

## THE MATRIX EQUATIONS $A = XYZ$ AND $B = ZYX$ AND RELATED ONES

BY

J. L. BRENNER AND M. J. S. LIM

'Dedicated to Olga Taussky-Todd in friendship.'

In [15], O. Taussky-Todd posed the problem of title, namely to find  $X, Y, Z$  when  $A, B$  are given. Clearly if  $X, Y, Z$  exist then  $A, B$  are either both invertible or both noninvertible.

In section 1, the problem is reviewed in case  $A, B$  are both invertible. The problem is seen to be fundamentally one of group theory rather than matrix theory. Application of results of Shoda, Thompson, Ree to the general group-theoretical results allows specialization to certain matrix groups.

In Section 2, examples and counterexamples are given in case  $A, B$  are noninvertible. A general necessary condition for solvability (involving ranks) is obtained. This condition may or may not be sufficient. For  $\dim A=2, 3$  the problem is settled: there is always a solution in the noninvertible case.

1. **The invertible case.** For the equations  $A=XYZ$  and  $B=Z Y X$ , solvability is decided by theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Related equations are treated below. They concern the five permutations of  $X, Y, Z$ .

**THEOREM 1.01.** *Let  $A=XYZ, B=Z Y X$  in any group. Then  $AB^{-1}$  is a commutator [16].*

**Proof.**  $AB^{-1}=[XY, ZY]$ .

**THEOREM 1.02.** [1]. *Suppose (in some group)  $AB^{-1}$  is a commutator  $UVU^{-1}V^{-1}$ . Then  $X, Y, Z$  exist such that  $A=XYZ, B=Z Y X$  [or  $B=XZY$  or  $B=YXZ$ ].*

**Proof.**  $X=UB, Y=B^{-1}VU^{-1}, Z=V^{-1}B$ , [or  $X=UVB, Y=B^{-1}U^{-1}B, Z=B^{-1}V^{-1}B$ ; or:  $X=U, Y=V, Z=U^{-1}V^{-1}B$ ].

Theorem 1.01 remains true if we replace  $Z Y X$  by  $XZY, YXZ, ZXY$ , or  $YZX$ . Theorem 1.02 remains true if we replace  $Z Y X$  by  $XZY$  or  $YXZ$ , but not by  $ZXY$  or  $YZX$ .

### 1.03 Proofs.

$$(XYZ)(XZY)^{-1} = [XYX^{-1}, XZX^{-1}], \quad (XYZ)(YXZ)^{-1} = [X, Y],$$

$$(XYZ)(ZXY)^{-1} = [XY, Z], \quad (XYZ)(YZX)^{-1} = [X, YZ].$$

1.04 COUNTEREXAMPLE. There is a group in which  $AB^{-1}$  is a commutator, but neither the set  $A=XYZ, B=ZXY$  nor the set  $A=X_1Y_1Z_1, B=Y_1Z_1X_1$  is solvable.

If  $X, Y, Z$  existed, then  $B$  would be conjugate to  $A: B = ZAZ^{-1}$ . The matrix example  $A = \text{diag}[2, 2], B = \text{diag}[1, 4]$  shows that  $AB^{-1}$  can be a commutator  $UVU^{-1}V^{-1}$ , with  $B$  not conjugate to  $A$ :

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 12, & -10 \\ 9, & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 3, & -2 \\ 0, & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corollaries of the above results are the following.

Let  $SL(n, K)$  denote the multiplicative group of all invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over  $K$  with determinant unity,  $GF(p^n)$  denote the finite field with  $p^n$  elements.  $SL(n, GF(m))$  will be abbreviated  $SL(n, m)$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *In the following groups, the equations  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  ( $B = XZY, B = YXZ$ ) are always solvable*

- (a) [12]  $SL(n, 2)$  ( $n > 2$ ); this is false for  $n = 2$ .
- (b) [12]  $SL(n, 3)$  ( $n > 2$ ); this is false for  $n = 2$ .
- (c) [11]  $SL(n, m)$  ( $n = 2, m > 3$ ; also  $n > 2$ )
- (d) [11]  $SL(n, m)$   $m > 3$
- (e) [9]  $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$
- (f) [10]  $SL(n, K)$ ,  $K$  algebraically closed.

Let  $GL(n, K)$  denote the multiplicative group of all invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over  $K$ . Again,  $GL(n, m)$  will denote  $GL(n, GF(m))$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *If  $A, B$  have the same determinant (but not otherwise), i.e. if  $A, B$  belong to the same coset of  $GL(n, K)$  over  $SL(n, K)$ , the equations  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  ( $XZY, YXZ$ ) are solvable (in  $GL$ ) in the following cases*

- (a) [13]  $GL(n, m), n = 2, m = 3,$
- (b) [9], [14],  $GL(n, \mathbb{C}).$

**THEOREM 1.3.** [8] *In a connected semi-simple algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field, every element is a commutator. Therefore in such a group  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  are simultaneously solvable.*

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $A, B$  be quaternions of the same norm. Then quaternions  $X, Y, Z$  exist such that  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  [3].*

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Given  $A = XYZW, B = WZYX$ . Then*

$$AB^{-1} = [XYZ, WYZ][WYW^{-1}, WZW^{-1}]$$

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Given  $A = XYZWM, B = MWZYX$ . Then*

$$AB^{-1} = [XYZW, MYZW][MYZM^{-1}, MWZM^{-1}]$$

See [6a].

**2. The singular case.** If  $XYZ$  is not invertible, neither is  $ZYX$ . In this section we first give a condition on the ranks of  $A, B$  that is necessary for the existence of a

simultaneous solution of  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$ . Then an example of two  $4 \times 4$  singular matrices  $A, B$  is given for which no solution exists. Next it is shown that the general problem reduces to the case  $A = \text{diag}(I, 0)$ .

For  $2 \times 2$  and  $3 \times 3$  matrices the problem is resolved; there is always a solution if  $A, B$  are both singular. Also in the important case that  $A, B$  are diagonal, singular, and of the same rank, there is always a solution. The products of the non-zero diagonal elements can be different.

In every case we have examined, there is a solution provided the necessary condition holds.

**2.01 THEOREM.** *If  $A, B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices with (real or) complex entries, and if  $n \times n$   $X, Y, Z$  exist such that  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$ , then  $|\text{rank } A - \text{rank } B| \leq 2n/3$ .*

**Proof.** The general assertion will follow from the application of theorem 2.05 to the particular assertion of theorem 2.01 in the case  $A = 0$ . In this case, it must be shown that  $\text{rank } B \leq 2n/3$ .

Assume *per contra* that  $\text{rank } B \geq [2n/3] + k, k$  a positive integer. Thus  $\text{rank } X \geq [2n/3] + k$ . From the relation  $A = 0 = XYZ$ , it now follows that  $r_1 = \text{rank } YZ \leq n - [2n/3] - k$ . Write  $r_1 = n - [2n/3] - k - l, l \geq 0$ .

Let  $r_2 = \text{rank } ZY$ . From [3], it follows that  $|r_2 - r_1| \leq [(n - r_1)/2]$ . Thus

$$r_2 \leq r_1 + [(n - r_1)/2] = n - [2n/3] - k - l + [(n/3) + (k + l)/2] \leq [2n/3].$$

This relation contradicts the assumption  $\text{rank } B > [2n/3]$ , and the theorem follows.

**2.02 REMARK.** The bound is sharp, as the example  $X = \text{diag}[0, 1, 1]$ ,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z = \text{diag}[1, 1, 0]$$

shows. By forming direct sums, an example with difference  $[2n/3]$  can be constructed for any value of  $n$ .

**2.03 REMARK.** An example can be constructed such that

$$\prod_1^k X_i = 0, \quad \prod_1^k X_i \text{ has rank } [(k-1)n/k].$$

**2.04 COROLLARY.** *If  $A$  is the  $4 \times 4$  0-matrix and  $B$  has rank 3, the equations  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  have no simultaneous solution.*

**2.05 THEOREM.** *Let  $P, Q$  be invertible  $n \times n$  matrices. Set  $A_1 = PAQ, B_1 = PBQ$ . The equations  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  have a simultaneous solution if and only if the equations  $A_1 = X_1 Y_1 Z_1, B_1 = Z_1 Y_1 X_1$  have a simultaneous solution.*

**Proof.**  $X_1 = PXQ, Y_1 = Q^{-1}YP^{-1}, Z_1 = PZQ$ .

**2.06 THEOREM.** *The equations  $A = XYZ, B = ZYX$  have a simultaneous solution if and only if the equations  $A' = X_2 Y_2 Z_2, B' = Z_2 Y_2 X_2$  have a simultaneous solution.*

**Proof.**  $X_2=Z', Y_2=Y', Z_2=X'$ .

2.07 THEOREM. *Let  $A, B$  be diagonal, singular, and of equal rank. Then  $A=XYZ, B=ZYX$  have a simultaneous solution.*

**Proof.** By theorem 2.05, it is sufficient to consider the case  $A=\text{diag}[I_k, O_{n-k}]$ ,

$$B = \text{diag}[O_r, b_{r+1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+r}, O_{n-k-r}],$$

where  $O_j$  is the  $j \times j$  zero matrix, and  $b_i \neq 0, i=r+1, \dots, r+k$ . If  $Z$  is the circulant matrix corresponding to the permutation  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ , i.e. with first row  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $A=XYZ$  requires  $XY=AZ^{-1}$ ;  $B=ZYX$  requires  $Z^{-1}B=YX$ . Direct computation of  $AZ^{-1}, Z^{-1}B$  shows that, although singular, they are equivalent. Thus  $X, Y$  can be found. In fact, a little more is proved: the ranks of  $A, B$  can even differ by 1, provided both are singular [4].

2.08 THEOREM. *Let  $A=PBYP^{-1}Y^{-1}[A=PY P^{-1}BY^{-1}]$ . Then  $A=XYZ, B=ZYX$  have a simultaneous solution.*

**Proof.**  $X=PY^{-1}, Z=BY P^{-1}Y^{-1}[X=P, Z=BY^{-1}]$ .

Note the contrast with theorem 1.01. In this theorem,  $A, B$  need not be invertible.

**Note.** The referee has called our attention to the paper [2] concerning solutions of the equation  $T=PAQ-QAP$ . This equation is amenable to attack by the methods of this article whenever  $T$  is of the form  $S(I \oplus 0)U$ . Write  $T=S(I \oplus 0)U, P=SP_1U, Q=SQ_1U, A=U^{-1}A_1S^{-1}$ .

LEMMA. *The equation  $I \oplus 0=P_1A_1Q_1-Q_1A_1P_1$  is solvable in finite-dimensional nonsingular matrices of dimension  $>1$ . (There is clearly no solution for dimension 1, except when  $I \oplus 0 \equiv 0$ .)*

**Proof.** The first step is to solve  $I=PAQ-QAP$ . Since  $0=PAQ-QAP$  is trivially solvable, the lemma will follow. But  $I=PAQ-QAP$  is solvable provided  $I=V-W$  for some  $V, W$  such that  $VW^{-1}$  is a commutator. This is easy: set  $V=(1+a)I, W=aI$ , where  $(1+a)^n=a^n; VW^{-1}=\epsilon I$ .

It can even be shown that  $I=V-W$  can be solved subject to the further restrictions (i)  $\det V=\det W=\Delta$ , (ii)  $V, W$  real if  $\Delta$  is real. This extends the result of [2] from the unitary space to the real space.

It should now be clear (direct sums) that there are many commutators  $C=XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $C-I$  is invertible,  $C-I=Z^{-1}$ . Thus  $V=I+Z, W=Z$  satisfy  $VW^{-1}=C$ , so that finally every operator  $T$  of the form  $S(I \oplus 0)U, S, U$  invertible in (real or complex) Hilbert space can be written in the form  $PAQ-QAP$ , with  $P, A, Q$  invertible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. NSF GP 32527.

## REFERENCES

1. J. L. Brenner, *Math. Reviews* **16**, 326 (1955).
- 1a. J. L. Brenner, *Some Simultaneous equations in matrices*. *Duke Math. J.* **39**, 801–805 (1972).
2. A. Brown and C. Pearcy, *Operators of the Form  $PAQ-QAP$* , *Can. J. Math.* **20** (1968), 1353–1361.
3. J. de Pillis and J. L. Brenner, *Generalized elementary symmetric functions and quaternion matrices*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **4**, 55–69 (1971).
4. H. Flanders, *Elementary Divisors of  $AB$  and  $BA$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2**, 871–874 (1951).
5. H. B. Griffiths, *A note on commutators in free products*, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **50**, 178–188 (1954).
6. P. Hall. Correspondence.
- 6a. R. C. Lyndon, *The equation  $a^2b^2=c^2$  in free groups*. *Mich. Math. J.* **6**, 89–95 (1959).
7. B. Neumann. Correspondence.
8. R. Ree, *Commutators in semisimple algebraic groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**, 457–460 (1964).
9. K. Shoda, *Einige Sätze über Matrizen*, *Jap. J. Math.* **13**, 361–365 (1936).
10. K. Shoda, *Über den Kommutator der Matrizen*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3**, 78–81 (1951).
11. R. C. Thompson, *Commutators in the special and general linear groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101**, 16–33 (1961).
12. R. C. Thompson, *Commutators of matrices with coefficients from the field of two elements*, *Duke J.* **29**, 367–374 (1962).
13. R. C. Thompson. *On matrix commutators*, *Portugaliae Math.* **21**, 143–153 (1962).
14. O. Taussky-Todd, *Generalized commutators of matrices and permutations of factors in a product of three matrices*, *Studies in Math. Mech.* presented to R. von Mises, N.Y. Academic Press, 1954, 67–68.
15. O. Taussky-Todd, *Problems on matrices and operators*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76**, 977 (1970).
16. M. J. Wicks, *Commutators in free products*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **37**, 433–444 (1962).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA,  
VICTORIA, B.C., CANADA

J. L. BRENNER  
10 PHILLIPS RD.  
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94303