

SEMIGROUPS OF HIGH RANK II DOUBLY NOBLE SEMIGROUPS

by EMILIA GIRALDES*

(Received 3rd July 1984)

1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to [2]. By a *semigroup of high rank* we mean a semigroup such that for $s_1 \neq s_2$, $\langle S \setminus \{s_1, s_2\} \rangle \subset S$ (properly). Semigroups of high rank such that $\langle S \setminus \{s\} \rangle \subset S$ (*royal semigroups*) were classified in [2], where it was also shown that for a *noble semigroup* (i.e. a semigroup of high rank such that there exists a superfluous element z in S for which $\langle S \setminus \{z\} \rangle = S$) there exists either exactly one superfluous element or exactly two superfluous elements [2, Theorem 3.7].

The main results of [2] give structure theorems for *singly noble semigroups* (for which there is a unique superfluous element). The purpose of this paper is to describe the structure of *doubly noble semigroups*, i.e. semigroups S in which there exist two distinct elements z_1, z_2 for which

$$\langle S \setminus \{z_1\} \rangle = \langle S \setminus \{z_2\} \rangle = S.$$

In one important respect the description is easier than in the singly noble case, for a doubly noble semigroup must be a band [2, Theorem 3.7]. Moreover, if we express such a band in the standard way as a semilattice of rectangular bands, then not only must all rectangular bands be in $\mathbf{RZ} \cup \mathbf{LZ}$ [2, Lemma 2.1], but also the underlying semilattice must be a chain Theorem 3.9. A full structure theorem for doubly noble semigroups is therefore not very hard to obtain, and this is given as Theorem 3.10.

2. Doubly noble semigroups

Let B be a doubly noble semigroup. Then B is a band [2, Theorem 3.7] containing two elements z_1, z_2 such that $\langle B \setminus \{z_1\} \rangle = \langle B \setminus \{z_2\} \rangle = B$, but there do not exist distinct s_1 and s_2 such that $B \setminus \{s_1, s_2\}$ generates B . From [2, Section 3] we have

$$(\forall s, t \in B) \quad st \in \{s, t, z_1, z_2\} \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\forall s, t \in B \setminus \{z_i\}) \quad st \in \{s, t, z_i\} \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{2.2}$$

*This work was finished during a visit to St Andrews by the author, who acknowledges financial support from the Portuguese Instituto Nacional de Investigação Científica (Centro de Algebra de Lisboa).

We also have

$$(\forall s, t \in B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}) \quad st \in \{s, t\} \tag{2.3}$$

for if st were equal to z_1 or z_2 it would follow that $B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ generates B .

Next we have

Theorem 2.4 *Let B be a doubly noble band, with $\langle B \setminus \{z_1\} \rangle = \langle B \setminus \{z_2\} \rangle = B$. Then either*

$$(\exists x, y \in B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}) \quad z_1 = z_2x \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = z_1y \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$(\exists x, y \in B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}) \quad z_1 = xz_2 \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = yz_1. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. From (2.3) and from the fact that $\langle B \setminus \{z_1\} \rangle = B$ we must have either $z_1 = z_2x$ or $z_1 = xz_2$ for some x in $B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$. Equally there exist y in $B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ such that $z_2 = z_1y$ or $z_2 = yz_1$. If

$$z_1 = z_2x \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = yz_1$$

then

$$z_1 = z_2x = yz_1x = yz_2x^2 = yz_2x = yz_1 = z_2$$

a contradiction. Similarly

$$z_1 = xz_2 \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = z_1y$$

lead to a contradiction. The result follows.

Notice now that (2.5) implies that $z_1 \mathcal{R} z_2$, hence, since B is a band,

$$z_1z_2 = z_2, \quad z_2z_1 = z_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly (2.6) implies $z_1 \mathcal{L} z_2$ and so

$$z_1z_2 = z_1, \quad z_2z_1 = z_2.$$

In the former case we say that B is a *dexter* doubly noble band, in the later case we say that B is a *sinister* doubly noble band. In what follows we shall confine ourselves to the dexter case; results for the sinister case will always follow by duality.

Notice now that the elements x and y appearing in (2.5) must be distinct, if we had $z_1 = z_2x, z_2 = z_1x$ it would follow that

$$z_1 = z_2x = z_2x^2 = z_1x = z_2.$$

We thus deduce that if B is a doubly noble semigroup, then $|B| \geq 4$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let B be a dexter doubly noble band. Then*

(i) *for all s in $B \setminus \{z_1\}$*

$$sz_1 = s \Rightarrow z_1s = z_2s = sz_2 = s,$$

$$sz_1 = z_1 \Rightarrow z_1s \in \{s, z_1, z_2\},$$

(ii) *for all s in $B \setminus \{z_2\}$*

$$sz_2 = s \Rightarrow z_1s = z_2s = sz_1 = s,$$

$$sz_2 = z_2 \Rightarrow z_2s \in \{s, z_1, z_2\}.$$

Proof. It will be sufficient to prove (i). So suppose first that $sz_1 = s$. Then by (2.1)

$$sz_2 \in \{s, z_1, z_2\}$$

now

$$s = sz_1 = sz_2z_1. \tag{2.7}$$

If $sz_2 = z_1$, then $z_1^2 = s$, a contradiction. If $sz_2 = z_2$, then $z_2z_1 = s$, again a contradiction. Hence $sz_2 = s$. By (2.1) we have

$$z_1s, z_2s \in \{s, z_1, z_2\}.$$

If $z_1s = z_1$, then

$$z_1 = z_1s = z_1sz_2 = z_1z_2 = z_2$$

a contradiction. If $z_1s = z_2$ then

$$z_2 = z_1s = z_1sz_1 = z_2z_1 = z_1$$

again a contradiction. Thus $z_1s = s$, and similarly $z_2s = s$. The second part of the statement (i) follows directly from (2.1).

Corollary. (i) *For all s in $B \setminus \{z_1\}$,*

$$z_1s \neq s \Rightarrow sz_1 = z_1, sz_2 = z_2.$$

(ii) *For all s in $B \setminus \{z_2\}$,*

$$z_2s \neq s \Rightarrow sz_1 = z_1, sz_2 = z_2.$$

Example. Let B_4 be the subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}(\{1, 2, 3, 4\})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} & y &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \\
 z_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} & z_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

This has the Cayley table

	x	y	z_1	z_2
x	x	y	z_1	z_2
y	x	y	z_1	z_2
z_1	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_2
z_2	z_1	z_2	z_1	z_2

and is a dexter doubly noble band generated by $B \setminus \{z_1\}$ and $B \setminus \{z_2\}$. It illustrates the fact that the rather weak statement in the second parts of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2.8 cannot be strengthened. If $s = x$ then

$$sz_1 = z_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_1s = z_1,$$

if $s = y$ then

$$sz_1 = z_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_1s = z_2,$$

if $s = z_2$ then

$$sz_1 = z_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_1s = s.$$

We now show that B_4 is a rather significant example.

Theorem 2.9. *Let B be a dexter doubly noble band generated by $B \setminus \{z_1\}$ and $B \setminus \{z_2\}$ and let x, y be elements of $B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ satisfying (2.5). Then $\{x, y, z_1, z_2\}$ is a subband of B isomorphic to B_4 .*

Proof. We know that $z_1z_2 = z_2, z_2z_1 = z_1$ from (2.7). From (2.5) it follows that

$$z_1x = z_2x^2 = z_2x = z_1$$

and similarly that $z_2y = z_2$. From the corollary to Theorem 2.8 it follows that

$$xz_1 = yz_1 = z_1 \quad xz_2 = yz_2 = z_2.$$

From (2.3) it follows that $xy \in \{x, y\}$. Now $xy = x$ implies that

$$z_1 = z_2x = z_2xy = z_1y = z_2$$

a contradiction. Hence $xy = y$. Similarly $yx = x$. The result is now clear.

3. A structure theorem for doubly noble bands

Following the pattern established in [2] we begin by examining the structure of a dexter doubly noble band with exactly two \mathcal{J} -classes.

Let us examine the following construction. Let A and Z be disjoint right zero semigroups where $|A| \geq 2, |Z| \geq 2$. Let P be a proper subset of A such that $|P| \geq 1$ and let z_1 and z_2 be fixed elements of Z . Define a multiplication on $A \cup Z$ by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} az &= z & (z \in Z, a \in A) \\ za &= z & (z \in Z \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}, a \in A) \\ z_1a &= z_2a = z_1 & (a \in P) \\ z_1a &= z_2a = z_2 & (a \in A \setminus P). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

It is possible to check directly that this is an associative multiplication. It is easy also to see that S is a doubly noble band, with superfluous elements z_1 and z_2 and with two \mathcal{J} -classes, namely A and Z . By analogy with the notational devices used in [2] we can write

$$B = DNB_R^R(A, Z; P; z_1, z_2) \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that the example B_4 described following Theorem 2.8 is

$$B_4 = DNB_R^R(\{x, y\}, \{z_1, z_2\}; \{x\}; z_1, z_2).$$

A sinister doubly noble band dual to (3.2) is easily described and is denoted by

$$DNB_L^L(A, Z; P; z_1, z_2). \tag{3.3}$$

We now prove

Theorem 3.4. *Every doubly noble semigroup with two \mathcal{J} -classes is isomorphic to a semigroup of type (3.2) or (3.3).*

Proof. Let B be a doubly noble band with elements z_1, z_2 such that

$$\langle B \setminus \{z_1\} \rangle = \langle B \setminus \{z_2\} \rangle = B.$$

Suppose first that B is dexter. Then $z_1 \mathcal{R} z_2$ and so certainly z_1, z_2 are in the same \mathcal{J} -class Z , then $|Z| \geq 2$. We know by [2, Lemma 2.1] that Z is either left or right zero, by (2.7) it follows that Z is right zero.

There exist x, y in $B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ such that $z_1 = z_2x, z_2 = z_1y$. If $x \in Z$ then

$$x = z_2x = z_1$$

a contradiction. Hence $x \notin Z$, and similarly $y \notin Z$. Hence $x, y \in A$ ($|A| \geq 2$), the other \mathcal{J} -class of B . By Theorem 2.9, $\{x, y, z_1, z_2\}$ is a subband of B isomorphic to B_4 . Hence in particular $xy = y, yx = x$, and so A , which must be either left zero or right zero, is in fact right zero. B is thus a two element chain of right zero semigroups A and Z , with

$$AZ \subseteq Z, ZA \subseteq Z. \tag{3.5}$$

For $a \in A$ and $z \in Z$ we have $az = z' \in Z$. In fact

$$z' = az = az^2 = z'z = z$$

so we have

$$az = z \quad (a \in A, z \in Z). \tag{3.6}$$

If $z \in Z \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ then by (2.3) and (3.5)

$$za \in \{z, a\} \cap Z.$$

Thus

$$za = z \quad (a \in A, z \in Z \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}). \tag{3.7}$$

Finally notice that by (2.1) and (3.5)

$$z_1a \in \{a, z_1, z_2\} \cap Z = \{z_1, z_2\}.$$

If $z_1a = z_1$ then by (2.5)

$$z_2a = z_1ya = z_1a = z_1$$

(since A is a right zero semigroup). Similarly if $z_1a = z_2$ then $z_2a = z_2$. Thus A divides into complementary sets given by

$$P = \{a \in A : z_1a = z_2a = z_1\}$$

$$A \setminus P = \{a \in A : z_1a = z_2a = z_2\}.$$

Both sets are non-empty, since $x \in P$ and $y \in A \setminus P$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 a = z_2 a = z_1 & \quad a \in P \\ z_1 a = z_2 a = z_2 & \quad a \in A \setminus P. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Comparing (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) with (3.1) we see that

$$B \simeq DNB_R^R(A, Z; P; z_1, z_2).$$

In obtaining a more general theory for doubly noble bands the following result is crucial.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $B = \mathcal{B}[Y: \{E_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}]$ be a doubly noble band, expressed as a semilattice Y of rectangular bands. Then Y is a chain.*

Proof. Suppose not, and let α be a branch point of Y . Thus there exist $\beta, \gamma > \alpha$ such that $\beta\gamma = \alpha$. Since $E_\beta E_\gamma \subset E_\alpha$ it follows that one element z of E_α can be expressed as a product xy with $x \in E_\beta, y \in E_\gamma$ and so $x \neq z, y \neq z$. Thus $\langle B \setminus \{z\} \rangle = B$. Hence $z \in \{z_1, z_2\}$ and so $\{z_1, z_2\} \in E_\alpha$. On the other hand $x, y \in B \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ and so $xy \in \{x, y\}$. Then $xy \in E_\alpha \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$ and we have a contradiction.

Now let B an arbitrary doubly noble semigroup and E_0 the \mathcal{J} -class of B containing z_1 and z_2 . Then $E_0 \in \mathbf{RZ}$ and B is a chain

$$B = \mathcal{B}[Y: \{E_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}]$$

where there is at least one element α in Y , such that $\alpha > 0$. There exist x, y in $B \setminus E_0$ such that

$$z_2 x = z_1, z_1 y = z_2$$

and we have seen for any x, y satisfying these equations we have $xy = y, yx = x$, giving $x \mathcal{R} y$. It follows that

$$\{u \in B: z_2 u = z_1\} \cup \{v \in B: z_1 v = z_2\}$$

is contained in a single \mathcal{J} -class, say $E_\alpha, \alpha > 0$, for if $z_1 u = z_1$, then $u \mathcal{R} y$, and if $z_1 v = z_2$ then $v \mathcal{R} x$. Then $E_\alpha \in \mathbf{RZ}$ and the structure of the subband $E_\alpha \cup E_0$ is that of

$$DNB_R^R(E_0; E_\alpha; P; z_1, z_2).$$

Consider now the subband $E_\gamma \cup E_0$ where $\gamma > 0, \gamma \neq \alpha$. If $u \in E_\gamma$, then $z_1 u \neq z_2$ and so by (2.1)

$$z_1 u \in \{z_1, u\} \cap E_0 = \{z_1\}.$$

Thus $z_1u = z_1$, and similarly $z_2u = z_2$. If $z \in E_0 \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ then our previous argument using (2.3) gives

$$zu \in \{z, u\} \cap E_0$$

and so $zu = z$ for all $u \in E_\gamma$ and $z \in E_0$. Also for all $u \in E_\gamma$ and all $z \in E_0$, $uz \in E_0$ and so

$$uz = uz^2 = (uz)z = z$$

by the right zero property of E_0 . Thus $E_\gamma \cup E_0$ has the structure of royal semigroup, as described in [2].

Every subband $E_\nu \cup E_\mu$, such that $\nu, \mu \neq 0$ is a royal band.

We now show that α covers 0. For suppose that α does not cover 0 and let $b \in E_\delta$ where $0 < \delta < \alpha$. Then both $E_\alpha \cup E_0$ and $E_\alpha \cup E_\delta$ are royal. Choose x in E_α such that $z_1 = z_2x$: then

$$z_1 = z_2x = (z_2b)x = z_2(bx) = z_2b = z_2,$$

a contradiction.

We have therefore proved the converse half of the following theorem; where Ω denotes the class of all non-zero cardinal numbers.

Theorem 3.10. *Let (Y, \leq) be a chain and let 0 be a fixed non maximal element of Y and suppose that Y contains an element 1 covering 0. Let $M: Y \rightarrow \Omega$ and $H: Y \rightarrow \{R, L\}$ be maps and suppose that $M(0) \geq 2$, $M(1) \geq 2$ and $H(0) = H(1) = R$. Let E_β , $\beta \in Y$, be a set containing $M(\beta)$ elements and having right or left-zero semigroup structure according as $H(\beta)$ is R or L. Let B the disjoint union $B = \cup \{E_\beta; \beta \in Y\}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in E_0$. Let $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq E_1$.*

Extend the binary operation on E_β , $\beta \in Y$ to B giving $E_1 \cup E_0$ the structure

$$DNB_R^R(E_1, E_0; P; z_1, z_2)$$

and all other unions $E_\beta \cup E_\gamma$ the structure

$$\text{Roy}(\{\beta, \gamma\}, M|\{\beta, \gamma\}, H|\{\beta, \gamma\}) \tag{3.12}$$

(see [2, Theorem 2.2]). Then B is a dexter doubly noble band, denoted by

$$DNB(Y; M, H; P).$$

Conversely, every dexter doubly noble band is isomorphic to one constructed in this way.

Proof. All that remains is to show that the multiplication described in B is associative. Let $a \in E_\alpha$, $b \in E_\beta$, $c \in E_\gamma$, where α, β, γ are distinct elements of Y . By (3.12) the associativity is obvious from the properties of royal semigroups unless $\{0, 1\} \subset \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$.

If $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ includes one element of Y that is lower than 0 then the associativity is again clear. Since $E_1 \cup E_0 \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ is royal we need only consider the six cases.

- (i) $a \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \beta = 1, \gamma > 1,$
- (ii) $a \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \beta > 1, \gamma = 1,$
- (iii) $b \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \alpha = 1, \gamma > 1,$
- (iv) $b \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \alpha > 1, \gamma = 1,$
- (v) $c \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \alpha = 1, \beta > 1,$
- (vi) $c \in \{z_1, z_2\}, \alpha > 1, \beta = 1.$

All the verifications are routine and a sample verification will suffice. In case (i) $z_1 b \in \{z_1, z_2\} \subset E_0$ and so $bc = b$; hence

$$(z_1 b)c = z_1 b = z_1(bc).$$

The other cases are similar.

If $|\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}| < 3$ the associativity is obvious.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. CLIFFORD, Semigroups admitting relative inverses, *Ann. of Math.* **42** (1941), 1037–1049.
2. E. GIRALDES and JOHN M. HOWIE, Semigroups of high rank, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **28** (1985), 13–34.
3. J. M. HOWIE, *An introduction to semigroup theory* (Academic Press, London, 1976).
4. D. McLEAN, Idempotent semigroups, *American Math. Monthly* **61** (1954), 110–113.
5. M. PETRICH, *Lectures in semigroups* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1977).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
 FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS
 UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA
 1300 LISBOA
 PORTUGAL