre of the net $N(G)$ and define indicators $I_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ so that if $P = (x, y)$ then $I_1(P) = x$, $I_2(P) = y$, $I_3(P) = x \cdot y$. Conditions (1), (2) of Theorem 3 become

$$(47) \quad f_1(1) \equiv f_2(1) \equiv f_3(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

$$(48) \quad f_1(x) + f_2(y) + f_3(x \cdot y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

for all x, y of G . Setting, in turn, $x = 1$ and $y = 1$ in (48), we find, by (47), that $-f_3(x) \equiv f_1(x) \equiv f_2(x) \equiv f(x)$, say, mod n so that (47), (48) can be replaced by

$$(49) \quad f(x \cdot y) \equiv f(x) + f(y) \pmod{n}$$

for all x, y of G . In view of (49), the mapping $x \rightarrow f(x)$ is a homomorphism of G upon some subgroup Z of the additive group of the integers mod n . Thus Z is a cyclic group.

Conversely, if G is homomorphic to a cyclic group Z of order n' , we may assume without loss of generality that Z is a subgroup of the additive group of integers mod n and that the homomorphism is given by (49). Also $n = mn'$ where m is the order of the kernel, and exactly m elements of G map upon each element of Z . If t is the sum of the elements of Z it is easily verified (compare Paige [1]) that t is the unit 0 if n' is odd and the unique element of order two if n' is even. In any case, by Theorem 3, $\phi(G)$ is the least positive integer s such that

$$(50) \quad sf(*) \equiv smt \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

for all integer-valued f satisfying (49). Clearly $\phi(G) \mid 2$.

If n' is even, t has order two. If also m is odd, (50) implies that $2 \mid \phi(G)$. Therefore $\phi(G) = 2$, proving the first statement of Theorem 7.

Next suppose that G is such that there exists no f for which m is odd and n'

is even. If m is odd, n' is odd and $t \equiv 0$, so that $f(*) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. If m is even, $f(*) \equiv mt \equiv 0$, since $2t \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Therefore $\phi(G) = 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 7. The Corollaries are immediate consequences of known facts about loops and groups.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- A. A. Albert
 [1] *Quasigroups I*, Trans. Amer. Soc., vol. 54 (1943), 502-519;
Quasigroups II, loc. cit., vol. 55 (1944), 401-419.
- Reinhold Baer
 [1] *Nets and groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 46 (1939), 110-141;
Nets and groups. II, loc. cit., vol. 47 (1940), 435-439.
 [2] *The homomorphism theorems for loops*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 67 (1945), 450-460.
- P. T. Bateman
 [1] *A remark on infinite groups*, Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 57 (1950), 623-624.
- Grace E. Bates
 [1] *Free loops and nets and their generalizations*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 69 (1947), 499-550.
- G. Bol
 [1] *Gewebe und Gruppen*, Math. Ann., vol. 114 (1937), 414-431.
- R. C. Bose
 [1] *On the application of the properties of Galois fields to the problem of construction of hyper-Graeco-latin squares*, Sankya, Indian Journal of Statistics, vol. 3 (1938), 323-338.
- R. C. Bose and K. R. Nair
 [1] *On complete sets of latin squares*, Sankya, vol. 5 (1942), 361-382.
- R. H. Bruck
 [1] *Contributions to the theory of loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 60 (1946), 245-354.
- R. H. Bruck and H. J. Ryser
 [1] *The non-existence of certain finite projective planes*, Can. J. Math., vol. 1 (1949), 88-93.
- L. Euler
 [1] *Recherches sur une nouvelle espèce de quarrés magiques*, Collected works, series prima, vol. 7, 291-392.
- R. A. Fisher and F. Yates
 [1] *The 6×6 latin squares*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., vol. 30 (1934), 492-507.
 [2] *Statistical tables for agricultural, biological and medical research* (Edinburgh, 1943.)
- Marshall Hall
 [1] *Projective planes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 54 (1943), 29-77.
- M. G. Kendall
 [1] *Who discovered the latin square?*, American Statistician, vol. 2 (1948), 13.
- F. W. Levi
 [1] *Finite geometrical systems* (University of Calcutta, 1942.)
- C. C. MacDuffee
 [1] *The theory of matrices* (New York, 1946.)
- H. F. MacNeish
 [1] *Euler squares*, Ann. of Math., vol. 23 (1921-2), 221-227.
- H. B. Mann
 [1] *The construction of orthogonal squares*, Ann. of Math. Statistics, vol. 13 (1942), 418-423.
 [2] *On orthogonal latin squares*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 50 (1944), 249-257.
 [3] *Analysis and design of experiments* (New York, 1949.)
- H. W. Norton
 [1] *The 7×7 squares*, Ann. Eugen., vol. 9 (1939), 269-307.

L. J. Paige

- [1] *A note on finite abelian groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 53 (1947), 590-593.
 [2] *Neofields*, Duke Math. J., vol. 16 (1949), 39-60.

Albert Sade

- [1] *Énumération des carrés latins. Application au 7^e ordre. Conjecture pour les ordres supérieurs.* (Published by the author, Marseille, 1948.)

W. L. Stevens

- [1] *The completely orthogonalized latin square*, Ann. Eugen., vol. 9 (1939), 82-93.

G. Tarry

- [1] *Le problème de 36 officiers*, Compte Rendu de l'Association Française pour l'Avancement de Science Naturel, vol. 1 (1900), 122-123; vol. 2 (1901), 170-203.

University of Wisconsin

Vol. II, No. 2: Errata

WATER WAVES OVER A CHANNEL OF FINITE DEPTH

ALBERT E. HEINS

p. 216: Instead of $L_+(w)$, read $1/L_+(w)$. Also, for $\exp [\chi(w)]$ in this expression read $\exp [-\chi(w)]$.

p. 221: Multiply $|L_+(\pm \kappa)|^2$ by b .

Multiply $|L_+(\pm \kappa')|^2$ by $b\rho_0$.

Divide the expression for $\left| \frac{L_+(\pm \kappa)}{L_+(\pm \kappa')} \right|^2$ by ρ_0^2 .

In the formulas for t_1 and t_2 , $\kappa\rho_0/2$ and $2\kappa'/\rho_0$ should be replaced by 2κ and $2\kappa'$, respectively.