


## Perspective Piece

# How clinicians can support posttraumatic growth following psychosis: a perspective piece

Gerald Jordan<sup>1</sup> , Fiona Ng<sup>2</sup> and Robyn Thomas<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Birmingham, College of Life and Environmental Science, School of Psychology, Institute for Mental Health, Centre for Urban Wellbeing, Birmingham, UK, <sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK and <sup>3</sup>School of Social and Political Science, University of Edinburgh, 15a George Square, Edinburgh, UK

### Abstract

Psychosis is often a traumatic experience that can lead to significant suffering. However, people may also experience posttraumatic growth following psychosis. Posttraumatic growth refers to the positive changes that people experience following a struggle with an adversarial event and has been shown to occur in at least five domains, including a greater appreciation for life; improved relationships with others; greater personal strengths; new life possibilities and spiritual/existential growth. Studies have shown that mental health services can play a key role in facilitating posttraumatic growth. However, there are no recommendations that clinicians can follow to best support posttraumatic growth following psychosis specifically. Without guidance, clinicians risk invalidating people's experiences of, or providing improper support for, posttraumatic growth. To address this knowledge gap, we reflect on current research and clinical guidelines to recommend ways that clinicians can support posttraumatic growth following psychosis.

**Keywords:** Posttraumatic growth; recovery; psychosis; trauma; clinical guidelines; hope; mad pride

(Received 19 May 2022; revised 17 November 2022; accepted 30 November 2022)

### Introduction

People who experience psychosis often report high levels of trauma that may arise from adverse childhood experiences; violent and dehumanising treatment along their pathway to care; stigma and discrimination on both a structural and interpersonal level; as well as negative anomalous experiences (e.g., hearing malevolent voices) (Longden & Read, 2016; Rodrigues & Anderson, 2017). Following a psychosis, people may experience profound challenges such as cognitive difficulties; feelings of sadness, guilt, anxiety and fear; an altered sense of self; and disrupted life plans (McCarthy-Jones *et al.* 2013). However, people may also experience posttraumatic growth following a psychosis.

Posttraumatic growth refers to the positive, veridical psychological changes that may be experienced following the intense *struggle* with a negative, adverse or traumatic event and is conceptualised to occur in at least five domains, including a greater appreciation for life; improved relationships with others; greater personal strengths; new life possibilities; and spiritual/existential growth (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). People who experience posttraumatic growth may experience it in one, several or all domains and to a small, moderate or great degree (Tedeschi *et al.* 2018).

Posttraumatic growth often occurs alongside significant levels of distress and suffering (Dekel *et al.* 2012).

Over the past 10 years, an increasing number of studies have examined posttraumatic growth following psychosis. These studies have revealed that posttraumatic growth occurs at the individual level (e.g., living a life that is more congruent with one's values and passions); interpersonal level (e.g., becoming closer to loved ones) and spiritual/religious level (e.g., stronger belief in God) (Ibrahim *et al.* 2022; Jordan *et al.* 2018; Jordan *et al.* 2019a, 2019b; Slade *et al.* 2019b). These changes have been reported among people who have experienced psychosis themselves, as well as their families and friends (Jordan *et al.* 2018; Thornhill *et al.* 2022). Posttraumatic growth has been reported among people who have experienced a single or multiple episodes of psychosis (Ng *et al.* 2021), and some evidence also suggests that posttraumatic growth may be stable over time (Jordan *et al.* 2022; Lee *et al.* 2022).

The history of posttraumatic growth is rooted in philosophical and religious traditions as well as in existential, humanistic and cognitive psychology (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004; Tedeschi *et al.* 2018). In addition, psychoanalytic scholars such as Jung (Jung, 1967), Liang (Liang, 1960), and Perry (Perry, 1974, 1999) provided detailed accounts of how psychosis can bring about growth and positive psychological change. Of note, Perry argued that psychosis could help people heal old wounds and initiate a process of individuation, eventually leading to a sense of self-renewal, growth, greater authenticity, a new life direction and improved relationships with others (Perry, 1974, 1999).

**Address for correspondence:** Gerald Jordan, University of Birmingham, School of Psychology, Hills Building, Edgbaston Park Rd, Birmingham, UK, B15 2TT. (Email: [g.jordan@bham.ac.uk](mailto:g.jordan@bham.ac.uk))

The authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

**Cite this article:** Jordan G, Ng F, and Thomas R. How clinicians can support posttraumatic growth following psychosis: a perspective piece. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine* <https://doi.org/10.1017/ipm.2023.7>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The College of Psychiatrists of Ireland. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

The concept of posttraumatic growth may compliment alternative conceptualisations of psychosis. These conceptualisations include viewing psychosis as a spiritual emergency that can eventually lead to a spiritual awakening (Grof & Grof, 1991); as well as viewing one's identity as a Mad person with pride (i.e., Mad Pride) (LeFrancois *et al.* 2013). Importantly, these alternative frameworks highlight how one can experience growth following psychosis without experiencing trauma, such as in the case when a psychosis is perceived as beautiful, profound, or spiritual in addition to confusing or scary. These perspectives also challenge the dominant medical model of psychosis as a diagnostic entity with negative consequences and shifts the perspective to a more positive and nuanced view to value individual perspectives. For some who have experienced psychosis, this shift in conceptualisation of their experiences of psychosis as deficit to a more hopeful and nuanced conceptualisation may provide hope and better encapsulates lived experience.

Posttraumatic growth shares some similarities and differences with the concept of recovery. Specifically, posttraumatic growth is conceptually distinct from clinical recovery, which is defined as the resolution of symptoms and the restoration of functioning; but overlaps with personal recovery, through experiencing growth via identity transformation, connection with others, meaning making and other areas of improvement (Jordan *et al.* 2020a).

Posttraumatic growth can be fostered in several ways, such as by drawing on personal resources and strategies (e.g., spirituality); engaging in a meaning-making process to actively construe a positive aftermath from psychosis; as well as experiencing a sense of healing and recovery (Jordan *et al.* 2020b). Loved ones and peers with lived/living experience can foster posttraumatic growth by providing instrumental (e.g., financial) and emotional forms of support (e.g., listening), as well as by providing a sense of solidarity and community which can serve as a base for exploring possible new aspects of the self (Jordan *et al.* 2018; Jordan *et al.* 2022; Ng *et al.* 2021).

Supporting posttraumatic growth may be important for several reasons. First, such support is consistent with policies that guide the provision of recovery-oriented care. These policies recognise that people who have experienced mental health problems like psychosis can live full meaningful lives in the communities of their choice, with or without symptoms, if they are provided with proper supports and accommodations. Recovery-oriented care is often aligned with clients' needs, preferences, goals and explanatory models of psychosis, as well as with clients' personal histories and cultural background. Several elements of recovery-oriented care which stem from such policies and foster posttraumatic growth (Jordan *et al.* 2018; Ng *et al.* 2021) include having a fundamental belief in clients' capacity to recover and lead a meaningful life of their choosing; treating clients like human beings worthy of dignity, compassion and respect; fostering relationships with clients that are non-hierarchical and based around honesty, openness, compassion and trust and finding ways to support clients' strengths and talents (Le Boutillier *et al.* 2011; Davidson *et al.* 2016; Davidson *et al.* 2021).

Supporting posttraumatic growth is also in line with policies that guide trauma-informed care. Such policies recognize how trauma intersects with various health and social problems that people experience; aims to reduce the risk of trauma and re-traumatization and promotes healing from trauma (Bowen & Murshid, 2016). Within the context of psychosis, work on posttraumatic growth can provide avenues for policies that encourage the recognition that such growth is a possibility for people.

By developing an understanding of, and supporting, posttraumatic growth during clinical care, clinicians may provide hope to

people experiencing psychosis; validate personal experiences of growth which may be dismissed as delusional or evidence of a lack of insight into their condition (Slade *et al.* 2019a). Given that posttraumatic growth emphasizes how people can improve or experience positive personal or life changes following adversity, supporting posttraumatic growth challenges aspects of the medical model that hold that some people who experience psychosis may be on a path towards chronic impairment (Canton, 2021).

Additionally, the medical model assumes that people may, through treatment, return to previous levels of functioning. However, a core theoretical component of posttraumatic growth is that people can grow beyond this. Experiencing posttraumatic growth may be a first step towards engaging in generativity, or actions characterized by giving back to one's community, society and future generations (McAdams, 2013; McAdams & de St Aubin, 1992; McAdams *et al.* 1998), which may be important actions that people who have experienced psychosis may wish to partake in. Understanding that posttraumatic growth is possible may lead clinicians to communicate with clients in a more hopeful manner in a way that acknowledges and validates their potential experiences, perhaps establishing greater trust.

Guidance around how to support posttraumatic following psychosis specifically are needed for at least at least three reasons. First, many who have experienced psychosis often experience multiple intersecting traumas. Any discussions around posttraumatic growth, or attempts to support it, must be done with great tact, compassion, and consideration of the negative impact that trauma may have had on a person. Second, people who experience psychosis are often seen as lacking insight, the capacity for rational thought, or potential for personal development (Slade & Sweeney, 2020). Such fallacies are not often applied to people who experience other mental health problems (e.g. depression or anxiety) or have experienced other adversities, (e.g. natural disasters); and such assumptions can impact the ways in which clients are spoken to and treated. This may result in their experiences being invalidated and their rights being violated (Newbigging & Ridley, 2018). Finally, some approaches to supporting posttraumatic growth, such as encouraging the use spiritual resources (as described below), are often discouraged within the context of psychosis. However, given that these practices can support meaning making, there is a specific need to highlight the importance of such practices within the context of posttraumatic growth.

As researchers who have investigated posttraumatic growth following psychosis over the past nine years, we are often called upon by colleagues to present our findings to clinical audiences. Our presentations have garnered a wide range of reactions. Some clinicians have commented that it is impossible to grow following a psychosis, and that people who describe experiencing growth show a lack of insight into their illness or may be actively delusional. Other clinicians have shared that our work has inspired them to think about and support their clients in a "more humane way". We find both forms of comments disturbing. On the one hand, they can be invalidating to a person's own understanding of how they've changed following a psychosis. On the other hand, these comments suggest that to be seen as human one must be experiencing some form of profound personal development, and that the presence of suffering or disability on their own are not enough to bear witness to clients' humanity. These comments also suggest that clinicians may lack awareness of posttraumatic growth following psychosis, which is consistent with other work (Jordan *et al.* 2020c).

Several clinical manuals and guides exist which describe how clinicians can best support posttraumatic growth following

adversities in general (Altmaier & Gleason, 2019; Calhoun & Tedeschi, 1999; Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2013); yet no guidance exists on how clinicians can best support posttraumatic growth following psychosis. Without guidance, clinicians risk invalidating people's experiences or providing improper support, which may include working with the false expectation that everyone who experiences psychosis *should* grow (Jordan *et al.* 2019a, 2019b). To address this knowledge gap, the aim of this paper is to draw on existing clinical guidelines and our own work on this topic to articulate several strategies that clinicians can adopt to support posttraumatic growth among people who have experienced psychosis.

### *Provide gentle encouragement within a validating, normalising context*

Clinicians may support posttraumatic growth by applying gentle encouragement, rather than expecting, or pushing people towards posttraumatic growth (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 1999). Pre-existing clinical guidelines specify that supporting posttraumatic growth—especially early on in a person's recovery—should not be a priority. Rather, the focus of care should be on building a therapeutic alliance and helping people cope with and survive their traumas (Ng *et al.* 2021). As sessions progress, clinicians can encourage posttraumatic growth by noticing and acknowledging experiences of growth as they are mentioned. Any acknowledgement should be led by the client's own experiences rather than a clinician's urge to acknowledge the occurrence of posttraumatic growth. Acknowledgements of posttraumatic growth should be well timed, not sound like empty platitudes, and stated in such a way as to emphasize that growth is not produced by the psychosis, but by the *struggle* to deal with it. Importantly, clinicians should tolerate and respect the validity of clients' accounts of posttraumatic growth even if clinicians perceive such accounts as illusory.

That stated, clinicians must also be mindful that many clients will not experience posttraumatic growth, nor *should* they; and clients who do not experience growth are not moral failures unworthy of a clinician's efforts. Clients who experience posttraumatic growth are not better people than clients who have not; and experiences of posttraumatic growth are not attestations of their clients' humanity and potential. After all, dealing with everyday life challenges, stressful experiences that fall outside of consensus reality, and the often-intolerable toll that fitting into a neoliberal political economy takes, can be challenging enough (Rowe & Davidson, 2016).

### *Support the development of an integrated and constructive life narrative*

McAdams has argued and demonstrated that people often construct their identity and sense of self around a life story or narrative (McAdams, 2013; McAdams & de St Aubin, 1992; McAdams *et al.* 1998). Psychosis can often lead to significant disruptions to people's life narratives. Hence, an important way that clinicians can facilitate posttraumatic growth following psychosis may involve helping clients reconstruct a coherent and constructive narrative about their lives. These reconstructions can be framed around redemption sequences or stories. Such stories often frame a difficult or traumatic life experience as one that leads to meaning-making, resolution or growth (McAdams, 2013; McAdams & de St Aubin, 1992; McAdams *et al.* 1998). Although framing life narratives around redemption may not be suitable for people who have experienced psychosis in a positive light, clinicians may consider helping clients frame their narratives to reflect how they have

grown in some way (no matter how little or how much) following the struggle with psychosis. To do so, clinicians may gently encourage clients to first recount the events leading up to, and the experience of, psychosis. Then, clinicians can help clients integrate the psychosis within their overall life story, highlighting differences and similarities between clients' pre and post-psychosis self-narrative. As alluded to earlier, clinicians should provide a space for clients to grieve the losses they experienced, while also support clients as they seek to reconstruct a constructive life story (Jirek, 2017). The narration of one's life story should encompass not only elements associated with positive change but rather all components of an individual's life which are of value or meaning.

Interventions to support narrative reconstruction among people who have experienced psychosis exist and may be useful to adopt in this regard. These include Metacognitive Reflection and Insight Therapy (Lysaker & Klion, 2017), which aims to help people make sense of and derive meaning from their experiences by supporting meta-cognition; and Narrative Enhancement Cognitive Therapy (Yanos *et al.* 2012), which is a group-based narrative treatment helping people cope with internalized stigma.

### *Be open to different explanatory models of psychosis*

A key facilitator of posttraumatic growth is engaging in a meaning-making process whereby people seek to understand the deeper, constructive meaning of their experiences (Jordan *et al.* 2020b). For instance, some may adopt an explanatory framework highlighting how their psychosis was meant to happen and was needed to fundamentally restructure their lives according to what really matters in the grand scheme of things (Jordan *et al.* 2019a, 2019b). Clinicians can therefore support posttraumatic growth by being mindful of, and open to, different explanatory models of psychosis.

Unfortunately, enforcing biomedical explanatory models onto people who experienced psychosis and invalidating their meaning-making process has been adopted as mainstream practice in many jurisdictions (Cohen, 1993; Handerer *et al.* 2021). Despite the dominance of the biomedical model, many people who have experienced psychosis insist those experiences are meaningful and can provide insight into their path to recovery (O'Keeffe *et al.* 2021; Ritunnano *et al.* 2022). Framing psychosis as a biomedical process gone awry can generate expectations of chronicity and feelings of hopelessness, while pathologizing psychosis is often overly reductive and fails to acknowledge, and thus respond to, the wider context and social determinants of distress (Kirmayer *et al.* 2004). Rendering meaningful experiences as merely symptoms of illness devoid of meaning can impede recovery and hinder growth and agency (LeFrancois *et al.* 2013; Seikkula & Trimble, 2005). Clinicians may fear exacerbating delusions and hallucinations, but research suggests that acknowledging psychotic utterances and the perspectives and experiences of those in florid psychosis can be therapeutic and inform pathways out of suffering (Razzaque & Stockmann, 2018). Many people feel their experiences of psychosis are deeply meaningful and even spiritual and are benefited by approaches and frameworks that "restore the meaning in madness" p.31 (Johnstone, 2018), or even find benefit in psychosis (O'Keeffe *et al.* 2021). These approaches can include, but are not limited to, Open Dialogue, Hearing Voices groups, and psychological formulation that acknowledge the individual's worldview and belief systems. These frameworks for interpreting and approaching psychosis do not need to negate biomedical treatment but can work in conjunction with psychiatric approaches while



addressing the spiritual, psychological, cultural and social elements of distress (Tamm, 1993). Acknowledging and respecting how a person interprets and makes meaning out of their experiences of psychosis is a critical step in facilitating posttraumatic growth following psychosis.

### Support spirituality and religiosity

Many people who experience posttraumatic growth following psychosis experience spiritual forms of growth and rely on spiritual or religious resources to support their growth (Ng *et al.* 2021). Yet, clinicians may be less likely to notice spiritual or religious forms of growth relative to growth in other domains (Jordan *et al.* 2020c).

In some settings, such as in early intervention services for psychosis, both clinicians and service users have reported stigma around spirituality and a reluctance to discuss spiritual issues (Larsen, 2004). Spirituality and religion, which are important for many people, are often not discussed, and there is a tendency to view spiritual or religious content within therapeutic encounters unfavourably (Yamada *et al.* 2020), particularly within societies that emphasize secularism (Venkataraman *et al.* 2018). There is a concern among clinicians that engaging with spiritual or religious resources or practices may support the development of, or perhaps reinforce, religious or spiritual delusions or hallucinations (Larsen, 2004; Mohr, 2004). While engaging in spiritual practices such as intense meditation may contribute to the development of psychosis for some (Sharma *et al.* 2022), spirituality and religion are important aspects of people's lives that should not be neglected (Milner *et al.* 2019). To support posttraumatic growth, clinicians can learn more about spiritual or religious worldviews, as well as develop greater humility and competence around their clients' spirituality.

To do so, Calhoun and Tedeschi (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 1999) recommend that clinicians listen attentively to clients when they discuss spiritual themes and attend to them when they occur (p. 117). Models highlighting the importance of spirituality to mental health recovery have been developed, such as the MISTIC framework, and can also guide discussions around spirituality and religion (i.e., the Meaning-making, Identity, Service-provision, Talk about it, Interaction with symptoms, Coping framework). This model has also been adapted into a clinical toolkit aimed at helping clinicians support spirituality during care (Milner *et al.* 2019). Specifically, the toolkit encourages clinicians to reflect on six spiritual domains in clinical care, including meaning (e.g., how spirituality can help clients make sense of their lives and mental health); identity (e.g., how spirituality can support clients' identity development); service provision (e.g., which spiritual sources of support clients can access); talk about it (e.g., who clients can speak to about spirituality); interruption (e.g., the spiritual challenges or crises that may have experienced) and coping (e.g., spiritual sources of coping that are available).

### Conclusion

Posttraumatic growth may be an unfamiliar construct and phenomenon to clinicians who support people who have experienced psychosis. Despite its potential importance and increasing relevance, there is a lack of guidance on how clinicians can best facilitate posttraumatic growth following psychosis. Drawing on our own research, experiences and pre-existing guidelines, we

recommend that to support posttraumatic growth following psychosis, clinicians can gently encourage posttraumatic growth when appropriate, support narrative construction and development, remain open to different explanatory models of psychosis and support spirituality.

The ability of clinicians to follow these recommendations may depend on different factors. These may include rules governing each professional's clinical roles and their respective professional unions and bodies; the specific foci of services where clinicians are employed as well as institutional buy-in to support posttraumatic growth; each clinician's specific background, interests, orientation and training; as well as the availability of time and resources.

Several of these recommendations can be followed by any member of a multidisciplinary team. For instance, all clinicians can treat clients with dignity, respect and compassion. All clinicians can be open to various explanatory models of distress and react to non-medical understandings of psychosis with a degree of interest, curiosity and openness. Any clinician can similarly react without judgement towards a client who is openly spiritual or religious and draws on spiritual resources to support their recovery. However, some of our recommendations can be more easily followed by certain professionals. For instance, clinicians with the time, ability and space to support emotional needs, such as psychologists, psychiatrists, mental health nurses and counsellors may be particularly well suited to help clients develop new life narratives following their psychosis or encourage clients to draw on particular spiritual resources.

Worth mentioning is that peer support workers may play an important role in supporting posttraumatic growth. People often feel profound hopelessness following a psychosis (Watkins *et al.* 2020). Peers, who draw on their own lived experience to support others, are powerful living examples of how the aftermath of a psychosis may not necessarily be permanently characterised by despair (Davidson *et al.* 2012). Peer support workers may experience posttraumatic growth through their profession, which may be modelled in interactions with people in need (Moran *et al.* 2012; Russo-Netzer and Moran, 2018). Many peers also role model how one can chart new meaningful life directions and improve the lives of others, following psychosis, thereby demonstrating what posttraumatic growth may in fact resemble (Moran *et al.* 2012).

That said, it is incumbent upon services that hire peer support workers to ensure that peers do the actual work of peer support and not fall into clinical roles where the power of their lived experience may be reduced. Peer-run organisations and mutual support groups that fall outside the healthcare system may be particularly well suited in this regard (Moran *et al.* 2012).

With these recommendations, our hope is that clinicians can better support posttraumatic growth following psychosis when appropriate. However, future research should evaluate how people who have experienced psychosis themselves feel posttraumatic growth should best be fostered.

**Financial support statement.** This research has received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflicts of interest.** None.

**Ethical standard statement.** The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and

institutional committee on human experimentation with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

## References

- Altaier EM, Gleason K** (2019). *Promoting Positive Processes after Trauma*. Elsevier Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA.
- Bowen EA, Murshid NS** (2016). Trauma-informed social policy: a conceptual framework for policy analysis and advocacy. *American Journal of Public Health*, **106**(2), 223–229.
- Calhoun GL, Tedeschi RG** (1999). *Facilitating Posttraumatic Growth: a Clinicians Guide*. Erlbaum: New Jersey.
- Calhoun LG, Tedeschi RG** (2013). *Posttraumatic Growth in Clinical Practice*. Routledge: New York, NY.
- Canton MI** (2021). Why we must talk about de-medicalization. In *The Routledge International Handbook of Mad Studies* (ed. PBJ Russo, Routledge).
- Cohen CI** (1993). The biomedicalization of psychiatry: a critical overview. *Community Mental Health Journal* **29**, 509–521.
- Davidson L, Bellamy C, Guy K, Miller R** (2012). Peer support among persons with severe mental illnesses: a review of evidence and experience. *World Psychiatry*, **11**(2), 123–128.
- Davidson L, Carr E, Bellamy C, Tondora J, Fossey E, Stryton T, Davidson M, Elsmara S** (2016). Principles for recovery-oriented care. In *Handbook of Recovery in Inpatient Psychiatry* (ed. J. W. Barber and S. Van Sant), pp. 39–58. Springer International Publishing: Cham.
- Davidson L, Rowe M, DiLeo P, Bellamy C, Delphin-Rittmon M** (2021). Recovery-oriented systems of care: a perspective on the past, present, and future. *Alcohol Research: Current Reviews*, **41**(1), 9.
- Dekel S, Ein-Dor T, Solomon Z** (2012). Posttraumatic growth and posttraumatic distress: a longitudinal study. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy* **4**, 94–101.
- Grof C, Grof S** (1991). *The Stormy Search for Self: Understanding and Living with Spiritual Emergency*. Mandala: London, UK.
- Handerer F, Kinderman P, Timmermann C, Tai SJ** (2021). How did mental health become so biomedical? The progressive erosion of social determinants in historical psychiatric admission registers. *History of Psychiatry* **32**, 37–51.
- Ibrahim N, Ng F, Selim A, Ghallab E, Ali A, Slade M** (2022). Posttraumatic growth and recovery among a sample of Egyptian mental health service users: a phenomenological study. *BMC Psychiatry* **22**, 255.
- Jirek SL** (2017). Narrative reconstruction and post-traumatic growth among trauma survivors: the importance of narrative in social work research and practice. *Qualitative Social Work: Research and Practice* **16**, 166–188.
- Johnstone L** (2018). Psychological formulation as an alternative to psychiatric diagnosis. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology* **58**, 30–46.
- Jordan G, Burke LC, Roe D, Davidson L** (2019a). Precautions to conducting research on and facilitating posttraumatic growth among persons with serious mental illnesses: a perspective piece. *American Journal of Psychiatric Rehabilitation* **22**, 101–113.
- Jordan G, Iyer SN, Malla A, Davidson L** (2020a). Posttraumatic growth and recovery following a first episode of psychosis: a narrative review of two concepts. *Psychosis-psychological Social and Integrative Approaches* **12**, 285–294.
- Jordan G, MacDonald K, Pope MA, Schorr E, Malla AK, Iyer SN** (2018). Positive changes experienced after a first episode of psychosis: a systematic review. *Psychiatric Services* **69**, 84–99.
- Jordan G, Malla A, Iyer SN** (2019b). "It's brought me a lot closer to who I am": a mixed methods study of posttraumatic growth and positive change following a first episode of psychosis. *Front Psychiatry* **10**, 480.
- Jordan G, Malla A, Iyer SN** (2020b). Perceived facilitators and predictors of positive change and posttraumatic growth following a first episode of psychosis: a mixed methods study using a convergent design. *BMC Psychiatry* **20**, 289.
- Jordan G, Malla A, Iyer SN** (2020c). Service provider perceptions of posttraumatic growth experienced by service users receiving treatment for a first episode of psychosis. *International Journal of Mental Health* **49**, 271–279.
- Jordan G, Ng F, Malla A, Iyer SN** (2022). A longitudinal qualitative follow-up study of posttraumatic growth among service users who experienced positive change following a first episode of psychosis. *Psychosis-psychological Social and Integrative Approaches*.
- Jung CG** (1967). *Two Kinds of Thinking*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ.
- Kirmayer LJ, Corin E, Jarvis GE** (2004). Inside knowledge: cultural constructions of insight in psychosis. In *Insight and Psychosis: Awareness of Illness in Schizophrenia and Related Disorders* (ed. 2nd, pp. 197–229. Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA.
- Larsen JA** (2004). Finding meaning in first episode psychosis: experience, agency, and the cultural repertoire. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, **18**(4), 447–471.
- Le Boutillier C, Leamy M, Bird VJ, Davidson L, Williams J, Slade M** (2011). What does recovery mean in practice? A qualitative analysis of international recovery-oriented practice guidance. *Psychiatric Services*, **62**(12), 1470–1476.
- Lee YY, Seet V, Chua YC, Verma SK, Subramaniam M** (2022). Growth in the aftermath of psychosis: characterizing post-traumatic growth in persons with first episode psychosis in Singapore. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **12**, 784569–784569.
- LeFrancois BA, Menzies R, Reaume G** (2013). *Mad Matters*. Canadian Scholars' Press Inc: Toronto, ON.
- Liang RD** (1960). *The Divided Self: An Existential Study in Sanity and Madness*. Penguin: Hamondsworth, UK.
- Longden E, Read J** (2016). Social adversity in the etiology of psychosis: a review of the evidence. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* **70**, 5–33.
- Lysaker PH, Klion RE** (2017). *Recovery, Meaning-Making, and Severe Mental Illness: A Comprehensive Guide to Metacognitive Reflection and Insight Therapy*. Routledge.
- McAdams DP** (2013). The positive psychology of adult generativity: Caring for the next generation and constructing a redemptive life. In *Positive Psychology: Advances in Understanding Adult Motivation*, 191–205. Springer Science + Business Media: New York, NY, USA.
- McAdams DP, de St. Aubin E** (1992). A theory of generativity and its assessment through self-report, behavioral acts, and narrative themes in autobiography. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **62**, 1003–1015.
- McAdams DP, Hart HM, Maruna S** (1998). The anatomy of generativity. In *Generativity and Adult Development: How and Why We Care for the Next Generation*, 7–43. American Psychological Association: Washington, DC, USA.
- McCarthy-Jones S, Marriott M, Knowles R, Rowe G, Thompson AR** (2013). What is psychosis? A meta-synthesis of inductive qualitative studies exploring the experience of psychosis. *Psychosis-psychological Social and Integrative Approaches* **5**, 1–16.
- Milner K, Crawford P, Edgley A, Hare-Duke L, Slade M** (2019). The experiences of spirituality among adults with mental health difficulties: a qualitative systematic review. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* **29**, e34.
- Mohr S** (2004). The relationship between schizophrenia and religion and its implications for care. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, **134**(2526), 369–369.
- Moran GS, Russinova Z, Gidugu V, Yim JY, Sprague C** (2012). Benefits and mechanisms of recovery among peer providers with psychiatric illnesses. *Qualitative Health Research*, **22**(3), 304–319.
- Moran GS, Russinova Z, Stepas K** (2012). Toward understanding the impact of occupational characteristics on the recovery and growth processes of peer providers. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, **35**(5), 376.
- Newbigging K, Ridley J** (2018). Epistemic struggles: the role of advocacy in promoting epistemic justice and rights in mental health. *Social Science & Medicine*, **219**, 36–44.
- Ng F, Ibrahim N, Franklin D, BLINDED G., Lewandowski F, Fang F, Roe D, Rennick-Egglestone S, Newby C, Hare-Duke L, Llewellyn-Beardsley J, Yeo C, Slade M** (2021). Post-traumatic growth in psychosis: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *BMC Psychiatry* **21**, 607.
- O'Keeffe D, Keogh B, Higgins A** (2021). Meaning in life in long-term recovery in first-episode psychosis: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* **12**, 676593.
- Perry JW** (1974). *The Far Side of Madness*. Prentice Hall: Engelwood Cliffs, NJ.
- Perry JW** (1999). *Trails of the Visionary Mind: Spiritual Emergency and the Renewal Process*. State of New York Press: Albany, NY.
- Razaque R, Stockmann T** (2018). An introduction to peer-supported open dialogue in mental healthcare. *BJPych Advances* **22**, 348–356.
- Ritunnano R, Kleinman J, Oshodi DW, Michail M, Nelson B, Humpston CS, Broome MR** (2022). Subjective experience and meaning of delusions in

- psychosis: a systematic review and qualitative evidence synthesis. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **9**, 458–476.
- Rodrigues R, Anderson KK** (2017). The traumatic experience of first-episode psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* **189**, 27–36.
- Rowe M, Davidson L** (2016). Recovering citizenship. *The Israel Journal of Psychiatry and Related Sciences* **53**, 14–20.
- Russo-Netzer P, Moran G** (2018). Positive growth from adversity and beyond: insights gained from cross-examination of clinical and nonclinical samples. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, **88**(1), 59.
- Seikkula J, Trimble D** (2005). Healing elements of therapeutic conversation: dialogue as an embodiment of love. *Family Process* **44**, 461–475.
- Sharma P, Mahapatra A, Gupta R** (2022). Meditation-induced psychosis: a narrative review and individual patient data analysis. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, **39**(4), 391–397.
- Slade M, Blackie L, Longden E** (2019a). Personal growth in psychosis. *World Psychiatry* **18**, 29–30.
- Slade M, Rennick-Egglestone S, Blackie L, Llewellyn-Beardsley J, Franklin D, Hui A, Thornicroft G, McGranahan R, Pollock K, Priebe S, Ramsay A, Roe D, Deakin E** (2019b). Post-traumatic growth in mental health recovery: qualitative study of narratives. *BMJ Open* **9**, e029342.
- Slade M, Sweeney A** (2020). Rethinking the concept of insight. *World Psychiatry*, **19**, 389–339.
- Tamm ME** (1993). Models of health and disease. *British Journal of Medical Psychology* **66**, 213–228.
- Tedeschi RG, Calhoun LG** (2004). TARGET ARTICLE: "Posttraumatic growth: conceptual foundations and empirical evidence". *Psychological Inquiry* **15**, 1–18.
- Tedeschi RG, Shakespeare-Finch J, Taku K, Calhoun LG** (2018). *Posttraumatic Growth: Theory, Research and Applications*. Routledge: Abingdon, Oxon.
- Thornhill E, Sanderson C, Gupta A** (2022). A grounded theory analysis of care-coordinators' perceptions of family growth associated with an experience of first episode psychosis. *Psychosis*, 1–13.
- Venkataraman S, Jordan G, Pope MA, Iyer SN** (2018). Examination of cultural competence in service providers in an early intervention programme for psychosis in Montreal, Quebec: perspectives of service users and treatment providers. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, **12**(3), 469–473.
- Watkins A, Denney-Wilson E, Curtis J, Teasdale S, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Stein-Parbury J** (2020). Keeping the body in mind: a qualitative analysis of the experiences of people experiencing first-episode psychosis participating in a lifestyle intervention programme. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, **29**(2), 278–289.
- Yamada AM, Lukoff D, Lim CS, Mancuso LL** (2020). Integrating spirituality and mental health: perspectives of adults receiving public mental health services in California. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, **12**(3), 276.
- Yanos PT, Roe D, West ML, Smith SM, Lysaker PH** (2012). Group-based treatment for internalized stigma among persons with severe mental illness: findings from a randomized controlled trial. *Psychological Services* **9**, 248–258.